# Department of Energy 

Bonneville Power Administration
P.O. Box 3621

Portland, Oregon 97208-3621

December 5, 2023
In reply refer to: FOIA Requests BPA-2022-01116-F, BPA-2023-00140-F, 2023-00778-F, and BPA-2023-01038-F

## SENT VIA EMAIL ONLY TO:

Michael Deckert


Dear Mr. Deckert,
This communication is the Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) final response to your requests for agency records made under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA).

## Requests

As was communicated to you via email on November 29, 2022, and thereafter, the agency notes that your series of FOIA requests, BPA-2022-01116-F (Deckert) and BPA-2023-00140-F (Deckert) and BPA-2023-00778-F (Deckert), BPA-2023-01038-F (Deckert), and BPA-2023-01038-F (Deckert) all overlap in subject. As such, in the interest of conserving limited agency resources, the processed these FOIA requests in parallel:

## BPA-2022-01116-F

This request was received on July 25, 2022 and formally acknowledged on August 1, 2022:
"Records from 2021 and 2022 related to the funding of the Cowlitz Falls Project FERC No.P2833 in Lewis County, WA. Relevant topics would include but not be limited to: the purpose and need for the funds; the purpose and need for funds requested by [Lewis County Public Utility District] LCPUD that BPA declined to fund; documents filed in arbitration; items in dispute including the purchase of land (approx. $\$ 700,000$ ) referenced in Summer Gadsby Goodwin's (BPA) email to Michael Deckert dated July 6, 2022; amendments to the power purchase agreement."

## BPA-2023-00140-F

This request was received on November 9, 2022 and formally acknowledged on December 3, 2022: "Records from July 26, 2022 to November 4, 2022, related to the funding of the Cowlitz Falls Project FERC No.P-2833 in Lewis County, WA. Relevant topics would include but not be limited to: relicensing; recreation; the purpose and need for the funds; the purpose and need for funds requested by LCPUD that BPA declined to fund; documents filed in arbitration; items in dispute; amendments to the power purchase agreement."

BPA-2023-00078-F
This request was received on March 30, 2023 and formally acknowledged on April 6, 2023: "Records from November 5, 2022, to March 29, 2023, related to the funding of the Cowlitz Falls Project FERC No.P-2833 in Lewis County, WA. Relevant topics would include but not be limited to: relicensing; recreation; the purpose and need for the funds; the purpose and need for funds requested by LCPUD that BPA declined to fund; documents filed in arbitration; items in dispute; amendments to the power purchase agreement; any data, analysis, reports supporting funding requests/decisions."

BPA-2023-01038-F
This request was received on May 26, 2023 and formally acknowledged on June 16, 2023: "Records through May 26, 2023 documenting BPA's analysis, discussions, actions regarding the intent to not renew the Power Purchase Agreement with Lewis County Public Utility District \#1, FERC Project 2833. Relevant topics include but are not limited to records that document: data, reports, cost/benefit analysis, projections, reimbursement under section 17 of the contract, and forecasts." [Referencing] BPA 3/13/2019 Letter to LCPUD[,] "We are informing you at this time it is Bonneville's intent not to extend this Contract; however, a formal letter will be sent to Lewis as the Term Date draws near."

## Third Party Review of Records

As previously related to you, as afforded by U.S.C. § 552(b)(4), we provided Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County an opportunity to review records containing their data prior to public release. They reviewed their records and have no objections to public release.

## Response

Subject matter experts from the agency's Contract Generating Resources, Generation Asset Management, and General Counsel Offices collected 2063 pages of responsive records. Those pages accompany this communication with the following number of redactions made under the following exemptions:

- Ten exemptions applied under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5) (Exemption 5)
- Fifty-three exemptions applied under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6) (Exemption 6)


## Explanation of Exemptions

The FOIA generally requires the release of all agency records upon request. However, the FOIA permits or requires withholding certain limited information that falls under one or more of nine statutory exemptions (5 U.S.C. §§ 552(b) (1-9)). Further, section (b) of the FOIA, which contains the FOIA's nine statutory exemptions, also directs agencies to publicly release any reasonably segregable, non-exempt information that is contained in those records.

## Exemption 5

Exemption 5 protects "inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency" (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5)). The FOIA's Exemption 5 deliberative process privilege protects records showing the deliberative or decision-making processes of government agencies. Records protectable under this privilege must be both pre-decisional and deliberative. A record is pre-decisional if it is generated before the adoption of an agency policy. A record is deliberative if it reflects the give-and-take of the consultative process, either by assessing the merits of a particular viewpoint, or by articulating the process used by the agency to formulate a decision. Here, BPA relies on Exemption 5 here to protect the agency's pre-decisional, deliberative comments about the LCPUD budget. Release of these comments would cause confusion to and mislead the public about the agency's decision-making process.

Records protected by Exemption 5 may be discretionarily released. BPA has considered and declined a discretionary release of some pre-decisional and deliberative information in the responsive records set because disclosure of that information would harm the interests and protections encouraged by Exemption 5.

## Exemption 6

Exemption 6 serves to protect Personally Identifiable Information (PII) contained in agency records when no overriding public interest in the information exists. BPA does not find an overriding public interest in a release of the information redacted under Exemption 6specifically, mobile phone numbers and meeting call-in numbers, personal (non-business) email addresses, and an employee's personal comment. This information sheds no light on the executive functions of the agency and BPA finds no overriding public interest in its release. BPA cannot waive these redactions, as the protections afforded by Exemption 6 belong to individuals and not to the agency.

Lastly, as required by 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(8)(A), information has been withheld only in instances where (1) disclosure is prohibited by statute, or (2) BPA foresees that disclosure would harm an interest protected by the exemption cited for the record. When full disclosure of a record is not possible, the FOIA statute further requires that BPA take reasonable steps to segregate and release nonexempt information. The agency has determined that in certain instances partial disclosure is possible and has accordingly segregated the records into exempt and non-exempt portions.

## Fees

There are no fees associated with processing your FOIA request.

## Certification

Pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 1004.7, I am the individual responsible for the records search and information release described above. Your FOIA requests with tracking numbers

BPA-2022-01116-F, BPA-2023-00140-F, 2023-00778-F, and BPA-2023-01038-F are now closed with all responsive agency information provided.

## Appeal

Note that the records release certified above is final. Additional records releases will be forthcoming as agency resources and records volumes permit. Pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 1004.8, you may appeal the adequacy of the records search, and the completeness of this final records release, within 90 calendar days from the date of this communication. Appeals should be addressed to:

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals<br>HG-1, L'Enfant Plaza<br>U.S. Department of Energy<br>1000 Independence Avenue, S.W.<br>Washington, D.C. 20585-1615

The written appeal, including the envelope, must clearly indicate that a FOIA appeal is being made. You may also submit your appeal by e-mail to OHA.filings@hq.doe.gov, including the phrase "Freedom of Information Appeal" in the subject line. (The Office of Hearings and Appeals prefers to receive appeals by email.) The appeal must contain all the elements required by 10 C.F.R. § 1004.8, including a copy of the determination letter. Thereafter, judicial review will be available to you in the Federal District Court either (1) in the district where you reside, (2) where you have your principal place of business, (3) where DOE's records are situated, or (4) in the District of Columbia.

Additionally, you may contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows:

Office of Government Information Services
National Archives and Records Administration
8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS
College Park, Maryland 20740-6001
E-mail: ogis@nara.gov
Phone: 202-741-5770
Toll-free: 1-877-684-6448
Fax: 202-741-5769
Questions about this communication may be directed to James King, FOIA Public Liaison, at jjking@bpa.gov or at 503-230-7621. Thank you for your interest in the Bonneville Power Administration.

Sincerely,
RACHEL Digitally signed by RACHEL HULL HULL

Date: 2023.12.03
13:10:30-08'00'
Rachel L. Hull
Freedom of Information/Privacy Act Officer

## Department of Energy

## Bonneville Power Administration

Mail Drop 1399
PO Box 968
Richland, Washington 99352-0968
POWER SERVICES

June 6, 2022
In reply refer to: 1. Bradley Ford email to Cherie Sonoda, "RE: BPA review: 15-Month Budget Adjustments", dated September 20, 2021.
2. Bradley Ford letter to Debbie Carlson, "Operating Working Capital", dated April 22, 2022

Mr. Brad Ford, Chief Financial Officer
Public Utility District \#1 of Lewis County
P.O. Box 330

Chehalis WA 98532-0330

## Dear Mr. Ford:

By this letter, the Bonneville Power Administration (Bonneville) approves the Cowlitz Falls Project (CFP) 15-month Operating Year (OY) 2021 Budget as documented in your correspondence to Cherie Sonoda, dated September 20, 2021, as noted in reference \#1 above, and agreed to by both parties.

On March 2, 2021, Lewis County PUD \#1 (District) and Bonneville reached a Partial Settlement Agreement whereby Bonneville was required to send the District and additional $\$ 218,140.00$ to make the District "whole" for OY 2021. The District communicated to Bonneville that the amount "due" was not to be remitted, since it would increase the OY 2021 underrun amount.

The District's OY 2021 CFP Budget called for Bonneville to provide the CFP $\$ 7,816,840.00$ for the OY. On April 22, 2022, (reference \#2 above) you noted an underrun in OY 2021 spending of $\$ 812,277.00$, of which $\$ 111,762.00$ was due to unreconciled differences from the budgeted amount.

The underrun of $\$ 700,515.00$ as shown on the 2021 Operating Year Financial Account Summary will be used to offset the OY 2022 CFP Budget. Bonneville understands the $\$ 111,762.00$ will be investigated by the District to determine the source of excess cash on hand. Once
determined, Lewis will follow guidelines under the Power Purchase Agreement concerning
excess working capital.
In Bonneville's forthcoming approval letter for the District's OY 2022 CFP Budget, Bonneville will reduce the amount requested by the District by the $\$ 700,515.00$.

Any issues concerning the CFP should be directed to Bonneville's point of contact for the CFP, Debbie Carlson at (509) 3725751 or dcarlson@bpa.gov.

## Sincerely,

## Cherie Digitally signed by Cherie Sonoda <br> Sonoda Date: 2022.06.06

Cherie Sonoda, Nuclear Supervisor
Contract Generating Resources
ecc:
Mr. Joe First, Lewis
Ms. Michelle Holmes, Lewis
Ms. Nichole Lantau, Lewis
Mr. Chris Roden, Lewis
Mr. Doug Streeter, Lewis
Ms. Wendy Wood, Lewis
Messrs. T. Cournyer, M. Kelly, E. Rothlin, Commissioners

## ebcc:

K. Manary - FAB -6
J. Wafer - FAB-MODW
L. Aaron - FRG-2
D. Manary - LG-7
C. Sonoda - PGAC
M. Wellner - PGAF
L. Bleifuss - PSW-6

Carlson:dc:5751 5/24/2022 (W:office/correspondence/letters/2022/CFP OY 2021 Budget approval)


| From: | Brad Ford [bradf@lcpud.org](mailto:bradf@lcpud.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, April 22, 2022 1:17 PM |
| To: | Carlson, Debbie (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND |
| Subject: | [EXTERNAL] Cowlitz Falls Project - Operating Year 2021 |
| Attachments: | 2021 Operating Year Financial Account Summary.pdf; 2021 Operating Year Financial |
|  | Account Summary (a).pdf; 2021 Operating Year Financial Account Summary (b).pdf; |
|  | 2021 Operating Year Working Capital Reconciliation.pdf; 2021 Exhibit F Revised |
|  | $04.22 .2022 . p d f$ |

Attached are the 2021 Operating Year Financial Account Summary (a \& b are same information, different format),
Working Capital Reconciliation and Revised 2021 Exhibit F documents.
Thank you again for the extension of time to complete the end of year comparison.
Ism looking forward to our meeting on Monday, April 25.
Have a great weekend
Brad
360.740.2417

Brad Ford
Chief Financial Officer | Lewis County PUD | www.Icpud.org
o:(360) 748-9261 | d:(360) 740-2417 | e:bradf@lcpud.org
321 NW Pacific Ave | PO Box 330 | Chehalis, WA 98532-0330
Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County is required to comply with the Washington Public Records Act, RCW Ch.42.56.
Information submitted via e-mail, including personal information may be subject to disclosure as a public record.




| Account | Account Descripion | Actal |  |  |  |  |  | Totalatual | butet | Tosal Varanace |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peeratruskpenes |  |  |  | 9830/202 | 10331/2021 | 11130/2021 | 12/31/2021 |  |  |  |
| Ran w willite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ 2.88.537-10 |  | ${ }^{208}$ | 31.75 | 4.884 | 6.374 | 7.940 | 31.614 | 87,004 | 138,60 | 51,56 |
| Geneal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{20208537.060}$ | C. Evoancrem Secisact requirmenis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,000 | 9,943 |
| 2.08 .5395000 | C-MISCCPPenses | 22,499 | 36,144 | ${ }_{8,560}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{37,265 \\ 17285}}$ | ${ }_{\text {63,709 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {13,357 }}^{13,36}$ | ${ }^{17,4046}$ | 2880 | 354 |
| 2.20 .515000 |  | 1,40 | 2881 | 3,907 | 17885 | 7,922 | 9,326 | 190.587 |  | 229,76 |
| Opem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.08 .535 .002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.500 | ${ }_{18,16}$ |
| 2.080 .533000 | CF-MANT O fremesvors, dams \& Waterwars | 5.627 | 112.11 | ${ }^{35,594}$ |  | 8.048 | 99,36 |  |  |  |
| cr20.118 | OEBRIL BRARER COATMG \& Catholic Protecton | 20,23 | 1.97 |  | 6,261 | 3,933 | 7.081 | 108,72 | 160,000 | 51,258 |
| Recreation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| O20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Corehentow | ${ }_{2,17}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { R,704 }}$ | 9,9,57 | ${ }_{7}^{7,005}$ | 4,759 | ${ }_{5}^{5.955}$ | ${ }_{16,275}^{16,275}$ | $\xrightarrow{187500}$ | 27,2 |
| 200.45020 | C-MAN Of Canrobouvos | 6,493 | 1.60 | 80 | ${ }^{854}$ | 10,054 | 8885 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenese | Mant of overemeouns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

14272022


| From: | Brad Ford [bradf@lcpud.org](mailto:bradf@lcpud.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, April 13, 2022 5:34 PM |
| To: | Carlson,Debbie (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND |
| Subject: | [EXTERNAL] RE: **EXTERNAL EMAIL** FW: OY 2021 catch-up paymen |

Hi Debbie,
Yes, we have discussed the OY 2021 catch-up payment. My recollection is we agreed to:

- the amount is $\$ 218,140$
- hold any payment decision until Lewis completed the EOY actuals

Thanks,
Brad
360.740 .2417

From: Carlson,Debbie (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND [dcarlson@bpa.gov](mailto:dcarlson@bpa.gov)
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:17 AM
To: Brad Ford [bradf@lcpud.org](mailto:bradf@lcpud.org)
Subject: **EXTERNAL EMAIL** FW: OY 2021 catch-up payment

CAUTION! This email originated from outside the organization please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe!
Hi Brad -

I believe we have talked about this item previously. Usually when I have such discussion I remove (in this case) the e-mail from my "to do" list. I didn't this time. I do not recall if I did not remove it because ---

1. holding - awaiting the EOY actuals
2. if I was going to forward you the funding (I do not think this is the case, as I have a vague memory of you "not wanting" the funds due to already having an underrun of the OY 21 CFP Budget)
3. the only thing we talked about was you agreeing to the $\$ 218,140$ number
4. we had no discussion

Thanks for your thoughts/recollection on this.
debbie

From: Carlson,Debbie (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 3:44 PM

To: Brad Ford [bradf@lcpud.org](mailto:bradf@lcpud.org)
Cc: Nichole Lantau [Nicholel@lcpud.org](mailto:Nicholel@lcpud.org); Joe First [joef@lcpud.org](mailto:joef@lcpud.org)
Subject: OY 2021 catch-up paymenbt

Hi Brad -

Hoping to get the priciples signed by Susanne Cooper "any time now". With that in mind I was playing with the numbers for a final OY 2021 payment to Lewis - captured on the attached spreadsheet. Is that the same amount ya'll are figuring out as well? Just thought I should start now in getting a number in place so it COULD be included with the February payment.

