

FINAL REPORT

WP-07 Supplemental Wholesale Power Rate Adjustment Proceeding:
FY 2009 AVERAGE SYSTEM COST REPORT
FOR

AVISTA UTILITIES

Docket Number: AV-PB-08-01
Effective Date: October 1, 2008

PREPARED BY
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

September 11, 2008

This page intentionally left blank.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
I. FILING DATA	1
II. AVERAGE SYSTEM COST: DETERMINATIONS	1
A. Base Period 2006	1
B. FY 2009 (Exchange Period) ASC without New Resource Additions (\$/MWh)	2
C. FY 2009 (Exchange Period) ASC with New Resource Additions (\$/MWh)	2
III. FILING REQUIREMENTS.....	2
A. Introduction.....	2
B. ASC Determination Process Guidelines and Expedited Review Process.....	3
C. Explanation of Schedules.....	4
1. Schedule 1 – Plant Investment/Rate Base.....	4
2. Schedule 1A – Cash Working Capital	5
3. Schedule 2 – Capital Structure and Rate of Return	5
4. Schedule 3 – Expenses.....	5
5. Schedule 3A – Taxes	5
6. Schedule 3B – Other Included Items	6
7. Schedule 4 – Average System Cost (\$/MWh).....	6
8. Distribution of Salaries and Wages.....	6
9. Purchased Power and Sales for Resale	6
10. New Large Single Load	6
11. Labor Ratios.....	7
D. ASC Forecast	7
1. Forecast Contract System Cost	7
2. Forecast of Sales for Resale and Power Purchases.....	7
3. Forecast Contract System Load and Exchange Load	7
4. Major Resource Additions	8
5. Load Growth Not Met by New Resource Additions	8
IV. REVIEW OF THE ASC FILING AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS.....	8
A. Identification and Analysis of Issues from the May 7, 2008, ASC Appendix 1 Filing	8
B. Identification and Analysis of Issues based on Comments to the July 8, Draft ASC Report	14
C. Identification and Analysis of Issues based on Comments to the August 4, 2008 Revised DRAFT ASC Report	16
D. Exchange Period ASC New Resource Additions	18
E. Response to Exchange Period ASC New Resource Additions	20
V. FINAL EXPEDITED ASC FORECAST for FY 2009-2013	21
VI. BPA STATEMENT	24

This page intentionally left blank.

I. FILING DATA

<u>Utility</u>	<u>Parties to the Filing</u>
Avista Utilities 1411 E. Mission Spokane, WA 99202	A complete list of intervening parties is located at the following BPA web site: http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/finance/ascm/Docs/Intervening_Parties.pdf

Effective: October 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009
WP-07 Supplemental Wholesale Power Rate Adjustment Proceeding

II. AVERAGE SYSTEM COST: DETERMINATIONS

A. Base Period 2006

	As Filed	July 8, 2008 As Amended	August 4, 2008 As Revised	Sept.11, 2008 Final
Production Cost	\$367,559,241	\$367,368,078	\$367,368,078	\$367,663,808
Transmission Cost	\$61,061,647	\$60,812,647	\$61,000,640	\$61,000,640
(Less) New Large Single Load Costs	\$0	\$35,902,963	\$4,204,341	\$4,205,570
Total Contract System Cost	\$428,620,888	\$392,277,762	\$424,164,378	\$424,458,879
Total Retail Load (MWh)	8,787,002	8,787,002	8,787,002	8,787,002
(Less) New Large Single Load	0	551,335	61,449	61,449
Total Retail Load (Net NLSL)	8,787,002	8,235,667	8,725,553	8,725,553
Plus Distribution Losses	439,350	460,439	460,439	460,439
Total Contract System Load (MWh)	9,226,352	8,696,105	9,185,992	9,185,992
FY 2006 Base Period ASC (\$/MWh)	46.46	45.11	46.18	46.21

B. FY 2009 (Exchange Period) ASC without New Resource Additions (\$/MWh)

		July 8, 2008 As Amended	August 4, 2008 As Revised	Sept.11, 2008 Final
FY 2009 (Rate Period) ASC without New Resource Additions (\$/MWh)	N/A	47.83	48.84	50.28

C. FY 2009 (Exchange Period) ASC with New Resource Additions (\$/MWh)

FY 2007-2009 New Resource Additions - See Table 1 in Section III.B for details: N/A
There are no New Resource Additions recorded that met the 2.5 percent materiality threshold.

III. FILING REQUIREMENTS

A. Introduction

Section 5(c)(1) of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act (Pacific Northwest Power Act), 16 U.S.C. § 839c(c)(1), establishes the Residential Exchange Program (REP). Any Pacific Northwest utility interested in participating in the REP may offer to sell power to Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) at the average system cost (ASC) of the utility's resources. In exchange, BPA offers to sell an "equivalent amount of electric power to such utility for resale to that utility's residential users within the region" at the BPA rate established pursuant to section 7(b)(1) of the Act. *See generally*, H.R. Rep. No. 976, Pt I, 96th Cong., 2d Sess. at 60 (1980).

The Act gives BPA's Administrator the discretionary authority to determine ASC on the basis of a methodology to be established in a public consultation proceeding. 16 U.S.C. 839c(c)(7). The only express statutory limits on the Administrator's authority are found in sections 5(c)(7)(A), (B) and (C) of the Act. 16 U.S.C. 839c(c)(7)(A), (B) and (C).

BPA's first ASC Methodology was developed in consultation with regional interests in 1981. *See* 48 FR 46,970 (Oct. 17, 1983). It was later revised in 1984. *See* 49 FR 39,293 (Oct. 5, 1984). In the mid-1990s, BPA and exchanging Utilities agreed to a number of termination agreements that provided for payments to each Utility through the remaining years of the Residential Purchase and Sale Agreements (RPSA) that implemented the REP. These termination agreements did not require the participating utilities to submit ASC filings.

In 2000, BPA executed REP Settlement Agreements with each IOU customer. The Agreements provided monetary benefits and power sales to the IOUs to resolve disputes regarding BPA's implementation of the REP. On May 3, 2007, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issued a decision finding the Agreements unlawful. BPA therefore began efforts to resume the

REP, including the development of RPSAs and a consultation proceeding to revise the 1984 ASC Methodology.

