Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Fiber Optic Cable Addition

Project No.: LURR 20190311

Project Manager: Charlene Belt - TERR- ROSS - MHQA

Location: Clark County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.9 Multiple use of

powerline rights-of-way

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to allow K&B Technical Solutions (MCI Metro Access Transmission Services DBA Verizon) to add fiber to two existing Clark County PUD distribution lines that are located in the BPA transmission line right-of-way on the west side of Hazel Dell Road in Vancouver, Washington (Township 2 North, Range 1 East, Section 10). At this location, six BPA transmission lines (on 3 steel towers) cross the road in an east-west direction. Within the BPA right-of-way, the northern-most distribution pole is located closer to structure 38/4 of the Bonneville Powerhouse No. 1 – Alcoa Nos. 1 & 2 115-kV lines. The southern-most distribution pole is located closer to structure 1/5 of the Ross – Alcoa Nos. 3 & 4 230-kV lines.

K&B Technical Solutions would use a bucket truck to connect a fiber optic cable to the southern-most pole. No ground disturbance would occur at this pole. The contractor would then connect the fiber to the northern-most pole. At this point, the fiber cable would drop down the pole in conduit to a new fiber vault to be installed at the base of the pole. The vault would be 36" wide by 60" long by 36" deep. A pit would be excavated for the new vault and to bore and pull the cable in conduit east under Hazel Dell Rd. Another pit (within the BPA right-of-way) would be excavated on the east side of the road where the bore and cable in conduit would take a 90-degree turn and head north off of BPA's right-of-way. Once the vault is installed and the boring/cable pulling complete on BPA's right-of-way, the pits would be filled with excavated material, re-contoured, and reseeded.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

| /s/ Nancy A. Wittpenn | |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Nancy A. Wittpenn | |
| Environmental Protection Specialist | |
| · | |
| Concur: | |
| /s/ Sarah T. Biegel | Date: <u>April 23, 2020</u> |
| Sarah T. Biegel | |
| NEPA Compliance Officer | |

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

| Proposed Action: | Fiber Optic Cable Addition | |
|------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | |
| | | |

wanaaaa Aattan Cibar Ontia Cabla Additian

Project Site Description

The project site on either side of Hazel Dell Road is flat with grass and weeds. Hazel Dell Road is a busy throughway in an area of mixed residential, industrial, and low-growing agricultural fields under the BPA transmission lines. Ross Maintenance Headquarters is immediately to the southeast of the project site.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

| | Environmental Resource Impacts | No Potential for Significance | No Potential for Significance, with Conditions | | |
|----|--|---|---|--|--|
| 1. | Historic and Cultural Resources | | | | |
| | Explanation: A BPA Contract Archaeologist reviewed the proposal and determined that the project has no potential to effect historic properties and no Section 106 consultation is needed. The project is within a previously disturbed area for the existing fiber poles and the prism of the Hazel Dell road bed. | | | | |
| | In the event any archaeological or historic materials are encountered during project activities, the following actions should be taken: | | | | |
| | Stop work and immediately notify addition, interested Tribes, Washi county, state, and Federal agencie. Implement reasonable measures stabilization or covering. Take reasonable steps to ensure access. | ngton Department of Histores should be notified. to protect the discovery site. | eric Preservation, and the appropriate e, including any appropriate | | |
| 2. | Geology and Soils | | | | |
| | Explanation: The project would temporar disturbance would be reseeded by the co | | rbed soil. All areas of ground | | |
| 3. | Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats) | | | | |
| | Explanation: Minor impacts to roadside v species are known to occur at the project | | o Federal or state special-status | | |
| 4. | Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats) | | | | |
| | Explanation: Typical urban wildlife occur construction. The project site is adjacent Project noise and activity would not be out | to a busy road and mixed | industrial and residential uses. | | |

| (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats) | V | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, or fi | ish occur on or near pro | ject site. | | | |
| 6. Wetlands | ~ | | | | |
| Explanation: No wetlands occur on or near pro | ject site. | | | | |
| 7. Groundwater and Aquifers | ~ | | | | |
| Explanation: No use of groundwater is propose | ed. No aquifers exist in | this area. | | | |
| 8. Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas | V | | | | |
| Explanation: Utility actions are a permitted use areas in the vicinity. | e at the project site. The | ere are no specially-designated | | | |
| 9. Visual Quality | ~ | | | | |
| <u>Explanation</u> : Project actions would not change the visual quality of the area as it is presently in utility use with the presence of transmission lines and fiber poles. | | | | | |
| 10. Air Quality | ~ | | | | |
| Explanation: Temporary dust and vehicle emis | sions would occur durir | ng construction activities. | | | |
| 11. Noise | ~ | | | | |
| Explanation: A temporary increase in noise wo | ould occur during constr | uction. See Wildlife above. | | | |
| 12. Human Health and Safety | ~ | | | | |
| Explanation: The contractor would develop and during construction as required by Clark Count | | plans. Flaggers may be used | | | |
| Evaluation of Other Integral Elements | | | | | |
| The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not: | | | | | |
| Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. | | | | | |
| Explanation, if necessary: | | | | | |
| Require siting and construction or major expans facilities (including incinerators) that are not other | | | | | |
| Explanation, if necessary: | | | | | |
| Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, conta natural gas products that preexist in the environ unpermitted releases. | | | | | |
| Explanation, if necessary: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: Surrounding landowners would be notified by BPA of the work schedule.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/Nancy A. Wittpenn Date: April 23, 2020

Nancy A. Wittpenn, ECT-4 Environmental Protection Specialist