



FCRPS Cultural Resources Sub-Committee 2023 Fall Quarterly Meeting Notes

Date: September 6-7, 2023
Time: September 6, 2023 – 9:00 am- 5:00 pm PT
 September 7, 2023 – 8:30 am- 12pm PT
Place: Bonneville Lock and Dam

Attendees

Name	9/6	9/7	Affiliation
Sean Hess	Y	Y	Reclamation
Michael Flowers	Y	Y	USACE – NWD
Jeremy Ripin	N	N	USACE – NWS
Sarah MacIntosh	N	N	USACE – NWS
Nathaniel Perhay	Y	N	USACE – NWS
Derek Beery	Y	Y	USACE – NWS
Jacques Kerkhove-Peltier	Y	Y	USACE – NWS
Sydney Kerkhove-Peltier	Y	Y	USACE – NWS
Tracy Schwartz	Y	Y	USACE– NWP
Scott Hall	Y	Y	USACE – NWW
Jennifer Knight	Y	Y	USACE – NWW
Leah Bonstead	Y	Y	USACE - NWW
Anna Neuzil	Y	Y	BPA
Celia Morét Crockett	Y	Y	BPA
Kelly Phillips	Y	Y	BPA
Jenna Peterson	Y	Y	BPA
Michelle Stegner	Y	Y	BPA
Jasmine Castro	Y	Y	BPA

Highlight Color Key

- Yellow= Action Items
- Green= Consensus Decisions
- Blue= Future Agenda Topics

Introductions & General Announcements

General Updates

- **Reclamation:** The Grand Coulee GS-12 Archeologist position was extended. Reclamation is trying to offer flexibility and they do not have to be local. They can work out of any Reclamation office (ex: Boise, ID or Bend, OR). There are still staffing challenges all around.
- **BPA:** BPA has re-organized into their own Cultural Resources group named EH (Historic Preservation and Cultural Resources Compliance). It removes one layer of bureaucratic process allowing our Executive Manager to have a more direct line to the decision makers. BPA is actively looking for a GIS Analyst in the Portland area and they will be involved in FCRPS related GIS tasks.

- **USACE – NWP (Portland District):** Tracy said they are almost fully staffed at NWP. They are working on backfilling the Historian position. Hopefully this is done by Q1 of FY24.
- **USACE – NWS (Seattle District):** Colonel Kathryn P. Sanborn is the new District Commander who started in July. There are 3 new Historians: Branden Gunn, Laura Duvekot, and Amanda Morrow. They will interact in some FCRPS work as we deal with Built Environment at the dams. Derek introduced Jacques and Sydney Kerkhove-Peltier who are 2 Project Archeologists for NWS. Sydney will be supporting Chief Joseph CG and Jacques will support Albeni Falls. Sydney worked for USACE Sacramento District for 3 years and the USFS in California for 5 years. Jacques also worked at Sacramento District and previously had experience at both the Bureau of Land Management and the USFS. Additionally, he has GIS skills.
- **USACE – NWW (Walla Walla District):** Cindy Boen is NWW's new Chief of Planning. She has worked at NWW for a long time and is very familiar with the FCRPS Program.

Approval of Previous Meeting Notes

Current status of CRSC notes

FY23 Summer Quarterly Notes (June 14-15, 2023): Approved and posted on BPA website. [CRSC FY23 Summer Quarterly Notes](#)

SWPA Requirements- Schedule and Milestones

Update, Next 5 Year Review: USACE is in charge of the next Annual Report for FY23. Derek wanted to start discussing the Elders' Dinner aspect of the FY25 Systemwide Meeting and he said it would be smart to start planning ahead and figure out what is possible and what isn't. Anna mentioned that the previous Program Manager, Kevin Cannell (BPA), explained the long process to pay for food at the Elders' Dinner. Sean asked about the Columbia River Treaty (CRT). They are negotiating the Annual Operating Plan. Derek said it would be good to discuss Systemwide changes during one of the CRSC meetings. Anna said an additional CRSC meeting will be difficult to do but maybe one of the future meetings can be a full 2 day meeting. Mike said the latest version of the FCRPS Cultural Resource Program Handbook was sent to the 3Ps then the PMs for review.

