

Customer Redline Comments On the TR-12 Settlement Agreement

MSR

The undersigned signatories (Signatories) to this Partial Settlement Agreement hereby agree to the following:

1. In the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) 2012 rate case, BPA will submit a proposal (Settlement Proposal) to establish rates for transmission services for fiscal years 2012-2013 (Rate Period) (including alternatives for the Montana Intertie rate depending on whether BPA terminates the exchange in the Montana Intertie agreement) as shown in Attachment 1. The Settlement Proposal will also include the following changes to existing rate schedules, all shown on Attachment 2, and no other changes:

a. A change in the rate for the Failure to Comply Penalty Charge from 1000 mills per kilowatthour to the greater of 500 mills per kilowatthour, 150% of an hourly energy index, or the WECC energy price cap on spot-market sales.

b. Deletion of Customer-Served Load provisions from the Network Integration rate schedule and addition of a short-distance discount to such rate schedule.

c. Modification of section E of the Integration of Resources rate schedule, Ratchet Demand Relief, to provide that Ratchet Demand relief is not available in the month in which the Ratchet Demand was established and that for such month the customer will be assessed charges based upon its highest hourly Scheduled Demand for the month.

d. Modification of the definitions of Dynamic Schedule and Dynamic Transfer so that they are identical to the definitions in the Dynamic Transfer Operating and Scheduling Business Practice.?? What about the current process on these issues?

e. Removal of the words “Short-Term Firm and Non-Firm PTP Transmission” from the definitions of Daily Service and Weekly Service; and replacement of the definitions for Monthly Firm Service and Monthly Non-Firm Service with a definition of Monthly Service that reads as follows: “*Monthly Service* is service that starts at 00:00 of any date and stops at 00:00 at least 28 days later, but less than or equal to 364 days later.”

f. Addition of a reference to conditional firm service in the availability clause of the Southern Intertie rate and the Montana Intertie rate. However, BPA will not offer conditional firm service based on system conditions before it adds this service to its open access transmission tariff.

2. (Placeholder for Montana Intertie)

3. The ancillary services Regulation and Frequency Response Service, Energy Imbalance Service, Operating Reserve – Spinning Reserve Service, Operating Reserve – Supplemental Reserve Service, and Generation Imbalance Service, and all control area

DRAFT – NOT REVIEWED OR APPROVED BY OR ON BEHALF OF ANY PARTY MSR revisions.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: All

Deleted: concerning these

Deleted: signatories

services, are not included in this settlement. Rate issues including the terms and conditions affecting the value or cost of the services will be litigated in the 2012 rate case. BPA reserves the right to propose changes to the rates, rate schedules, and associated general rate schedule provisions for these services, and the Signatories to this settlement preserve the right to litigate all issues concerning these services.

4. The Signatories agree to collaborate with BPA in exploring ways to reduce transmission rate pressures in future rate periods.

Deleted: The signatories Signatories acknowledge that in future rate periods BPA expects the costs of transmission service are expected to increase because of, among other things, additional cyber and physical security requirements, repairs to aging equipment, and construction of new lines.

Deleted: signatories

5.

a) The Transmission Risk Analysis Model will not be part of the record in the rate case and will not be subject to discovery. Currently BPA has determined that if more than \$250 million of reserves attributed to Transmission Services (Transmission Reserves) were made unavailable to Transmission Services during the Rate Period, transmission rates would fail to meet BPA's treasury payment probability standard of 95 percent. The Signatories may not present evidence or argument in the 2012 rate case to challenge this determination.

Deleted: signatories

b) In its initial 2012 rate case proposal, Power Services plans to rely on \$150 million in Transmission Reserves to mitigate risk. The Signatories may present evidence and argument in the 2012 rate case concerning the amount of Transmission Reserves that Power Services may rely on to mitigate risk, but may not present evidence or argument that this amount should be more than \$250 million.