As with the previous ASC Methodologies, the proposed 2008 ASC Methodology (ASCM) was developed in consultation with interested parties through a series of working group meetings conducted by BPA staff. The goal of the consultation process was to develop an administratively feasible ASC Methodology that would be technically sound, and comport with the Northwest Power Act. The Methodology is subject to review and approval by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission).

BPA maintains a significant role in reviewing Utilities' ASC filings to ensure compliance with the 2008 ASCM. For more information regarding the 2008 ASCM, please refer to the *Final Record of Decision of the 2008 Average System Cost Methodology*, dated June 30, 2008.

B. ASC Determination Process Guidelines and Expedited Review Process

The purpose of BPA's expedited review process is to estimate exchanging Utilities' ASCs for FY 2009 that could be incorporated into BPA's WP-07 Supplemental Rate Proceeding in order to ensure that BPA's FY 2009 power rates established in that proceeding rely on the most accurate ASCs possible. For purposes of the expedited review process, and as specified in the Review Procedures of the proposed 2008 ASCM, on or before March 3, 2008, each exchanging utility (Utility) submitted a "base period ASC" to BPA using data from its 2006 FERC Form 1 and other supporting data. All data were submitted using BPA's proposed Appendix 1, an Excel-spreadsheet based model. The submittal of the Appendix 1 filing began the formal review and comment process to establish ASCs for the exchanging Utilities which is referred to as the Review Period. Although BPA reviewed the initial data in the context of BPA's initially proposed 2008 ASCM, BPA knew that it would be completing its proposed 2008 ASCM and issuing a Record of Decision supporting that ASCM near the end of June 2008. In order that the ASCs determined in the expedited review process would reflect as accurately as possible the ASCs that would be in effect for determining REP benefits for FY 2009, BPA reviewed the Utilities' filing under the criteria of BPA's Final 2008 ASCM. This ensured that the ASCs relied on by BPA in establishing its FY 2009 power rates would be as accurate as possible. Parties had a full and complete opportunity to intervene in BPA's expedited review process and to submit comments on BPA's proposed ASCs.

For details of the prospective Review Period and guidelines, see *Attachment A to the 2008 Final Record of Decision of the 2008 Average System Cost Methodology, June 2008: 2008 Methodology for Determining the Average System Cost of Resources for Electric Utilities Participating in the Residential Exchange Program Established by Section 5(c) of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Act*.

The 2008 ASCM incorporates, in part, the functionalization process and functionalization codes, with modifications, determined in the 1984 ASCM. Costs are assigned under functionalization codes to Production, Transmission, or Distribution/Other. Functionalization of each Account

included in a Utility's ASC is in accordance to the functionalization prescribed in the 2008 ASCM, Attachment A, Table 1.

The ASCM allows Utilities to file multiple, contingent, ASCs to reflect changes to service territories, and allows for changes to ASCs resulting from major resource additions and reductions.

In summary, BPA reviewed ASCs during the expedited review process in accordance with the 2008 ASCM published June 30, 2008. After establishing a Base Period ASC determination, BPA used the ASC Forecast model, an Excel-based spreadsheet, to escalate the Base Period ASC forward to the effective rate period, FY 2009 (October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009). The Base Period and Forecast ASC results are reported herein.

C. Explanation of Schedules

Utilities' Appendix 1 filings consist of a series of seven schedules and other supporting information, which present the data necessary to calculate ASC. The schedules and support data are as follows:

1. Schedule 1 - Plant Investment/Rate Base
2. Schedule 1A - Cash Working Capital calculation
3. Schedule 2 - Capital Structure and Rate of Return
4. Schedule 3 - Expenses
5. Schedule 3A - Taxes
6. Schedule 3B - Other Included Items
7. Schedule 4 - Average System Cost
8. Distribution of Salaries and Wages
9. Purchased Power & Off-System Sales
10. New Large Single Load
11. Labor Ratios

1. Schedule 1 – Plant Investment/Rate Base

This schedule establishes the rate base used by the Utility. The calculation begins with a determination of the total Electric Plant In-Service, which includes the gross historical costs of the Intangible, General, Production, Transmission, and Distribution Plants. These values (and all subsequent values) are entered into the Appendix 1 filing as line items based on separate FERC account descriptions. Each line item (Account) is functionalized to Production, Transmission, or Distribution/Other in accordance to the functionalizations prescribed in the 2008 ASCM, Attachment A, Table 1.

Next, in order to reflect the book value of the remaining plant, depreciation and amortization reserves are evaluated and entered into the Appendix 1 form and functionalized. These are then subtracted from the Total Electric Plant In-Service to determine the Total Net Plant.

The resulting Total Net Plant is adjusted, where appropriate, to reflect additions in Cash Working Capital (calculated in Schedule 1A), Utility Plant, Property and Investments, Current and Accrued Assets, Deferred Debits. It is adjusted again, where appropriate, to deduct the Current and Accrued Liabilities, and Deferred Credits from the Total Net Plant. The outcome of these adjustments defines the Total Rate Base. When multiplied by the Rate of Return as determined in Schedule 2, the result is the Utility's return on investment.

2. Schedule 1A – Cash Working Capital

Cash working capital is a ratemaking convention that is not included in the Form 1, but is a part of all electric utility rate filings as a component of rate base. To determine the allowable amount of cash working capital in rate base for a Utility, BPA allows 1/8 of the functionalized costs of total production expenses, transmission expenses and Administrative and General expenses less purchased power, fuel costs, and public purpose charge.

3. Schedule 2 – Capital Structure and Rate of Return

This schedule lists the data used by the Utility to develop the rate of return applied to the Utility's rate base developed on Schedule 1 to determine the Utility's return on investment.

IOUs use the weighted cost of capital (WCC) from the most recent State Commission Rate Order with a Federal income tax adjustment to determine the return calculation. The return on equity (ROE) used in the WCC calculation is grossed up for Federal income taxes at the marginal Federal income tax rate using the formula found in the ASC Methodology, Attachment A, Section IX, Endnote b. For Consumer-Owned Utilities (COU), the rate of return is equal to the COU's weighted cost of debt times total rate base.

