

# Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration

Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Sammamish-Maple Valley #1 230kV Urgent Work in Line Miles 13-28 (*Update to previous categorical exclusion issued July 28, 2018*)

**PP&A No.:** 4014

**Project Manager:** Thomas Murphy, TELP-TPP-3

**Location:** King County, WA

**Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):** B1.3 Routine maintenance

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA is currently performing the work outlined in the CX issued July 18, 2018. Additionally, BPA proposes to replace conductor hardware and insulators on structures 20/5, 21/3, 22/1, 23/4, 23/5, 25/3, 26/8, 27/2, 29/2, and 29/4 of the Sammamish-Maple Valley#1 230-kV transmission line. Structures 23/4 and 23/5 would require adding rock to the existing access roads. BPA also proposes to replace the conductor between structures 17/5 and 18/1.

Replacing conductor hardware and insulators would include the use of bucket trucks and light duty trucks. Work would include replacing existing conductor hardware and insulators with similar or in-kind parts. Replacing the conductor would be done using a combination of line trucks, bucket trucks, and light duty trucks. The conductor would be replaced span by span in a way that does not require pulling or tensioning sites. Rock would be added to existing access roads using dump trucks and a grader. No culverts or any other type of road feature that requires ground disturbance would be added.

All of the above activities would take place in the existing right-of-way or adjacent to the right-of-way, would be conducted above ground, and therefore would not require tree clearing or ground disturbance.

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

*/s/ Jonnel Deacon*

Jonnel Deacon EPR-4

Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Concur:

*/s/ Sarah T. Biegel*

Sarah T. Biegel

NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: September 14, 2018

Attachment(s):

Environmental Checklist

## Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Sammamish-Maple Valley #1 230kV Urgent Work in Line Miles 13-28  
 (Update to previous categorical exclusion issued July 28, 2018)

---

### Project Site Description

Proposed maintenance activities are located in the same general location as the current work.

### Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1. <b>Historic and Cultural Resources</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Explanation:</u> Because the proposed work is similar in scope and location to the current work, no additional Section 106 consultation is necessary.		
2. <b>Geology and Soils</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Explanation:</u> No ground-disturbing activities are proposed.		
3. <b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Explanation:</u> Work would occur in areas maintained as an open transmission line corridor. No special-status species are present.		
4. <b>Wildlife</b> (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Explanation:</u> Because the proposed work is similar in scope and location to the current work, no additional ESA review or consultation is necessary.		
5. <b>Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish</b> (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Explanation:</u> Structure 27/3 is currently located 730 feet west of the Cedar River. The access road maintenance and conductor hardware and insulator replacement would not require any ground-disturbing activities and would not remove any vegetation. There would be no in-water work required. A no effect determination addressing ESA-listed aquatic species and essential fish habitat was completed for the project.		
6. <b>Wetlands</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Explanation:</u> No wetlands occur at, or within, the area of influence of the work sites.		

7. **Groundwater and Aquifers**



Explanation: Project activities do not have the potential to impact groundwater or aquifers, including public and private water wells or springs. Any spills would be addressed immediately and follow BPA protocol for cleanup and regulatory notifications.

8. **Land Use and Specially Designated Areas**



Explanation: The project locations are within existing transmission line rights-of-way and would not change existing land uses. Project locations do not include any specially designated areas.

9. **Visual Quality**



Explanation: Although a small number of structures would have new conductor installed and have conductor hardware and insulators replaced, the overall visual change would be consistent with the existing transmission line use in the area.

10. **Air Quality**



Explanation: The project has a short duration and involves normal construction equipment activities. A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions is expected due to construction.

11. **Noise**



Explanation: Noise disturbance would be limited to general construction equipment activities, would be for a short duration, and would occur during daylight hours.

12. **Human Health and Safety**



Explanation: No known hazardous conditions are expected. Completion of this project would increase system stability and reliability to the service area.

### **Evaluation of Other Integral Elements**

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

- Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

- Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

- Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

- Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

---

### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

Description: BPA Realty Services has been in contact with landowners/managers along the project corridor to allow feedback concerning the proposed project.

---

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Jonnell Deacon  
Jonnell Deacon – EPR-4  
Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Date: September 14, 2018