Deleted: signatories

Deleted: or for other purposes

c) In establishing final rates for the Rate Period, the Administrator has the right to determine that the amount of Transmission Reserves that can be made unavailable to Transmission Services during the Rate Period, and still allow Transmission Services to meet BPA's treasury payment probability standard, is less than \$250 million. If the Administrator does so, the Signatories may not argue to FERC or in any judicial forum that an amount of Transmission Reserves greater than the amount so determined by the Administrator may be made unavailable to Transmission Services during the Rate Period.

Deleted: signatories

d) Carol's comments. (MSR approves of Carol's comments)

Deleted: l

e) The maximum amount of transmission financial reserves to be used in addressing PBL's TPP shall be capped at _____. In no event may any of the TX reserves be used to support or reduce PBL rates.

6. During the Rate Period, BPA will:

a) Work with Customer groups to develop a timeline and methodology for maximizing and allocating of Dynamic Transfer Capability (DTC) that will provide parties with certainty regarding DTC allocations in advance of the May 1, 2011, election for balancing services.

Deleted: D

Deleted: timeline

Deleted: allocation

DRAFT – NOT REVIEWED OR APPROVED BY OR ON BEHALF OF ANY PARTY MSR revisions.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: Revisit its

Deleted:

b) Work with customer groups to reexamine current studies and methodologies to determine whether additional DTC can be made available to customers and to address changes in FERC requirements.

Deleted: A

Deleted:

c) Develop the parameters with interested parties to allow short-term balancing agreements required for the CSGI pilot to be executed using existing DTC contracts and rights.

Deleted: in support of development of

Deleted: an eligible

Deleted:

Deleted: development of balancing reserve quantities for the Rate Period on a more granular basis that takes into account seasonal requirements.

Deleted: f) Consider

Deleted: impacts of

d) Participate in the Northwest Power Pool process develop contingency provisions for extreme wind events.

e) Develop a team to work with customers to review and modify methodology for determining operating reserves taking into account actual needs and NERC requirements so as to avoid over buying or reducing available power production unnecessarily. Consider identifying reserve requirements on a 24 “cell” approach by HLH and LLH and reflecting seasonal differences.

Deleted: engage the signatories Signatories in discussions regarding the following issues: Laura is looking for more of a process, more than merely engaging in discussion. She has some language: timeline providing certainty prior to the May 1, revisit studies, allow existing DTC rights to remain, participate in NWPP to support eligible contingency, WIC to account for reasonable needs.

work with customer groups to develop operational measures to potentially mitigate the adverse impacts cumulative imbalances and patterns of under-delivery or over-use of energy on the FCRPS, as an alternative to the current Persistent Deviation charge.

Deleted: Harvey: Settlement to include a process for the procurement of SWBS resources. BPA reaction is that the mechanism and everything SWBS-related would entirely be in the Power Rate Case. BPA set up a formula rate that would apply for the acquisitions.

g.) produce a segmentation study or another analysis that compares the rates, terms, conditions, and flexibility of the NT and PTP transmission services.

h.) work with transmission customers to increase operation use of the transmission system in order to minimize disruption or redirects on the system. And to assure deliveries to Northern California can be made in a timely and cost effective manner.

a. Commercial practices under which BPA allocates dynamic transfer capability (DTC), taking account of self-supply and other regional initiatives.

b. Methodologies to determine the availability of DTC.

c. Ways to secure reliable and reasonable operational certainty for generators given the operational limits on the amount of DTC that BPA can make available.

d. The use and terms of dynamic t... [1]

7. Before issuing an initial proposal in BPA’s 2014 rate case, BPA will make public its transmission rate design model and its transmission risk analysis model. Customers shall be able to use these models to develop possible rate scenarios under various operating conditions.

Deleted: its

8. The Provided that Bonneville complies with the terms and conditions of this Settlement agreement, Signatories agree not to contest any aspect of the Settlement Proposal, or, with respect to the rates included in the Settlement Proposal and established for the Rate Period, any of the elements thereof, the methodologies and principles used to derive such rates, or any aspect of the rate schedules or general rate schedule provisions, or any other issue that is included in the Settlement Proposal. The Signatories further agree to waive their rights to cross-examination and discovery with respect thereto, except in response to issues raised by any party in such proceeding that is not a signatory to this Partial Settlement Agreement. If, however, BPA does not submit a proposal

Deleted: The models will not include then-current data used for establishing transmission rates.