Appreciate your time!
debbie

Brad Ford
Chief Financial Officer | Lewis County PUD | www.Icpud.org
o:(360) $748 \cdot 9261$ | d:(360) $740 \cdot 2417$ | e:brad@lcpud.org
321 NW Pacific Ave | PO Box 330 | Chehalis, WA 98532-0330
Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County is required to comply with the Washington Public Records Act, RCW Ch. 42.56 . Information submitted via e-mail, including personal information may be subject to disclosure as a public record.
P.O. BOX 330 CHEHALIS, WA $98532 \cdot 321$ N.W. PACIFIC AVENUE CHEHALIS, WA 98532 (800) 562-5612 • (360) 748-9261 • FAX (360) 740-2455 • www.lepud.org

Date: April 22, 2022
To: Debbie Carlson, Cowlitz Falls Project Manager
From: Bradley Ford, CPA, Chief Financial Officer
$\mathrm{Re}: \quad$ Operating Working Capital

Per Sections $9(\mathrm{a})(3)(\mathrm{A})$ and $9(\mathrm{a})(3)(\mathrm{B})$ of the AMENDATORY CONTRACT FOR POWER PURCHASE Contract No. 1 DE-MS79-91BP93212 executed between the BPA and the District dated May 23, 1991, a true up is to be performed of actual Operating Working Capital as compared to the budgeted amount for the operating year. The difference either reduces or increases the next month's BPA reimbursement by the amoun of unexpended funds or over expended funds.
OY 2021 Operating Working Capital reconciliation:

| Cash in Bank | $12 / 31 / 2021$ | $\$ 1,708,287$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Add/(Subtract): | Due From ES | 2,107 |
|  | A/P Accrual | $(398,216)$ |
|  | Payroll Accrual | $(98,663)$ |
|  | Taxes Accrual | $(50,738)$ |
|  | Operating Working Capital | $\underline{(350,500)}$ |
|  | Excess | $\$ 812,277$ |

## AMENDATORY AGREEMENT \#2

to the
AMENDATORY CONTRACT FOR POWER PURCHASE
executed by the
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
acting by and through the
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

## and

PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 1 OF LEWIS COUNTY, WASHINGTON (Cowlitz Falls Hydroelectric Project)

This Amendatory Agreement No. 2 to the Amendatory Contract for Power Purchase (Contract), executed February 10, 2022 by the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (Government), Department of Energy, acting by and through the BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION (Bonneville), and PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 1 OF LEWIS COUNTY, WASHINGTON (Lewis), a public utility district organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington (the Parties);
WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the Parties agreed, under Amendatory Agreement \#1 executed on October 24, 2002, to amend the definition of "Operating Year" to mean any consecutive 12-month period during the Term which commences at 2400 hours, September 30, and ends at 2400 hours the following September 30 and amend the "Annual Schedules" to no later than each March 1; and

WHEREAS, Lewis has requested Bonneville to amend the definition of Operating Year to a twelve month period beginning each January 1 ; and

WHEREAS, Bonneville and Lewis recognize that mutual benefits may be achieved through changing the Operating Year and Annual Schedules of the Cowlitz Falls Hydroelectric Project (Project) to coincide with Lewis' budget cycle; and

WHEREAS, Lewis has adopted the Third Supplemental Cowlitz Falls Project Revenue Bond Resolution (Resolution No. 2946) to adopt the new operating year; and

WHEREAS, Bonneville and Lewis recognize ambiguities in the A\&G methodology described in Exhibit $F$ of the Contract and agree to amend and supplement Exhibit $F$ to clarify current A\&G methodology; and

WHEREAS, Bonneville and Lewis agree on the additional governing contract principles stated in the newly added Exhibit H to this Amendatory Agreement ; and

WHEREAS, this Amendatory Agreement \#2 amends Amendatory Agreement \#1 and further amends the Contract executed by and between the Parties on May 23, 1991;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties agree as follows:

1. Definition of Operating Year

Contract, Section 1, Definition (u) "Operating Year", is amended as follows:
a. for the Operating Year beginning at 2400 hours September 30, 2020, the Operating Year will run 15 consecutive months, ending at 2400 hours, December 31, 2021
b. for the Operating Year beginning at 2400 hours, December 31, 2021, Operating Year means any consecutive 12-month period during the Term which commences at 2400 hours, December 31, and ends at 2400 hours the following December 31.
2. Amendment to Exhibit A: "Power Scheduling Procedures"

Contract, Exhibit A, Section 1, "Annual Schedules", is amended as follows:

## Annual Schedules.

No later than each September 1, Lewis shall submit to Bonneville the following information:
a. the schedule of maintenance for the Project for the succeeding Operating Year
b. all other operational and maintenance information for the succeeding Operating Years which the Parties have determined to be pertinent to integrating the Project Output into the Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS).
3. Amendment to Exhibit F: "Cowlitz Falls Administrative and General Overhead Cost Methodology"

Contract, Exhibit F, is amended to add as follows:
a. In the "Various Methodologies" section after "See attachment II" a new paragraph:

Fourth is the ongoing operation period following construction. During this period A\&G costs will be included as part of the annual budget as a Project Cost. See Attachment III.
b. A new Attachment III to be added:

1. Term
a. This agreed upon methodology acts as the fourth period of applicability as
contemplated within Exhibit F of the Contract and pertains to the operating period of the Contract until termination and shall be revisited by the parties every (3) years to accommodate any necessary changes. This methodology shall be applicable beginning with the 2021 Operating Year Budget.
2. Method

A\&G Calculation - The computation used to determine the A\&G cost allocation as described in Section 9(a)(7) of the Contract shall be:
a. A\&G Cost Pool - The following method will determine the A\&G Cost Pool:
i. Electric System (ES) A\&G costs (FERC accounts 921-935, excluding 926 and 929)
ii. Subtract - ES A\&G costs providing no benefit to the Project
iii. Subtract - ES A\&G costs directly billed to the Project
v. Add - Chehalis and Morton Administrative Building Property Insurance
v. Equals - A\&G Cost Pool
b. Allocation Factor
i. An annual allocation factor shall be calculated using the most recent three-year average of the Modified Massachusetts (MA) Formula as weighted below:

| Factors | Weighting | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Utility Plant | $20 \%$ | Audited Financial Statement |
| Total Operating Revenue | $40 \%$ | Audited Financial Statement |
| Total Wages | $40 \%$ | District Data |

3. Application
a. Costs allocated shall be
i. Updated every budget period.
ii. Reviewed quarterly within the budget period
iii. Subject to annual true-up using actuals.
4. Addition of a new Exhibit H: "Additional Governing Contract Principles"
I. Bonneville acknowledges Lewis's responsibilities as owner/operator of the Project and the necessary discretion that comes with that role.
II. Lewis has the responsibility to operate and maintain the Project in an efficient, reliable manner at the lowest reasonable cost while maintaining it in reasonably good repair, working order, condition and providing for the longest reasonable operating life for the Project and extensions thereof. See, e.g., Contract, Sections 7(b) and 7(c).
III. Lewis has the responsibility for the technical integrity and safety associated with the Project.
IV. Lewis acknowledges that, consistent with the Northwest Power Act (16 U.S.C. § 839d(i)) and the Contract (Recitals at 3), Bonneville has a direct interest in actions and decisions affecting Project Power Costs and a right to oversee and participate in actions associated with operation of the Project as described in the Contract. See, e.g., Contract, Sections 8 and 23. Bonneville has an obligation to its rate paying customers to perform due diligence on costs that impact rates.
V. The Parties will make good faith efforts to reach mutual agreement on the Project budget within the timeline set forth in the Contract. These efforts shall include:
a. Lewis shall perform appropriately scaled analysis on all proposed expenditures over \$100k (including Project Full Time Employee increases) in alignment with industry standard asset management practices for similarly-sized non-federal projects, to ensure sufficient information is available to make informed and sound business decisions.
b. The Parties agree that Lewis must promptly provide information, analysis, and documentation concerning the Project as described under Contract Section 8(a)(1).
c. If the project analysis meets the standards set forth above, the Parties will accept the results.
VI. The CFP budget is governed by the PPA and the specific needs of the Project and should not be influenced by external financial considerations of either party.

The Additional Governing Contract Principles identified above supplement rather than replace or supersede the existing Contract Principles under Section 7 of the Contract (the "Existing Contract Principles"). The Parties shall exercise their rights and discharge their duties set forth in the Contract in manner consistent with both the Existing Contract Principles and the Additional Governing Contract Principles. References in the Contract to the principles set forth in Section 7 shall be construed to include reference to the Additional Governing Contract Principles set forth in this Exhibit H

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have executed this Amendatory Agreement \#2 in
counterparts.

PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 1 OF LEWIS COUNTY, WASHINGTON

By: $\qquad$
Title: $\qquad$

Date $\qquad$

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Department of Energy
Bonneville Power Administration

By: $\qquad$
Title: Senior Vice President, Power Services

Date: $\qquad$ .

## Department of Energy

Bonneville Power Administration<br>Mail Drop 1399<br>P.O. Box 968

Richland, Washington 99352-0968
POWER SERVICES

November 9, 2021
In reply refer to: DRAFT OY 2022 CFP Budget
Mr. Brad Ford, Chief Financial Officer
Public Utility District \#1 of Lewis County
P.O. Box 330

Chehalis WA 98532-0330
Dear Mr. Ford:
Reference is made to your e-mail dated October 8, 2021, "2022 DRAFT Budget V.3", whereby on behalf of the Cowlitz Falls Project (CFP) you provided version 3 of the CFP Operating Year (OY) 2022 Budget. The Bonneville Power Administration (Bonneville) agrees to a portion of the Budget and desires additional information on the remaining items.

Bonneville continues to share the Public Utility District \#1 of Lewis County (Lewis) commitment to prioritizing the safe, efficient, and reliable operation of the CFP consistent with the Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) and prudent business operating practices.
On October 14, 2021, update on October 19 and 27, Bonneville provided Lewis a listing of agreed to items from the Draft CFP OY 2022 Budget. These items are listed in Enclosure 1, with the remaining items listed in Enclosures 2 and 3. Enclosures 2 and 3 consist of items Bonneville has not yet reached mutual agreement with Lewis. During the October 19, 2021 OY 2022 Budget meeting, Bonneville verbally provided an explanation as to why we are unable to fund items. Those reasons are reiterated in Enclosure 2. Enclosure 3 is a list of line items specifically requiring a Justification Sheet (JS) and notes the reasoning behind why the associated project email and JS meetings through Octonding at this time. This is based on communications via funding will meetings through October 29, 2021. In regards to projects listed in Enclosure 3, the funding will change as phases of on-going projects are completed.

Bonneville would like to note that it is our desire to work together to reach agreement on remaining non-mutually agreed upon items in Enclosures 2 and 3, prior to the deadline of November 30, 2021, but feel it is important to document our current position on the complete CFP OY 2022 Budget that has been presented by Lewis and CFP staff at this time.

Bonneville would be remiss in not expressing our appreciation to the Lewis team (Joe First, Brad Ford, Michelle Holmes, Nichole Lantau, Doug Streeter and Wendy Woody) for their diligence in working through the CFP OY 2022 Budget. Bonneville looks forward to the Lewis team's continued efforts on the items not yet agreed to.

Any issues concerning the CFP OY 2022 Budget or the Cowlitz Falls Project should be directed to Bonneville's CFP manager Debbie Carlson at (509) 3725751 or dcarlson@bpa.gov.

## Sincerely,

## Cherie <br> Digitally signed <br> by Cherie Sonoda

Sonoda Date: 2021.11.09
Cherie Sonoda, Nuclear Supervisor
Contract Generating Resources
3 Enclosures (as stated)
ecc:
Mr. Joe First, Lewis
Ms. Michelle Holmes, Lewis
Ms. Nichole Lantau, Lewis
Mr. Chris Roden, Lewis
Mr. Doug Streeter, Lewis
Ms. Wendy Wood, Lewis

Description: Enclosure 1 is a list of Operating Year (OY) 2022 Budget line items the Bonneville Power Administration has approved as of October 29, 2021.

| updated 10/27@ 10:23PM |  | Notes: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Or 2022 Budget Line ttem | $\begin{gathered} \text { OY } 2022 \\ 10 / 8 / 2021 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Creel Study | \$18,000 |  |
| Fish \& Wildife Contracts Service (RTL Consulting) | \$10,000 |  |
| Fish Gate Position Indicators | \$32,000 |  |
| Habitat \& Fish Recovery Services (WOFW) | \$10,000 |  |
| Habitat Management; Fertilizer, Spraying, Plants | \$9,000 |  |
| Kids Trout Derby | \$5,400 |  |
| Mitigation Trout Program | \$45,000 |  |
| Noxious Weed Control Contractor | \$9,300 |  |
| Derby Site Reinforcement / Habitat Enhancement | \$30,000 |  |
| Mower | \$22,000 |  |
| Vehicle | \$15,000 |  |
| Auditing | \$21,300 |  |
| Communications Fiber - User Fee | \$48,500 | Bonneville approves this item, but has not received response from Lewis regarding how this charge is assessed. This question is referenced in action item B-21 of "BPA \& LCPUD Process Tracking" sheet that the meeting facilitator maintains. |
| FERC Annual Fee | \$100,000 |  |
| Insurance - Cyber/Crime/Public Officials | \$7,200 |  |
| Insurance - Excess Liability | \$25,000 |  |
| Insurance-Liability | \$10,500 |  |
| Insurance - Property | \$200,000 |  |
| Port Blakely Road Access Agreement | \$30,000 |  |
| USGS Gauging Station Fees | \$41,600 |  |
| CF Vehicle and Equipment fuel | \$16,000 |  |
| CF Vehicle Maintenance | \$12,000 |  |
| Dam Safety Consultant | \$6,200 |  |
| Fire District Payment | \$19.500 |  |
| Legal Services (BiOp \& FERC Issues) | \$24,200 |  |
| Legal Services (General Issues) | \$20,000 |  |
| Office Supplies | \$9,300 |  |
| WECC \& NERC Consultants | \$65,000 |  |
| ARC Flash Study | \$35,000 |  |
| Buffer Zone Management | \$3,200 |  |
| CAD Software Licensing | \$4,500 |  |
| Computer Software | \$2,000 |  |
| Construction Management Software | \$12,000 |  |
| Contract Crane Inspections | \$12,600 |  |
| Contract Diesel Generator Maintenance | \$10,000 |  |
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| Contract Drafting Services | \$50,000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contract Maintenance Elevator | \$15,200 |
| Control Room Paperless Recorders | \$42,000 |
| Dam Instrumentation | \$2,200 |
| Debris Removal - Trash Rake Debris Box | \$6,000 |
| Diesel Generator Connection to Spillway Gates 2 \& 3 | \$14,000 |
| Diesel Generator Load Bank | \$8,500 |
| Diving Services | \$16,500 |
| Drainage System | \$300 |
| Electrical Parts | \$5,400 |
| Equipment Rental | \$17,000 |
| Governor | \$4,000 |
| HVAC | \$3,200 |
| Instrumentation Parts | \$5,400 |
| Investigate Draft Tube Liner Issues | \$25,000 |
| Log Bronc Maintenance | \$1,000 |
| Maintenance Management System | \$15,000 |
| Maintenance Supplies for Electric Plant | \$5,400 |
| Mechanical and Piping | \$9,000 |
| Mechanical Parts | \$10,600 |
| Metal | \$ 20,000 |
| North Rock Wall Scaling | \$75,000 |
| Painting \& Special Coatings | \$3,200 |
| Piezometer Pressure Transmitters | \$18,000 |
| Plant Lighting | \$1,000 |
| Plant Water Wells \& Testing | \$1,200 |
| SCADA Network Support | \$10,700 |
| Sedimentation Report | \$50,000 |
| Seismic Study | \$150,000 |
| Service Air Compressor | \$1,000 |
| SMAG Power Connection \& Cable | \$4,500 |
| Smoke Detectors \& Fire Water Systems | \$2,600 |
| Spillway Gates | \$5,000 |
| Trunnion Friction Testing | \$65,000 |
| Turbidity Sensor Communication | \$1,000 |
| Sonar \& Turbidity Sensors | \$15,000 |
| Turbine Shaft Seals | \$30,000 |
| Unit Control Board Meter Replacement | \$42,000 |
| Unit Turbine Gage Board Inputs inta SCADA - Design | \$4,000 |
| Lathe | \$18,000 |
| Mobile Diesel Generator \& Log Bronc Cover | \$25,000 |
| Roadway Gates | \$12,000 |
| Roadvay Lighting | \$20,000 |

2

OY 2022 CFP Partial Budget Approval letter - November 9, 2021
Approved Budget Line Items

| CEATI Membership |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
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Approved Budget Line Items

|  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| UPDATED 10/27 @ 1807 |  |  |
| Safety Equipment - Non PPE | $\$ 5,000$ | renamed from "Safety Improvements" |

Approved Budget Line Items

|  |  | - Add hardened chains and locks at CFP for vehicle gates, switchyard entrances, equipment eabinets <br> - Add access control for the top deck man gate, records room door, $6^{\text {th }}$ floor deck man gate, and $3^{\text {rd }}$ floor shop <br> - Add intrusion detection for the $4^{\text {th }}$ floor exterior door <br> - Add interior motion detection for entrances and critical areas in the dam. 10/18-Joe has indicated the items on the "Security Lst" are all relatively low dollar items and can be accomplished in OY 2022 (the list was drawn from the recently completed security assessment). <br> Note: Bonneville staff did attend a briefing and have been told we would not be "allowed" to review the document, a violation of the PPA, section 8(a)(1)(d). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Approved | \$4,638,233 |  |

CFP OY 2022 Partial Budget Approval letter - November 9, 2021
Unapproved Budget Line Items
Description: Enclosure 2 is a list of budget line items which have yet to be approved (as of October 29,2021 ) and for which no Js was needed or required. Bonneville is still reviewing these items internally.

| OY 2022 Budget Line Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { OY } 2022 \\ 10 / 8 / 2021 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Notes: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wildlife Mitigation Land | \$700,000 | Bonneville questions whether this is an appropriate Project cost and believed it should be an ES cost. It is Bonneville's request to have Lewis and Bonneville's legal team review these items jointly prior to funding. |
| A\&G Exhibit F | \$371,600 | A final review meeting took place on October 21; Bonneville is waiting on final comments from Lewis that will be documented in revision of PPA. Bonneville agrees to this expenditure, but are awaiting final documentation to be completed. |
| Total | \$1,071,600 |  |


|  | OY 2022 <br> OY 2022 Budget Staffing |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 10/8/2021 | Notes: |  |
| Fish \& Wildlife Technician | $\$ 125,724$ | Lewis has provided a position description, but has not provided <br> how the need for this position was determined. |
| Purchasing Buyer (CFP) | $\$ 126,433$ | Lewis has provided a position description, but has not provided <br> how the need for this position was determined. |

## CFP OY 2022 Partial Budget Approval letter - November 9, 2021

Unapproved Budget Line Items

|  |  | LCPUD has provided position a description, but has not provided |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| CFP IS/iT Support | $\$ 111,622$ | how the need for this position was determined. |
| Total | $\$ 363,779$ |  |

Note: Bonneville is in agreement with the CFP staffing levels and Lewis support staffing percent allocations and positions identified in the Phase 1 FY21 Settlement agreement. Under that agreement, LCPUD was to track staff time so that this data could inform if changes needed to be made. Without sufficient time to track this information to have obtain an accurate data sampling, BPA does not agree that any changes from this
agreement would be prudent at this time.

