

**WORK SESSION DRAFT
JULY 26, 2001 TARIFF INTEGRATION GROUP MEETING**

13. Dispute Resolution

13.1 Applicability.

13.1.1 **General Applicability.** The provisions of this Section 13 shall apply to all disputes that arise under RTO West Tariff, except as limited by law (including the rights of any party to file a complaint with FERC under the relevant provisions of the FPA). In addition, this Section 13 shall not apply to: *[NTD – we need to think about whether we have the scope of this section gauged right (excluding what we don't want covered, like tort claims, but including what we intend to include)]*

(a) disputes arising under Non-Converted Transmission Agreements, except as the disputing parties may otherwise agree;

(b) disputes as to whether the rates, charges, terms, or conditions of the RTO West Tariff are just and reasonable under the FPA, which shall be presented directly to FERC for resolution, except as the disputing parties may otherwise agree; and

(c) applications, petitions, or complaints seeking changes to the rates, charges, terms, or conditions of the RTO West Tariff or changes to any Service Agreement entered into under the RTO West Tariff, which shall be presented directly to FERC for resolution; and

(d) disputes that the Parties agree to resolve through means other than under RTO West Tariff Section 13, including presenting the matter directly to FERC for resolution.

[NTD: Need to add provisions that define who are Parties with rights to make elections provided for under RTO West Tariff Section 13.]

13.1.2 **Injunctive and Declaratory Relief.** Where the court having jurisdiction so determines, use of the procedures under RTO West Tariff Section 13 shall not be a condition precedent to a court action for injunctive or declaratory relief. *[NTD: With respect to sovereign immunity claims, our thinking is that any waiver as it relates to dispute resolution would be addressed in the TOA; governmental agencies that are not PTOs, but only Transmission Customers do not have to waive sovereign immunity as a condition of transmission service. We are also thinking an alternative approach might be to add carve-out language to RTO West Tariff Section 13.]*

13.2 Initiation of Arbitration.

[NTD: We have not yet figured out whether there should be a fast-track ADR procedure and to what issues it should apply..]

13.2.1 Demand for Arbitration. An Eligible Customer or RTO West may initiate arbitration by providing notice of its demand for arbitration to the other disputing Parties and the RTO West ADR Committee, which shall publish notice of the demand on the RTO West Website and by any other method the RTO West ADR Committee specifies.

[NTD: We need to make sure our definition of Transmission Customer is broad enough to cover any party who should be subject to or able to participate in ADR under the RTO West (also check for consistency with TOA.)]

[NTD: We envision that the “RTO West ADR Committee” would be balanced and consist of representatives of the various stakeholder groups and of RTO West – constituted either under the RTO West Bylaws or some other appropriate document.]

13.2.2 Statement of Claim. Absent an agreement, RTO West or Transmission Customer(s) shall, within 15 days after delivering an arbitration demand under Section 13.2.1, submit a statement of claim, in writing, to each other disputing Party and the RTO West ADR Committee which submission shall initiate the provisions of RTO West Tariff Section 13. The Parties may agree to delay the commencement of arbitration to attempt to resolve their dispute through good-faith negotiations or mediation or for any other reason. The statement of claim shall set forth in reasonable detail (i) each claim, (ii) the relief sought (iii) a summary of the grounds for such relief and the basis for each claim, and (iv) the Parties to the dispute. Each other Party to the dispute shall submit its response (including identification of any additional necessary Parties) within 15 days following receipt of the initial statement of claim or such longer period as the RTO West ADR Committee may permit following an application by the responding Party. If any responding Party wishes to submit a counterclaim with its response to the initial statement of claim, the responding Party shall include the counterclaim in its response. Where a responding Party includes a counterclaim the initiating Party may respond to that counterclaim within 15 days following receipt of the counterclaim. RTO West shall publish a summary of the statements of claim, responses, and any counterclaims on the RTO West Website and by any other method the RTO West ADR Committee specifies.

