# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Lexington-Longview Distressed Structure Replacement

Project No.: 6867

Project Manager: Alla Kirsanova, TEPL-TPP-1

Location: Cowlitz County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine Maintenance

**Description of the Proposed Action:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to replace Lexington-Longview No. 1 structure 9/1 and any associated hardware and guys. The structure proposed for replacement is currently in a landslide and is moving down the hillside. The proposed project would move the structure back on line approximately 50 feet away from the landslide to a more stable location. The work area would be approximately 100 feet by 300 feet. Road work to safely access the structure would be necessary and would include approximately 4,500 feet of road improvement. Approximately 1,000 feet of new road would also be constructed to the north to avoid future landslides. Fire wraps would also be added to poles where required.

The Federal Columbia River Transmission System Act directs BPA to construct, acquire, operate, maintain, repair, relocate, and replace the transmission system, including facilities and structures appurtenant thereto. (16 United States Code [U.S.C] § 838i(b)). The Administrator is further charged with maintaining electrical stability and reliability, selling transmission and interconnection services, and providing service to BPA's customers. (16 U.S.C § 838b(b-d)). The Administrator is also authorized to conduct electrical research, development, experimentation, tests, and investigation related to construction, operation, and maintenance of transmission systems and facilities. (16 U.S.C § 838i(b)(3)).

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.102 of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996; 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011; 89 FR 34074, April 30, 2024; 90 FR 29676, July 3, 2025 [Interim Final Rule]) and *DOE National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Implementing Procedures* (dated June 30, 2025), BPA has determined the following:

- 1) The proposed action fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021;
- 2) The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and
- 3) There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal (see attached Environmental Evaluation).

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review. <sup>1</sup>

/s/ <u>Jonnel Deacon</u> Jonnel Deacon Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Concur:

/s/ <u>Katey Grange</u> Katey C. Grange NEPA Compliance Officer Date: <u>July 10. 2025</u>

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> BPA is aware that the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), on February 25, 2025, issued an interim final rule to remove its NEPA implementing regulations at 40 C.F.R. Parts 1500–1508. Based on CEQ guidance, and to promote completion of its NEPA review in a timely manner and without delay, in this CX BPA is voluntarily relying on the CEQ regulations, in addition to the interim final rule to revise DOE NEPA regulations implementing NEPA at 10 C.F.R. Part 1021 and NEPA Implementing Procedures (dated June 30, 2025), to meet its obligations under NEPA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 et seq.

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

## Proposed Action: Lexington-Longview Distressed Structure Replacement

## **Project Site Description**

The structure being replaced and associated road work is on private land in Cowlitz County, Washington. The project area is on Mt. Solo and is characterized by unstable slopes with several active landslides. The area surrounding the right-of-way consists of private timber land and a few rural houses beyond that.

# **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

#### 1. Historic and Cultural Resources

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: Pursuant to its responsibilities under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and 36 CFR 800, BPA initiated consultation with the Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP), the Cowlitz Tribe, and the Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde on March 19, 2025. DAHP concurred with the APE and the determination of no adverse effect on June 5, 2025. No other responses were received within 30 days.

In the unlikely event that cultural material is inadvertently encountered during the implementation of this project, BPA will require that work be halted in the vicinity of the finds until they can be inspected and assessed by BPA and in consultation with the appropriate consulting parties.

### 2. Geology and Soils

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: Localized soil disturbance would occur during wood pole replacement and road work. Standard construction erosion control measures would be utilized as necessary to minimize soils from traveling outside of the work areas.

#### 3. Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: About 0.3 acres of vegetation would be graveled for the new access road and minimal vegetation disturbance is anticipated for the other work areas. There would be no effect to ESA-listed plant species. No impacts to state or federally sensitive species are anticipated. Project activities would be limited to the already impacted access road and transmission line right-of-way and would not substantially alter existing plant communities in the area.

#### 4. Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: In general, the project would have a small impact to wildlife and habitat related to temporary disturbance associated with elevated equipment noise and human presence. The project would have no impacts to state or federally listed sensitive species.

# 5. Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: The project area is not located within a floodplain and there are no nearby water bodies that support resident, anadromous, or ESA-listed fish. Erosion control best management practices combined with the vegetated distance to the nearest waterbody (approximately 4,100 feet) would ensure that sedimentation would not enter into any water body.

#### 6. Wetlands

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: Structure replacement and road work would not occur in wetlands and no wetland impacts are proposed.

#### 7. Groundwater and Aquifers

Potential for Significance: No

<u>Explanation</u>: No use of groundwater proposed. Maximum depth of disturbance would be about 12 feet below ground surface and work is proposed to occur during the dry season when interactions with groundwater would be minimized.

#### 8. Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: No change in land use would occur. No specially-designated areas are present in the work areas.

#### 9. Visual Quality

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: All work would be performed within existing transmission line right-of-way. Replacement of the wood pole and associated components would be in kind and replaced in a similar location. The new access road would be consistent with the current visual quality of the transmission line right-of-way and existing access roads. There would be a minimal change to the visual quality of the area.

#### 10. Air Quality

Potential for Significance: No

<u>Explanation</u>: The project would have a temporary impact on air quality from a small amount of vehicle emissions and dust generated during construction.

#### 11. Noise

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: There would be temporary construction noise, but it is not likely to extend to rural residents. Operational noise of the transmission line would not change.

#### 12. Human Health and Safety

Potential for Significance: No

<u>Explanation</u>: The proposed action would allow safe and timely access to the transmission line which would help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region.

#### Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation: N/A

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation: N/A

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation: N/A

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation: N/A

# Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: All activities have been coordinated with landowners.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ <u>Jonnel Deacon</u> Jonnel Deacon EPR-Olympia Date: July 10, 2025