CFP OY 2022 Partial Budget Approval letter, November 9, 2021

## Justification Sheet Line Item Tracking

Description: Enclosure 3 is a list of line items specifically requiring a "completed" justification sheet (JS). Enclosure 3 will also track approved funding and provide reasoning for why a project has not received fulf funding, as of October 29, 2021. At the time of this partially approved budget letter, Bonneville will provide funding for the current phase (study/alternative analysis, design, or construction) of a "justifiable" project. A "justifiabie" project is the right project alternative being selected for completion at the right time for the right cost. Once the current phase of a particular project has been completed, Lewis will provide Bonneville with documentation showing the completion of the current phase and an updated Is indicating any changes as a result of the previously completed phase (i.e. alternatives analysis, quote, inspection results). Lewis and Bonneville will work to ensure a "completed" IS. The term "completed" means all questions/concerns have been answered, but does not automatically indicate project will be accomplished in the same Or, Bonneville will ensure the project continues to be "justifiable" prior to providing funding for the next thase of the project. We believe the process for performing these project reviews will result in a minimum amount of effort to both our Agencies

Background: The $\sqrt{ }$ are a key document supporting Bonnevile's budget review in that they provide the reasoning for the work and funding requirements of a project. We sincerely appreciate CFP staff engagement and commitment to this process and truil believe it will support more reliable, efficient, and cost effective operations at the CFP. The current process starts with the origination of the JS from CFP staff for projects over $\$ 50,000$. Once the $J S$ is generated or updated, CFP sends it to Bonneville. A joint team from both CFP and Bonneville will review the JS sheets until completed". For projects having multiple phases within a given OY, the IS status will be flagged as "in-progress" until the IS can be "completed" or the last phase in the OY. The results, or data within, the IS are what determine if the project is "justifiable." If a project does not have a "completed" or "in-progress - satisfactory" Is, that particular project will not be considered for funding by Bonneville during the current OY .

| Budget Line Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFP OY } 2022 \\ & \text { Budget Request } \end{aligned}$ | Bonneville Approval | Remaining | Reasoning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debris Barrier Coating \& Cathodic Protection Design | \$60,000 | $-\$ 2,277$ | \$0 | Bonneville supports Lewis continuing forward with the design as long as final efforts to complete 15 are accomplished. The latest IS is indicating the overall project will require less funding than what is being requested in the or 2022 Budget plus previous actuals and future costs. Lewis needs to verify how much funding is required to finish design. |

CFP OY 2022 Partial Budget Approval letter, November 9, 2021

| Justification Sheet Line Item Tracking |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Note: Assuming approval of the 15 -month or 2021 settlement, this project will have already been funded for $\$ 160 \mathrm{~K}$ for design. The OY 2022 Bonneville Approval amount will be the OY 2021 approved amount ( $\$ 160 \mathrm{~K}$ ) subtracted from the requested OY 2022 amount (S60K) plus OY 2021 actuals ( $\$ 97.723 \mathrm{~K}$, as of November 1, 2021). For final budget letter, Bonneville is looking for confirmation on funding already approved and actuals to finalize this approval number. <br> Bonneville is supportive of this project. |
| Boat Barrier Replacement Alternative Analysis \& Design | \$65,000 | \$35,000 | \$30,000 | Funding for the study ( $\$ 35 \mathrm{~K}$ ) will be approved as long as final efforts to update the IS are accomplished. Once the study/alternative analysis has been completed, Lewis will need to update the IS with the latest cost and alternatives, and discuss path forward with Bonneville. As long as project is still justifiable, Bonneville would approve design funding ( $\$ 30 \mathrm{~K}$ ). <br> Bonneville is supportive of the study and design. Based on information provided in the IS process and collaboration with Lewis, Bonneville believes that procurement/installation should wait until failure. |
| Control Room / Office Area Repairs | \$300,000 | \$7,500 | \$292,500 | Funding for the design ( $\$ 7.5 \mathrm{~K}$ ) will be approved as long as final efforts to update the IS are accomplished. Once the design has been completed, Lewis will need to update the JS and discuss a path forward with Bonneville. As long as project is still justifiable, Bonneville would approve construction funding (\$292.5K). |
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| Justification Sheet Line Item Tracking |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | requested OY 2022 ( $\$ 1,098.1 \mathrm{~K}$ ) amount plus OY 2021 actuals (none reported at this time). For final budget letter, Bonneville is looking for confirmation on funding already approved and actuals to finalize this approval number. <br> Bonneville is supportive of this project. |
| Warehouse Repairs | \$60,000 | \$64,000 | \$0 | Full funding for this project will be approved as long as final efforts to complete the JS are accomplished. The current IS is indicating the OY 2022 amount will require more funding ( $\$ 64 \mathrm{~K}$ ) than what is being requested in the OY 2022 Budget ( $\$ 60 \mathrm{~K}$ ). <br> Bonneville is supportive of this project. |
| Exciter Brush Dust Vacuum System | \$92,200 | \$0 | \$92,200 | If approved, all work will be completed in OY 2022. This project is still under review. Due to the unique application of this system and incorporation of new information from industry, Bonneville has requested Lewis provide benchmarking of other hydra facilities. |
| 2ea 5-Ton Bridge Cranes | \$650,000 | \$0 | \$638,000 | The IS needs to be updated as the current one is indicating the overall project will require slightly less funding ( $\$ 638 \mathrm{~K}$ ) than what is budgeted ( $\$ 650 \mathrm{~K}$ ). <br> Though this project would assist with safely performing more routine maintenance, Bonneville is hesitant about this investment and whether this is correct path forward. Bonneville requested information on other alternative costs ( 3 ton vs 5 ton) and weight of components needing to be lifted. Additionally, Bonneville has requested NPV for this project. |
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| Justification Sheet Line Item Tracking |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Generator Hatch Cover Seals \& Drains | \$240,000 | \$0 | \$240,000 | The IS needs to be updated. Project costs doubled without any explanation. Lewis is obtaining quotes for work being accomplished and will update the IS afterward. <br> Note: BPA would like confirmation that this project has not already been funded for $\$ 120 \mathrm{~K}$ as a non-contested item in the OY 2021 Budget. If already funded, the OY 2022 Bonneville Approval amount would be the OY 2021 approved amount ( $\$ 120 \mathrm{~K}$ ) subtracted from the requested OY 2022 amount ( $\$ 240 \mathrm{~K}$ ). For the final budget letter, Bonneville is looking for confirmation on funding already approved to finalize this approval number. <br> Bonneville was supportive of this project in OY 2021; however, costs have since doubled without justification. |
| Sluice Gate Replacement CFD \& Physical Mode! Design <br> Sluice Gate Replacement Design 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 200,000 \\ & \$ 485,000 \end{aligned}$ | -\$271,512 | \$485,000 | BPA supports Lewis continuing the CFD Physical Model Design (model). Once the model has been completed, Lewis will need to update the JS and discuss alternatives with Bonneville. As long as the project is still justifiable, Bonneville would approve design funding ( $\$ 485 \mathrm{~K}$ ). <br> Note: Assuming approval of the 15-month OY 2021 settlement, the CFD Physical Model Design (model) portion of this project will have already been funded for $\$ 330 \mathrm{~K}$ which is the entire estimated cost of model portion. The OY 2022 Bonneville approval model amount will be the OY 2021 approved model amount ( $\$ 330 \mathrm{~K}$ ) subtracted from the OY 2021 actuals ( $\$ 58.488 \mathrm{~K}$, as of |
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|  |  |  |  | November 1, 2021). For final budget letter, Bonneville is looking for confirmation on funding already approved and actuals to finalize this approval number. <br> Bonneville is supportive of this project. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spillway 4 Rail Extension Design | \$525,000 | \$0 | \$270,000 | The JS needs to be updated. Currently the JS indicates the overall project will require less funding (\$270K) than what is budgeted ( $\$ 525 \mathrm{~K}$ ). Bonneville obtained additional information from the alternatives analysis/study which is being reviewed. <br> Bonneville is hesitant about approving this project, but continues to review documents. Additionally, Bonneville has requested NPV for this project. |
| Spillway Gate Hoist Covers | \$120,000 | \$0 | \$120,000 | The IS needs to be updated. Lewis needs to complete the study (out of their own funds) prior to obtaining design and construction funds from Bonneville. Lewis and Bonneville should discuss alternatives and have better accuracy of project costs prior to moving forward with design ( $\$ 25 \mathrm{~K}$ ) and construction ( $\$ 95 \mathrm{~K}$ ). <br> Banneville is suppartive of this project. |
| Trash Rake Alternative Analysis | \$85,000 | \$85,000 | \$0 | Funding for continuing the study/aiternatives analysis ( $\$ 85 \mathrm{~K}$ ) will be approved as long as final efforts to complete IS are accomplished. <br> Bonneville is suopartive of this project. |

Enclosure 3
CFP OY 2022 Partial Budget Approval letter, November 9, 2021

| Spillway Handrail \& Guardrail | \$92,000 | \$0 | \$22,000 | The IS needs to be updated with more information on the guardrail. As of October 28 2021, the currently IS indicates the overall project will require more funding ( $\$ 150 \mathrm{~K}$ ) than what is budgeted ( $\$ 92 \mathrm{~K}$ ). Lewis to provide more information on what has been completed to date (including costs) and path forward from Lewis. <br> Note: Assuming approval of the 15 -month OY 2021 settlement, this project will have already been funded for S70K. The OY 2022 Bonnevilie Approval amount will be the or 2021 approved amount ( $\$ 70 \mathrm{~K}$ ) subtracted from the requested oy 2022 amount ( $\$ 92 \mathrm{~K}$ ). For final budget letter, Bonneville is looking for confirmation on funding already approved and actuals to finalize this approval number. <br> Bonneville is supportive of this project. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trash Removal System Alternative Analysis | \$130,000 | \$0 | \$130,000 | A IS needs to be created. <br> Lewis is aware the JS needs to be completed. Alternative analysis/study increased from $\$ 50 \mathrm{~K}$ to $\$ 130 \mathrm{~K}$ without any explanation. |
| Recreation Assessment | \$75,000 | \$0 | \$75,000 | The JS needs to be updated. This line item only required a brief JS but still requires additional information. |
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| Campground Fishing Pond Assessment \& Design | \$85,000 | \$0 | \$85,000 | The Is needs to be updated. This line item only required a brief JS but stilil requires additional information. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | \$4,535,300 | -\$82,289 | \$3,464,000 | Note: The $\$ 1,153,589$ difference between column "OY $2022^{\text {" }}$ total and summation of columns "BPA Approval" and "Remaining" is due to a combination of: (1) the difference between the funding proposed in the OY 2022 Budget and on the IS, and (2) reductions to OY 2022 budget due to funds being approved in OY 2021 Settlement. |

From: Carlson,Debbie (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND
Sent: Fri Jun 25 13:19:08 2021
To: Chris Roden (chrisr@lcpud.org)
Cc: Brad Ford; Joe First; 'Stacy Davis
Subject: Bonneville response to Lewis June 9 Right to Act notices
Importance: High
Attachments: Response to Right to Act notices (Crane Sediment Study Handrail) 06.25.2021.pdf
Hi Chris:

Attached is Bonneville's response to Lewis' three "Right to Act Notices" letters from June 9.

Please let me know if you have questions/concerns.
debbie

# Department of Energy 

## Bonneville Power Administration <br> P.O. Box 3621

Portland, Oregon 97208-3621

June 25, 2021
In reply refer to: PG-5

Mr. Chris Roden
General Manager
Lewis county PUD
PO Box 330
Chehalis, WA 98532

RE: Right to Act Notices regarding 5-Ton Bridge Crane, 1D Sediment Transport Study, and Spillway Handrail and Guardrail

Dear Mr. Roden:
The Bonneville Power Administration (Bonneville) has received your notices, regarding each of the FY2021 budget items above. This letter responds to all three notices.

Lewis County PUD (Lewis) placed all three of these items into arbitration proceedings on October 28, 2020 by invoking the arbitration provision of the Power Purchase Agreement and including these items on the list of issues to be arbitrated. Since that time, Bonneville has worked in good faith to resolve the dispute over these and other budget items.

In December 2020, Bonneville initiated global settlement discussions for all items on the arbitration list. Lewis preferred, instead, to approach the settlement in two phases. Bonneville obliged, and worked with Lewis to settle, in Phase I, several of the major FY2021 budget items. Bonneville's understanding from Lewis was that the parties would get "the hardest" issues settled in Phase I, then turn to the remaining items in Phase II. However, once the Phase I settlement was finalized in March 2021, Lewis declined to pursue any further settlement discussions and reiterated its desire to arbitrate the remaining items, including the three in question here
Since that time the parties have been preparing to arbitrate, and, as you are aware from your phone call with Bonneville Administrator John Hairston, and as counsel for Bonneville has conveyed to counsel for Lewis, the arbitration will proceed as soon as the parties are in agreement on the issues (which, in turn, requires that the parties first review each other's evidence). While the arbitration timeline may not prove to be faster than a settled outcome, it is the path Lewis has chosen.

As to the merits of each of these three items, Bonneville has repeatedly made clear that the information Lewis provided to justify and support the amounts requested for each item is insufficient and outdated. To remedy this, Bonneville provided justification sheet templates for Lewis to use to better explain, document, and justify its requests. During the summer and early fall of 2020, prior to Lewis initiating arbitration, Bonneville staff were engaged in regular and productive meetings with Lewis staff to assist them in filling out and revising these sheets. With sufficient justification, financial rigor, and proper timing, from Bonneville's perspective these items could have been suitable for approval. However, upon initiation of arbitration these collaborative meetings were no longer possible as the items were under active litigation.
Bonneville notes that you have raised safety concerns in your letters on the Bridge Crane and Handrail. The Bridge Crane and Handrail are actively being litigated in the arbitration, therefore Bonneville takes no position on them in this letter. Bonneville has consistently made clear that we will not compromise safety; we look forward to reaching resolution on these items through the arbitration process.

In conclusion, the notices you have provided under section 8(d)(1) of the PPA are effectively moot. These three items have been placed in active arbitration proceedings by Lewis, and Bonneville's position has not changed. These three items are not suitable for funding in FY2021 because Lewis's justification for them is insufficient and relies on outdated information. Accordingly, when the parties have not reached agreement on items such as these, the PPA provides that the only way such items can become a Project Power Cost is if the Arbitrator so determines. ${ }^{1}$ Consequently, if Lewis proceeds with expenditures on these items it does so at its own risk that the Arbitrator may not award them as expenditures that can be included in the FY2021 budget. Lewis will be solely responsible for any FY2021 expenditures that are not awarded by the Arbitrator, and Bonneville will not entertain requests for reimbursement of such expenditures in future budgets.