4. Schedule 3 – Expenses

This schedule represents operations and maintenance expenses for the production of power, the transmission of electricity, and the distribution of electricity. Each expense item is functionalized as outlined in the ASCM, Table 1. Additional expenses associated with customer accounts, sales, and administrative and general expenses for both operations and maintenance are also included in this schedule. Depreciation and amortization for the associated plants are added to the operating and maintenance expenses to calculate Total Operating Expenses.

5. Schedule 3A – Taxes

This schedule presents allowable ASC cost for Federal employment tax and non-Federal taxes, including property and unemployment tax. State income tax, franchise fees, regulatory fees, and city/county taxes are included herein but are functionalized to Distribution/Other and therefore not incorporated in ASC. Taxes and fees for each state listed are grouped together and entered as “combined” line items for Appendix 1 filing purposes.

Federal income taxes included in ASC are calculated and described in Schedule 2 above, *Capital Structure and Rate of Return*.

6. Schedule 3B – Other Included Items

This schedule includes revenues from the disposition of plant, sales for resale, and other revenues, including electric revenues and revenues from transmission of electricity to others (wheeling). Items in this schedule are deducted from the total costs of each Utility.

7. Schedule 4 – Average System Cost (\$/MWh)

This schedule summarizes the cost information calculated in Schedules 2 through 3B: Federal income tax adjusted return on rate base, total operating expenses, state and other taxes, and other included items. The schedule also lists the load information, as defined below, and calculates the Utility's ASC.

Contract System Cost:

The Contract System Cost is the Utility's costs for production and transmission resources, including power purchases and conservation measures, which costs are includable in and subject to the provisions of Appendix 1. Costs to serve NLSL are excluded from ASC calculations. This Contract System Cost becomes the numerator in calculating ASC.

Contract System Load:

The Contract System Load is the total regional retail load included in the Form 1, or for a consumer-owned utility (preference customers) the total retail load from the most recent annual audited financial statement as adjusted pursuant to this Average System Cost Methodology. The denominator in the ASC calculation consists of the Contract System Load (MWh) of the Utility increased for distribution losses, and reduced by any New Large Single Load(s) (NLSL).

8. Distribution of Salaries and Wages

The supporting file is used to determine the Labor Ratio calculations and includes salaries and wages from relevant operations and maintenance of the electric plant.

9. Purchased Power and Sales for Resale

The Purchased Power is an Account of Schedule 3, *Expenses*, and includes all purchases the Utility made during the year, including power exchanges. Sales for Resale is an Account of Schedule 3B, *Other Included Items*, and includes power sales to purchasers other than ultimate consumers. Listed in the information for both Accounts is the statistical classification code for all transactions. Refer to the FERC Form 1, pages 310-311 for Sales for Resale and pages 326-237 for Purchased Power for identification of the classification codes.

10. New Large Single Load

A NLSL is any load associated with a new facility, an existing facility or an expansion of an existing facility which was not contracted for or committed to (CF/CT) prior to September 1, 1979, and will result in an increase in power requirements of the specific customer of ten average megawatts (10aMW) or more in any consecutive twelve-month period.

BPA determines the cost of serving NLSLs by using the fully allocated cost of all post-September 1, 1979, resources and long-term power purchases greater than five years in duration.

11. Labor Ratios

These ratios assign costs on a pro rata basis using salary and wage data for Production, Transmission, and Distribution/other functions included in the Utility's most recently filed Form 1. For COUs, comparable data is used based on the cost of service analysis (COSA) study used as the basis for retail rates in effect during the Base Year filing.

D. ASC Forecast

The Base Period ASC is applied to an Excel-based forecasting model to escalate the Base Year ASC data forward to the Exchange Period. For purposes of the expedited process, that Exchange Period is FY 2009. BPA uses Global Insight's (or its successor) forecast of cost increases for capital costs and fuel (except natural gas), O&M, and G&A expenses; BPA's forecast of market prices for IOU purchases to meet load growth and to estimate short-term and non-firm power purchase costs and sales revenues; BPA's forecast of natural gas prices; and BPA's estimates of the rates it will charge for its PF and other products. For additional background on the determination of Exchange Period ASCs, see details of the 2008 ASC Methodology, Section IV *Rules for Determining Exchange Period Average System Cost*, Subsection A.

1. Forecast Contract System Cost

Forecast Contract System Cost (CSC) are the Utility's forecast costs for production and transmission resources, including power purchases and conservation measures, which costs are includable in and subject to the provisions of Appendix 1. As outlined in the 2008 ASC Methodology, Section IV *Rules for Determining Exchange Period Average System Cost*, Subsection A, Forecast CSC, BPA escalates base period costs to the midpoint of the fiscal year for the FY 2009 rate period/Exchange Period to calculate Exchange Period ASCs. BPA projects the costs of power products purchased from BPA using BPA's forecast of prices for its products.

2. Forecast of Sales for Resale and Power Purchases

BPA does not normalize short-term purchases and sales for resale. The short-term purchases and sales for resale for the Base Period are used as the starting values for the forecast. The Utilities are then allowed to include new plant additions and use a Utility-specific forecast for the (1) price of purchased power and (2) sales for resale price, to value purchased power expenses and sales for resale revenue. For details, see the 2008 ASC Methodology, Section IV *Rules for Determining Exchange Period Average System Cost*, Subsection B.

3. Forecast Contract System Load and Exchange Load

All Utilities are required to provide a forecast of their Contract System Load and associated Exchange Load, as well as a current distribution loss study as described in the 2008 ASCM, Attachment A, endnote e/, with their Appendix 1 filing. The load forecast for Contract System Load and Exchange Load starts with the Base Period and extends through 4 years after the Exchange Period. The load forecast for Contract System Load and Exchange Load is provided on a monthly basis for the Exchange Period.

4. Major Resource Additions

BPA uses the method outlined in the 2008 ASC Methodology, Section IV *Rules for Determining Exchange Period Average System Cost*, Subsection C to determine the change in ASC due to major new resource additions or reductions, subject to meeting the materiality threshold of 2.5 percent. These additions include new production resource investments, new generating resource investments, new transmission investments, long-term generating contracts, pollution control and environmental compliance investments relating to generating resources, transmission resources or contracts, hydro relicensing costs and fees, and plant rehabilitation investments.