13.3 Arbitration Process.

13.3.1 **Selection of Arbitrator.**

13.3.1.1 Selection of a Single Arbitrator. Within 10 calendar days following submission of responding parties’ responses and counterclaims, the Parties shall select an arbitrator familiar with and knowledgeable about electric utility matters, including electric transmission and bulk power issues and related regulatory requirements. If the Parties cannot agree upon an arbitrator, or do not agree on a means of selecting an arbitrator that differs from that set forth in this Section 13.3.1, the Parties shall take turns striking names from a list of 10

qualified individuals supplied by the RTO West Arbitration Committee from its standing list, with a Party chosen by lot first striking a name. The last remaining name not stricken shall be designated as the arbitrator. If that individual is unable or unwilling to serve (or, if a Party objects to the arbitrator serving on the basis of a disclosure under Section 13.3.2.1 within five days of that disclosure), the individual last stricken from the list shall be designated and the process repeated until an individual is selected who is able and willing to serve.

13.3.1.2 *Party Option to Obtain Three Arbitrator Panel.* As an alternative to selecting one arbitrator under Section 13.3.1.1, any Party may elect to constitute a three-member arbitration panel, and the other Party or Parties shall cooperate in the selection of the panel, subject to the following conditions:

(a) Any Party or Parties so electing shall be responsible for five-sixths (5/6) of the costs of the arbitration (but not including the disputing Parties' costs associated with attorney and witness fees and expenses), regardless of the outcome of the arbitration, unless the disputing Parties agree to an alternate method of allocating costs. The remaining one-six (1/6) of the costs shall be allocated to the Party (or allocated in equal parts among the Parties) that did not participate in the election to use a three arbitrator panel.

(b) Each arbitrator shall be subject to the requirements of Section 13.3.2.

(c) Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, the three arbitrators shall be selected in the following manner: (i) the RTO West Arbitration Committee shall provide to the Parties a list of 15 qualified individuals from its standing list; (ii) the Parties shall take turns striking names from the list, with a Party chosen by lot first striking a name; and (iii) the three remaining names not stricken shall constitute the arbitration panel.

(d) The arbitration panel shall decide all matters by majority vote.

(e) All other procedures, rights and obligations set out in this Section 13 shall apply to the arbitration, and all references to the "arbitrator" also shall be deemed a reference to the three-member arbitration panel so chosen.

13.3.2 **Disclosures Required of Arbitrators.**

13.3.2.1 *Initial Disclosure Obligation.* The designated arbitrator(s) shall be required to disclose to the Parties any direct financial or personal interest in the outcome of the arbitration. The designated arbitrator shall be required to disclose any additional interests, relationships, facts, or circumstances including, without limitation:

(a) any existing or past financial, business, or professional interest or employment;

(b) any relationship he or she has with any party or its counsel, or with any individual whom they have been told will be a witness; and

(c) any family or personal relationship

where they are likely to affect the arbitrator's impartiality or might reasonably create an appearance of bias. All designated arbitrators shall make a reasonable effort to inform themselves of any interests or relationships described above.

13.3.2.2 *Ongoing Disclosure Obligations.* The obligation to make disclosure in accordance with Section 13.3.2.1 is a continuing duty. If, after initiation of an arbitration under RTO West Tariff Section 13, the arbitrator must make a disclosure in accordance with Section 13.3.2.1, the arbitrator shall, at the time of disclosure, determine whether the information disclosed is grounds to disqualify the arbitrator from continuing with the arbitration. If the arbitrator determines that he or she is disqualified from continuing with the arbitration, the Parties shall select a new arbitrator in accordance with Section 13.3.1.