Sincerely,

## Kieran P. Connolly <br> Vice President, Generation Asset Management <br> Bonneville Power Administration

[^0]From: Brad Ford
Sent: Thu Oct 14 14:43:11 2021
To: Sonoda, Cherie D (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND
Cc: Carlson,Debbie (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND; Joe First; Nichole Lantau; Kevin Kytola
(kkytola@sapereconsulting.com)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] FW: **EXTERNAL EMAIL** BPA review: 15-Month Budget Adjustments
Importance: Normal
Importance: Normal
Attachments: 2021 V. 2 Budget Proposal - Adjustment Summary.pdf; 2021 V. 2 Budget Proposal -
Categories.pdf; 2021 V. 2 Budget Proposal - Comparison.pdf; 2021 V. 2 Budget Proposal - Contested Item
Report.pdf; 2021 V. 2 Budget Proposal - Detail.pdf; 2021 V. 2 Budget Proposal - OR\&R Detail.pdf; 2021 V. 2
Budget Proposal 15 Month Summary.pdf
Hi Cherie,
As requested, I've forwarded the email thread that included the 15-month FY 2021 V. 2 Budget documents.
Please confirm that these are the documents we agreed upon.
Please call or email me with any questions.
Thank you,
Brad
360.740 .2417

From: Brad Ford
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 11:41 1 M
To: 'Sonoda, Cheric D (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND' [csonoda@bpa.gov](mailto:csonoda@bpa.gov)
Cc: Kevin Kytola [kkytola@sapereconsulting.com](mailto:kkytola@sapereconsulting.com); Doug Streeter CPA [dougst@lcpud.org](mailto:dougst@lcpud.org);
Nichole Lantau [Nicholel@lcpud.org](mailto:Nicholel@lcpud.org); Wendy Woody [wendyw@lcpud.org](mailto:wendyw@lcpud.org); Wellner,Michael T
(BPA) - PGA-6 [mtwellner@bpa.gov](mailto:mtwellner@bpa.gov); Carlson,Debbie (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND <
dcarlson@bpa.gov>
Subject: RE: **EXTERNAL EMAIL** BPA review: 15-Month Budget Adjustments
Hi Cherie,

Attached is an updated 15-Month FY 2021 Budget for your review.
Looking forward to discussing the updated budget this afternoon.

Thank you,
Brad

From: Sonoda, Cherie D (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND < csonoda@bpa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 3:12 PM
To: Brad Ford < bradf@lcpud.org>
Cc: Kevin Kytola < kkytola@sapereconsulting.com>; Doug Streeter CPA < dougst@lcpud.org>;
Nichole Lantau[Nicholel@lcpud.org](mailto:Nicholel@lcpud.org); Wendy Woody < wendyw@lcpud.org>; Wellner,Michael T
(BPA) - PGA-6 < mtwellner@bpa.gov>; Carlson,Debbie (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND <
dcarlson@bpa.gov>
Subject: **EXTERNAL EMAIL** BPA review: 15-Month Budget Adjustments

## CAUTION! This email originated from outside the organization please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe!

## Brad,

There are a few items that need to be addressed concerning the concurrence of LCPUD's proposed
15 -month budget with the adjustments noted in your attachment sent on September $8^{\text {th }}, 2021$. They are as follows:

1. 2. This concurrence does not extend to the 5-ton Bridge Crane, Spillway 4 Rail Extension, 1D Sedimentation study, or Packwood. BPA does not agree to funding these items as outlined in BPA's response to the proposed settlement.
1. 2. The Exhibit F necds to be adjusted. This was discussed in our "Part 2" discussions, but not reflected on the 15 -month adjustment spreadsheet. BPA's proposal is to honor the Phase 1 settlement of $\$ 400 \mathrm{k}$ for 12 -months and add costs for Oct.- Dec. resulting in a total of $\$ 500 \mathrm{k}$ for 15 -months. As part of this agreement, it will be trued up once the Exhibit F methodology is finalized.
1. 3. After LCPUD/BPA review and discussions, CFP/LCPUD agreed no increase was required for the engineering consultants and fire district payments. This was in the "Part 2" discussions. These items need to be reduced to reflect the agreement of $\$ 30 \mathrm{k}$ for engineering consultants and $\$ 19 \mathrm{k}$ for fire district payments.
1. 4. It is our assumption that if a line item that was budgeted in the original 12 -month budget, but has a zero dollar amount in LCPUD's proposed 15-month FY21 settlement, funding is no longer needed and will not be funded.
1. 5. BPA's review and concurrence process for this budget is not precedential. There are items that we are stepping outside of our normal process due the specific nature of this being part of a settlement for FY21.

Contingent on LCPUD's concurrence to the above, we agree to the budget adjustment of \$134,450 that will reduce the originally proposed 15 -month FY21 budget. Details outlined below.
$\$ 61,450$ items noted by LCPUD's Sept. $8^{\text {th }}$ correspondence/spreadsheet
$\$ 37,700$ reduction in Exhibit F costs to align with the Phase 1 settlement
$\$ 35,000$ reduction in engineering consultants
\$ 300 reduction in fire department payments
\$134,450

It is our understanding that with concurrence of the above, the next step is for LCPUD to make these adjustments and provide BPA a clean and updated 15-month FY21 budget to be memorialized along with principles as part of the FY21 settlement.

V/R,
Cherie

From: Brad Ford < bradf@1cpud.org>
Sent: Wednesday, Scptember 8, 2021 6:15 PM
To: Sonoda,Cherie D (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND < csonoda @bpa.gov>
Cc: Kevin Kytola < kkytola@sapereconsulting.com>; Carlson,Debbie (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND
<dcarlson(@bpa.gov>; Wellner,Michael T (BPA) - PGA-6 < mtwellner@bpa.gov>; Joe First <
joef@1cpud.org>; Nichole Lantau < Nicholel@lcpud.org>; Wendy Woody < wendyw@1cpud.org>;
Doug Streeter CPA < dougst@lcpud.org>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] 15-Month Budget Adjustments
Hi Cherie,
The attached contains the accounts discussed during our recent meetings that need adjustment to the three month additional time period of LCPUD's Proposed 15-month FY 2021 Budget.
Please call or email me with any questions or comments.

Thank you,
Brad

## Brad Ford

Chief Financial Officer | Lewis County PUD | www.lcpud.org
o:(360) 748-9261 | d:(360) 740-2417 | e:bradf@1cpud.org
321 NW Pacific Ave |PO Box 330 | Chehalis, WA 98532-0330
Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County is required to comply with the Washington Public Records Act, RCW
Ch.42.56. Information submitted via e-mail, including personal information may be subject to disclosure as a public record.

## From: Stacy Davis

Sent: Wed Oct 28 15:53:42 2020
To: Connolly,Kieran P (BPA) - PG-5
Cc: Todd,Wayne A (BPA) - PGA-6; Sonoda,Cherie D (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND; Carlson,Debbie (BPA) -
PGAC-RICHLAND; Brad Ford; Joe First; Chris Roden; Matt Samuelson; Doug Streeter CPA
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Notice of Arbitration
Importance: Normal
Attachments: LCPUD-BPA Arbitration Notice 10-28-2020.pdf

Microsoft Exchange Server; converted from html;
Mr. Connolly,
Attached is Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County's notice to Bonneville Power Administration invoking binding arbitration pursuant to Section 31(c)(1) of the Amendatory Contract for Power Purchase, Contract No. DE-MS79-91BP93212.

Sincerely,
Stacy Davis
Executive Assistant

## Stacy Davis

Executive Assistant | Lewis County PUD | www.Icpud.org
o:(360) 748-9261 | d:(360) 740-2412 | e:Stacy@lcpud.org
321 NW Pacific Ave | PO Box 330 | Chehalis, WA 98532-0330
Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County is required to comply with the Washington Public Records Act, RCW Ch.42.56. Information submitted via e-mail, including personal information may be subject to disclosure as a public record.
P.O. BOX 330 CHEHALIS, WA 98532 • 321 N.W. PACIFIC AVENUE CHEHALIS, WA 98532
(800) 562-5612 • (360) 748-9261 • FAX (360) 740-2455 • www.lepud.org

October 28, 2020

Mr. Kieran Connolly
Vice President
Generation Asset Management
Bonneville Power Administration
PO Box 3621
Portland, OR 97208-3621

RE: PGAC/Richland - Notice of Invocation of Binding Arbitration Under Section 31 of Amendatory Contract for Power Purchase, Contract No. DE-MS79-91BP93212 (the "Contract")

Dear Mr. Connolly:
Despite our collective best efforts, the Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County (the "District") and the Bonneville Power Administration ("BPA") have failed to agree on the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget for the Cowlitz Falls Project (the "Project") prior to the start of the FY 2021 operating year. This represents the third consecutive year where the District and BPA have not reached an agreement on the budget before the start of the Project's operating year (let alone thirty (30) days prior to the beginning of the operating year as required under Section 9(a)(1) of the Contract). Indeed, in recent years, considerable portions of the operating year have elapsed before the District and BPA have reached agreement on the Annual Operating Budget. For example, the FY 2019 Annual Operating Budget was not agreed to until over five (5) months into the operating year. For FY 2020, more than six (6) months of the operating year was over before an agreement was reached.

The current cycle of starting each operating year without an agreed to budget is unsustainable and putting the long-term safe and reliable operation of the Project at risk. The District develops its budget proposals for the Project based on the assumption that it will have the entire operating year in which to implement them. Budget uncertainty that extends into the middle of the operating year due to a failure to reach agreement inevitably delays execution of the hiring of needed staff, the preparation and implementation of large projects and routine maintenance, and the acquisition of necessary equipment and inventory until that uncertainty is resolved. The District's experience from prior years is that, the longer into the operating year it takes to reach agreement on the Annual Operating Budget, the more likely critical projects will be deferred in the final Annual Operating Budget due to the District's practical need of getting a budget in place. Good stewardship of the Project demands that the District and BPA break this cycle.

It is for the above reasons that the District hereby invokes binding arbitration pursuant to Section 31(c)(1) of the Contract. Further discussions without a neutral third-party are unlikely to bridge the funding gap between the District and BPA proposals for the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget in a timely manner. In addition, by invoking binding arbitration for the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget, the District also hereby invokes the right under Section 31(e) of the Contract to have an arbitrator standing ready to apply the principles of Sections 7(a)-(i) of the Contract to help resolve issues that may arise as part of the budgeting process for FY 2022 and future operating years. We see this as an absolute necessity going forward to help ensure that the FY 2022 and future Annual Operating Budgets are in place thirty (30) days prior to the start of the operating year as required under Section 9(a)(1) of the Contract.

Attached as Attachment 1 is a list of the 68 issues arising from the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget that the District has identified for arbitration. The issue list is based on each of the budget line items where (1) the District's budget proposal of September 24, 2020, as shown in the budget summary and detail attached as Attachment 2 to this letter, and BPA's budget proposal of October 9,2020 , as shown in the budget summary and detail attached as Attachment 3 to this letter, differed in amount; and (2) the District has determined to not accept BPA's proposal.

The timelines for the arbitration process under the Contract are short and will be on the parties quickly. For the benefit and ease of both the District and BPA, the District has identified the process steps below as well as the date each step must be completed in accordance with the Contract. Unless otherwise indicated, all dates shown are counted from the date of this notice, which is also the day the notice was mailed and emailed to BPA. Please have a representative from BPA contact me at their earliest convenience so we can begin the process of identifying a mutually agreeable arbitrator, which the Contract requires to be done within fifteen (15) days from the date of this notice.

| Contract <br> Sec. | Arbitration Step | Due Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $31(\mathrm{c})(1)$ | District's Notice of Invocation of Binding <br> Arbitration | October 28, 2020 |
| $31(\mathrm{c})(2)$ | BPA's Notice of Additional Issues due | November 07, 2020 |
| $31(\mathrm{c})(3)$ | Parties to mutually agree upon an arbitrator | November 12, 2020 |
| $31(\mathrm{c})(4)$ | If unable to agree upon an arbitrator, each party to <br> designate a representative | November 22, 2020 |
| $31(\mathrm{c})(5)$ | Party representatives to send notice to parties <br> identifying the arbitrator the representatives have <br> mutually selected | December 02, 2020 |
| $31(\mathrm{c})(7)$ | Parties submit to the arbitrator their written <br> proposals for resolution of issues submitted for <br> arbitration | 20 days after the appointment <br> of arbitrator |
| $31(\mathrm{c})(10)$ | Arbitrator issues written opinion deciding each <br> issue submitted for arbitration | 30 days after written <br> submittals |

In closing, the District appreciates the efforts BPA staff has put forward in trying to reach a mutually agreeable budget for the FY 2021 operating year. This year's process was an improvement over prior years with better coordination and communication on both sides. The District nonetheless believes that annual cycle of agreeing to an Annual Operating Budget after the beginning of the subject operating year is not sustainable and that invoking binding arbitration is the best way to put it to an end. We remain committed to our role as the stewards of the Project and look forward to hearing from BPA soon in order to coordinate the remaining steps of the arbitration process.

Sincerely,
cienden
Chris Roden
General Manager
Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County

List of District's Issues for Arbitration

| $\frac{\text { Issue }}{\text { No. }}$ | Budget Line Item | LCPUD <br> Proposal for <br> FY 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Admin \& General - General |  |  |
| 1. | A\&G Exhibit F | \$537,700 | \$100,000 |
| 2. | Engineering Consultants | \$65,000 | \$16,200 |
| 3. | Legal Services (General Issues) | \$20,000 | \$15,000 |
| 4. | Misc. | \$5,400 | \$2,700 |
|  | Admin \& General - Training and Travel |  |  |
| 5. | Computer Training | \$800 | \$0 |
| 6. | WPUDA Admin Roundtable | \$600 | \$0 |
| 7. | WPUDA Records Roundtable | \$300 | \$0 |
|  | Operating Expenses - Fish \& Wildlife |  |  |
| 8. | Alternative Fishing Derby Site Development (OR\&R Project) | \$50,000 | \$0 |
| 9. | Habitat Management; Fertilizer, Spraying, Plants | \$8,700 | \$8,400 |
| 10. | Kid's Trout Derby $\$ 5,200$ $\$ 2,400$ <br> Operating Expenses - General   |  |  |
|  | Operating Expenses - General |  |  |
| 11. | 230 kV Circuit Breaker Maintenance | \$45,000 | \$0 |
| 12. | 5-Ton Bridge Crane (OR\&R Project) | \$500,000 | \$0 |
| 13. | Buffer Zone Management | \$2,000 | \$1,000 |
| 14. | CEATI Projects | \$15,000 | \$0 |
| 15. | CF Project Telephones | \$7,000 | \$3,300 |
| 16. | Cooling Water Valves | \$8,000 | \$0 |
| 17. | Electrical Contractors | \$45,000 | \$20,000 |
| 18. | Electrical Parts | \$14,000 | \$8,000 |
| 19. | Instrumentation Parts | \$10,000 | \$8,000 |
| 20. | Mechanical Contractors | \$45,000 | \$20,000 |
| 21. | Mechanical Parts | \$14,000 | \$8,000 |
| 22. | New Facility - Analysis/Design/Site Testing (OR\&R Project) | \$45,000 | \$36,722 |
| 23. | Radio/Pager System Improvements | \$25,000 | \$0 |
| 24. | Smoke Detectors \& Fire Water Systems | \$2,600 | \$1,600 |
| 25. | Spillway Handrail \& Guardrail (OR\&R Project) | \$140,000 | \$70,000 |
| 26. | Structure Maintenance; Concrete, Fencing | \$20,000 | \$10,000 |
| 27. | Tools \& Equipment | \$15,000 | \$6,000 |
|  | Operating Expenses - Operating Expenses |  |  |
| 28. | 1D Sediment Transport Study | \$100,000 | \$0 |
| 29. | Dam Instrumentation | \$6,000 | \$4,000 |
| 30. | Diving Services | \$12,500 | \$12,000 |
| 31. | Equipment Rental | \$30,800 | \$18,900 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 32. \& Mechanical \& Piping \& \$9,000 \& \$6,600 <br>
\hline 33. \& Metal \& \$12,000 \& \$3,000 <br>
\hline 34. \& Sedimentation Survey \& \$5,200 \& \$2,700 <br>
\hline 35. \& Spillway 4 Rail Extension - Alternative Analysis (OR\&R Project) \& \$45,000 \& \$0 <br>
\hline 36. \& Spillway Gates \& \$5,000 \& \$3,000 <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Operating Expenses - Recreation} <br>
\hline 37. \& Campground Internet \& \$3,000 \& \$1,500 <br>
\hline 38. \& Contract Services (Backhoe, Gravel) \& \$7,000 \& \$2,000 <br>
\hline 39. \& Copper Creek Take Out Site Parking \& \$75,000 \& \$0 <br>
\hline 40. \& Ground Maintenance \& \$3,200 \& \$0 <br>
\hline 41. \& Open/Close Packwood Gate \& \$2,600 \& \$0 <br>
\hline 42. \& Portable Restrooms \& \$2,200 \& \$2,100 <br>
\hline 43. \& Repairs \& Supplies \& \$9,000 \& \$8,200 <br>
\hline 44. \& Sewer \& Water System Maintenance \& \$6,400 \& \$4,400 <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Operating Expenses - Transmission} <br>
\hline 45. \& ROW Maintenance \& \$60,000 \& \$50,000 <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Labor - Wage, Benefits, \& Taxes} <br>
\hline 46. \& Employee Medical Insurance \& \$411,400 \& \multirow[b]{23}{*}{\$2,727,218

20} <br>
\hline 47. \& Employer PERS \& \$131,400 \& <br>
\hline 48. \& ES Support Overhead \& \$439,200 \& <br>
\hline 49. \& ES Support Wages \& \$627,900 \& <br>
\hline 50. \& Flu Shot Clinics \& \$400 \& <br>
\hline 51. \& L\&I - Employer \& \$16,200 \& <br>
\hline 52. \& Long Term Disability \& \$3,200 \& <br>
\hline 53. \& Misc Pension \& Benefits, CDL, Medical Exam, etc \& \$5,000 \& <br>
\hline 54. \& OT Benefits \& \$7,000 \& <br>
\hline 55. \& OT Taxes \& \$4,000 \& <br>
\hline 56. \& OT Wages \& \$52,000 \& <br>
\hline 57. \& Paid Family Leave Benefit \& \$2,100 \& <br>
\hline 58. \& PCORI ACA Requirement \& \$100 \& <br>
\hline 59. \& PL Cash Out \& \$15,000 \& <br>
\hline 60. \& Retiree/COBRA Med Ins - Premiums \& Claims \& \$20,000 \& <br>
\hline 61. \& Short Term Disability \& \$2,000 \& <br>
\hline 62. \& Social Security Medicare \& \$100,400 \& <br>
\hline 63. \& Standby Pay \& \$37,100 \& <br>
\hline 64. \& Term Ins Benefit \& \$1,400 \& <br>
\hline 65. \& VEBA Benefit \& \$12,200 \& <br>
\hline 66. \& VEBA Cash Out \& \$5,000 \& <br>
\hline 67. \& Wages \& \$1,312,500 \& <br>
\hline 68. \& *Debt Funding \& \$0 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

as depicted in the budget response letter.