The exchanging Utility provides its forecast of any major resource addition and all associated costs. The forecast covers the period from the end of the Base Period (FY 2006) to the end of the Exchange Period (FY 2009).

The forecast of major resource costs to be included in the Utility's Exchange Period ASC is reviewed and determined during the review period. All resources included prior to the start of the Exchange Period are projected forward to the mid-point of the Exchange Period.

5. Load Growth Not Met by New Resource Additions

All load growth not met by new resource additions is met by purchased power at the forecasted Utility-specific short-term purchased power price. BPA uses the method outlined in the 2008 ASC Methodology, Section IV *Rules for Determining Exchange*, Subsection D.

IV. REVIEW OF THE ASC FILING AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

A. Identification and Analysis of Issues from the May 7, 2008, ASC Appendix 1 Filing

BPA is responsible for reviewing all costs and loads for determining ASCs in accordance with section 5(c) of the Northwest Power Act and the 2008 ASC Methodology. During this review and evaluation, issues were identified for comment. BPA's ASC determination is limited to specific findings on those issues identified for comment with the exception of ministerial or mathematical errors. There may have been additional issues that BPA did not identify for comment in this filing. Acceptance of a Utility's treatment of an item without comment is not intended to signify a decision of the proper interpretation to be applied either in subsequent filings or universally under the 2008 ASC Methodology.

The following is a summary of the Contract System Cost and Contract System Load filed on May 7, 2008 by Avista, and as amended following review and evaluation by BPA. The explanations for BPA's changes are outlined as appropriate by Appendix 1 schedule and supporting files below. Minor changes may have occurred due to a functionalization ratio percentage allocation or rounding. If such minor changes occurred and they did not affect the overall ASC, the changes were not addressed in this report.

SCHEDULE 1: Plant Investment/Rate Base

1. **Account 303**, Intangible Plant Miscellaneous: incorrect functionalization
 - a. Statement of Issue: In the May 7 filing, Avista directly assigned the transmission portion of this account, and allocated the computer software in this account using Avista's PTD ratio.
 - b. Statement of Facts: The proposed ASCM permits Direct Analysis only for specified accounts. The ASCM contains default functionalization methods in the absence of Direct Analysis where appropriate. BPA does not allow Utilities to use a combination of direct analysis and a prescribed functionalization method for the same account. However, Utilities can develop and use a functionalization ratio or use a prescribed functionalization method if the Utility, through direct analysis, can justify how the ratio adequately reflects the functional nature of the costs included in any account or cost item being functionalized by the ratio.
 - c. Analysis of Position and Decision: At the time of Avista's initial May 7, 2008, Appendix 1 filings, BPA did not allow a Utility to combine a direct analysis with a prescribed functionalization method for the same account under any circumstance. Therefore, Avista's allocation of PTD to the computer software was considered incorrect and Avista was not allowed to revise the allocation in time to provide a Direct Analysis. For this reason, BPA re-allocated account 303 in its entirety to Distribution/Other.

In the ASCM ROD, BPA clarified its treatment of Account 303. For the final Methodology, Utilities can develop and use a functionalization ratio or use a prescribed functionalization method if the Utility, through Direct Analysis, can justify how the ratio adequately reflects the functional nature of the costs included in any account or cost item being functionalized by the ratio." (2008 ASCM Final Record of Decision at 30). Until additional supporting data are submitted, BPA will continue to functionalize Account 303, Intangible Plant Miscellaneous, in its entirety to Distribution/Other.

2. **Account 186**, Miscellaneous Deferred Debits: incorrect functionalization of line item "WA Deferred Power Costs"
 - a. Statement of Issue: In its May 7 filing, Avista functionalized WA Deferred Power Costs to Distribution/Other. This "Wa Deferred Power Costs" line item appears to be a Power debit, not a Distribution/Other debit.

- b. Statement of Facts: Avista functionalized this Account to Distribution/ Other without providing supporting documentation for the debit account. In its analysis, Avista did not comment on line items that were deemed immaterial, were assigned to Distribution, or were unduly burdensome to provide details.
 - c. Analysis of Position and Decision: This Account falls under Deferred Debits. Because Avista did not include supporting documentation, BPA functionalized the WA Deferred Power Cost debit to Production.
3. **Account 186**, Miscellaneous Deferred Debits: incorrect functionalization of line item “Regulatory Assets Conservation”
- a. Statement of Issue: In its May 7 filing, Avista functionalized Regulatory Assets Conservation to DIR-C Ratio.
 - b. Statement of Facts: BPA no longer recognizes DIR-C as a valid functionalization ratio. BPA no longer uses ratios to functionalize conservation program costs or revenues. BPA examines conservation program costs on a utility-by-utility basis. Utilities are allowed to functionalize all conservation related costs to production, irrespective of the functionalization rules specified for the account. The balance of the costs included in such accounts shall be functionalized according to the functionalization rules specified for the account.
 - c. Analysis of Position and Decision: At the time of Avista’s initial May 7, 2008, Appendix 1 filings, BPA allowed a Utility to use the conservation ratio (DIR-C) at an allocation of 70% Production and 30% Distribution for conservation line items when appropriate. Since the May filing and publication of the draft ROD, BPA has revised its treatment of conservation issues (see the Final ASCM for details) and now allows Direct Analysis to functionalize conservation measures to Production if the Utility can justify the allocation. For purposes of this report, BPA re-functionalized Regulatory Assets Conservation to Direct Analysis but continued to allocate the costs 70% to Production and 30% to Distribution. BPA will allow Avista to submit documentation for this line item and correct the functionalization as appropriate. If Avista does not submit appropriate documentation, BPA will functionalize “Regulatory Assets Conservation” to Distribution/Other.
4. **Accounts 244 and 245**: Current and Accrued Liabilities Long-Term Portions of Derivative Instrument Liabilities” and Deferred Credits “Long-Term Portions of Derivative Instrument Liabilities-Hedges”