SWPA Renewal: The 3Ps are meeting on 10/12/2023 in Portland (BPA or USACE) and the goal is to create an outline and rough strategy for the SWPA renewal and present this to leadership. They hope to review the preliminary plan during the next December CRSC meeting. There was feedback at the last Payos Kuus Cuukwe meeting to make sure everyone's voice is heard in this process. At the last Payos Kuus Cuukwe it became clear that for agreement documents, the Washington and Oregon State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPO) and Federal Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) will be seeking support of the Tribes in order to sign them. Many agreed having a facilitator at the CG meetings is helpful. Sean recommended looking at models like the Phase 2 Implementation Plan (P2IP) where the technical staff are dealing with a variety of issues and they always have a legal and policy person present. That way they have someone who knows the political side of the organization they are representing. Sean said it would be good to think about identifying a policy level person who would join in the CG meetings on a regular basis to help Program Managers (PMs) move through issues related to what happens to existing sub-agreements under the SWPA like PSPAs and Stand-Alone HPMPs if the SWPA were to expire. Roland Springer for Reclamation is an example. Mike said legal staff could help leadership understand the technical implications of what will happen without the Systemwide Programmatic Agreement (SWPA). Sean said there has to be a legal discussion about implications of the Intertie Development and Use (IDU). Derek is concerned about accomplishing the SWPA renewal with the rest of the Program goals. Mike said it is up to the Program Managers (3Ps) to bring this up to leadership. Anna said her perspective is if each of them talk to their leaders then that can trickle down into the CG meetings. Anna said during the Payos Kuus Cuukwe Fall Workshop one of the topics was whether funding will be provided to the Tribes to fund their participation in the SWPA renewal process. For Payos Kuus Cuukwe, an issue was that there were many consensus decisions documented in the notes on various portions of the McNary PSPA and then at the Workshop they did not agree to the Project-specific Programmatic Agreement (PSPA) and they felt as if the process was not collaborative. Leah said an issue is that the Tribes are receiving so many documents (PSPAs, TPFs, notes, etc.) that it can be hard to keep track of because of the different versions being sent and it is a lot of content to read. Mike said the Tribes want to be involved in the process but he is unsure of how else to do this other than include them in these conversations and

review processes seeing as they were involved at every step during the McNary PSPA development. He mentioned a big critique at the Payos Kuus Cuukwe Fall Workshop was Attachment 6 and how they want to see less use of undertakings that do not require further Section 106 consultation. Sean said it sounds like they want to streamline the process but do not have the trust; maybe this is something that can be discussed with a facilitator: demonstrating trust and being transparent in communications. There is a need for leadership to say “We need this to be renewed.” Tracy recommended getting Lead Federal Agency leadership (LFA) and CG partners together to express this is a priority for the agencies. Celia said it might help to demonstrate what reality would look like without a renewal of this agreement so that everyone is aware.

Ideas moving forward:

- Kick-off effort- get LFA leadership and cooperative group partners together to demonstrate the commitment to meaningful SWPA renewal.
- The need for multilevel, facilitated, collaborative meetings/ discussions about educating leadership about what a non-SWPA world looks like. Bring this into the Executive Briefing.
- Consider additional staffing to either work exclusively on the SWPA renewal or temporarily run the FCRPS Cultural Resource Program while the 3Ps work on renewal.

Program Goals & Measures

Each Group provided an update on their progress with the PSPA/HPMP and if they will meet the proposed FY deadline.