## ATTACHMENT 2

District Summary and Detailed Budget Proposal of September 24, 2020 2021 Cowtliz Falls Budget Summary

|  | 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Admin \& General | \$ | 1,383,300 |
| General | \$ | 1,302,500 |
| Training \& Travel | \$ | 80,800 |
| Operating Expenses | \$ | 3,515,900 |
| Fish \& Wildlife | \$ | 143,900 |
| General | \$ | 1,822,100 |
| Operating Expenses | \$ | 1,186,100 |
| Recreation | \$ | 293,800 |
| Transmission | \$ | 70,000 |
| Labor | \$ | 3,205,500 |
| Wage, Benefits, \& Taxes | \$ | 3,205,500 |
| Debt | \$ | $(1,525,600)$ |
| Interest Expense | \$ | 45,000 |
| Principal | \$ | 61,700 |
| Intercompany Loan Proceeds | \$ | $(1,632,300)$ |
| Taxes | \$ | 65,100 |
| Taxes | \$ | 8,200 |
| Taxes - Privilege | \$ | 56,900 |
| Project Reimbursement / Revenue | \$ | $(6,644,200)$ |
| Project Reimbursement | \$ | $(6,565,200)$ |
| Project Revenue | \$ | $(79,000)$ |
| Wheeling | \$ | - |
| Wheeling | \$ | - |
| Total (\$0 is Balanced) | \$ | - |
| Project Expenditures | \$ | 8,276,500 |
| BPA Reimbursement | \$ | $(6,565,200)$ |
| Intercompany Loan Proceeds | \$ | $(1,632,300)$ |
| Other Project Revenue | \$ | $(79,000)$ |
| Total (\$0 is Balanced) | \$ | - |



2021 CFP Budget Detail

| 2021 CFP 2021 Dudget Detal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2021 |  |
| Buffer Zone Management | S | 2,000 |
| CEATIMembership | S | 36,000 |
| CEATIP Projects | s | 15,000 |
| CF Project Telephones | s | 7,000 |
| CFP Cell Phones | S | 1,600 |
| Communications (Radios, etc) | 5 | 5,900 |
| Computer Software | s | 2,000 |
| Contract Crane laspections | s | 12,600 |
| Control Room / Office Area Repairs | S | 40,000 |
| Convert Engineering Drawings to Autocas | 5 | 20,000 |
| Cooling Water Valves | s | 8,000 |
| Drainage System | s | 3,000 |
| Electric Utirites - Secondary Power Feed | s | 11,200 |
| Eletrical Contractors | S | 45,000 |
| Electrical Parts | S | 14,000 |
| Fall Protection Equipment | 5 | 1,500 |
| FR Cothing | \$ | 8,000 |
| Garbare Service | S | 2,300 |
| Generatoc Hatei Cover Seals \& Drains | 5 | 120,000 |
| Generator Parameter Validation Testing | s | 160,000 |
| Habitat \& Fish Recovery Semices (WDFW) | S | 10,000 |
| neac | s | 3,200 |
| Inspections | 5 | 2,200 |
| Instrumentation Parts | 5 | 10,000 |
| Maintenanoe Supplies for Electric Plant | 5 | 5,400 |
| Mechanical Contractors | s | 45,000 |
| Mechanical Parts | 5 | 14,000 |
| New Facility - Analysis/Design/Site Testing | s | 45,000 |
| NWHAMembership | S | 800 |
| Operatoc Renote SCADA Communications | S | 8,000 |
| Plant Lighting | 5 | 1,000 |
| Plant Water Wells \& Testing | 5 | 1,200 |
| Radio / Pager Spytem Improvements | s | 25,000 |
| Rope Actess Gear | s | 1,500 |
| Safety lmprovements | S | 5,000 |
| Security Assessment | s | 95,000 |
| Securit/P/Pant Locks | 5 | 1,500 |
| Sever/Cameras/Office Machines/Computers | S | 5,400 |
| Sheiving \& Furniture | s | 2,000 |
| Smoke Detectors \& Fire Water Systems | s | 2,600 |
| Spillway Handrail 8 Guardrail | s | 140,000 |
| Structure Maintenance; Concrete, Fencing | 5 | 20,000 |
| Subscriptions, Prints, Copies and Maps | 5 | 2,200 |
| Temporary Offices | s | 200,000 |
| Toos \& Equipment | s | 15,000 |
| Transformer Oil Processing \& Testing | S | 1,000 |
| Turbine Maintenance Seals | s | 50,000 |
| Operating Expenses | 5 | 1,186,100 |
| 10 Sediment Transport Study | S | 100,000 |
| Contract Diesel Generator Maintenance | s | 10,000 |
| Contract Maintenance Elevator | 5 | 15,000 |
| Contract Operators | s | 70,000 |
| Dam instrumentation | 5 | 6,000 |
| Debris Earrier Coating \& Cathodic Protection- Design | S | 160,000 |
| Debris Barrier Corrosion Inhibitor | s | 10,000 |
| Debris Barrier Parts | s | 1,000 |
| Debris Removal | 5 | 6,000 |
| Diving Services | s | 12,500 |
| Equipment Rental | s | 30,800 |
| FERC Dam Movement Suvey | s | 8,400 |
| Governor | s | 4,000 |
| Gevernor 30 CAM | 5 | 40,000 |
| Log Aronc Maitenance | S | 1,000 |
| Mechanical \& Piping | 5 | 9,000 |
| Metal | 5 | 12,000 |

2021 CFP Budget Detail

| 2021 CFP 2021 Pudget Detal | 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Painting \& Special Coatings | s | 3,200 |
| Scapa Support | 5 | 50,000 |
| Sedimentation Surver | 5 | 5,200 |
| Sesmic Study | 5 | 100,000 |
| Service Air Conpressor | s | 1,000 |
| Sluice Gate Replacement - CFD \& Physical Model Design | 5 | 330,000 |
| Sonar \& Turbidity Sensors | s | 150,000 |
| Sollway 4 Rail Extention - Aternative Analysis | 5 | 45,000 |
| Spillway Gates | 5 | 5,000 |
| Turbidity Sensor Communication | 5 | 1,000 |
| Recreation | 5 | 293,800 |
| Advertising | 5 | 3,000 |
| Buiding Maintenance | 5 | 3,200 |
| Campsound Hosts | 5 | 115,400 |
| Campsound internet | S | 3,000 |
| Campscound Reservation Software | 5 | 5,000 |
| Campsround Telephone | 5 | 1,300 |
| Contract Septic and Water Services | S | 25,000 |
| Contract Services (Earchoee, Gravel) | 5 | 7,000 |
| Contract Services (Dock instal otion ned Removal) | 5 | 2,500 |
| Copper Creek Take Out Ste Paring | 5 | 75,000 |
| Electric Utinties | 5 | 6,200 |
| Garbage Service | 5 | 6,200 |
| Ground Maintenance | 5 | 3,200 |
| Noxious Weed Control | s | 5,000 |
| Open/Cose Packwood Gate | s | 2,600 |
| Operation Supples | S | 2,200 |
| Park Equipment Repairs | S | 3,200 |
| Portable Restroots | 5 | 2,200 |
| Potable Water and Septic System Testing | 5 | 2,000 |
| Repairs \& Supplies | 5 | 9,000 |
| Sewer \& Water System Maintenance | 5 | 6,400 |
| Sign Replacerenents | 5 | 2,200 |
| Toois \& Equiprent | 5 | 3,000 |
| Tranamistion | 5 | 70,000 |
| Row Maintenance | 5 | 60,000 |
| Transmission Line Corridor Planting | 5 | 10,000 |
| Labor | s | 3,205,500 |
| Wage, Benefits, \& Taxes | s | 3,205,500 |
| Employee Medical Insurance | 5 | 411,400 |
| Employer PERS | 5 | 131,400 |
| ESSupport Overheed | 5 | 439,200 |
| ESSupport Wages | 5 | 627,900 |
| Flu Shot Cinics | s | 400 |
| Lsal - Employer | s | 16,200 |
| Long Term Disablility | 5 | 3,200 |
| Misc Pension \& Benefits, CDL, Medical Exam, ete | 5 | 5,000 |
| OT Benefits | 5 | 7,000 |
| OT TAXES | 5 | 4,000 |
| OT WAGES | S | 52,000 |
| Paid Faniz Leave Benefit | S | 2,100 |
| PCORI ACA Requirement | 5 | 100 |
| PlCash Out | S | 15,000 |
| Retiree/COeRA Med ins - Premiums \& Claims | 5 | 20,000 |
| Short Term Disability | 5 | 2,000 |
| Social Secarity Medicare | s | 100,400 |
| Standby Pay | s | 37,100 |
| Termins Benefit | 5 | 1,400 |
| vEBABenefit | 5 | 12,200 |
| vebacash Out | 5 | 5,000 |
| Wages | 5 | 1,312,500 |
| Wages - Capitaliced | 5 | $\square$ |
| Debt | 5 | (1,525,600) |
| Interest Expense | 5 | 45,000 |
| 2021 CPP Intercompany- - Interest | s | 45,000 |

2021 CFP Budget Detail


## ATTACHMENT 3

## BPA Summary and Detailed Budget Proposal of October 9, 2020

| BPA Proposed FY21 Budget |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| A\&G | $\$ 890,400$ |
| Oper Exp | $\$ 1,665,400$ |
| ORAR | $\$ 759,022$ |
| Labor and O/H FY20 | $\$ 2,420,600$ |
| 3\% labor increase FY21 | $\$ 72,618$ |
| Increase (Support labor) | $\$ 234,000$ |
| Taxes | $\$ 65,100$ |
| Total budget | $\$ 6,107,140$ |
| FY19 Carryover | $\$ 90,804$ |
| Project Revenue | $\$ 79,000$ |
| BPA Reimbursement | $\$ 5,937,336$ |

BPA Proposed FY21 CFP Budget FY 2020 LCPUDFY 2021 BPA FY 2021 comment

| ADMII \& GENERAL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asg Exhibit F |  | \$537,700 | \$100,000 | Working jointly to establish agreed upon methedology and amend PPA. This amount is a placeholder. BPA understands the actual may be more or less than amount noted. |
| Auditing | \$21,000 | \$20,900 | \$20,900 |  |
| Bank Fees | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |  |
| Communikations Fiber - User Fee | \$6,000 | 56,500 | \$6,500 |  |
| Consumable First Aid Supplies | \$3,200 | \$3,200 | \$3,200 |  |
| Consumable Janitorial Supplies | \$1,300 | \$1,300 | \$1,300 |  |
| Consumable Satety Supplies \& PPE | \$5,400 | \$5,400 | \$5,400 |  |
| Excess Liability Insurance | \$13,000 | \$19,000 | \$19,000 |  |
| FERC Fised Fees | \$65,000 | \$65,000 | \$65,000 |  |
| Liability Insurance | \$22,500 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |  |
| License \& Permits | \$6,400 | \$6,400 | \$6,400 |  |
| Other | so | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | Insurance |
| Port Blakely Easement | \$16,000 | \$17,500 | \$17,500 |  |
| Property insurance | \$158,500 | \$170,000 | \$170,000 |  |
| USGS Gauging Station Fees | \$37,300 | \$40,600 | \$40,600 |  |
| American Fisherier Society Meeting | so | \$1,500 | \$1,500 |  |
| Avo Circuil Breaker Maintenance | so | S2,400 | \$2,400 | Training for operators. Prudent due to new staff. |
| CEATI Annual Meeting | so | S2,200 | \$2,200 |  |
| CF Vehicle and Equipment Fuel | \$16,000 | \$16,000 | \$16,000 |  |
| CF Vehicle Maintenance | \$12,000 | \$12,000 | \$12,000 |  |
| Communication Services | \$5,600 | \$3,300 | \$3,300 |  |
| Computer Services | \$5,600 | 55,600 | 55,600 |  |
| Computer Training | so | 5800 | so | Ability for CFP to deter. |
| Confined Space Training | so | 58,000 | \$8,000 |  |
| Dam Salety Consultant | \$84,000 | 584,000 | 584,000 |  |


| Engineering Consultants | \$16,200 | \$65,000 | S16,200 | 300\% increase from FY20. Based on actuals as of August, FY20 amounts are adequate. The scope of work consultants will be utilized on has yet to be solidified. BPA holding to FY20 amount due to no quantifiable reasoning to increase from the CFP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPTC Elect. Fundamentals Fire District Payment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { so } \\ & \text { S17,700 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s0 } \\ & \text { S19,000 } \end{aligned}$ | S19,000 | CFP removed. <br> 7\% increase from FY20 |
| Hydrovision | so | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |  |
| Labor Consultant | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |  |
| Legal Services | \$16,000 | \$24,200 | \$24,200 | Increase for FERC historic summary |
| Legal Services | \$15,000 | \$20,000 | \$15,000 | $33 \%$ increase from FY20. Actual estimates and scope of work for increase not given. |
| Long Range Plan Analysis | \$103,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |  |
| Misc. | \$2,700 | \$5,400 | \$2,700 | Drivers for increase not based on prior year actuals or increased work. |
| NW Hydro Forum | \$0 | \$400 | 5400 |  |
| NWHA Annual Meeting | \$0 | \$500 | \$500 |  |
| NWHA Workshop | so | \$600 | \$600 |  |
| NWPPA Admin Asst. Training | so | \$2,400 | \$2,400 |  |
| NWPPA Leadership Training | \$0 | \$3,400 | \$3,400 |  |
| Office Supplies | \$8,000 | \$9,000 | \$9,000 |  |
| Operator Training Development | \$0 | \$45,000 | \$45,000 | Development of operator training program. |
| Pesticide Application Training | so | \$800 | \$800 |  |
| Project Analysis | so | \$20,000 | \$20,000 |  |
| Records Training | so | \$700 | \$700 |  |
| Rope Access Training | so | \$8,000 | \$8,000 |  |
| Water/Wastewater Training | so | \$1,200 | \$1,200 |  |
| WECC \& NERC Consultants | \$55,000 | \$58,000 | \$58,000 | 5.5\% increase from FY20 |