13.3.3 **Arbitration Procedures.** The arbitration shall be conducted in accordance with the Arbitration Procedural Rules. *[NTD: The Arbitration Procedural Rules will be based on AAA Commercial Rules of Arbitration and provided in initial form to the ADR Committee; the definition will also recognize that the ADR Committee can modify these rules from time to time.]* The Arbitration Procedural Rules shall conform to the requirements specified in RTO West Tariff Section 13, and in any case where there is a conflict RTO West Tariff Section 13 will govern. Except as otherwise provided in RTO West Tariff Section 13, all participants in the arbitration shall be bound by such procedures. *[NTD: Clear delineation of intervention rights is very important]*

13.3.4 **Modification of Arbitration Procedures.** The Parties may, by agreement of all the Parties, modify the application of the Arbitration Procedural Rules for purposes of their specific dispute. Except where the terms of RTO West Tariff Section 13 specifically provide otherwise, the Parties may not modify agree to modify any of the provisions set forth in RTO West Tariff Section 13.

13.3.5 **Rights Relating to Intervention**

[NTD: We need a defined term for the procedural rules adopted by the RTO West ADR Committee; also we need to make clear that Parties cannot agree to modify the requirements of the tariff ADR Procedures]

13.3.5.1 *Time for Intervention.* Any Eligible Customer not named as a Party in a statement of claim initiating an arbitration as provided in section 13.2.2 or in a counterclaim submitted in response thereto may apply to intervene in the arbitration as a Party or Participant within 15 days from publication of the responsive statement of claim. Late intervention may be permitted in the arbitrator's discretion for good cause shown subject to the condition that a late-intervening Party or Participant must (i) accept the record as established prior to the intervention, including without limitation any procedural or substantive rulings or

orders, (ii) proceed in accordance with any established schedule, (iii) pay a share of the costs of arbitration as determined by the arbitrator taking into account, among other things, the differing procedural rights of Parties and Participants and the stage of the arbitration when intervention is sought, and (iv) must not cause a conflict of interest for the arbitrator objectionable to any existing Party.

[NTD: When Tariff definitions are fixed, we should revisit whether “Eligible Customer” is the correct universe of possible intervenors. “Market Participants”? “Persons eligible to be Members”?]

13.3.5.2 *Application and Order.* An application to intervene shall be served on the Parties and the RTO West ADR Committee. Any such application shall state whether intervention is sought as a Party or a Participant and shall demonstrate the applicant’s satisfaction of the criteria stated in Section 13.3.5.4 below. Any objection by a Party to the intervention request must be filed with the arbitrator(s), if an arbitrator has been selected, and served upon the applicant, the Parties and the RTO West ADR Committee, within 10 days of service of the application. Promptly upon selection of the arbitrator pursuant to Section 13.3.1, the arbitrator will issue an order determining whether, based upon the criteria established by Section 13.3.5.4 below, any Eligible Customer that has timely filed an application pursuant to Section 13.3.5.1 may intervene in the Arbitration as a Party or a Participant.

[NTD: What is a timely applicant’s right, if any, to participate in selection of an arbitrator or to “conflict out” an arbitrator selected by the original Parties ?]

13.3.5.3 *Intervenor Status and Rights of an Intervening Party.* The arbitrator’s order responding to an application to intervene shall, upon the requisite showing as established by Section 13.3.5.4 below, grant the Eligible Customer Party status in the arbitration with full rights and responsibilities in relation to all other Parties, provided that the arbitrator may condition the Eligible Customer’s Party status as necessary to assure that the rights of other Parties are not unduly prejudiced or that the arbitration is not unduly delayed. Alternatively, the arbitrator’s order responding to an application to intervene may give the Eligible Customer Participant status in the arbitration, upon the requisite showing as established by Section 13.3.5.4 below. If intervention is granted to an Eligible Customer as a Participant only, the arbitrator’s order shall contain any limitations on participation additional to those stated in Section 13.3.5.5 below. The Arbitrator shall deny all other applications for intervention.