McNary: McNary PSPA and HPMP will not be finished by the proposed date; end of FY23. Given the discontent that the Payos Kuus Cuukwe CG expressed in spending as much time as they have on the draft McNary PSPA and HPMP, they will likely not want to work in future years consecutively on the remaining Lower Snake River (LSR) project HPMPs. Celia proposed to change the LSR project HPMPs to doing a combined LSR Stand-Alone HPMP in FY27. In 2019, Payos Kuus Cuukwe started an Interest Based Problem Solving Exercise with the facilitator. As a result of this exercise, the CG came to a consensus to work on both a PSPA and HPMP. Scott met with the Tribes to revise Attachment 6 specifically for undertakings at McNary. Chris Daniels was doing one last review of the PSPA and suggested a Tribal Breakout Discussion during the Fall Workshop to gauge support from the Tribes before finalizing things. The PMs suspected that the Tribes would not sign but were hopeful they would be in support of the documents for Washington and Oregon SHPOs and ACHP to sign. There was a Tribal Breakout Discussion, with ACHP and SHPO participation, and the consensus of that Tribal Breakout was that they do not want to sign and were generally not in support of the PSPA. They were okay with the HPMP and liked certain parts of the PSPA so they agreed to make it a Fall Workshop deliverable for each of the Tribes to go through the PSPA to pick out the sections they liked to instead incorporate into the HPMP as a Stand-Alone document. Celia is unsure how the process could have been done differently if several consensus decisions were made by the Group. Tracy asked if staff turnover might be a reason and Celia said this is possible as some people were not there at the beginning of some exercises. Anna said many people asked why the PSPA and HPMP need to be done and she answered that if the SWPA expires they will serve as the agreement document for Section 106 consultation. Some people were saying that they feel as if these documents only benefit the agencies and not the Tribes. Celia said they can reach a Stand-Alone HPMP by the end of FY24 for McNary.

Hungry Horse: The Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes of the Flathead Reservation (CSKT) are not moving forward with the PSPA at the moment due to language of ownership of artifacts. This was confirmed with CSKTs legal staff. Sean has prepared a revised PSPA and the plan is to present it to the CSKT Cultural Committee. The revised version said something similar to “Federal agencies retain long-term financial responsibility about the topic of ownership.” Jenna said from the Tribes perspective, there is concern that language like that in documents could lead to acquisition of those artifacts. Sean said another element is that Montana SHPO and the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) already signed the agreement. They can approach this by amending the agreement (that has already been signed) and sending that amended agreement to CSKT to sign. They will work with Tribal leadership to move this along. They plan to have the Hungry Horse PSPA signed by the end of FY24.

The Dalles: Tracy said they will be able to get the letters out for the Cultural Resource Management Plan (CRMP) by 9/30/2023. She expects both Washington State Department of Archeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) and Oregon SHPO to concur. She is unsure if the Tribes will endorse the CRMP/ Stand-Alone HPMP.

Dworshak: Celia said that the Timíimap CG agreed to do a Stand-Alone HPMP and to use McNary as a template, as

it had already been reviewed by Nez Perce Tribe (NPT). NPT will be contracted to write several sections of the HPMP throughout FY24. This can be left as FY24.

Lake Roosevelt: There are 3 meetings scheduled to review the draft Lake Roosevelt PSPA. National Parks Service (NPS) is working on the Programmatic Agreement (PA) under terms of the Nationwide PA vs. the SWPA. Reclamation does not manage recreation at Lake Roosevelt. Sean said when Reclamation sees recreation impacts the agencies have to go back to land managing partners. Sean said the milestone will be met (getting the document prepared and ready for signature) but he is not confident it will be signed.

John Day: At the June planning meeting Wana Pa Koot Koot (WPKK) went through the differences between the PSPA and HPMP. WPKK liked The Dalles CRMP and the consensus is to do a Stand-Alone HPMP for John Day. They will write the document in-house and utilize a past contract that was done for site data cleanup for the John Day project. There have been meetings with Oregon SHPO and DAHP to match up the databases. There are also technical issues with WISAARD (Washington Information System for Architectural and Archeological Records Data) that they are working through. Tracy is okay with keeping the John Day FY25 deadline.

Albeni: There will be a formal Tribal Caucus in October. There was interest from the Tribes to do both an HPMP and PSPA.

***Action Item: Mike and Anna to follow up with Kelly, Sarah, and Jacques to ask about the status of the Albeni Falls Tribal Caucus.**

Bonneville: Tracy said it will likely be an HPMP, but will not start until John Day is closer to completion.

Libby: They have a signed PSPA. Kelly said they need to update the HPMP because it is outdated. Kelly needs to connect with Nate to discuss next steps and hopefully they will have an update by December.

Chief Joseph: HPMP draft has progressed through USACE OC review and Derek will review before this is disbursed to the Tribes and SHPO for final review.