Deleted: signatories

Deleted: signatories

DRAFT – NOT REVIEWED OR APPROVED BY OR ON BEHALF OF ANY PARTY MSR
revisions.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: signatories

consistent with the terms of this Partial Settlement Agreement, the Signatories may contest any aspect of its proposal.

9. The Signatories will move the Hearing Officer to specify a date, within a reasonable time of the prehearing conference in the rate case, by which any party to the rate case that has not executed this Partial Settlement Agreement must object to the settlement proposed in this Partial Settlement Agreement and identify each issue included in the Settlement Proposal that such rate case party chooses to preserve for hearing. If no rate case party objects to the Settlement Proposal and preserves issues for hearing, BPA shall propose to the Administrator that he adopt the Settlement Proposal in its entirety. If any rate case party does object to the Settlement Proposal, BPA may, but shall not be required to, revise the Settlement Proposal as it believes appropriate, either after such rate case party states its objection or after parties file their direct testimony. If BPA decides to revise the Settlement Proposal, the Signatories, together with any other interested rate case parties, will meet promptly to discuss a new procedural schedule that they will propose to the Hearing Officer, allowing BPA a reasonable time in which to present a revised proposal and the parties a reasonable time to respond to such revised proposal. In that event, the Signatories may contest any aspect of the revised proposal.

Deleted: signatories

Deleted: signatories

Deleted: signatories

10. Nothing in this Partial Settlement Agreement is intended in any way to alter the Administrator's authority and responsibility to periodically review and revise the Administrator's transmission rates or the Signatories' rights to challenge such revisions consistent with the Administrator's statutory authority.

Deleted: signatories'

11. If the Administrator establishes transmission rates in accordance with the Settlement Proposal and submits such rates to FERC for confirmation and approval under the applicable standards of the Northwest Power Act, the Signatories will not challenge such confirmation and approval of the rates or any element thereof, including the methodologies and principles used to establish the rates, or support or join any such challenge, and will not challenge the rates or any element thereof, including the methodologies and principles used to establish the rates, in any judicial forum.

Deleted: signatories

Deleted: the

12. The Signatories will not assert in any forum that anything in this Partial Settlement Agreement or any action with regard to this Partial Settlement Agreement taken or not taken by any signatory, the Hearing Officer, the Administrator, FERC, or a court, creates or implies any procedural or substantive precedent or creates or implies agreement to any underlying principle or methodology, or creates any precedent under any contract between BPA and any signatory.

Deleted: signatories

13. By executing this Partial Settlement Agreement, no signatory waives any of its rights under the Federal Power Act, or any right to pursue BPA tariff dispute resolution procedures consistent with BPA's tariff (including without limitation any complaint concerning implementation of BPA's tariff) or any claim that a particular charge, methodology, practice or rate schedule has been improperly applied.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

14. Nothing in this Partial Settlement Agreement amends any contract or modifies rights or obligations or limits the remedies available thereunder.

This Partial Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts.

_____ for

_____ Date _____

Harvey: Settlement to include a process for the procurement of SWBS resources. BPA reaction is that the mechanism and everything SWBS-related would entirely be in the Power Rate Case. BPA set up a formula rate that would apply for the acquisitions.

- a. Commercial practices under which BPA allocates dynamic transfer capability (DTC), taking account of self-supply and other regional initiatives;
- b. Methodologies to determine the availability of DTC;
- c. Ways to secure reliable and reasonable operational certainty for generators given the operational limits on the amount of DTC that BPA can make available;
- d. The use and terms of dynamic transfer operating agreements to govern access to and use of DTC;
- e. The appropriate use, if any, of the Northwest Power Pool Firm Contingent product code for wind; and
- f. Ways through operational measures to potentially mitigate the adverse impacts of cumulative imbalances and patterns of under-delivery or over-use of energy on the FCRPS. These discussions will not include discussions of the Persistent Deviation charge.