



Bonneville Power Administration Power Services Power provided transmission scheduling service

Current Direction:

BPAP will require that load following customers with an NT contract who purchase either RSS or a power product under a Tier 2 rate design assign BPAP as their scheduling service provider under their NT service contract.

All other load following customers serving their load under a NT contract have the option of assigning BPAP as their scheduling service provider under their NT service contract.

What do Transmission Scheduling Services cover?

BPAP will be responsible for forecasting of customer's daily NT load and submittal of transmission schedules to BPAT (we already submit transmission schedules to the transfer providers – this will not change).

Customer will be responsible for providing BPAP with all information necessary to allow BPAP to schedule their non-federal resources to load.

Customer will continue to be responsible for all non-scheduling aspects of their NT contract (designation of resources, 10 year load/resource forecast, etc).

Why such a requirement?

- BPAP currently provides these services for customers served via transfer – we want to memorialize this in the contracts.
- Having BPAP do the transmission scheduling on behalf of the load following customers will allow BPAP the ability to efficiently manage the balancing resources that are acquired to meet such load.
- Having BPAP manage the transmission scheduling for load following customers reduces many complexities especially if or when scheduling of federal resources is required for loads within the control area. Or when there is no longer a single “BPA System” POR for scheduling to loads in or outside the control area.
- Having Power Services provide scheduling services for those customers acquiring RSS will enable Power Services to make ensure there is coordination and information flow necessary to ensure the customer's load is being met in any hour.

Why isn't this service required or offered to load following customers with PTP?

The primary reason is that NT service is a load following transmission service and is based on a customers load. NT service is flexible enough to allow multiple resources to serve a specific load. BPAP's management of the NT contract should not increase the customer's transmission costs.



PTP on the other-hand is a demand based service and is acquired from a specific resource to a specific load. BPAP feels a customer who acquired PTP to serve their load has additional risks with being able to serve that load on a firm basis and BPAP is not willing to take on such risks. They also have opportunities to resell their unused transmission. BPAP does not want to get in the middle of these risks and opportunities that the customer has chosen to manage.

What about offering a bundled power and transmission service (BPAP manages the whole transmission contract on behalf of the customer)?

In addition, BPAP will commit to offer a service to manage the NT contracts for a subset of load following customers who are solely purchasing power under a BPA rate to serve their NT load. (What we referred to as a bundled concept). What this exactly looks like needs to be worked out customers who would want such a service and then agreed to by BPA Transmission.