Performance Indicators (PIs)

Review FY23 PIs and Long Term Goals: The PIs were updated during the meeting. Mike corrected some of the dates and he will resend the updated document to the Group. In regards to the long term goals to post an FCRPS funded document relating to public education to the FCRPS Cultural Resource Program Website, Celia said a few Tribes are looking into Story Maps and that for this, the FY23 milestone was met. Regarding the long term goal of participant feedback, Jasmine needs to send out a reminder for the FCRPS Cultural Resources Program Participants Survey. A few asked what will be done with the survey results. The BPA Privacy Office has specific guidelines for what can be done with the information gathered. Some showed interest in reporting survey results to Joint Operating Committee (JOC) regarding the progress of the Program. The results have to be anonymous but Sean said it can be generalized statements and themes that emerged from the survey results. The 3Ps will have further discussion about the survey data in October.

Review FY24 PIs: BPA proposed to modify the final milestone for TPFs as it was difficult to get a draft Treatment Plan Form (TPF) finalized and signed by the LFAs only a few months after being drafted. If the deadline is pushed back it can alleviate that. They proposed to change the September 1st deadline to 9/27/2024 instead. Tracy requested an additional due date to coordinate sending TPFs for Scott Armentrout (BPA) to sign. She said it does not have to be a formal action but an idea. Anna agreed that this is something Scott A. would appreciate. Tracy mentioned that she has been sending info to Oregon SHPO and eligibility information in their database is not being updated. Anna said it might help to talk to Oregon SHPO and provide a list of sites that need to be updated in the database. Anna said they will be doing something similar with DAHP and Sean said it is always good to develop a more consistent database.

***Action Item: BPA PMs to update FCRPS Geodatabase with consensus determinations + DOE of the keeper+ listing of the NR of eligibility since CRSO Effort.**

***Action Item: Sean to submit FY24 PI's to JOC Performance Committee.**

Private Lands Inventory Update: For NWP, Tracy said the Archaeology Roadshow in The Dalles will hopefully pique interest and curiosity for landowners. She estimates around 250 letters to go out for parcels in the Bonneville Project and can share the costs if anyone is interested. Jacques said they will send out about a little under two thousand letters for Albeni inventory. Derek noted Albeni has a ton of private land and this will be a complex issue.

They have been setting aside a large amount of money for Real Estate over 3 FY. Sarah and Jacques are currently working on this. The idea is to mail a post card with a QR code for private landowners to scan and be directed to the website. Each QR code will be unique, so individual property information will show up accordingly on the website. The website will address potential concerns and an explanation of what will happen during the survey should the landowner agree to participate. The website would have a place for the landowner to sign up. Derek is not anticipating many landowners to agree to the inventory.

***Action Item: Derek to tell Sean where NWS is going to post its FAQ page for inventory.**

Scott said that Lower Granite project letters have been sent out along with a portion of high-priority area letters for the McNary project. For McNary, the cost was around 10k to get them out (including labor, parcel research, and postage). In mid-September this will be a discussion topic with Idaho Department of Lands (IDL) and USFS to join this conversation.

Agency Roles and Responsibilities: The Group briefly went through the recently updated document and discussed the roles. There was a consensus that everything looked okay and no changes were made.

Day 2: September 7, 2023

TCP (Traditional Cultural Property) Forms

How is each Group using the TCP form? Anna said something that came from the TCP Subcommittee Meeting was that there is no consistency in how the forms are used throughout the different CGs. In particular some CGs are not recording TCPs yet. NWW and Grand Coulee are using them consistently. Leah said NPT does not want to use the TCPs form and they would prefer to use the National Register of Historic Places 10-900 form. Anna said there are big differences in how the CGs want things recorded and Tribes across the board agreed that agencies ask for too much information on the TCP forms. Agencies need to know what the minimum information is and what info is needed to make eligibility determinations and determinations of effect. Something that came up was that Traditional Ecological Knowledge or Indigenous Knowledge should hold equal validity to scientific information. According to the Tribes, if a Tribe says a historic property is eligible, there should be no further information needed. Anna said they do not need consistency across the board but it would be useful if the FCRPS CR Program is on the same page regarding the minimum information needed.