- a. Statement of Issue: The May 7 filing Appendix 1 template inadvertently added line items *Long-Term Portions of Derivative Instrument Liabilities and Long-Term Portions of Derivative Instrument Liabilities-Hedges* twice; once in Current and Accrued Liabilities and once in Deferred Credits. Avista inadvertently entered data in both areas.
 - b. Statement of Facts: The additional line items were in error and BPA has since removed them from Deferred Credits.
 - c. Analysis of Position and Decision: BPA's deletion of the two additional line items, \$10,174,378 for the Long-Term Portions of Derivative Instrument Liabilities and \$5,144,457 for the Long-Term Portions of Derivative Instrument Liabilities-Hedges, did not cause a change to Avista's Total Liabilities and Other Credits.
5. **Account 253**, Other Deferred Credits: incorrect functionalization of line item "BPA C&RD Receipts (253100)"
- a. Statement of Issue: In its May 7 filing, Avista functionalized BPA C&RD Receipts (253100) to DIR-C Ratio. C&RD is a conservation measure.
 - b. Statement of Facts: BPA no longer recognizes DIR-C as a valid functionalization ratio.
 - c. Analysis of Position and Decision: At the time of Avista's initial May 7, 2008, Appendix 1 filing, BPA allowed a Utility to use the conservation ratio (DIR-C) at an allocation of 70% Production and 30% Distribution for conservation line items when appropriate. Since the May filing and publication of the draft ROD, BPA has revised its treatment of conservation issues (see the Final ASCM for details) and now allows Direct Analysis to functionalize conservation measures to Production if the Utility can justify the allocation. For purposes of this report, BPA re-functionalized BPA C&RD Receipts to Direct Analysis but continued to allocate the costs 70% to Production and 30% to Distribution. BPA will allow Avista to submit documentation for this line item and correct the functionalization as appropriate. If Avista does not submit appropriate documentation, BPA will functionalize "BPA C&RD Receipts (253100)" to Distribution/Other.

SCHEDULE 1A: Cash Working Capital – no changes from the May 7 filing

SCHEDULE 2: Capital Structure and Rate of Return – no changes from the May 7 filing

SCHEDULE 3: Expenses- no changes from the May 7 filing

SCHEDULE 3A: Taxes – no changes from the May 7 filing

SCHEDULE 3B: Other Included Items – no changes from the May 7 filing

SCHEDULE 4: Average System Cost

1. Distribution Loss:

- a. Statement of Issue: In its filing, Avista used a 5 percent Distribution Loss Factor to determine its ASC.
- b. Statement of Facts: The May 7 filing Appendix 1 template did not require a Utility to complete a Distribution Loss Study to increase the Total Retail Load. As outlined in the ASCM ROD, BPA allows participating Utilities that have the ability to directly measure distribution losses on their system to submit such measurements, subject to BPA review and approval, with their ASC filings. Utilities that do not possess the capability to directly measure distribution losses on their system are required to submit a formal distribution loss study with their ASC filing. The distribution loss study is valid for a period of seven years.

Utilities that do not have the ability to directly measure distribution losses on their system and do not have a formal distribution loss study that was prepared within the previous seven years of the date of the ASC filing will use the default distribution loss study method described in the ASCM ROD, Section 4.10.5.

- c. Analysis of Position and Decision: For purposes of this expedited filing, BPA completed the Distribution Loss Factor calculation outlined in the ASCM ROD, Section 4.10.5. A distribution loss factor of 5.24 percent was used.

2. Contract System Load: New Large Single Load (NLSL)

- a. Statement of Issue: The May 7 Appendix 1 filing did not require and therefore did not include information on NLSL MWh. BPA now requires that such data be included in the determination of a Utility's ASC.
- b. Statement of Facts: Avista submitted data identifying one potential NLSL usage of 551,335 MWh. BPA determined this load by the evaluation of Avista provided data.

- c. Analysis of Position and Decision: Section 5 (c) of the Northwest Power Act does not permit costs of servicing an NLSL to be included in the calculation of a Utility’s ASC and, therefore, BPA removed the potential NLSL and associated costs from the Appendix 1 amended filing. The results are noted in Schedule 4 of the amended Appendix 1 filing.
3. **Contract System Cost: New Large Single Load (NLSL) Costs**
- a. Statement of Issue: The May 7 filing Appendix 1 template did not require and therefore did not include information on NLSL costs. BPA now requires this data to be included in the determination of a Utility’s ASCs.
 - b. Statement of Facts: BPA determined the cost of serving the potential NLSL using the fully allocated cost of all escalated base period post-September 1, 1979, resources and major resource additions and long-term power purchases (5 years or longer contracts) used to determine Exchange Period ASCs as outlined in the ASCM ROD, section 4.5.
 - c. Analysis of Position and Decision: Section 5 (c) of the Northwest Power Act does not permit costs of servicing an NLSL to be included in the calculations of a Utility’s ASC and therefore, BPA removed the NLSL and associated costs from the Appendix 1 amended filing. The results are noted in Schedule 4 of the amended Appendix 1 filing and in Table 2 at the end of this report. In addition, BPA will publish the resource cost determinations to NLSL work papers at the ASCM web site: <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/finance/ascm/filings.cfm>.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION: Purchased Power and Sales for Resale – no changes from the May 7 filing

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION: Salaries and Wages – no changes from the May 7 filing

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION: Labor Ratios from the May 7 filing

- 1. **Maintenance of General Plant (GPM) Ratio: Miscellaneous Equipment**
 - a. Statement of Issue: Incorrect functionalization of Labor Ratio “Miscellaneous Equipment in the Maintenance of General Plant (GPM)”
 - b. Statement of Facts: Miscellaneous Equipment in the Maintenance of General Plant Ratio was mistakenly functionalized to Distribution rather than PTD in the ASC Template.

- c. Analysis of Position and Decision: BPA corrected the error and the functionalization of Miscellaneous Equipment in the Maintenance of General Plant Ratio was changed from Distribution to PTD in the ASC Template.

B. Identification and Analysis of Issues based on Comments to the July 8, Draft ASC Report

SCHEDULE 1: Plant Investment/Rate Base

1. **Account 303**, Intangible Plant Miscellaneous: Incorrect functionalization.

