**Categorical Exclusion Determination** 

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Filburt Tap 115-kV Transmission Line Reconnection

Project No.: N0733

Project Manager: Jim Turner - TFLF-Chemawa

Location: Washington County, Oregon

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.24 Property Transfers; B4.6 Additions and Modifications to Transmission Facilities

**Description of the Proposed Action:** The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to disconnect the conductor at structure 1/11 of their Filburt Tap to Forest Grove-McMinnville #1 115-kV transmission line. BPA would then reconnect the conductor to the City of Forest Grove's (Forest Grove) new structure 1/11. Forest Grove's new structure 1/11 is the last structure of the Filburt Tap line before it enters Forest Grove's substation. Any excess conductor from the reconnection would be used for the jumpers and/or recycled and/or disposed of. BPA would transfer ownership of the existing structure 1/11 and conductor span into Filburt Substation to Forest Grove (also the underlying landowner).

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Carol Leiter</u> Carol Leiter, ECT-4 Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer Date: <u>March 23, 2020</u>

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Filburt Tap 115-kV Transmission Line Reconnection

## **Project Site Description**

The project site is located in the City of Forest Grove, just north of the Tualatin Valley Highway, in Township 1 South, Range 3 West, Section 6. The site is owned by the City of Forest Grove and also contains their substation that taps off of the BPA transmission system to serve Forest Grove and surrounding areas. The site is flat with grass and trees. The trees have been strategically planted by the city to screen residential neighborhoods to the north and east and an industrial area to the west.

## **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: A BPA Historian and BPA Cont relinquishment of existing structure 1/11 and historic resources.		
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would of	occur.	
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: No ground or vegetation distur	bance would occur.	
4.	<b>Wildlife</b> (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would a may temporarily scatter. The site is adjacen uses. Project noise and activity would not be	nt to a busy highway an	d mixed industrial and residential
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, c	or fish occur on or near	project site.
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: No wetlands occur on or near p	project site.	
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers		
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would	occur.	

8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas					
	Explanation: Utility actions are a permitted use at the areas in the vicinity.	ne project site. There are no spe	cially-designated			
9.	Visual Quality	$\checkmark$				
	Explanation: Project actions would not change the use.	visual quality of the area as it is p	presently in utility			
10.	Air Quality	$\checkmark$				
	Explanation: No ground disturbance that would generate dust would occur.					
11.	Noise	$\checkmark$				
	Explanation: See Wildlife above.					
12.	Human Health and Safety	$\checkmark$				
	Explanation: BPA crews are well-trained and fully capable of performing project activities. requirements would be followed.					
	Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

## Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: BPA is coordinating closely with the City of Forest Grove.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Carol Leiter</u>

Date: <u>March 23, 2020</u>

Carol Leiter, ECT-4 Environmental Protection Specialist