What LFAs need, what we don't? Celia said when NWW first started doing TCP forms in 2016, they received the very bare minimum information and did not go into too much detail. They did a lot of rounds of contracts to gather enough information to where the Corps and BPA felt that they could use them adequately understand what the resources are, the impacts, and to thus make a determination of effect. Celia said that the detailed information that the Tribes provide in the TCP forms do not get shared, as the information is reduced in the consensus TPF to one or two sentences on why it is eligible. This is how the Payos Kuus Cuukwe CG does TPFs and how the Chief Joseph CG has done it for the last few years. Sean said integrity and boundaries were important issues at the TCP subcommittee meeting. People agreed this is a case by case issue and each Tribe has their own way and preference of doing things. Anna said at the TCP subcommittee meeting there was reluctance to even list TCPs on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and the Tribes felt like they were sacrificing information. Celia mentioned that there was an interest for a separate meeting to revise the current FCRPS TCP Inventory forms. There was critique because the form required too much information (ethnographic section, oral history section, etc.) in sections that heavily overlap and many said it was difficult to fill out. Someone suggested just doing a TCP form for each CG but Mike said the Corps may have an issue with that. Anna suggested a consistent form with the minimum information needed and each CG can add information as they like (ie. In addendums or continuations). There was an issue brought up about Tribes not wanting to specify boundaries on these forms. Tracy said some of the Tribes in WPKK identify a "study area" instead of actual boundary lines. Mike said the 3Ps are most concerned with addressing adverse effects and documenting that in TPFs to justify spending the Program funds. There was a consensus to revise a version of the FCRPS TCP Inventory Form to include sections for minimum info only: center point and/or general extent of the area with no boundary, evaluation under criteria A-D, and integrity. Many agreed it would be a good idea to have a form with this bare minimum information but Celia noted it is nice to

have some Tribes fill out the forms with more detail. At least one Tribe stated that they find it valuable to the Tribes to obtain and compile necessary information to fill out the current iteration of the TCP Inventory Form, again this varies per person, Tribe, and CG.

***Action Item: Celia will develop a revised 'bare minimum' FCRPS TCP Inventory Form for the 3Ps to review and for discussion at the next CRSC meeting.**

Review of Benefits of HPMP and PSPAs

Mike shared the "Benefits of HPMP and PSPAs" document on the screen. The goal of this document is to help guide conversations and talking points for why HPMPs/PSPAs are useful to the Program. The plan was to pick some bullet points from this doc and send it to Kevin Askan since he requested it. This will help Kevin support elevation to his leadership. If anyone else is interested in this doc they may request it from one of the 3Ps. Tracy noted the benefits are outlined in the SWPA. Celia has a blank "SWPA Requirements Relating to HPMP/PSPAs" spreadsheet that she can share with the Group.

***Action Item: Celia will send out the blank "SWPA Requirements Relating to HPMP+PSPAs" spreadsheet to the Group.**

Mike said the requirements of SWPA are a result of the desires of consulting parties when the SWPA was being developed, and he was not expecting the reaction they received at the Payos Kuus Cuukwe meeting. Derek noted that he understands that Tribes lose trust with staff changes and turnover at the federal agencies and this is one of the reasons Tribes are hesitant to accept long-term programmatic agreements. Tribes did not trust the big agreement, which is why PSPAs were required. That said, the FCRPS SWPA has proven over the last 15 years that big PAs do work- even with staff turnover, and that the smaller PSPAs are useful but not necessary. Mike thinks this would be a good talking point for the next SWPA meeting. At the Payos Kuus Cuukwe Fall Workshop, a representative of the Oregon SHPO said if there is no trust it is important to be able to fall back on these agreement documents. Sean was looking into the System Operation Review (SOR) Tribal Consultations from 1996 and talked about retaining the ability to have individual consultation Tribe by Tribe and how the flexibility of the PSPAs are beneficial in this way. Anna asked Sean to send this document to her so she can add to the Benefits doc.

***Action Item: Sean to email Anna the SOR Tribal Consultations Doc from 1996 so Anna can add to the Benefits of HPMP/PSPAs document.**

Celia made live to the document, adding a section that basically serves as a toolkit for PMs to use in development of future HPMP and PSPAs, and suggested that the CRSC go through this document once a year and update it to see what is helpful to one another. Tracy also said it could be a good idea to go through the list of SWPA requirements because how something is done at The Dalles could be different for another project.

