

Stenehjem, Carlene R - DKC-7

From: on behalf of BPA Public Involvement
Subject: FW: Followup Questions On TBL PIR

-----Original Message-----

From: Kevin O'Meara
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2006 10:52 AM
To: Fredrickson, Rebecca E - TMF-MODD
Cc: Nancy Baker; Scott Brattebo
Subject: Followup Questions On TBL PIR

Hi Rebecca,

Lon Peters had some followup questions after the TBL PIR workshop:

1. What is the public process associated with the wind integration impacts study being conducted by Elliott Mainzer?
2. Where did the \$11 million in reduced corporate G&A and Shared Services costs go (Slice 16)? Did Corporate G&A fall, or did TBL purchase fewer Shared Services from Corporate?
3. Which specific costs are being shifted from capital to expense due to implementation of Sarbanes-Oxley (Slide 40)? Could we have copies of the auditors' reports or other documentation that demonstrate the need to make these shifts? What support exists for the decision to expense "replacements"?
4. TBL should conduct a public process to review the reasons for the failure of the McNary/John Day "open season", to figure out how to improve such processes in the future, especially given that BPA plans to rely on third party financing to some extent to reach its "sustainable capital" level.
5. Slide 81: how much will OTC increase due to the investments on the COI? What is the cost/benefit analysis of the increased OTC? To what extent will higher OTC be generally beneficial to the Northwest?
6. Slide 34: please post the new depreciation study.
7. Please provide the URL for the TBL Infrastructure Review, and identify the individuals involved, reports issued, etc.

Please respond as quickly as possible.

Thanks,

Kevin