

memorandum

DATE: October 16, 2017

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: EP-4

SUBJECT: Supplement Analysis for the Transmission System Vegetation Management Program FEIS (DOE/EIS-0285/SA-673)

TO: Craig Fackrell
Natural Resource Specialist – TFBV-TRI CITIES RMHQ

Proposed Action: Vegetation Management along the Transmission Line Corridors in the Tri-cities Area

Pollution Prevention and Abatement Project No.: 3,774

Location: Benton, Franklin, Klickitat, and Walla Walla counties, Washington; and Umatilla County, Oregon

Description of the Proposal: BPA proposes to clear unwanted vegetation in and adjacent to the transmission line corridors and access roads in the Tri-cities area. The following corridors would be addressed:

- the entire length of the 500-kilovolt (kV) Calpine-McNary No.1, from Structure 6/1 to Structure 7/1
- the entire length of the 500-kV Lower Monumental-McNary No. 1
- the 345-kV McNary-Ross No. 1, from McNary Substation to Structure 48/4
- the 230-kV McNary-Roundup No. 1, from structure 3/1 to Roundup Substation
- the 115-kV Franklin-Badger Canyon No. 1, from Structure 8/1 to Badger Canyon Substation
- the 115-kV Franklin-Badger Canyon No. 2, from Structure 5/3 to Structure 15/1
- the entire length of the 115-kV Franklin-Ruby Street No.1
- the 115-kV Grandview-Red Mountain No. 1, from Structure 19/3 to Red Mountain Substation
- the 115-kV Kennewick Tap to Franklin-Badger Canyon No. 2, from Structure 1/1 to Structure 1/9
- the entire length of the 69-kV Walla Walla-Pendleton No. 1.

The corridors in the proposed project area measure from 50 to 577 feet in width and cross approximately 222 miles of terrain through urban/suburban, rural residential, agricultural, Washington State Department of Natural Resources, United States Army Corps of Engineers, United States Bureau of Land Management, and United States Fish and Wildlife Service lands.

Letters, on-site meetings, emails, and phone calls would be used to notify landowners approximately three weeks prior to commencing vegetation management activities. Door hangers would also be used at properties where special treatments are anticipated. Any

additional measures proposed by landowners or land managers through ongoing communication would be incorporated into the vegetation management plan during project implementation.

To comply with Western Electricity Coordinating Council standards, BPA proposes to manage vegetation with the goal of removing tall-growing vegetation that is currently or will soon become a hazard to the transmission line (a hazard is defined as one or more branches, tops, and/or whole trees that could fall or grow into the minimum safety zone of the transmission line(s) causing an electrical arc, relay and/or outage). The overall goal of BPA is to establish low-growing plant communities along the ROW to control the development of potentially threatening vegetation.

A combination of selective and nonselective vegetation control methods that may include hand cutting, mowing, and herbicidal treatment would be used to perform the work. Herbicides would be selectively applied using spot treatment (stump or stubble treatment, basal treatment, and/or spot foliar), or localized treatments (broadcast application and cut stubble treatments) with chemicals approved in BPA's Transmission System Vegetation Management Program Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) (DOE/EIS-0285, May 2000), to ensure that the roots are killed preventing new sprouts and selectively eliminating vegetation that interferes with the operation and maintenance of transmission infrastructure.

Approximately 181 acres of ROW, 414 structure sites, and 3.2 miles of access road would be initially treated in winter 2017/2018. In addition, BPA proposes to side-limb up to 19 trees, remove 110 trees in urban areas, and remove up to 37 trees in, or adjacent to, the ROW. A follow-up treatment of re-sprouting target vegetation would be conducted on approximately 180 acres of ROW by fall of 2018; however, additional vegetation management may be necessary in subsequent years in discrete areas of noxious weeds, or where BPA personnel discover vegetation that poses a hazard to the transmission line. All debris would be disposed of onsite, along the ROW, using on-site chip, lop and scatter, or mulching techniques.

Analysis: A Vegetation Control Prescription & Checklist was developed for this corridor that incorporates the requirements identified in BPA's Final EIS and Record of Decision (ROD) (August 23, 2000). The following summarizes natural resources occurring in the project area along with applicable mitigation measures outlined in the Vegetation Control Prescription & Checklist.

Water Resources: Water bodies (streams, rivers, lakes, wetlands) occurring in the project area are noted in the Vegetation Control Prescription. As conservation and avoidance measures, only spot and localized treatment with Garlon 3A (Triclopyr TEA) would be used within a 100-foot buffer up to the water's edge of any stream containing threatened or endangered species. Trees in riparian zones would be selectively cut to include only those that would grow into the minimum approach distances of the conductor at maximum sag; other trees would be left in place or topped to preserved shade. Shrubs that are less than 10-feet-high would not be cut where ground to conductor clearance allows. No ground-disturbing vegetation management methods would be implemented, thus eliminating the risk for soil erosion and sedimentation near the streams. Where private water wells/springs have been identified along the ROW and noted in the Vegetation Control Prescription, no herbicide application would occur within a 50-

foot radius of the wellhead/spring (164 feet when using herbicides with ground/surface water advisory).

Endangered Species Act and Magnuson-Stevens Act: Pursuant to its obligations under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), BPA has made a determination of whether its proposed project would have any effects on any listed species. A species list was obtained for federally listed, proposed, and candidate species potentially occurring within the project boundaries from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). Based on the ESA review conducted, BPA made a determination that the project would have “No Effect” for all ESA-listed species under USFWS’ jurisdiction.

BPA conducted a review of ESA-listed species and Essential Fish Habitat (as defined by the Magnuson-Stevens Act), under the jurisdiction of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). The proposed vegetation management activities are within the scope of activities and action area evaluated in the *Endangered Species Act Section 7 Programmatic Conference and Biological Opinion and Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Essential Fish Habitat Consultation for Standard Local Operating Procedures for Endangered Species to Administer Maintenance or Rebuild Projects for Transmission Line and Road Access Actions Authorized or Carried Out by the Bonneville Power Administration in Oregon, Washington, and Idaho* (SLOPES PBO) (WCR-2014-1600, September 22, 2016). Streams in the project area with documented presence of ESA-listed fish, designated as critical habitat for one or more species, and/or identified as Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) have been noted in the vegetation control prescription. It was determined that, by complying with the project design criteria listed within the SLOPES PBO, potential effects to ESA-listed anadromous salmonids and EFH would be consistent with those evaluated and addressed in the SLOPES PBO.

Cultural Resources: No cultural resources are known for the project area. If a site is discovered during the course of vegetation control, work would be stopped in the vicinity and the BPA Environmental Specialist, and the BPA archeologist would be contacted.

Re-Vegetation: Low-growing vegetation, where present on the ROW, and is expected to naturally reseed.

Monitoring: The entire project would be inspected during the work period, winter 2017/2018 through fall 2018. A follow-up treatment would occur approximately one year after the initial treatment. Additional monitoring and follow-up treatments would be conducted as necessary. A vendor scorecard would be used to document formal inspections and would be filed with the contracting officer.

Findings: This Supplement Analysis finds that: (1) the proposed actions are substantially consistent with the Transmission System Vegetation Management Program FEIS (DOE/EIS-0285) and ROD, and; (2) there are no new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on the proposed actions or their impacts. Therefore, no further NEPA documentation is required.

/s/ Oden W. Jahn

Oden W. Jahn

Environmental Scientist

CONCUR: /s/ Stacy L. Mason

Stacy L. Mason

NEPA Compliance Officer

DATE: October 16, 2017

References:

Vegetation Management Prescription and Checklist

Effects Determination