***Future Agenda Topic: Go through the Benefits of HPMP/PSPAs Document and update it once a year as a Group. Discuss the SWPA Requirements together as a Group.**

FY24 Meeting Schedule

Date	Meeting Name	Location
December 5-6, 2023	Winter CRSC Meeting	Seattle, WA
March 19-20, 2024	Spring CRSC Meeting	Walla Walla, WA
June 26-27, 2024	Summer CRSC Meeting	Spokane, WA
September 4-5, 2024	Fall CRSC Meeting	Boise, ID

Project Round Robin

Discuss FY24 annual plan & contract activities

WPKK: Kristen Tiede with CTUIR resigned in April and Teara Farrow Ferman has stepped back into her Program Manager position. NWP is staffed up. At John Day pool they are working with NWW to find out creative ways to get more patrols near Irrigon and Umatilla given the distance. NWP passed a no metal detector policy on Corps property and are working to educate the public on this policy. WPKK is trying to update its operating guidelines as they were last updated in 2009. They are trying to clean it up and capture best practices. The John Day HPMP is

kicking off. They are working through Bonneville non-federal lands cultural resources survey. They have had some great meetings with the Yakama Nation museum to discuss collection contracts for storage and re-housing. They will discuss treatment options for Mayer State Park in September. The Group is working with Washington State Parks to develop new interpretive panels to replace existing Lewis and Clark bicentennial panels at Columbia Hills State Park. NWP awarded a contract for Lidar near Umatilla and at the Irrigon Wildlife Management Area. The Group is also starting to work to relocate Wind Rock, currently at the Shiloh Inn in The Dalles, OR, to Corps land and closer to where it originated. Wind Rock was originally moved by the Corps for the construction of the Dalles Dam. All FY23 Contracts have been signed by the Tribes and Contracting signed by 9/1/2023.

Payos Kuus Cuukwe: There have been multiple annual site monitoring contracts in place for Payos Kuus Cuukwe. One of the biggest recent successes was 10NP131- the Commander agreeing to prohibit rock climbing within the Hells Gate Management Unit at the Lower Granite project, which was the goal of the Group as this area falls within an important TCP. Right now the Group is working on spreading the word about closure, possible doing public education at a student climbing center, and working on removal of climbing anchors at the site. They have put together a TPF for 45FR621 to put up “leave no trace” signs and plant Tule in the area. They are working with Confederated Tribe of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR) on a treatment for invasive species removal and planting within a TCP at McNary. There have been a ton of fires this year. They are looking to maybe coordinate with City of Richland on a series of sites in the Tri Cities area that is being heavily eroded away and tying it into a larger stabilization in a few years (45BN23). Scott thought the City of Richland’s presentation on their proposed stabilization options went well and he is hoping the Group will collaborate with them moving forward. They are trying to develop contracts for development of context statements pertaining to a rock feature multiple property nomination in the next year or two. Of the 200 rock feature sites within the NWW projects, around 100 are not covered under existing District Nominations. Tracy was curious about methods to communicate with the rock-climbing community because she will need to do something similar with the wind sport community.

Timiimap: They are fine tuning the 10CW118 TPF for next year. There are many impacts taking place at this cemetery. Ideas include hardening the road and removal of the invasive tree of heaven. The Group is trying to get creative with this TPF as the relationship between the current impacts to the undertaking of ongoing O&M is not immediately obvious, but they are related. The Group will be going out there for a site visit soon. The other TPF is Elk Creek Meadows, where people are driving down into the draw-down zone and possibly looting. They are focusing on signage and a public education aspect. They are also developing some witty and entertaining posts for the Dworshak Facebook page. There is an issue of very few sites having actual determinations of eligibility right now. NPT has had some internal conversations about this and whether a future archeological district or TCP district is appropriate. Next FY they are looking at mitigation at another bay area cluster of sites and are looking into the creation of a no-wake zone. The Group is considering inviting IDL and USFS to the upcoming site visits and/or Fall Workshop and the goal is to start building these relationships. There has been a lot of trespassing coming from forest roads. Leah added that 5 sites within the Reservoir have received recent concurrence and they are all on Tribal land.