13.3.5.4 *Requisite Showing.* Subject to the provisions of Sections 13.3.5.1 and 13.3.5.3, (A) an Eligible Customer shall be permitted to intervene as a Party upon a showing (i) that the Eligible Customer has an interest relating to the property or the transaction which is the subject of the arbitration, (ii) that the Eligible Customer is so situated that the disposition of the matter subject to arbitration may as a practical matter impair or impede the Eligible Customer’s ability to protect that interest, and (iii) that no existing Party adequately represents the Eligible Customer’s interest; and (B) an Eligible Customer that does not satisfy the requirements of subsection (A) above shall be permitted to intervene as a Participant upon a showing that it is an Eligible Customer with a commercial or other material interest in the issues raised or outcome of the proceeding.

13.3.5.5 *Participants' Rights.* Subject to further limitations imposed by the arbitrator as may be required to assure that the rights of Parties or other Participants are not unduly prejudiced or that the arbitration is not unduly delayed, and except as provided in Section 13.3.5.6 below, Participants' rights to participate in the arbitration shall be only as specified in this Section 13.3.5.5. Participants:

- (a) shall be timely served by the Parties with copies of all pleadings;
- (b) shall have the right to file written post-hearing comments; and
- (c) may attend hearings conducted by the arbitrator, but shall not otherwise participate in the hearings except as provided in Section 13.3.5.6 below.

Participants' comments may include argument, exhibits or other attachments, and items incorporated by reference, subject to such reasonable limitations as the arbitrator may impose to prevent undue burden or delay. Participants must serve their comments upon each Party according to a procedural schedule to be established by the arbitrator. Participants' comments may be considered by the arbitrator in reaching decision on any issue; provided, however, that excepting only such items as may be subject to official notice, comments shall not constitute evidence in the proceeding.

13.3.5.6 *Additional Participants' Rights.* For good cause shown, the arbitrator may provide one or more of the Participants, or designated groups of Participants with common interests, opportunity to file expert testimony on one or more specifically-identified discrete issues and permit limited cross examination of one or more witnesses on such issue(s), submit briefs on such issue(s) and/or participate in oral argument on such issue(s). Any such expert testimony or testimony obtained by cross examination shall be treated as evidence on the same basis as the Parties' testimony. As used in this Section 13.3.5.6, "good cause" means that

- (a) the Participant(s) has made a showing that its interests are likely to be adversely affected by the resolution of an issue in a manner advocated by a Party;
- (b) no Party's interest is reasonably aligned with the Participant(s) interest;
- (c) the Participant(s) will present evidence or argument helpful to the determination of an issue;
- (d) the Participant(s) agrees to pay an increased share of the arbitration costs as mutually agreed by the Participant(s) and Parties or as determined by the arbitrator at the conclusion of the arbitration; and
- (e) the Participant(s)' additional participation will not expand the scope of or unduly delay the proceedings.

13.3.6 Timetable. Promptly after the appointment of the arbitrator, the arbitrator shall set a date for the issuance of the arbitration decision, which shall be no later than six months (or such date as the parties and the arbitrator may agree) from the date of the appointment of the arbitrator, with other dates, including the dates for an evidentiary hearing or other final submissions of evidence, set in light of this date. The date for the evidentiary hearing or other final submissions of evidence shall not be changed absent extraordinary circumstances. The arbitrator shall have the power to impose sanctions, including dismissal of the proceeding, for dilatory tactics or undue delay in completing the arbitration proceedings.

13.3.7 Discovery Procedures. The Arbitration Procedural Rules shall include adequate provision for the discovery of relevant facts, including the taking of testimony under oath, production of documents and other things, the presentation of evidence, the taking of samples, conducting of tests, and inspection of land and tangible items. The nature and extent of such discovery shall be determined as provided in RTO West Tariff Section 13 and shall take into account (i) the complexity of the dispute, (ii) the extent to which facts are disputed, (iii) the extent to which the credibility of witnesses is relevant to a resolution, and (iv) the amount in controversy. The forms and methods for taking such discovery shall be as described in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, except as modified pursuant to Section 13.3.4.