- a. **Analysis of Position and Decision:** At the time of Avista’s initial May 7, 2008, Appendix 1 filings, BPA did not allow a Utility to combine a Direct Analysis with a prescribed functionalization method for the same account under any circumstance. Therefore, Avista’s allocation to PTD of the computer software was considered incorrect and Avista was not allowed to revise the allocation in time to provide a Direct Analysis. For this reason, BPA re-allocated account 303 in its entirety to Distribution/Other.

In its Record of Decision (ROD) for the Average System Cost Methodology, BPA clarified its treatment for the final Methodology for Account 303, as follows: utilities can develop and use a functionalization ratio or use a prescribed functionalization method if the utility, through Direct Analysis, can justify how the ratio adequately reflects the functional nature of the costs included in any account or cost item being functionalized by the ratio.” (2008 ASCM Final Record of Decision at 30). Until additional supporting data are submitted, BPA will continue to functionalize Account 303, Intangible Plant Miscellaneous, in its entirety, to Distribution/Other.

- b. **Avista Comments on Decision:** The proposed ASCM permits Direct analysis for this account. Since Avista has directly analyzed the transmission portion of this account, and has provided appropriate support, we advocate this portion be moved from Distribution/Other, and placed back into Transmission (\$1,517,348). Avista understands it will need to directly assign the remaining portions of software that were originally assigned to PTD before the October 1st submission. Over the next couple months, Avista will assess whether directly assigning these costs is feasible or is simply too burdensome to be reasonably accomplished.
- c. **BPA Response to Avista’s Comment:** BPA agrees with Avista in the allocation of \$1,517,348 in transmission costs and has functionalized this amount to Transmission. This change is reflected in the Revised Amended Appendix 1 dated August 4, 2008.

SCHEDULE 1A: Cash Working Capital – no changes from the May 7 filing

SCHEDULE 2: Capital Structure and Rate of Return – no changes from the May 7 filing

SCHEDULE 3: Expenses- no changes from the May 7 filing

SCHEDULE 3A: Taxes – no changes from the May 7 filing

SCHEDULE 3B: Other Included Items – no changes from the May 7 filing

SCHEDULE 4: Average System Cost

1. **Contract System Load:** New Large Single Load (NLSL)
 - a. **Analysis of Position and Decision:** Section 5 (c) of the Northwest Power Act does not permit costs of servicing a NLSL to be included in the calculation of a Utility’s ASC, and therefore, BPA removed the potential NLSL and associated costs from the Appendix 1 amended filing. The results are noted in Schedule 4 of the amended Appendix 1 filing.
 - b. **Avista’s Comment on Decision:** [Avista submitted to BPA] “Informal Request for Consideration of the Determination of the Potlatch Lewiston Facility Load as Contracted For / Committed To (CF/CT)” and “Avista Corporation’s Comments on the Bonneville Power Administration’s (BPA) New Large Single Load (NLSL) Determination for the Potlatch Lewiston Facility Load” both filings submitted July 3, 2008. Avista understands BPA was not able to evaluate the referenced Avista filings in time for consideration in the FY’09 Draft ASC Report, and we look forward to the ongoing work to resolve these issues.
 - c. **BPA’s Response to Avista’s Comment:** BPA is in the process of evaluating Avista’s request for consideration of the determination of the Potlatch Lewiston Facility Load as Contracted For / Committed To (CF/CT). After a preliminary review of the findings, early indications show that the load will most likely meet the CF/CT qualifications. For forecasting purposes to this revised report, assuming a CF/CT status, BPA will reduce the quantity of Avista’s NLSL.
2. **Contract System Cost:** New Large Single Load (NLSL) Costs
 - a. **Analysis of Position and Decision:** Section 5 (c) of the Northwest Power Act does not permit costs of servicing a NLSL to be included in the calculations of a Utility’s ASC, and therefore, BPA removed the NLSL and associated costs from the Appendix 1 amended filing. The results are

noted in Schedule 4 of the amended Appendix 1 filing and in Table 2 at the end of this report. In addition, BPA will publish the resource cost determinations to NLSL work papers at the ASCM website.

- b. **Avista’s Comment on Decision:** [Avista submitted to BPA] “Informal Request for Consideration of the Determination of the Potlatch Lewiston Facility Load as Contracted For / Committed To (CF/CT)” and “Avista Corporation's Comments on the Bonneville Power Administration’s (BPA) New Large Single Load (NLSL) Determination for the Potlatch Lewiston Facility Load” submitted July 3, 2008. Avista understands BPA was not able to evaluate the referenced Avista filings in time for consideration in the FY’09 Draft ASC Report, and we look forward to the ongoing work to resolve these issues.
- c. **BPA’s Response to Avista’s Comment:** BPA is in the process of evaluating Avista’s request for consideration of the determination of the Potlatch Lewiston Facility Load as Contracted For / Committed To (CF/CT). After a preliminary review of the findings, early indications show that the load will most likely meet the CF/CT qualifications. For forecasting purposes to this revised report, assuming a CF/CT status, BPA will reduce the quantity of Avista’s NLSL. As a result, the cost to serve the NLSL resources is also reduced. As appropriate, BPA will review the NLSL resource costs once the final CF/CT determination has been completed.

C. Identification and Analysis of Issues based on Comments to the August 4, 2008 Revised DRAFT ASC Report

SCHEDULE 1: Plant Investment/Rate Base–

1. For Account 108, line item “**Capital Leases - Common Plant**” and **In-Service: Depreciation of Common Plant**
 - a. Statement of Issue: Errata corrections to the 2008 Average System Cost Methodology (“2008 ASCM”) for Account 108, line item “**Capital Leases - Common Plant**” (line 69 in the electronic template) and “**In-Service: Depreciation of Common Plant (a)**” (line 71 in the electronic template), remove the **PTD** option from functionalization “Method Optional” column.
 - b. Analysis of Position and Decision: This correction is necessary to equate all Common Plant accounts to DIRECT functionalization under **Utility Plant: Common Plant** (line 91 in the electronic template). There are no functionalization options under Common Plant and all accounts are to be functionalized by Direct analysis.