Chief Joseph: The HPMP is undergoing final stages of review. There have been some contracting issues that should be resolved by the end of the FY. The Site Priority List needs to be updated and NWS is hoping Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation (CCT) can help with this effort. They are finalizing the TPF for 45DO278 which is a rock feature and spiritual site to the CCT. The goal is to do some scientific illustration and 3D imaging and use that to bring this now inaccessible site to the Tribal community in some way. 45DO193 is on Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) land and Kat Kelly from WDFW has joined the CG meetings. The CG is designing and preparing to place signs to warn recreationalists of federal and state regulations and help protect the site and stabilization structure. People have been re-arranging rock features, using them for props to hold fishing poles, and misplacing them. Celia and Jeremy received their first draft back for the Rufus Woods Lake Archeological District from CCT. They will do an annual contract with CCT to update and add sites to it. The USACE Build Environment TCX Group visited Chief Joseph Dam in August to conduct research and write a determination of eligibility for the dam.

Grand Coulee Mainstem: There have been issues for the Grand Coulee Dam NRHP nomination, but this can be discussed at a future time. The CCT’s FY24 contract is in and they are waiting for it to be awarded. At Skolaskins Church 45FE356/399 they will work on installing interpretive panels. Another is the Napoleon site 45ST82 where they are working on a phased approach to stabilization or alternative treatment. Another ongoing issue is cattle on 45DO378. There is no grazing permit in place and they are trying to figure out who owns the cattle. A call needs to

be made to law enforcement to get that sorted out and to cite the owners. They would like to pursue Archeological Resources Protection Act (ARPA) because of the damage caused by the cattle.

Grand Coulee Spokane Arm: There is interest to do some 3D imagery at 45SR22 Little Falls. Michelle may work with Celia on this since their Groups are doing something similar. Both Grand Coulee Mainstem and Spokane Arm are in the process of planning ARPA Enforcement Coordination meetings. For Columbia River System Operations (CRSO) litigation, things are going well- an agreement is in place. The agreement has been extended for 60 days and they are trying to extend it longer than that. Reclamation contracts with BPA and BPA then goes out and issues the contracts to the Tribe to do the work to support the Program. Sean says they want the Interagency Acquisition Agreement to be approved by 10/1/2023 and hopes it goes well.

Libby: Libby was able to meet the PI in terms of having LFAs sign the TPFs. Nate is working on consultation letters and those should be going out to Montana SHPO. One TPF is 24LN388 which is a feasibility study for the site to see if it can be stabilized. The other TPF is 24LN1054 which is part of a larger complex site (30+sites). Kelly did not think this would be pushed through this FY because it was not reviewed by CSKT. However, Nate was able to update her that Kevin A. recently provided some feedback on this TPF. This TPF will be similar to the one at 24LN530 and there was interest in organizing a Culture Camp. The Culture Camp will be open to all ages with Tribal Elders on site to help perpetuate their culture into future generations. Previously, because of COVID-19, they were not able to make further plans on this but now people are more comfortable with gathering and this will be put into the planning phase. Meghan Mulholland is the new Kootenai National Forest (KNF) Heritage Program Manager and she had previously worked at the Flathead National Forest (FNF) with Ryan Powell. They are excited to have her on board. One of the task orders CSKT has is to assist with monitoring. Kelly will connect with Nate and follow up regarding any upcoming site visits. KNF received annual funding and NWS has been working on a process to streamline that so hopefully it won't be an issue moving forward. Nate is working on getting a new contract in place with CSKT. Derek does not know of any issues with that contract and things are moving along. Regarding participation grants, Kelly said they are working on getting the budget to Kevin A. and Mike.

Albeni Falls: The TPF for 10BR5 is to install 9 "no camping" signs to cut down on trash and debris being left at the site. The CSKT have been monitoring the site during the 2023 recreational season, and they have reported no further damage. Monitoring is ongoing. There is an additional camping area on the USACE and Idaho Panhandle National Forest (IPNF) boundary and they would like to install more signs there to prevent future damage. Off road vehicles keep driving in the draw down area of Denton Slough, which is important to the Tribes. The goal is to install a barrier to prevent future vehicles from driving down, but there is a disagreement on what type of barrier is more effective – buck and pole fencing vs. perimeter rock. Sarah will be meeting with Taylor Johnson and Idaho Fish and Game (IDFG). They are looking to update Determination of Eligibility (DOE) for multiple sites and re-examine the Priority Site list. For non-federal land surveys, the Real Estate team has fallen behind on this. They will be touching base with Real Estate next FY to send out the post cards. There is one final contract they are trying to award with the Coeur d'Alene (CDA), but it will be pushed out to FY24. No other contracts are anticipated in FY24. For the ones currently in place, they will be renewed for Option Years. NWS is also working on Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) for Kalispel to award in FY25. The Albeni Falls Dam (AFD) Archaeological Collection has been stored at NWS for the last several months, and it will finally be transferred to the WSU repository September 14. Several Tribal members showed an interest in being present when the collection enters the new facility. Architectural Historians at NWS are working on updating DOEs at most of the operating projects. Historic American Building Survey/ Historic America Engineering Record (HABS/HAER) photos were taken in July 2023.