13.3.8 Confidentiality. Subject to the other provisions of the RTO West Tariff, any party may claim that information contained in a document otherwise subject to discovery is confidential if such information would be so characterized under the Federal Rules of Evidence. The party claiming confidentiality shall provide to the arbitrator in writing the basis for its assertion. If the arbitrator confirms the claim of confidentiality, he or she shall establish requirements for the protection of such documents or other information designated as confidential as may be reasonable and necessary to protect the confidentiality and commercial value of such information. Any party disclosing information in violation of these provisions or requirements established by the arbitrator, unless such disclosure is required by federal or state law or by a court order, shall thereby waive any right to introduce or otherwise use such information in any judicial, regulatory, or other legal or dispute resolution proceeding, including the proceeding in which the information was obtained.

13.3.9 Summary Disposition. The Arbitration Procedural Rules shall provide a means for summary disposition of a demand for arbitration (or a response to a demand for arbitration). The arbitrator shall grant summary disposition if the demand or response does not have a reasonable basis in either law or fact. Any grant of summary disposition under this Section 13.3.9 is subject to appeal pursuant to Section 13.4.

13.3.10 Evidentiary Hearing. The Arbitration Procedural Rules shall provide for an evidentiary hearing, with provision for the cross-examination of witnesses, unless all parties consent to the resolution of the matter on the basis of a written record. The forms and methods for taking evidence shall be as determined by the arbitrator(s) and modified pursuant to Section 13.3.4. The arbitrator may require such written or other submissions from the parties as he or she may deem appropriate, including submission of direct and rebuttal testimony of witnesses in written form. The arbitrator may exclude any evidence that is irrelevant, immaterial, unduly repetitious or prejudicial, or privileged. The arbitrator shall compile a

complete evidentiary record of the arbitration, which, upon completion, shall be available to any disputing party at that party's request.

13.3.11 **Award.**

13.3.11.1 *Award Based on Arbitrator's Decision.* Except as provided below with respect to "baseball" style arbitration, the arbitrator shall issue a written explanation of the basis for awarding the relief requested by one of the Parties, or such other remedy as is appropriate, if any, and shall include findings of fact and law. The arbitration award shall be based on (i) the evidence in the record, (ii) the terms of the RTO West Tariff, (iii) applicable United States federal law, regulations, treaties, and agreements, and any relevant FERC decisions or then-applicable FERC standards or policies, (iv) other applicable law, and (v) consideration of relevant awards in previous arbitration proceedings decided under this Section 13.3.11.1. The arbitrator may, but need not, treat previous awards under this Section 13.3.11.1 as determinative. A summary of the disputed matter and the arbitrator's award shall be published on the RTO West Website and by any other method the RTO West ADR Committee specifies. The RTO West Office of General Counsel shall maintain a copy of the complete award and written explanation.

13.3.11.2 *Award Based on "Baseball" Style Arbitration.* In arbitration conducted "baseball" style, the arbitrator shall issue a written explanation of the basis for adopting one of the awards proposed by the parties. The arbitrator's choice among the proposed awards shall be based on (i) the evidence in the record, (ii) the terms of the RTO West Tariff, (iii) applicable United States federal law, regulations, treaties, and agreements and any relevant FERC decisions or then-applicable FERC standards and policies, (iv) other applicable law, and (v) consideration of relevant awards in previous arbitration proceedings decided under Section 13.3.11.1. If the arbitrator concludes proposed award is consistent with the factors enumerated in (i) through (v) above, or addresses all of the issues in dispute, the arbitrator shall specify how each proposed award is deficient and direct that the parties submit new proposed awards that cure the identified deficiencies. A summary of the disputed matter and the arbitrator's award shall be published on the RTO West Website and by any other method the RTO West ADR Committee specifies. An award under this Section 13.3.11.2 shall not be deemed to be precedential.

13.3.11.3 Where a panel of arbitrators is appointed pursuant to Section 13.3.1.2, a majority of the arbitrators must agree on the decision.

