Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



<u>Proposed Action:</u> Grizzly – Captain Jack No. 1 Access Road Maintenance and Ross – Malin

Fiber Line Repair

PP&A No.: 4776

Project Manager: Todd Wehner – TEPL-TPP-1

Location: Klamath County, Oregon

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine

Maintenance; B3.2 Aviation Activities

<u>Description of the Proposed Action:</u> The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to repair fiber cable on the Grizzly – Captain Jack No. 1 transmission line between structures 130/2 to 133/5, 137/3 to 141/2, and 143/2 to 146/2 (about 10 Miles of fiber in total). The fiber line is known as the Ross – Malin Fiber Line. The project would replace damaged fiber. Pull tension areas would be located between the following spans: 130/1 to 130/2, 133/5 to 134/1, 143/1 to 143/2 and 146/2 to 146/3. Pull-tension equipment would be parked within these selected locations. The work would include the use of heavy transmission stringing equipment, bucket trucks, work trucks and trailers, and use of a drone to provide assistance in sock line installation. The proposed work is necessary to ensure power grid reliability.

Accessing the project work area to perform the repair would require reconstructing about 17 miles of existing access roads between line miles 121 and 146. This would include grading and compacting existing road surface as well as hauling, placing, and shaping of additional crushed rock. Road maintenance would also include placement of water bars. Landing reconstruction would be required at approximately 66 existing landings within project area to achieve a 30x40 footprint. General equipment used for this type of roadwork includes: graders, rollers, bull-dozers, and dump trucks. The access road improvements would preserve and repair the existing road, maintain roadway safety, and manage storm water run-off. Landings may require debris removal, leveling, and surface rocking.

<u>Findings:</u> In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- 1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- 2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- 3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ <u>Frederick Walasavage</u>
Frederick Walasavage
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Katey Grange

Katey Grange Date: May 8, 2023

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

<u>Proposed Action:</u> Grizzly-Captain Jack No. 1 Access Road Maintenance and Ross – Malin Fiber <u>Line Repair</u>

Project Site Description

The site is located on the Freemont National Forest from structures 130/2 to 133/5 and on private land from structures 143/2 to 146/2. Nearby land uses include forest management, rangeland, and passive recreation. Vegetation within the right-of-way corridor is maintained as a low-growing plant community of mainly grasses and small shrubs. Topography is gently sloping with a mix of plateaus and canyons.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

1. Historic and Cultural Resources

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: BPA initiated consultation with the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), United States Forest Service Fremont Winema National Forest (Fremont Winema NF), Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (OPRD), the Burns Paiute Tribe, the Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon (CTWSRO), the Fort Bidwell Indian Community of the Fort Bidwell Reservation of California, and the Klamath Tribes on February 23, 2022. The project was assigned a SHPO Case number on March 16, 2022, and the CTWSRO responded to consultation on March 24, 2022. BPA consulted on a determination of adverse effect on December 12, 2022, and updated determinations of eligibility for individual resources on January 12, 2023 and February 10, 2023. The SHPO, Fremont Winema NF, OPRD, Burns Paiute Tribe, Fort Bidwell Indian Community, and Klamath Tribes engaged in negotiations of a Memorandum of Agreement to mitigate for adverse effects, which was executed on May 08, 2023.

2. Geology and Soils

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: Localized soil disturbance would occur during access road maintenance activities, landing work and fiber stringing. Standard construction erosion control measures would be utilized as necessary to prevent soils from migrating off site.

3. Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)

Potential for Significance: No

<u>Explanation</u>: No Federal/state special-status plants are present. Project activities would crush and disturb vegetation within work areas, but overall, the work would not substantially alter existing plant communities.

4. Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: No Federal/state special-status species were identified within the project area. Project activities would temporarily disturb local wildlife during construction, but the disturbance would be limited to the already impacted transmission line right-of-way and access roads and would not substantially alter the long-term footprint or operational noise of the transmission line; therefore, wildlife and associated habitat would not be affected in the long term.

5. Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)

Potential for Significance: No

<u>Explanation</u>: No in-water work is proposed for this project. Standard construction erosion control measures would be utilized to ensure sediment and other contaminants do not enter bodies of water; therefore, water bodies, floodplains, and fish would not be affected by the proposed project activities.

6. Wetlands

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: None present in the project area.

7. Groundwater and Aquifers

Potential for Significance: No

<u>Explanation</u>: Project excavation activities are not expected to reach a depth to impact groundwater or aquifers.

8. Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas

Potential for Significance: No

<u>Explanation</u>: The project would not result in permanent changes or impacts to land use. Little or no impacts are to be expected for recreational use.

9. Visual Quality

Potential for Significance: No

<u>Explanation</u>: All work would be performed within existing transmission system rights-of-way and access road and would be consistent with the existing viewshed.

10. Air Quality

Potential for Significance: No

<u>Explanation</u>: Exhaust and dust from utility and construction equipment may temporarily reduce air quality in the immediate project area.

11. Noise

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: Construction noise would be temporary and would occur during daylight hours.

12. Human Health and Safety

Potential for Significance: No

<u>Explanation</u>: BPA personnel would develop a site-specific health and safety plan to address any hazards during the proposed work. The proposed work is necessary to ensure ongoing safe and reliable operation of the transmission system and to maintain power delivery in the region.

Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation: N/A

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation: N/A

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation: N/A

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation: N/A

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: BPA Realty notified private landowners. BPA Realty and archeologist have been in contact with USFS and most recently at the annual BPA and USFS annual coordination meeting held in January 2023.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Frederick Walasavage Date: May 8, 2023

Frederick Walasavage

Environmental Protection Specialist