2. For Account 115, line item “**Amortization of Acquisition Adjustments**”
 - a. Statement of Issue: Errata corrections to the 2008 Average System Cost Methodology (“2008 ASCM”) for Account 115, line item “**Amortization of Acquisition Adjustments** (line 73 in the electronic template), remove option from functionalization “Method Optional” column (cell F73 in electronic template) and equate cell E73 to E92 (**Acquisition Adjustments (Electric)**, Account 114, line 92 in electronic template).
 - b. Analysis of Position and Decision: This correction is necessary because Depreciation and Amortization Reserves must follow the same functionalization used for Utility Plant under Assets and Other Debits.

SCHEDULE 1A: Cash Working Capital – no changes from the August 4 report

SCHEDULE 2: Capital Structure and Rate of Return – no changes from the August 4 report

SCHEDULE 3: Expenses

1. For Account 406, line item “**Amortization of Plant Acquisition Adjustments (Electric)**”
 - a. Statement of Issue: Errata corrections to the 2008 Average System Cost Methodology (“2008 ASCM”) for Account 406, line item “**Amortization of Plant Acquisition Adjustments (Electric)** (line 96 in the electronic template), equate cell E96 to Account 114 **Schedule 1, Plant Investment/Rate Base (Acquisition Adjustments (Electric)**, (cell E92 in electronic template).
 - b. Analysis of Position and Decision: This correction is necessary because Depreciation and Amortization expenses must follow the same functionalization used for Utility Plant under Plant Investment/Rate Base, Assets and Other Debits.
2. Account 908, line item “**Customer Assistance Expenses (Major only)**”
 - a. Statement of Issue: Errata corrections to the 2008 Average System Cost Methodology (“2008 ASCM”) for Account 908, line item “**Customer Assistance Expenses (Major only)**” (line 52 in the electronic template) requires DIRECT analysis of conservation related expenses:
 - b. Analysis of Position and Decision: All exchangeable conservation costs may be functionalized to Production (PROD); all other costs will be functionalized to Distribution/Other (DIST).

SCHEDULE 3A: Taxes – no changes from the August 4 report

SCHEDULE 3B: Other Included – no changes from the August 4 report

SCHEDULE 4: Average System Cost – no changes from the August 4 report

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION – Labor Ratios

1. For Labor Ratio Input: line item “**Customer Service and Informational**”
 - a. Statement of Issue: For Labor Ratio Input: line item “**Customer Service and Informational**” (line 17 in the electronic template), did not follow the same functionalization as Account 908 in Schedule 3.
 - b. Analysis of Position and Decision: This Ratio requires DIRECT analysis of conservation related expenses associated with Account 908: all exchangeable conservation costs may be functionalized to Production (PROD); all other costs will be functionalized to Distribution/Other (DIST).

D. Exchange Period ASC New Resource Additions

The ASCM provides that changes to an established ASC are allowed to account for major new resource additions and purchases that are projected to come on-line or be purchased and used to meet that Utility’s retail load during the BPA rate period. The change in ASC must meet the materiality threshold as the change in ASC resulting from adding major new resources, that is, a 2.5 percent or greater change in Base Period ASC. BPA allows Utilities to submit stacks of individual resources that, when combined, meet the materiality threshold. However, each resource in the stack must result in an increase of Base Period ASC of 0.5 percent or more.

Table 1 below identifies the New Resource Additions information provided from Avista for FY 2008 only (year ending December 2007). None of Avista’s individual resource additions for FY 2008 met the materiality threshold of 2.5 percent as described above, either as a single resource or when combined together. Therefore, the costs associated with the New Resource Additions were not included in the calculation of the ASC. Tables 1, ASC New Resource Additions identifies the values.

Table 1: ASC New Resource Additions

	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Production Rate Base			17,149,000	
Plant Material and Supplies Rate Base				
Fuel Stock Rate Base				
Production O&M Expense				
Production Depreciation Expense			495,806	
Power Purchases Expense				
Production Property Tax			257,235	
Transmission Rate Base			35,149,533	
Transmission Depreciation Rate Base			1,324,018	
Transmission O&M Expense				
Transmission Contracts Expense				
Transmission Property Tax Expense			822,434	
(Expected) Annual Generation (MWh)			39,070	

E. Response to Exchange Period ASC New Resource Additions

Avista’s Comment to Exchange Period ASC New Resource Additions Table 1: ASC New Resource Additions

1. Amount used as Transmission Rate Base is incorrect and does not agree with the filing made on May 7. This dollar figure should be \$55,162,008.
2. Amount used as Transmission Property Tax Expense is incorrect and does not agree with the filing made on May 7. This amount should be \$827,429.
3. Avista does not know how these changes impact the materiality threshold, but assumes it may not meet the 2.5 percent limit.

BPA’s Response to Avista’s Comment

1. BPA agrees with Avista in that the amount used as Transmission Rate Base was incorrect. After reviewing the data submitted by Avista, it was determined an error was made in transferring the information. The dollar figure was corrected to \$55,162,008.
2. BPA agrees with Avista in that the amount used as Transmission Property Tax Expense is incorrect. This amount was corrected to \$827,429. After reviewing the data submitted by Avista, it was determined an error was made in transferring the information.
3. The correction to the Transmission Rate Base and Transmission Property Tax Expense did not change the impact on the 2.5% materiality threshold.

Table 1 corrections:

Transmission Rate Base			55,162,008	
Transmission Property Tax Expense			827,429	

V. FINAL EXPEDITED ASC FORECAST for FY 2009-2013

The following three tables summarize the forecast of Contract System Cost (CSC) and Contract System Load (CSL) for purposes of determining Avista's forecast ASCs for FY 2009 through FY 2013. Table 2: *FY 2009-2013 ASC Summary*, identifies the CSC, CSL, and Avista's ASCs published in the July 8, 2008 report. *Revised Table 2: FY 2009-2013 ASC Summary* identifies the revised CSC, CSL, and Avista's ASCs as appropriate and as a result of Avista's comments to the July 8, 2008 report. *Final Table 2: FY 2009-2013 ASC Summary* identifies the final CSC, CSL, and Avista's ASCs. The procedures used in making the July 8, 2008, determinations and any required changes published in both the August 4, 2008, and this final September 11, 2008, reports are outlined in the 2008 ASCM ROD and described herein. The results shown in all tables are forecasts for each year of the WP-07 rate test period (FY 2009-2013), as defined in section 7(b)(2) of the NW Power Act, and are used to calculate the PF Exchange Rate for FY 2009 of the WP-07 Supplemental Wholesale Power Rate Adjustment Proceeding (WP-07 Rate Case).