13.3.12 **Remedies.**

13.3.12.1 *Arbitrator's Discretion.* The arbitrator shall have discretion to grant the relief sought by a Party, or determine such other remedy as is appropriate, unless the Parties agree to conduct the arbitration "baseball" style. Unless otherwise expressly limited by RTO West Tariff Section 13, the arbitrator shall have the authority to award any remedy or relief available from FERC or any court of competent jurisdiction. Where the RTO West Tariff leaves any matter to be agreed between the Parties at some future time and provides that in the absence of agreement the matter shall be resolved in accordance with RTO West Tariff Section 13, the arbitrator shall have authority to decide upon the terms of the agreement that, in the arbitrator's

opinion, it is reasonable that the Parties should reach, having regard to the other terms of the RTO West Tariff and the arbitrator's opinion as to what is fair and reasonable in all the circumstances.

13.3.12.2 *“Baseball” Arbitration.* If the parties agree to conduct the arbitration “baseball” style, the Parties shall submit to the arbitrator and exchange with each other their last best offers in the form of the award they consider the arbitrator should make, not less than seven days in advance of the date fixed for the hearing, or such other date as the arbitrator may decide. If a Party fails to submit its last best offer in accordance with this Section 13.3.12.2, that Party shall be deemed to have accepted the offer proposed by the other Party. The arbitrator shall be limited to awarding only one of the proposed offers and may not determine an alternative or compromise remedy.

13.3.13 **Costs.** Except as otherwise provided in Section 13.3.1.2, the costs of the time, expenses, and other charges of the arbitrator shall be borne by the parties to the dispute, with each Party on an arbitrated issue bearing its pro-rata share of such costs, and each Party to an arbitration proceeding bearing its own costs and fees. If the arbitrator determines that a demand for arbitration or response to a demand for arbitration was made in bad faith, the arbitrator shall have discretion to award the costs of the time, expenses, and other charges of the arbitrator to the prevailing Party. Notwithstanding the foregoing, at the discretion of the arbitrator, the prevailing Party in any dispute that has resulted in the enforcement of an important right affecting the public interest shall not be required to pay any of the costs of the arbitrator and may recover such of its own reasonable attorney fees, expert witness fees and other reasonable costs from the non-prevailing Party to the dispute if (i) a significant benefit, whether pecuniary or non-pecuniary, has been conferred on the general public, (ii) the necessity and financial burden of private enforcement are such as to make the award appropriate, and (iii) such fees should not, the interest of justice, be paid out of the recovery. *[NTD – We need to add sharing of costs by Participants.]*

13.3.14 **Compliance.** Unless the arbitrator's decision is appealed under Section 13.4, the disputing Parties shall, upon receipt of the decision, immediately take whatever action is required to comply with the award to the extent the award does not require regulatory action. An award that is not appealed shall be deemed to have the same force and effect as an order entered by FERC or any court of competent jurisdiction.

13.3.15 **Enforcement.** Following the expiration of the time for appeal of an award pursuant to Section 13.4.3, any party may apply to FERC or any court of competent jurisdiction for entry and enforcement of judgment based on the award.

13.4 Appeal of Award.

13.4.1 **Basis for Appeal.** A Party may apply to FERC to hear an appeal of an arbitration award upon the grounds that: (i) the arbitrator's decision is contrary to applicable law or regulation (including without limitation the FPA or FERC's then-applicable standards or policies); (ii) the arbitrator's decision is arbitrary and capricious; (iii) the arbitrator failed to afford one or more Parties to the dispute an opportunity for a fair and meaningful hearing;

(iv) the arbitrator engaged in material misconduct in connection with the arbitration; (v) the arbitrator exceeded the authority conferred upon him or her under RTO West Tariff Section 13 or as otherwise established by agreement of all the Parties; or (vi) the arbitrator's decision is contrary to the provisions of Section 13.3.11. Where there is concurrent jurisdiction between a court of competent jurisdiction and FERC, the Party shall appeal to FERC. Where FERC does not have jurisdiction, a Party may appeal to a court of competent jurisdiction on any grounds provided by law.

