**From:** Dubson, Dina
**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 4:34 PM
**To:** 'Tech Forum'
**Cc:** 'Cameron Yourkowski'; 'Ken'; Hall, Stephen
**Subject:** 2012 Rate Case

As requested in today's 2012 Rate Case Workshop, the Northwest Wind Group is resubmitting its comments on the reserve level percentile to be used in the Initial Proposal; please see the email below. In addition, the Northwest Wind Group requests that Bonneville post all customer comments submitted to Bonneville with respect to the 2012 Rate Case on its website.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Dina

**Dina M. Dubson**
**STOEL RIVES LLP** | 900 SW Fifth Ave, Suite 2600 | Portland, OR 97204-1268
Direct: (503) 294-9675 | Mobile: (503) 545-1636 | Fax: (503) 220-2480
dmdubson@stoel.com | www.stoel.com |
http://www.linkedin.com/in/dinadubson

This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any unauthorized review, use, or distribution is prohibited and may be unlawful.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

**From:** Cameron Yourkowski [mailto:cameron@rnp.org]
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 20, 2010 4:13 PM
**To:** Tech Forum
**Cc:** Hall, Stephen; Dubson, Dina; Ken Dragoon; Henry Tilghman
**Subject:** 2012 BPA Rate Case--NWG reserve level percentile comments

2012 BPA Rate Case--NWG reserve level percentile comments:

The Northwest Wind Group appreciates the opportunity to comment on the question of whether the 2012 rate case initial proposal should use a 99.5 or 99.7 percentile when determining the reserve requirement for wind generators. It is our strong preference that BPA carry both options through the rate case analysis so that we can make a more informed decision at a future date. We are not aware of any technical reason why this sensitivity cannot be carried further into the rate case analysis. This question touches on a key issue in the rate case, curtailments versus fixed costs, which is also and to greater extent influenced by many other rate case issues (installed capacity, self-supply, scheduling accuracy, half-hour scheduling capability, thermal integration rates, etc.) To fully weigh the tradeoffs between the number of curtailments and the level of the Wind Integration Charge, we would need more clarity on these related issues.

Please let us know if you have any questions,

Northwest Wind Group

Cameron Yourkowski
Renewable Northwest Project
917 SW Oak, Suite 303
Portland, OR  97205
503-223-4544 (phone)
www.rnp.org