## **NOVEMBER 20TH QUARTERLY BUSINESS REVIEW FOLLOW UP RESPONSES**

## Q. Power

- PPC is seeking further information and detail on the substantial variation in purchased power expenses.
- An ideal outcome would be a comparison of rate case and actual volumes and cost of power purchases by month.
- Please explain the \$92.5 million divergence from rate case (slide 44 of the 11/20/19 presentation) for 'Other Power Purchases'. The \$92.5 million divergence from rate case on slide 44 is included in the total \$336 million power purchase expense on slide 4. The main drivers behind the delta between actuals and rate case were dry weather conditions, cold temperatures and higher market prices particularly in February and March.

## Q. Energy Efficiency

- PPC is seeking further information on the below rate case spending levels for energy efficiency programs. Is the underspend due to under-execution and will it potentially be pushed into future rate periods?
  - Overall, Generation Conservation spending was \$20.4 million lower than the FY 2019 rate case forecast, as summarized on Slide 44 from BPA's most recent Quarterly Business Review Technical Workshop.
  - \$6.6 million of the delta is due to under-execution from forecast (a sum of differences among all categories except Conservation Acquisition) and may not impact future rate periods.
  - \$13.8 million of the delta was funding for Conservation Acquisition and is subject to BPA's rollover policy for flexibility within and between rate periods. Of that \$13.8 million, \$8.8 was deployed in FY2018 as accelerated conservation acquisition, \$4.7 million was deferred into the BP20 rate period for conservation acquisition, and the small remainder was conservation acquisition budget ineligible for rollover.