| WPUDA Admin Roundtable | so | \$600 | so | CFP has ability to defer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WPUDA Records Roundtable | so | \$300 | 50 | CFP has ability to defer |
| Small Tools \& equipment | \$5,500 | \$5,500 | \$5,500 |  |
| **cost of debt financing | So | \$106,700 | 50 | BPA declining debt option. |
| TOTALS | \$750,300 | \$1,493,000 | \$890,400 |  |
| OPERATING EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Sediment Transport Study | so | \$100,000 | 50 | The 10 study is not required by ecologyThis study may help support <br> sedimentation plan but not essentia/(information provided in detailed study over 2017-2019 is sufficient. <br> Conclusion from this study will not support an alternative plan. Money better spent to address sluice gate operations which identified as concern to properly operating for better sedimentation management. |
| 230kV Circuit Preaker Maintenance | so | \$45,000 | so | HydroAmp score 6.9 (good); Black\&Vetch suggests replacement in 2022;item can wait for LRP and future assessments. |
| Advertising | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |  |
| AVR Testing | So | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | Prudent to perform in FY21 based on current condition and testing freq. requirements. |
| Buffer Zone Management | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$1,000 | $100 \%$ increase from FY20. FY20 allocation sufficient and not essential to increase. |


| Campground Hosts | \$4,000 | \$115,400 | \$115,400 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campground internet | \$1,500 | \$3,000 | \$1,500 | $100 \%$ increase. <br> Reason for increase <br> from FYZ not <br> provided. FY20 <br> allocation sufficient. <br> Increase not essential. |
| Campground Reservation Software | \$4,800 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |  |
| Campground Telephone | \$1,300 | \$1,300 | \$1,300 |  |
| CEATIMembership | \$36,000 | \$36,000 | \$36,000 |  |
| CEATI Projects | so | \$15,000 | so |  |
| CF Project Telephones | \$3,300 | \$7,000 | \$3,300 | $112 \%$ increase. Reason for large increase from Frzo not provided. FY20 allocation sufficient. |
| CFP Cell Phones | \$1,600 | \$1,600 | \$1,600 |  |
| Computer Soltware | \$0 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |  |
| Contract Crane Inspections | \$12,600 | \$12,600 | \$12,600 |  |
| Contract Diesel Generator Maintenance | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |  |
| Contract Maintenance Elevator | \$14,800 | \$15,000 | \$15,000 |  |
| Contract Operators | \$0 | \$70,000 | \$70,000 | Head hunter tee |
| Contract Septic and Water Services | \$25,000 | 525,000 | \$25,000 |  |
| Contract Services (Packhoe, Gravel) | \$4,000 | \$7,000 | \$2,000 | 75\% increase from FY20. Backhoe use should be attributed to a specific project. BPA is no longer able to fund backhoe services to Packwood ballpark. |
| Contract Services (Dock installation and I |  | \$2,500 | \$2,500 |  |
| Convert Engineering Drawings to AutocA | \$20,000 | 520,000 | 520,000 |  |
| Cooling Water Valves | \$0 | 58,000 | so | HydroAMP 5.7; move to FY 2022 |
| Cooper Creek Take Out Site Parking | so | 575,000 | so | Project scope and deadine unknown at this time. Project appropriate for Reserve fund use if scope definitive in Fr21. |
| Creel Study | so | \$18,000 | \$18,000 | BiOp requirement |


| Dam instrumentation | \$4,000 | \$6,000 | \$4,000 | $50 \%$ increase from FY20. This line item is not based on actuals of previous years or based on instrumentation for planned work. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debris Barrier coating \& Cathodic Protect | \$30,000 | \$160,000 | \$160,000 | Funds for design. |
| Debris Barrier Corrosion Inhibitor | \$0 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | Work scheduled to be done in FY22-coating the inside of the debris barrier |
| Debris Barrier Parts | \$0 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |  |
| Debris Removal | \$1,000 | \$6,000 | \$6,000 |  |
| Diving Services | \$12,000 | \$12,500 | \$12,000 |  |
| Drainage Spstem | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |  |
| Electric Uutilities | \$6,000 | \$6,200 | \$6,200 |  |
| Electric Utilities - Secondary Power Feed | \$10,900 | \$11,200 | \$11,200 |  |
| Electrial Contractors | so | \$45,000 | \$20,000 | Mechanical and electrical contractors fall into the GL 2.08 .544 .000 (which includes other items as such as parts, etc.). FY20 August SOB has a total of all expenditures in this category of \$55,339. Bases on these actuals, approximately $\$ 20 \mathrm{~K}$ should be sufficient for misc. work for contractors. Our expectation is contract services for specific projects be included in the cost of associated project and this category is for misc. work. |


| Electrical Parts | \$8,000 | \$14,000 | \$8,000 | 75\% increase from FY20. This is not based on actuals or estimates based on trending. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Rental | \$18,900 | \$30,800 | \$18,900 | $63 \%$ increase. <br> Scope/work estimates not driving increase. Reduced work under BPA budget proposal will reduce equipment need. FY20 levels sufficient. |
| Fall Protection Equipment | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 |  |
| FERC Dam Movement Survey | \$8,400 | \$8,400 | \$8,400 |  |
| Fish \& Widlife contract Services (RTL con | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |  |
| FR Clothing | \$8,000 | \$8,000 | \$8,000 |  |
| Gartage Service | \$8,200 | \$8,500 | 58,500 |  |
| Generator Parameter Validation Testing | \$0 | \$160,000 | \$160,000 |  |
| Govenor |  | \$4,000 | \$4,000 |  |
| Govemor 3DCAM | \$10,000 | \$40,000 | \$40,000 | S10k of Fr20 used, \$50k total project cost. |
| Ground Maintenance | \$2,200 | \$3,200 | so | Funding for equipment is covered in small tools. Labor is covered by resource workers and summer help. Estimated increase not driven by actual scope of work increases. |
| Habliat \& Fish Recovery Services (wofw | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |  |
| Habitat Management; Fertilizer, Spraying So, | \$8,400 | 58,700 | 58,400 |  |
| hivac | \$3,200 | \$3,200 | \$3,200 |  |
| Inspections | \$2,200 | \$2,200 | \$2,200 |  |
| Instrumentation Parts | \$8,000 | \$10,000 | \$8,000 | 25\% increase. Quantifiable drivers of increase not provided. This is not based on actuals or estimates based on failure trending. |



| Metal | \$3,000 | \$12,000 | \$3,000 | $300 \%$ increase from FY20. This line item is not based on actuals of previous years or based on instrumentation for planned work. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mitigation Trout Program | \$40,500 | \$43,000 | \$43,000 |  |
| Noxious Weed Control | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |  |
| Noxious Weed Control Contractor | \$8,800 | \$9,000 | \$9,000 |  |
| NWHA Membership |  | \$800 | \$800 |  |
| Open/Close Packwood Gate | \$2,500 | \$2,600 | so | BPA has informed LCPUD that we unfortunately cannot support goodwill items due to cost pressures by the region. |
| Operation Supplies | \$2,200 | \$2,200 | \$2,200 |  |
| Operator Remote SCADA Communicatior | \$8,000 | \$8,000 | \$8,000 |  |
| Painting \& Special Coatings | \$3,200 | \$3,200 | \$3,200 |  |
| Park Equipment Repairs | \$3,200 | \$3,200 | \$3,200 |  |
| Plant Lighting | \$1,000 | \$1.000 | \$1,000 |  |
| Plant Water Wells \& Testing | \$1,200 | \$1,200 | \$1,200 |  |
| Portable Restrooms | \$2,100 | \$2,200 | \$2,100 |  |
| Potable Water and Septic Spstem Testing |  | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |  |
| Radio / Pager System Improvements | \$2,000 | \$25,000 | so | Project delerred to perform in conjunction with District to insure continuity. |
| Repairs \& Supplies | \$8,200 | \$9,000 | \$8,200 |  |
| Rope Access Gear | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 |  |
| Row Msintenance | \$50,000 | \$60,000 | \$50,000 | $20 \%$ increase. FY20 levels sufficient. Quantifiable reason for increase not performed. |
| Safety Improvements | \$6,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |  |
| scada support | so | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | Support for new SCADA system completed in FY20. |
| Security Assessment | so | 585,000 | 585,000 |  |
| Security/Plant Locks | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 |  |


| Sedimentation Survey | \$2,700 | \$5,200 | \$2,700 | 93\% increase. FY2O levels sufficient. Quantifiable reason for significant increase not provided. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seismic Study | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 |  |
| Sewer \& Water System Maintenance | \$4,400 | \$6,400 | \$4,400 | 45\% increase. Not based on actuals. Quantifiable reasoning for increase from Fr20 not provided. |
| Shelving \& Furniture | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |  |
| Sign Replacements | \$2,200 | \$2,200 | \$2,200 |  |
| Smoke Detectors \& Fire Water Sytems | \$1,600 | \$2,600 | \$1,600 |  |
| Spillway Gates | \$3,000 | \$5,000 | \$3,000 |  |
| Structure Maintenance; Concrete, Fencin | \$10,000 | \$20,000 | \$10,000 | $100 \%$ increase for F F20. FY20 levels adequate for mise. expenses associated with this $G$ L. |
| Subscriptions, Prints, Copies and Maps | \$2,200 | \$2,200 | \$2,200 |  |
| Temporary offices | \$40,000 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | Prevides adequate space for all CFP staff and contractors to address requested extra space for staff and security reasons. |
| Tools and Equipment | \$6,000 | \$15,000 | \$6,000 | $150 \%$ increase. increase not based on actuals or quantifiable reasoning. Large increase not based on proposed work or tending of current equipment. |
| Transformer Oil Processing \& Testing | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |  |
| Transmission Line Corridor Planting | \$20,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |  |
| Turbidity Sensor Communication | \$1,020 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |  |
| Turbine Maintenance Seals | \$0 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | Prudent to perform in FY21 based on current condition of seals. |


| Aternate fishing Derby site Developmen \$0 |  | \$50,000 | so | Current site sufficient. <br> Ability to defer. Old fishing derby site is available. Defer so alternatives can be looked at. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-ton Bridge Crane | so | \$500,000 | so | Needs additional study and scoping to determine need, benefit, and alternatives; This is for two cranes - one over each unit. There are questions still outstanding and CFP needs to look into atternatives. The estimates are from several years ago as well as initial information and scope. This project is at high risk for going over budget and over schedule. |
| -Communications (Radios,etc) | \$5,900 | \$5,900 | 55,900 |  |
| *Control Room/Office Area Repairs | \$0 | 540,000 | 540,000 |  |
| *Generator Hatch Cover Seals a Drains | so | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | Seals are showing wear and warrants as an essential project |
| *New Facilitr-Analysis/Design/Site Testin So |  | \$45,000 | \$36,722 | for FV21. <br> CFP indicated at least \$8,278 of work was performed in F220, The FY21 amount may be lower than BPA proposed based on FY20 actuals. |
| *Server/Cameras/Office Machines/Comp \$5,400 |  | \$5,400 | \$5,400 |  |
| -Service Air Compressor | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | S1,000 |  |
| *Sluice Gate Replacement - CFD \& Physik \$0 |  | \$330,000 | 5330,000 | This is an essential project and noted as needing to be addressed in recent assessments. |
| Sonar \& Turbidity Sensors | so | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | Ecology requirement. |


| *Spillway 4 Rail Extension - Analysis | so | \$45,000 | so | Refine estimates; analysis needed. CFP has as ability to deter. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spillway Handrail \& Guardrail | so | \$140,000 | \$70,000 | Replace hand rails only. Need handrail costs. Jersey barriers in place sufficient for safety-handrails seem prudent. |
| Wages - capitialized | S0 | \$200,000 | so | labor allocation tor capital |
| TOTALS \$880,300 |  |  |  |  |

From: Olive, J Courtney (BPA) - LP-7
Sent: Mon Nov 09 19:50:44 2020
To: Sonoda, Cherie D (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND; Todd,Wayne A (BPA) - PGA-6; Kyna Alders;
Carlson,Debbie (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND; Wellner,Michael T (BPA) - PGA-6
Cc: Manary,David (BPA) - LG-7
Subject: FW: Bonneville's Reply to the Notice of Arbitration
Importance: Normal
Attachments: CFP Nov. 9 Reply Letter 11.9.2020.pdf
Microsoft Exchange Server; converted from html;
fyi
From: Manary,David (BPA) - LG-7
Sent: Monday, November 9, 2020 3:49 PM
To: allen@vanderstoep.com; Ryan Neale
Cc: Olive,J Courtney (BPA) - LP-7 ; Blecker,Stephanie F (BPA) - LG-7
Subject: Bonneville's Reply to the Notice of Arbitration
Allen and Ryan,

Attached please find Bonneville's reply to the Notice of Arbitration as required by Section 31(c)(2) of the Amendatory Contract for Power Purchase, Contract No. DE-MS79-91BP93212. I apologize for not getting the letter to you earlier this afternoon but the final review took a little longer than we expected. We look forward to speaking with you at 4:00.

## Dave Manary

Attorney Advisor
Bonneville Power Administration
Office of General Counsel - LG-7
905 NE 11th Avenue
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 230-3363 - office
(b) (6)

- mobile


## Department of Energy

Bonneville Power Administration
Mail Drop 1399
P.O. Box 968

Richland, Washington 99352-0968

November 9, 2020
RE: Notice of Invocation of Binding Arbitration Under Section 31 of Amendatory Contract for Power Purchase, Contract No. DE-MS79-91BP93212 (the "Contract")

Mr. Chris Roden, Manager
Public Utility District \#1 of Lewis County
P. O. Box 330

Chehalis, WA 98532
Dear Mr. Roden:
I received your letter dated October 28, 2020, notifying the Bonneville Power Administration ("Bonneville") that the Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County (the "District," together with "Bonneville" referred to as the "Parties") is invoking binding arbitration to resolve disagreements related to the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget for the Cowlitz Falls Project (the "Project"). While it is unfortunate that we were unable to agree on all items of the FY 2021 Operating Budget, we recognize that Section 31of the Contract provides for binding arbitration for disputes of this nature. We share your hope that a neutral third-party will facilitate constructive and efficient resolution of the remaining points of contention.
I. Additional Items for Arbitration

Included in the District's October 28 letter was a list of 68 budget line items that the District is raising as issues for the arbitrator to decide. Per Section 31(c)(2) of the Contract, Bonneville must respond within 10 days to identify any additional items for inclusion. ${ }^{1}$ Bonneville does not request inclusion of any additional items. However, we do propose a different way of framing ssues 46-67.

Issues 46-67 fall under a grouping of expenses associated with labor costs (wages, medical benefits, overtime costs, and taxes). Presumably, the amount of each of these items depends on the staffing composition at the Project. Assuming that is true, then arbitrating issues 46-67 individually would likely lead to inconsistent and confusing outcomes. If the District prevailed on some items and Bonneville on others there would be no consistent logic behind the labor costs ince different lines would rely on different staffing assumptions. It is clear the dispute between Bonneville and the District is not based on the calculation of individual labor costs, but rather the anderlying staffing composition assumed in the costs.

[^1]Therefore, Bonneville proposes that issues $46-67$ be reframed as a question of the appropriate staffing composition for the Project. Specifically, issues $46-67$ should be replaced by one issue The arbitrator should decide the number and types of additional positions (both on-site at the Project and off-site positions related to the Project) that are appropriate for inclusion in the FY 2021 Operating Budget. This will yield a decision from the arbitrator that more appropriately addresses the actual dispute on this issue.

## II. Proposed Timeline

Bonneville acknowledges the District's interest in quick resolution to facilitate FY 2021 planning and budget execution but is concerned that the expedited schedule provided in Section 31 of the Contract does not set the Parties up for a successful outcome, particularly considering the unique COVID-19 constraints the Parties are dealing with. While the Contract offers rules for arbitration that may apply to a broad range of issues, a well-run arbitration will, at some level, be custom-tailored to meet the circumstances of the particular case. The intent should be to have an efficient process and a durable outcome in which both Parties feel heard and can be satisfied with the outcome.

To balance process and business priorities, Bonneville proposes the following modifications to the timeline:

- Nov 19 - Agree on appointment of Arbitrator. This provides one extra week from the current schedule to allow for joint interviews, internal discussions, and reaching agreement.
- December 18 - Parties submit written proposal, rationale, and exhibits to Arbitrator. This provides nine extra days from the current schedule. If an Arbitrator is appointed before November 19, the Parties' written submittal will remain due on December 18. If an Arbitrator is not appointed by November 19, the Parties' written submittal will be due 29 days after appointment of the Arbitrator.
- 35 days after written submittals, Arbitrator issues decision. This provides five extra days from the current schedule to account for intervening holiday season.

Bonneville believes these modest extensions (adding roughly one month beyond the current schedule) will facilitate a more successful process, relieve pressure on the arbitrator to make a decision close to the Holidays, and not create undue burden on the District for execution of the FY 2021 Operating Year Budget. The issues would be resolved in January, well before the Project typically ramps up its budget execution. Additionally, to assist the District in moving forward quickly, if the arbitration results in any additional funding Bonneville commits to providing a retroactive true-up within two weeks of the arbitrator's decision. The remaining monthly payments for FY 2021 would then be increased to account for the arbitration award.