Hungry Horse: Contracting docs will hopefully be awarded by 10/1/2023. The Kalispell and Polson area have been hit pretty hard with wildfires. They had to close down access at the Hungry Horse reservoir. Not much happened in the field. They were out there in the spring and observed a lot of recreation at 24FH220. They have been working with Natural Resources and someone shared there was a large community gathering in the drawdown at 24FH220. Things have been escalating at this site and they need to partner with the Forest Service (FS) to address these issues. A FS employee identified a diagnostic biface and they re-buried it and the CSKT and Forest Archeologist were not notified. There is a clear disconnect with how things are being managed so they are working out a communication plan with the Forest Service and CSKT. They are moving forward with the ethnobotanical surveying. It is being finalized and still in the draft form but many people have been looking forward to this. People are excited to get the Elders out on site to learn more about the area. They will hopefully have a TCP for the same site at the end of the month. A lot of contract deliverables are coming in the next few weeks. They are assessing the impacts of fires on many of the sites- Spotted Bear being one they were worried was at risk. They have had

some conversations about curation facilities and Jenna is glad things seem to be progressing with CSKT.

Action Item Review

Updates made in red.

<i>New Action Items from September 2023 Meeting</i>	
Item	Status
BPA Project Managers to update FCRPS Geodatabase with consensus determinations + DOE of the keeper+ listing of the NR of eligibility since CRSO Effort. Due date: September 2024	New
Derek to tell Sean where Seattle District is going to post the FAQ Page for inventory. Due date: When it is posted.	New
Sean to submit FY24 PI's to JOC Performance Committee. Due date: September 30, 2023	New
Mike and Anna to follow up with Kelly and Sarah+ Jacques to make sure to ask about the status for the Albeni Falls Tribal Caucus. Due date: September 15, 2023	New
Celia will develop a revised 'bare minimum' FCRPS TCP Inventory Form for the 3Ps to review and for discussion at the next CRSC meeting. Due date: November 21, 2023	New
Sean to email Anna the SOR Tribal Consultations Doc from 1996 so Anna can add to the Benefits of HPMP/PSPAs document. Due date: September 30, 2023	New
Celia to draft the TCP form for 3Ps for initial review then the Group will review it together at the December CRSC meeting. Due date: December 5, 2023	New

<i>Ongoing Action Items from Previous Meetings</i>	
Item	Status
Mike/Sean/Anna—3Ps to set up meeting with DAHP/Morgan once new BPA Ps in place to discuss WISAARD forms/submission process/data management.	Completed
Jeremy and Celia to meet with CCT (February 2023) to discuss status of national register sites that will no longer be included in the Rufus Woods Arch District. Celia and Jeremy talked to CCT during a CG Meeting. They tentatively agreed to the Chief Joseph Project APE being the boundary. They will have a technical meeting about this. Please include Sean in this meeting.	Ongoing

Ongoing Action Items from Previous Meetings	
Mike will send out Program Survey (will use FCRPS distribution lists and reach out to individual PMs for contacts, as needed) Mike will strategize with Anna.	Completed
Mike to coordinate with MT SHPO for meeting space in Helena for Sept 2023 CRSC Mtg. Helena, MT is no longer an option for the Sept 2023 CRSC Mtg. Mike will look into planning the mtg. at/ around Bonneville Dam.	Completed
The Group will get back comments to Anna on the "Benefits of PSPA" document. Due date: 6/30/23	Completed
Mike to send out revised draft for PI's and send out to Group. Due date: 6/23/23.	Completed
Anna to reach out to Rob Whitlam or Morgan at DAHP regarding the site form amendment issue. 9/7/23 Update: Anna has a meeting with DAHP.	Completed