13.4.2 Appellate Record. The parties intend that FERC or the court of competent jurisdiction should afford substantial deference to the factual findings of the arbitrator. No party shall seek to expand the record before FERC or the court of competent jurisdiction beyond that assembled by the arbitrator, except (i) by making reference to legal authority that did not exist at the time of the arbitrator's decision, or (ii) if such party contends the decision was based upon or affect by fraud, collusion, corruption, misconduct or misrepresentation.

13.4.3 Procedures for Appeals.

13.4.3.1 [*Need to add title here?*] If a Party to an arbitration desires to appeal an award, it shall provide notice of appeal to the RTO West Board of Directors, all Parties, and the arbitrator within 14 days following the date of the award. The appealing Party must likewise provide notice to the RTO West ADR Committee, which shall publish notice of the appeal on the RTO West Website and by other method the RTO West ADR Committee specifies. Within 10 days of the filing of the notice of appeal, the appealing Party must file an appropriate application, petition or motion with FERC to trigger review under the FPA or with a court of competent jurisdiction. Such filing shall state that the subject matter has been the subject of an arbitration under RTO West Tariff Section 13.

13.4.3.2 [*Need to add title here?*] Within 30 days of filing the notice of appeal (or such period as FERC or the court of competent jurisdiction may specify) the appellant shall file the complete evidentiary record of the arbitration and a copy of the award with FERC or with the court of competent jurisdiction. The appellant shall serve copies of a description of all materials included in the submitted evidentiary record.

13.4.4 Award Implementation. Implementation of the award shall be deemed stayed pending an appeal unless and until, at the request of a party, FERC or the court of competent jurisdiction to which an appeal has been filed issues an order dissolving, shortening, or extending such stay. RTO West shall publish a summary of each appeal on the RTO West Website and by other method the RTO West ADR Committee specifies.

13.4.5 Judicial Review of FERC Orders. FERC orders resulting from appeals shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to the FPA.

13.5 Allocation of Awards Payable by or to RTO West.

13.5.1 **Allocation of an Award.** If RTO West must pay an award to a party pursuant to good faith negotiations or RTO West Tariff Section 13, RTO West will recover the amount of the award from Transmission Customers and Scheduling Coordinators in accordance with the provisions of Sections 13.5.2 and 13.5.3 below. [**NOTE – EVERYONE MAY WANT TO LOOK CLOSELY AT THIS PROVISION**] If RTO West receives an award from a party pursuant to good faith negotiations or RTO West Tariff Section 13, RTO West will distributed the amount of the award back to Transmission Customers and Scheduling Coordinators in accordance with the provisions of Sections 13.5.2 and 13.5.3 below.

13.5.2 **Timing of Adjustments.** Upon determination that an award is payable to RTO West pursuant to good faith negotiations or RTO West Tariff Section 13, RTO West shall calculate the amounts payable to and receivable from the party, Transmission Customers, and Scheduling Coordinators, as soon as reasonably practical, and shall show any required adjustments as a debit or a credit in a subsequent Preliminary Settlement Statement.

13.5.3 **Method of Allocation.**

13.5.3.1 *Allocation to Transmission Customers.* RTO West will use best efforts to determine which Transmission Customer(s) is or are responsible for and/or benefit from payment of an award by or to RTO West and to allocated the receipt of or payment for the award equitably to such Transmission Customer(s). In undertaking the allocation, the ISO shall consider the extent of a Transmission Customer's participation in the affected markets and the RTO West Tariff in effect on the applicable trading day(s), any may consider any other relevant factor, including but not limited to, applicable contracts.

13.5.3.2 *Residual Amounts.* Any awards for which RTO West is unable to identify Transmission Customers in accordance with Section 13.5.3.1 and any award amounts that RTO West is unable to collect that are not covered by Section 11.16.1 shall be allocated to all Scheduling Coordinators through Neutrality Adjustments. [**NOTE – EVERYONE MAY WANT TO LOOK CLOSELY AT THIS PROVISION**]