Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Willamette Riverkeeper Vegetation Management

Project No.: 2009-012-00

Project Manager: Eric Andersen – EWM-4

Location: Marion County, Oregon

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.20 Protection of

Cultural Resources, Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

Description of the Proposed Action:

The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to provide funding to the Willamette Riverkeeper to implement two vegetation management projects in Marion County on land owned by Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (OPRD) and the City of Salem. The projects would occur at Willamette Mission State Park (WMSP) located near river mile 75 on the main stem Willamette River and at Minto Brown Park located near river mile 85 of the Willamette Slough connected to the mainstem Willamette River. The goals of this projects are to protect, manage, and restore habitat values for fish and wildlife by improving habitat complexity, water quality, and reducing fragmentation of suitable refugia for aquatic wildlife.

The work would include the following activities:

• Aquatic Vegetation Management at Minto Brown Park:

 Aquatic removal of invasive weeds using aquatic herbicides by boat and backpack spraying from the shoreline. Removal would focus on Uruguayan water primrose (*Ludwigia hexapetala*). Approximately 34 acres of invasive weeds would be removed in a 76-acre project area. The area would be treated for 3 years.

Vegetation Management at WMSP:

- Upland removal of non-native weeds by hand held herbicide treatment.
 Removal would focus on reed canarygrass, blackberry, clematis, Scots Broom, and shiny leaf geranium across approximately 205 acres of riparian and adjacent upland forest habitats.
- O The vegetation management at both project sites of WMSP and Minto Brown Park would not involve ground disturbance, new construction, nor handling of fish. **Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:
- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Luca T. De Stefanis
Luca T. De Stefanis - ECF
Environmental Protection Specialist
Motus

Approved by:

/s/ Chad Hamel

Chad Hamel

Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Katey Grange Date: May 26, 2020

Katey Grange

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Willamette Riverkeeper Vegetation Management

Project Site Description

The William Mission State Park is managed by the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (OPRD) and the area to be treated consists of seasonal floodplain forest and riparian forest on mostly flat lands along Willamette River mile 75. The Minto Brown Park is a 1,200-acre city park inside the City of Salem consisting of lush, open, and wooded areas with the area to be treated consisting of wet, flat existing sloughs, and side channels located near river mile 85 of the Willamette Slough. Willamette Slough is hydrologically connected to the mainstem Willamette River. Both project areas are located on the mainstem of the Willamette River and open for public use from walking, hiking, dog walking and bird watching.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	<u>Explanation</u> : There would be no ground dist the potential to affect historic properties or c		ne proposed activities would not have
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: No ground disturbing activities potential to affect geology and soils.	s proposed, thus the pro	posed activities do not have the
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: There are no federal/state sp vegetation would be disturbed during chem communities would result in a long-term be no effect to Endangered Species Act (ESA	nical control, but the projection for the projection in the projec	ect goal of a restored native plant plant communities. There would be
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No ground disturbing or other Field crews from 1-3 people walking with boat would yield avoidance or minor disturb or carried out from within a boat. There wo	ackpacks or utilizing sim pance through human pi	nilar treatment methodologies from a resence of walking through the woods

5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)				
	Explanation: The proposed action would have a minor impact to water bodies, floodplain or fish. Potential temporary degraded water quality in isolated areas resulting from concentrations of chemical contamination through direct spray and spills may occur, but minimization measures specified in the Endangered Species Act (ESA) consultation would minimize the potential for spills. The goal of the work is to improve rearing habitat for upper Willamette River spring chinook salmon through the restoration of native vegetative communities.				
	BPA determined that the aquatic vegetation remarked ESA-listed Upper Willamette River (UWR) steelhead (<i>O. mykiss</i>) or their designated critical Fisheries Service (NMFS) under ESA Section 7. 00622) for the project on 10/25/2019 The Aquatic would have no effect on ESA-listed species under	Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus habitats and consulted with the NMFS issued a Biological Opinic vegetation removal activities at	tshawytscha), UWŔ National Marine on (WCRO-2019- Minto Brown Park		
	Proposed vegetation management at WMSP would use herbicides and adjuvants based on BPA's Habitat Improvement Program (HIP) to remove weeds. Actions would be classified as low risk to species according to the BPA's ESA Section 7 consultation with USFWS and NMFS for BPA's HIP.				
	All conservation measures and terms and condition project implementation.	ions in both consultations would l	oe followed during		
6.	Wetlands				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Herbicide application to remove nor mapped wetlands, but the herbicide applications through the restoration of native wetland vegetation fill removal in these areas.	would result in a long-term net be	enefit to wetlands		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V			
	Explanation: The project would not affect groundwater and aquifers. No ground disturbing activities proposed adherence to the ESA consultation conservation measures and terms and conditions would reduce the potential for unintentional spills that could contaminate ground water.				
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas	V			
	Explanation: The project area(s) are owned by OPRD and City of Salem. The project would restore the area to a more natural condition, which is consistent with the OPRD and City of Salem natural resource management plans. Members of the public using the property for hiking and bird watching may have periods of temporary restricted use during vegetation control scheduled activities.				
9.	Visual Quality				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Some changes in vegetation and the associated visual quality would occur in the immediate project area, but the changes would be returning the area to a more natural state and would be consistent with the visual quality of the surrounding area and or native plant habitat. There would be a long-term improvement in the visual quality of the area due to the restoration of a more native plant and animal habitat condition.				
10.	Air Quality				
	Explanation: Any increase in emissions from veh accessing field sites would be very minor and sho	nicles or spot spraying from back ort term.	pack sprayers		
11.	Noise				
Explanation: All work would be carried out from within existing facilities or the existing field sites and would not result in an increase in ambient noise.					

12. Human Health and Safety	V	
Explanation: Contracted employees attered or State Public Operator weed spracered theorem or year are required to main federal guidelines. Appropriate PPE would	ying licenses up to date a ntain license. Human hea	according to Oregon State Law. 12 alth and safety would follow

Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

and safety conditions would be maintained and or improved by the improvements proposed.

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: The areas of the park would be closed for a brief period during herbicide application and signage would be installed prior. Public outreach and education efforts by both the City of Salem and OPRD with Willamette Riverkeeper have negotiated work hours and construction schedule with recreation users. There have been outreach efforts to communicate information on the project benefits and to address concerns.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Luca T. De Stefanis</u> Date: <u>May 26, 2020</u>

Luca De Stefanis, ECF-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Motus