## III. Section 31(e) of the Contract

The District stated in its Notice of Invocation of Arbitration that it was "hereby invok[ing] the right under Section 31(e) of the Contract to have an arbitrator standing ready ... to help resolve issues that may arise as part of the budgeting process for FY 2022 and future operating years." Bonneville agrees that the Contract contemplates possible usage of an arbitrator in future years. However, Bonneville wishes to clarify that it will not agree to arbitrate issues as they arise throughout each annual budget process. The Contract states that the Parties will agree on an arbitrator "for issues arising out of Sections 9(a) or (b) of this Contract." Thus, arbitration will not be appropriate until after the Parties have performed all budget steps described in Sections 9 (a) and (b). It is Bonneville's expectation that development of an agreed-upon long-range plan and detailed budget justifications will allow us to reach agreement on future budgets without urning to arbitration

## IV. Maximum Amount of Arbitration Award

Under the Administrative Disputes Resolution Act of 1996, 5 U.S.C. §§ 571-584 (ADRA) Bonneville cannot agree to binding arbitration unless the Parties agree on a maximum amount that may be awarded by the arbitrator. In this matter, Bonneville understands the District's equested FY 2021 reimbursement to total $\$ 8,197,500$ and Bonneville's proposal to be $\$ 5,937,336$. The difference between these is $\$ 2,260,164$, so it appears that this represents the maximum amount that may be awarded by the arbitrator. Pursuant to ADRA, before Bonneville can agree to proceed with binding arbitration, the District will need to confirm in writing that this is the maximum amount.

Counsel for Bonneville will continue to be in touch with your Counsel to discuss this letter and to work toward an agreed list of issues, timeline, and maximum award amount.

Sincerely,

## Kieran P. Connolly

Vice President, Generation Asset Management

## Ecc:

Mr. Joe First, Lewis
Mr. Brad Ford, Lewis
Mr. Doug Streeter, Lewis
Mr. Tim Cournyer, Commissioner - Lewis
Mr. Ben Kostick, Commissioner - Lewis
Mr. Ed Rothlin, Commissioner - Lewis

| OR\&R Asset Life |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Asset Life |  | Budget Proposal |  | posal V. 2 |
| Alternative Fishing Derby Site Development | 100 | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | 50,000 |
| Communications (Radios, etc) | 10 | \$ | 5,900 | \$ | 5,900 |
| Copper Creek Take Out Site Parking | 100 | \$ | 135,000 | \$ | 135,000 |
| New Facility - Analysis/Design/Site Testing | 50 | \$ | 36,800 | \$ | 36,800 |
| Replacement of Project SCADA | 10 | \$ | 192,000 | \$ | 192,000 |
| Server/Cameras/Office Machines/Computers | 5 | \$ | 6,800 | \$ | 6,800 |
| Sluice Gate Replacement - CFD \& Physical Model Design | 50 | \$ | 330,000 | \$ | 330,000 |
| Sonar \& Turbidity Sensors | 7 | \$ | 150,000 | \$ | 150,000 |
| Spillway 4 Rail Extension - Alternative Analysis | 50 | \$ | 87,000 | \$ | 135,200 |
| Spillway Handrail \& Guardrail | 100 | \$ | 70,000 | \$ | 70,000 |
| TOTAL |  | \$ | 1,063,500 | \$ | 1,111,700 |


| FY 202115 Month Budget Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Budget Proposal |  | Budget Proposal V. 2 |  |
| Admin \& General |  |  |  |  |
| General | \$ | 1,323,000 | \$ | 1,308,950 |
| Training \& Travel | \$ | 92,000 | \$ | 92,000 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |
| Wage, Benefits, \& Taxes | \$ | 3,499,550 | \$ | 3,499,550 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Fish \& Wildlife | \$ | 146,800 | \$ | 138,600 |
| General | \$ | 892,950 | \$ | 870,450 |
| Operating Expenses | \$ | 598,700 | \$ | 490,000 |
| Recreation | \$ | 232,250 | \$ | 223,200 |
| Transmission | \$ | 35,650 | \$ | 35,650 |
| OR\&R |  |  |  |  |
| Fish \& Wildlife | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | 50,000 |
| General | \$ | 878,500 | \$ | 926,700 |
| Recreation | \$ | 135,000 | \$ | 135,000 |
| Project Reimbursement / Revenue |  |  |  |  |
| Project Reimbursement | \$ | $(7,816,840)$ | \$ | $(7,702,540)$ |
| Project Revenue | \$ | $(130,000)$ | \$ | $(130,000)$ |
| Taxes |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | 10,300 | \$ | 10,300 |
| Taxes - Privilege | \$ | 52,140 | \$ | 52,140 |
| Grand Total | \$ | - | \$ | - |

## FY 2022 Cowlitz Falls Hydroelectric Project New Positions

## IT Technician/Network Administrator I

There has been an increase in Cowlitz Falls employees. This increase in employees has led to more hardware and software to manage. The daily work load for the small hardware and software issues is larger. There is an increase in time spent for patching and support of the business network. There is an increase in purchasing new equipment at Cowlitz Falls that has a communication and network component that requires input and work performed from an IT Tech. We are looking to expand the role of the IT Tech to include managing the security cameras, SCADA network administration (configure and support the SCADA network, maintain the virtual environment, VMware server management, Vspher server management, firewalls, and hardware and software upgrades), assistance with connections to the business network for such items as the weather station, turbidity and sonar sensors, Mitel phone support, and managing the CF SharePoint site. With the expanded duties the new title of this position will be Network Administrator I.

## Bio Technician

The Recreation \& Wildlife Supervisor has expanded job duties overseeing the recreation facilities and supervising the resource workers. There is increase time devoted to participating in the environmental review of projects at Cowlitz Falls, recent examples are the temporary office, debris barrier coating and cathodic protection, take-out site improvement projects. Additional duties also include the water and wastewater maintenance and reporting and FERC recreational reporting requirements. There is less time for her to perform field work. We are losing internal employee with wildlife area management experience and his replacement will not have this expertise.

There are several activities that we want to place increased effort to meet our compliance goals. These include maintaining wood duct boxes, maintaining our wildlife meadows, eliminating terrestrial and aquatic noxious weeds, maintaining our buffer zones, water quality monitoring (TDG and turbidity), improve terrestrial and aquatic habitat. These are activities that would be accomplished with the addition of a Bio Tech position.

## Purchasing Buyer

There is an increasing number, size, and complexity of projects occurring at Cowlitz Falls. This will require additional work that includes general purchase orders, contract development and review, invitations to bid, request for proposals, requests for qualifications, requests for quotations, project close out, and tracking deliveries. The addition of a new purchasing buyer position will enable us to tackle the increased volume of new work.

## Project Engineer

We will defer this position to a future year.

## PARTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

THIS PARTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is made and entered into this and the Puy of March 2021, by and between the Bonneville Power Administration ("Bonneville") (the "Parties").

## I. RECITALS

A. Lewis owns and operates a hydroelectric project on the Cowlitz River known as the Cowlitz Falls Project (the "Project").
B. Bonneville and Lewis are parties to that certain Amendatory Contract for Power Purchase (DE-MS79-91BP3212) dated May 08, 1991, (the "Contract") wherein Lewis agreed to sell all of the Project Output during the Term of the Contract to Bonneville, and Bonneville agreed to pay Lewis during each Operating Year of the Term of the Contract a mutually agreed upon amount equal to the Project Power Costs as set forth in the Contract.
C. Section 9(a) of the Contract requires the Parties to develop a mutually agreeable Annual Operating Budget prior to the start of each Operating Year.
D. The Parties were unable to develop a mutually agreeable Annual Operating Budget prior to the start of the Fiscal Year (FY 2021) Operating Year.
E. On or about October 28, 2020, Lewis delivered the Notice of Invocation of Binding Arbitration Under Section 31 of the Contract to Bonneville attached hereto as Exhibit 1 (the "Arbitration Notice") and submitting 68 issues arising for the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget for binding arbitration.
F. The Parties have found it mutually beneficial to discuss the issues identified in the Arbitration Notice prior to formal commencing the arbitration. These discussions resulted in the Parties coming to agreement on some of the issues identified in the Arbitration Notice. Before continuing negotiations or moving forward with arbitration on the remaining unsettled issues, the Parties desire to enter this Agreement to formally settle and memorialize their resolution of the issues for which they have come to agreement.

## II. SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1. Definitions. Unless otherwise indicated herein, all capitalized terms in this Agreement shall have the same meaning as shown in the Contract. References to "Issue No. $\qquad$ or "Issue Nos. __" shall refer to the issue numbers assigned to the issues subject to arbitration Attachment 1 of Lewis's Arbitration Notice.
2. Neutral Facilitator. The Parties agree to identify and hire a mutually agreeable neutral facilitator (the "Facilitator") to assist the Parties with the FY 2022 Annual Operating Budget process within the earlier of (i) 30 days of resolution of all issues listed in Attachment 1 of
the Arbitration Notice by either settlement or arbitration or (ii) April 1, 2021. The Facilitator shall also assist the Parties in developing a mutually agreeable Administrative and General Overhead Cost Methodology ("A\&G Methodology") in accordance with Section 3 below.

The Parties estimate the cost of the Facilitator will be $\$ 25,000$. For purposes of settlement only and with no precedent for future budget discussions beyond FY 2022, the Parties agree to split the cost of the Facilitator evenly with Bonneville agreeing to add $\$ 12,500$ to the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget to fund Bonneville's half of the estimated cost of the Facilitator as a Project Power Cost. The other $\$ 12,500$ of the estimated cost will be paid directly by Lewis without reimbursement from BPA for purposes of settlement only and without precedent for future years. The service agreement with the Facilitator shall include a "not to exceed amount" of $\$ 25,000$. In the event the Facilitator notifies the Parties that the cost will or will soon exceed that amount, the Parties shall meet to determine whether to continue with the Facilitator and, if so, how to share the additional cost. The Parties may request a Facilitator's assistance in resolving matters in addition to the FY 2022 Annual Operating Budget and the A\&G Methodology, including possible future Annual Operating Budgets, as they may mutually agree from time to time.
3. Administrative and General ("A\&G"). The Parties agree to settle Issue No. 1 by including $\$ 400,000$ in the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget for purposes of assigning A\&G costs to the Project in accordance with Section 9(a)(7) of the Contract. Said amount shall be for settlement purposes only and shall not be precedential for future Annual Operating Budgets. The Parties shall work with the Facilitator to develop and complete a mutually agreeable A\&G Methodology within 60 days of the Facilitator's selection. Upon completion, Exhibit F of the Contract will be amended to reflect the newly agreed upon methodology. In addition, the $\$ 400,000$ FY 2021 A\&G settlement amount identified herein shall be subject to an end of year true-up using the newly agreed upon methodology.
4. Labor - Wage, Benefits, \& Taxes. The Parties hereby settle Issue Nos. 46-67, subject to the following:
4.1 Direct Labor. The FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget shall include a total of $\$ 2,094,400$ consistent with the direct labor detail shown in the attached hereto Exhibit $\underline{\mathbf{2}}$ incorporated herein by this reference, which covers the direct labor positions listed in the attached Exhibit 3 and incorporated herein by this reference. This includes a new Engineer for the Project to be hired during FY 2021. The advertisement for the Engineer position must state that (i) the successful applicant will have experience with hydroelectric facilities; and (ii) a PE is strongly preferred, but the selected candidate must, at a minimum, have a Bachelor of Engineering degree from an ABET accredited engineering program. The Parties further agree that the part-time records position is removed from the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget and will be discussed in the FY 2022 Annual Operating Budget process.
4.2 Support Labor - Budgeted Reimbursement Amount. The FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget shall include a total of $\$ 808,200$ to reimburse Lewis for the costs of support labor and overhead provided to the Project during FY 2021, which amount includes funding of a new accountant position for the Project at a budgeted amount of
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$\$ 126,000$. The total reimbursement amount for support labor and overhead provided herein is for purposes of settling the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget only; shall have no precedent for future budget discussions; and shall not be subject to or part of any kind of end of year true-up, whether under Section 13(b) and/14(b) of the Contract or otherwise. The Parties further agree to discuss inclusion of an IT support position dedicated to the Project as part of the FY 2022 Annual Operating Budget process.
4.3 Support Labor-Tracking. For purposes of this Agreement, support staff includes any employee whose position is listed on the attached hereto Exhibit 4 incorporated herein by this reference and/or any other non-direct labor employee whose time is attributed to the Project. The Parties mutually agree on the following method for tracking and reporting on support labor allocated to the Project.
4.3.1 Lewis shall track support costs in a way that demonstrates what specific projects or tasks Lewis employees are charging to the Project. Specifically, Lewis support staff will track what functional area of the Project's work they are supporting and provide a brief written description of the length of specific tasks worked on and reason for the work. This information needs to be auditable, transparent, and verifiable in order to justify the appropriate level of indirect staff support. The Parties agree that support staff will track their time and activities in accordance with the categories and methods set forth in the example provided in Exhibit 7. For employees tracking time, time will be tracked in half-hour increments. Time will be rounded up or down to the nearest half-hour increment and tasks requiring less than 15 minutes will be considered de minimis and not counted against the Project.
4.3.2 For positions that the Parties agree will not be required to track support costs under 4.3.1, Lewis shall provide a rationale deemed sufficient by Bonneville to support forgoing collection of support labor data. Parties must mutually agree to any increase of budget allocation percentage over the prior year's allocation percentage for any position not required to track support costs under this section and Bonneville reserves the ability to revisit and/or renegotiate whether such positions should be time-tracked by Lewis in subsequent budget years.
5. Settled De Minimis Items. The Parties hereby agree that to settle the issues listed in Exhibit 5 attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference for the amounts shown therein for purposes of setting the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget.
6. Uncovered Matters. The Parties do not settle any issues listed in Attachment 1 to the Arbitration Notice that are not expressly identified or incorporated by reference in Section 1 through 5 of this Agreement (the "Unsettled Issues"). A list of the Unsettled Issues is attached hereto as Exhibit 6 incorporated herein by this reference. Upon mutual execution of this Agreement, the attorneys for the Parties shall meet to determine whether to continue with settlement discussions for purposes of attempting to resolve some or all of the Unsettled Issues or
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instead move such Unsettled Issues into arbitration. In addition, except as otherwise expressly provided herein, this Agreement (i) does not settle or resolve any matter or claim not related to the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget that either Party may have against the other and (ii) shall not be deemed to be a release or waiver by either Party of any such matter or claim.
7. Adjustment to Monthly Payments. Commencing with the month immediately following mutual execution of this Agreement, Bonneville shall adjust the monthly payments made to Lewis under the Contract for FY 2021 to $\$ 498,960 /$ month to account for the agreement reached within Section 1 through Section 5 above. Bonneville shall continue to pay said monthly amount until such time as the Unsettled Issues are finally resolved, whether by settlement or binding arbitration, after which time the monthly payment amount will again be adjusted if it is necessary to account for such additional final resolution(s). In addition, contemporaneously with making the first adjusted monthly payment required under this Section, BPA shall make a one-time true-up payment to Lewis in the amount of $\$ 472,704$ to account for the agreement reached under Section 1 through Section 5 with respect to the month of mutual execution of this Agreement and prior months in FY 2021. The Parties further acknowledge that additional true-up(s) may become necessary following final resolution of the Unsettled Issues.
8. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be construed and interpreted in accordance with the Governing Law - Section 32 of the Contract.
9. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which is an original and all of which, taken together, constitute one and the same instrument.

Bonneville Power Administration

Signature: $\qquad$
Signatory: $\qquad$
Title: $\qquad$
Date: $\qquad$
Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County

$\qquad$

Signatory:
Title: Manager
Date: $\quad 3.16 .21$

## Exhibit 1

Copy of Arbitration Notice

# Lemic Cannty <br> PUBLIC UTILITY DISTR\|CT 

P.O. BOX 330 CHEHALIS, WA $98532 \cdot 321$ N.W. PACIFIC AVENUE CHEHALIS, WA 98532 (800) 562-5612 • (360) 748-9261 • FAX (360) 740-2455 • www.lcpud.org

## October 28, 2020

Mr. Kieran Connolly
Vice President
Generation Asset Management
Bonneville Power Administration
PO Box 3621
Portland, OR 97208-3621
RE: PGAC/Richland - Notice of Invocation of Binding Arbitration Under Section 31 of Amendatory Contract for Power Purchase, Contract No. DE-MS79-91BP93212 (the "Contract")

Dear Mr. Connolly:
Despite our collective best efforts, the Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County (the "District") and the Bonneville Power Administration ("BPA") have failed to agree on the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget for the Cowlitz Falls Project (the "Project") prior to the start of the FY 2021 operating year. This represents the third consecutive year where the District and BPA have not reached an agreement on the budget before the start of the Project's operating year (let alone thirty (30) days prior to the beginning of the operating year as required under Section $9(a)(1)$ of the Contract). Indeed, in recent years, considerable portions of the operating year have elapsed before the District and BPA have reached agreement on the Annual Operating Budget. For example, the FY 2019 Annual Operating Budget was not agreed to until over five (5) months into the operating year. For FY 2020, more than six (6) months of the operating year was over before an agreement was reached.