The BPA Forecast Model used to calculate the values shown below is located at <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/finance/ascm/filings.cfm>.

Table 2: FY 2009-2013 ASC Summary – July 8, 2008

Date (mid-year)	4/1/2009	4/1/2010	4/1/2011	4/1/2012	4/1/2013
Fiscal Year	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013

CONTRACT SYSTEM COST

Production	417,140,810	415,528,934	416,917,558	425,979,883	434,818,090
Transmission	59,173,592	58,608,141	58,095,284	57,556,386	57,046,824
NLSL Fully Allocated Cost (\$/MWh)	75.14	71.58	69.07	67.89	66.80
(Less) NLSL Costs	41,426,265	39,464,450	38,082,888	37,432,406	36,827,560
Total Contract System Cost	434,888,137	434,672,625	436,929,954	446,103,863	455,037,354

CONTRACT SYSTEM LOAD

Total Retail Load @ Meter	9,163,546	9,349,910	9,508,840	9,709,299	9,890,789
(Less) NLSL	551,335	551,335	551,335	551,335	551,335
Total Retail Load (Net or NLSL)	8,612,211	8,798,575	8,957,505	9,157,964	9,339,454
Distribution Loss	480,170	489,935	498,263	508,767	518,277
Total Contract System Load	9,092,380	9,288,510	9,455,768	9,666,731	9,857,731

AVERAGE SYSTEM COST

ASC (\$/MWh)	47.83	46.80	46.21	46.15	46.16
---------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Revised Table 2: FY 2009-2013 ASC Summary – August 4, 2008

Date (mid-year)	4/1/2009	4/1/2010	4/1/2011	4/1/2012	4/1/2013
Fiscal Year	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013

CONTRACT SYSTEM COST

Production	413,376,834	405,411,210	416,874,078	425,600,061	434,850,448
Transmission	59,361,336	58,795,749	58,282,778	57,743,730	57,234,036
NLSL Fully Allocated Cost (\$/MWh)	77.58	71.83	72.31	70.95	69.93
(Less) NLSL Costs	4,766,933	4,413,591	4,443,632	4,359,877	4,297,035
Total Contract System Cost	467,971,236	459,793,368	470,713,225	478,983,914	487,787,449

CONTRACT SYSTEM LOAD

Total Retail Load @ Meter	9,163,546	9,349,910	9,508,840	9,709,299	9,890,789
(Less) NLSL	61,449	61,449	61,449	61,449	61,449
Total Retail Load (Net or NLSL)	9,102,097	9,288,461	9,447,391	9,647,850	9,829,340
Distribution Loss	480,170	489,935	498,263	508,767	518,277
Total Contract System Load	9,582,267	9,778,396	9,945,654	10,156,617	10,347,617

AVERAGE SYSTEM COST

ASC (\$/MWh)	48.84	47.02	47.33	47.16	47.14
---------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Final Table 2: FY 2009-2013 ASC Summary – September 11, 2008

Date (mid-year)	4/1/2009	4/1/2010	4/1/2011	4/1/2012	4/1/2013
Fiscal Year	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013

CONTRACT SYSTEM COST

Production	426,553,083	418,576,017	429,968,037	438,593,985	447,787,283
Transmission	60,000,388	59,329,051	58,710,865	58,065,786	57,450,436
NLSL Fully Allocated Cost (\$/MWh)	77.64	71.88	72.36	70.98	69.95
(Less) NLSL Costs	4,771,005	4,416,922	4,446,260	4,361,813	4,298,313
Total Contract System Cost	481,782,465	473,488,147	484,232,641	492,297,957	500,939,406

CONTRACT SYSTEM LOAD

Total Retail Load @ Meter	9,163,546	9,349,910	9,508,840	9,709,299	9,890,789
(Less) NLSL	61,449	61,449	61,449	61,449	61,449
Total Retail Load (Net or NLSL)	9,102,097	9,288,461	9,447,391	9,647,850	9,829,340
Distribution Loss	480,170	489,935	498,263	508,767	518,277
Total Contract System Load	9,582,267	9,778,396	9,945,654	10,156,617	10,347,617

AVERAGE SYSTEM COST

ASC (\$/MWh)	50.28	48.42	48.69	48.47	48.41
---------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

VI. BPA STATEMENT

This ASC determination is BPAs best estimate of Avista’s FY 2009 ASC based on the information and data provided from Avista during the Expedited Review Process, and based on the professional review, evaluation, and judgment of the BPA REP staff. Decisions made herein are not binding for purposes of the Final ASC determination for FY 2009. This determination is made solely for the purpose of providing estimated FY 2009 ASCs for use in the development of BPAs FY 2009 power rates in BPAs WP-07 Supplemental Rate Proceeding. Decisions made herein are not final ASC determinations for purposes of implementing the REP for FY 2009.

Final ASC determinations used to calculate REP benefits for each exchanging Utility for FY 2009 will be established by BPA after a review of such Utilities' October 1, 2008, Appendix 1 filings. Such reviews will be conducted in compliance with the Final 2008 ASC Methodology.

BPA has resolved the issues set forth in Section III of this report, as amended, in accordance with the 2008 Average System Cost Methodology (ASCM) as it is currently described in the Final Record of Decision, and with generally accepted accounting principles. BPA believes the information and data contained herein fairly estimates the Average System of Avista for FY 2009 of the WP-07 Supplemental Wholesale Power Rate Adjustment Proceeding.

The Final Appendix 1 Filing, Forecast Model and NLSL assessment used to calculate Avista's ASCs can be viewed at BPAs ASC website:

<http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/finance/ascm/filings.cfm>.