



## Energy Efficiency Post-2011 Phase 2

### Workgroup 2 Meeting 8

Wednesday, October 27, 2010

1:00pm to 4:00pm  
Conference Call Only

#### Overview

- Workgroup reviewed the 10/22 version of the workgroup's recommendations.

#### Decision/Action Items

- A final draft version of the recommendations will be sent out to the group by Friday October 29<sup>th</sup>, and all participants are encouraged to review the document and provide final comments/edits back to Matt Tidwell by Friday, November 5<sup>th</sup>.

#### Meeting Notes<sup>1</sup>

##### *Facilitators:*

Eugene Rosolie  
Boyd Wilson

##### *BPA Participants:*

Josh Warner

1. Framing small rural residential. Looking at the Oct. 22 version.
2. Some Utilities do not see red-line version, so we sent it out right away to everyone on the call.
3. Excluded Grant PUD, Federal Customers, DSIs, Energy NW from definition.
4. PNGC is added.
5. Definitions were then accepted.
6. Small threshold added by NRU (link shown as source of info.)
7. Rural and Residential threshold discussed.
8. Admin payment (like we have today, do not need to report KWH to receive payment. Records are kept and audited) versus the performance payment which is paid on kWh, invoiced today at 20 cents/KWH, the performance payment (example 2 cents) would be added to the incentive.
9. Utilities would select what path (admin or performance payment) at the beginning of the rate period. Admin payments are for Utilities that are 10 aMW or less. If greater than 10, only performance payments would be made.
10. The administrative maximum is \$60,000 per rate period of two years (not annual) and it is the cap.

---

<sup>1</sup> Due to privacy concerns, only BPA staff and workgroup co-chairs are listed in these meeting notes.

11. If you are SRR and if 10 aMW or smaller, then the Utility has a choice of admin or performance payment, up to 30%. There is no dollar cap on the performance payment, just the 30%.
12. This is an attempt to reimburse the Utilities for activities tied to KWH, with no records of dollars spent to be required.
13. Admin and performance payments come out of EEI.
14. Combine #4 (“Administrative and performance payments can be used to pay more for measures, i.e. a payment to an end-user more than BPA’s willingness to pay reimbursement.” Is combined into the prior sentence (#3).
15. In #11, this keeps the incentive in place for an SRR to join a pool. This is an incentive to join a pool.
16. We will add the table to what is sent out for final review.
17. Estimated cost of the proposal, there were no questions from the participants.
18. Need language for the importance of SRR.
19. Additional proposal to help SRR customers acquire conservation (see bullet points) no changes heard from the participants.
20. There were no final remarks from the participants or suggested changes, but they did express satisfaction with the process and outcome.
21. All participating Utilities were thanked for their help with SRR, WG#2.  
Appreciation was also expressed to Matt Tidwell for his assistance in this process.
22. Final draft with redline will come out shortly for final review.
23. Final review will be due back November 5<sup>th</sup>.
24. Opportunity at the Nov 17<sup>th</sup> meeting to present and frame the issues as appropriate in the recommendation.