The current cycle of starting each operating year without an agreed to budget is unsustainable and putting the long-term safe and reliable operation of the Project at risk. The District develops its budget proposals for the Project based on the assumption that it will have the entire operating year in which to implement them. Budget uncertainty that extends into the middle of the operating year due to a failure to reach agreement inevitably delays execution of the hiring of needed staff, the preparation and implementation of large projects and routine maintenance, and the acquisition of necessary equipment and inventory until that uncertainty is resolved. The District's experience from prior years is that, the longer into the operating year it takes to reach agreement on the Annual Operating Budget, the more likely critical projects will be deferred in the final Annual Operating Budget due to the District's practical need of getting a budget in place. Good stewardship of the Project demands that the District and BPA break this cycle.

It is for the above reasons that the District hereby invokes binding arbitration pursuant to Section $31(c)(1)$ of the Contract. Further discussions without a neutral third-party are unlikely to bridge the funding gap between the District and BPA proposals for the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget in a timely manner. In addition, by invoking binding arbitration for the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget, the District also hereby invokes the right under Section 31(e) of the Contract to have an arbitrator standing ready to apply the principles of Sections 7(a)-(i) of the Contract to help resolve issues that may arise as part of the budgeting process for FY 2022 and future operating years. We see this as an absolute necessity going forward to help ensure that the FY 2022 and future Annual Operating Budgets are in place thirty (30) days prior to the start of the operating year as required under Section 9(a)(1) of the Contract.

Attached as Attachment 1 is a list of the 68 issues arising from the FY 2021 Annual Operating Budget that the District has identified for arbitration. The issue list is based on each of the budget line items where (1) the District's budget proposal of September 24, 2020, as shown in the budget summary and detail attached as Attachment 2 to this letter, and BPA's budget proposal of October 9,2020 , as shown in the budget summary and detail attached as Attachment 3 to this letter, differed in amount; and (2) the District has determined to not accept BPA's proposal.

The timelines for the arbitration process under the Contract are short and will be on the parties quickly. For the benefit and ease of both the District and BPA, the District has identified the process steps below as well as the date each step must be completed in accordance with the Contract. Unless otherwise indicated, all dates shown are counted from the date of this notice, which is also the day the notice was mailed and emailed to BPA. Please have a representative from BPA contact me at their earliest convenience so we can begin the process of identifying a mutually agreeable arbitrator, which the Contract requires to be done within fifteen (15) days from the date of this notice.

| Contract <br> Sec. | Arbitration Step | Due Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $31(c)(1)$ | District's Notice of Invocation of Binding <br> Arbitration | October 28, 2020 |
| $31(c)(2)$ | BPA's Notice of Additional Issues due | November 07, 2020 |
| $31(c)(3)$ | Parties to mutually agree upon an arbitrator | November 12, 2020 |
| $31(c)(4)$ | If unable to agree upon an arbitrator, each party to <br> designate a representative | November 22, 2020 |
| $31(c)(5)$ | Party representatives to send notice to parties <br> identifying the arbitrator the representatives have <br> mutually selected | December 02, 2020 |
| $31(c)(7)$ | Parties submit to the arbitrator their written <br> proposals for resolution of issues submitted for <br> arbitration | 20 days after the appointment <br> of arbitrator |
| $31(c)(10)$ | Arbitrator issues written opinion deciding each <br> issue submitted for arbitration | 30 days after written <br> submittals |

In closing, the District appreciates the efforts BPA staff has put forward in trying to reach a mutually agreeable budget for the FY 2021 operating year. This year's process was an improvement over prior years with better coordination and communication on both sides. The District nonetheless believes that annual cycle of agreeing to an Annual Operating Budget after the beginning of the subject operating year is not sustainable and that invoking binding arbitration is the best way to put it to an end. We remain committed to our role as the stewards of the Project and look forward to hearing from BPA soon in order to coordinate the remaining steps of the arbitration process.

Sincerely,
Ci Redere
Chris Roden
General Manager
Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County

## ATTACHMENT 1

List of District's Issues for Arbitration

| $\frac{\text { Issue }}{\mathrm{No} .}$ | Budget Line Item | LCPUD <br> Proposal for <br> FY 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Admin \& General - General |  |  |
| 1. | A\&G Exhibit F | \$537,700 | \$100,000 |
| 2. | Engineering Consultants | \$65,000 | \$16,200 |
| 3. | Legal Services (General Issues) | \$20,000 | \$15,000 |
| 4. | Misc. | \$5,400 | \$2,700 |
|  | Admin \& General - Training and Travel |  |  |
| 5. | Computer Training | \$800 | \$0 |
| 6. | WPUDA Admin Roundtable | \$600 | \$0 |
| 7. | WPUDA Records Roundtable $\quad \$ 300$ \$0 |  |  |
|  | Operating Expenses - Fish \& Wildlife |  |  |
| 8. | Alternative Fishing Derby Site Development (OR\&R Project) | \$50,000 | \$0 |
| 9. | Habitat Management; Fertilizer, Spraying, Plants | \$8,700 | \$8,400 |
| 10. | Kid's Trout Derby $\$ 5,200$ $\$ 2,400$ |  |  |
|  | Operating Expenses - General |  |  |
| 11. | 230 kV Circuit Breaker Maintenance | \$45,000 | \$0 |
| 12. | 5-Ton Bridge Crane (OR\&R Project) | \$500,000 | \$0 |
| 13. | Buffer Zone Management | \$2,000 | \$1,000 |
| 14. | CEATI Projects | \$15,000 | \$0 |
| 15. | CF Project Telephones | \$7,000 | \$3,300 |
| 16. | Cooling Water Valves | \$8,000 | \$0 |
| 17. | Electrical Contractors | \$45,000 | \$20,000 |
| 18. | Electrical Parts | \$14,000 | \$8,000 |
| 19. | Instrumentation Parts | \$10,000 | \$8,000 |
| 20. | Mechanical Contractors | \$45,000 | \$20,000 |
| 21. | Mechanical Parts | \$14,000 | \$8,000 |
| 22. | New Facility - Analysis/Design/Site Testing (OR\&R Project) | \$45,000 | \$36,722 |
| 23. | Radio/Pager System Improvements | \$25,000 | \$0 |
| 24. | Smoke Detectors \& Fire Water Systems | \$2,600 | \$1,600 |
| 25. | Spillway Handrail \& Guardrail (OR\&R Project) | \$140,000 | \$70,000 |
| 26. | Structure Maintenance; Concrete, Fencing | \$20,000 | \$10,000 |
| 27. | Tools \& Equipment | \$15,000 | \$6,000 |
|  | Operating Expenses - Operating Expenses |  |  |
| 28. | 1D Sediment Transport Study | \$100,000 | \$0 |
| 29. | Dam Instrumentation | \$6,000 | \$4,000 |
| 30. | Diving Services | \$12,500 | \$12,000 |
| 31. | Equipment Rental | \$30,800 | \$18,900 |


| 32. | Mechanical \& Piping | \$9,000 | \$6,600 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33. | Metal | \$12,000 | \$3,000 |
| 34. | Sedimentation Survey | \$5,200 | \$2,700 |
| 35. | Spillway 4 Rail Extension - Alternative Analysis (OR\&R Project) | \$45,000 | \$0 |
| 36. | Spillway Gates | \$5,000 | \$3,000 |
|  | Operating Expenses - Recreation |  |  |
| 37. | Campground Internet | \$3,000 | \$1,500 |
| 38. | Contract Services (Backhoe, Gravel) | \$7,000 | \$2,000 |
| 39. | Copper Creek Take Out Site Parking | \$75,000 | \$0 |
| 40. | Ground Maintenance | \$3,200 | \$0 |
| 41. | Open/Close Packwood Gate | \$2,600 | \$0 |
| 42. | Portable Restrooms | \$2,200 | \$2,100 |
| 43. | Repairs \& Supplies | \$9,000 | \$8,200 |
| 44. | Sewer \& Water System Maintenance | \$6,400 | \$4,400 |
|  | Operating Expenses - Transmission |  |  |
| 45. | ROW Maintenance | \$60,000 | \$50,000 |
|  | Labor - Wage, Benefits, \& Taxes |  |  |
| 46. | Employee Medical Insurance | \$411,400 | \$2,727,218 |
| 47. | Employer PERS | \$131,400 |  |
| 48. | ES Support Overhead | \$439,200 |  |
| 49. | ES Support Wages | \$627,900 |  |
| 50. | Flu Shot Clinics | \$400 |  |
| 51. | L\&I - Employer | \$16,200 |  |
| 52. | Long Term Disability | \$3,200 |  |
| 53. | Misc Pension \& Benefits, CDL, Medical Exam, etc | \$5,000 |  |
| 54. | OT Benefits | \$7,000 |  |
| 55. | OT Taxes | \$4,000 |  |
| 56. | OT Wages | \$52,000 |  |
| 57. | Paid Family Leave Benefit | \$2,100 |  |
| 58. | PCORI ACA Requirement | \$100 |  |
| 59. | PL Cash Out | \$15,000 |  |
| 60. | Retiree/COBRA Med Ins - Premiums \& Claims | \$20,000 |  |
| 61. | Short Term Disability | \$2,000 |  |
| 62. | Social Security Medicare | \$100,400 |  |
| 63. | Standby Pay | \$37,100 |  |
| 64. | Term Ins Benefit | \$1,400 |  |
| 65. | VEBA Benefit | \$12,200 |  |
| 66. | VEBA Cash Out | \$5,000 |  |
| 67. | Wages | \$1,312,500 |  |
| 68. | *Debt Funding | \$0 |  |

*Financing proceeds and the associated payment structure have been removed per BPA's request as depicted in the budget response letter.

## Exhibit 2

Direct Labor Detail

| Issue <br> No. | Description | Amount |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 46 | Employee Medical Insurance | $\$ 385,200$ |
| 47 | Employer PERS | $\$ 121,100$ |
| 50 | Flu Shot Clinics | $\$ 400$ |
| 51 | L\&I - Employer | $\$ 14,200$ |
| 52 | Long Term Disability | $\$ 2,900$ |
| 53 | Misc Pension \& Benefits, CDL, Medical <br> Exam, etc | $\$ 5,000$ |
| 54 | OT Benefits | $\$ 7,000$ |
| 55 | OT Taxes | $\$ 4,000$ |
| 56 | OT Wages | $\$ 52,000$ |
| 57 | Paid Family Leave Benefit | $\$ 1,900$ |
| 58 | PCORI ACA Requirement | $\$ 100$ |
| 59 | PL Cash Out | $\$ 15,000$ |
| 60 | Retiree/COBRA Med Ins - Premiums \& | $\$ 20,000$ |
| 61 | Short Term Disability | $\$ 2,000$ |
| 62 | Social Security Medicare | $\$ 90,000$ |
| 63 | Standby Pay | $\$ 37,100$ |
| 64 | Term Ins Benefit | $\$ 1,200$ |
| 65 | VEBA Benefit | $\$ 11,300$ |
| 66 | VEBA Cash Out | $\$ 5,000$ |
| 67 | Wages | $\$ 1,176,000$ |
| 70 | Hydro Engineer | $\$ 143,000$ |
| 71 | Part Time Records | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  | Total: |
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List of Direct Labor Positions
<TO BE ADDED>

CF Admin. Assistant
Generation Manager
Recreation \& Wildlife Supervisor
Resource Worker
Resource Worker - Lead
Sr. Journeyman Operator-Maintenance
Hydro Engineer
Generation Superintendent 1
Generation Superintendent 2
Journeyman Operator-Maintenance
Journeyman Operator-Maintenance 2 Journeyman Operator-Maintenance 3 Journeyman Operator-Maintenance 4 summer Seasonal
Summer Seasonal 2
Summer Seasonal 3
Summer Seasonal 4

## Exhibit 4

List of Support Staff Positions

| Dept. | Role | Basis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACCT | Staff Accountant (CFP) | Allocated \% |
| ADMIN | Commissioners | Allocated \% |
| ENG | GIS Analyst | Allocated \% |
| ENG | GIS Supervisor | Allocated \% |
| ENG | System Engineering Supervisor | Allocated \% |
| ENG | Utilities Specialist | Allocated \% |
| HR | HR Manager | Allocated \% |
| IS | IS \& Telecom Manager | Allocated \% |
| OPS | Line Superintendent | Allocated \% |
| OPS | Mechanic | Allocated \% |
| OPS | Mechanic Foreman | Allocated \% |
| OPS | Operations Admin. Asst. | Allocated \% |
| OPS | Operations Manager | Allocated \% |
| OPS | Operations Superintendent | Allocated \% |
| OPS | Tree Supervisor | Allocated \% |
| PP/ES | Power Supply/Energy Services Analyst | Allocated \% |
| ADMIN | General Manager | Task Tracking |
| ADMIN | Executive Assistant | Task Tracking |
| ADMIN | General Manager | Task Tracking |
| ADMIN | Public Affairs Manager | Task Tracking |
| ACCT | CFO | Task Tracking |
| ACCT | Controller | Task Tracking |
| ACCT | Financial Analyst | Task Tracking |
| ACCT | Procurement and Risk Supervisor | Task Tracking |
| ACCT | Purchasing Buyer | Task Tracking |
| ACCT | Senior Accountant | Task Tracking |
| ACCT | Staff Accountant I | Task Tracking |
| ACCT | Staff Accountant II | Task Tracking |
| ENG | Engneering Manager | Task Tracking |
| HR | HR Generalist | Task Tracking |
| HR | HR Specialist | Task Tracking |
| IS | IT Technician | Task Tracking |
| IS | Records Retention Specialist | Task Tracking |
| IS | Network Supervisor | Task Tracking |
| IS | Sr. Database Administrator | Task Tracking |
| OPS | Safety and Meter Superintendent | Task Tracking |
| PP/ES | Manager of Power Planning | Task Tracking |

List of Settled De Minimis Items

| Issue No. | Description | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Misc. | \$ | 5,400 |
| 5 | Computer Training | \$ | 800 |
| 6 | WPUDA Admin Roundtable | \$ | 600 |
| 7 | WPUDA Records Roundtable | \$ | 300 |
| 10 | Kid's Trout Derby | \$ | 5,200 |
| 34 | Sedimentation Survey | \$ | 5,200 |
| 36 | Spillway Gates | \$ | 5,000 |
| 9 | Habitat Management; Fertilizer, Spraying, Plants | \$ | 8,400 |
| 13 | Buffer Zone Management | \$ | 1,000 |
| 19 | Instrumentation Parts | \$ | 8,000 |
| 24 | Smoke Detectors \& Fire Water Systems | \$ | 1,600 |
| 29 | Dam Instrumentation | \$ | 4,000 |
| 30 | Diving Services | \$ | 12,000 |
| 32 | Mechanical \& Piping | \$ | 6,600 |
| 37 | Campground Internet | \$ | 1,500 |
| 42 | Portable Restrooms | \$ | 2,100 |
| 43 | Repairs \& Supplies | \$ | 8,200 |
| 44 | Sewer \& Water System Maintenance | \$ | 4,400 |
| 22 | New Facility Analysis/Design/Site Testing (OR\&R Project) | \$ | 36,800 |

Exhibit 6
List of Unsettled Issues*

| $\frac{\text { Issue }}{\text { No. }}$ | Budget Line Item | $\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text { LCPUD } \\ \text { Proposal for } \end{array}}{\underline{\text { FY } 2021}}$ | $\frac{$ BPA  <br>  Proposal for  <br>  FY  2021}{ 百 } |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Admin \& General - General |  |  |
| t. | A\&GE Exhibit F | \$537,700 | \$100,000 |
| 2. | Engineering Consultants | \$65,000 | \$16,200 |
| 3. | Legal Services (General Issues) | \$20,000 | \$15,000 |
| 4. | Mise. | \$5,400 | \$2,700 |
|  | Admin \& General- Training and Travel |  |  |
| 5. | Computer Training | \$800 | \$0 |
| 6. | WPUPA Admin Reundtable | \$600 | \$0 |
| 7. | WPUDA Reeords Reumdtable | \$300 | \$0 |
|  | Operating Expenses - Fish \& Wildlife |  |  |
| 8. | Alternative Fishing Derby Site Development (OR\&R Project) | \$50,000 | \$0 |
| 9. | Habitat Management; Fertilizer, Spraying, Plants | \$8,700 | \$8,400 |
| 10. | Kid's Trout Derby | \$5,200 | \$2,400 |
|  | Operating Expenses - General |  |  |
| 11. | 230 kV Circuit Breaker Maintenance | \$45,000 | \$0 |
| 12. | 5-Ton Bridge Crane (OR\&R Project) | \$500,000 | \$0 |
| 13. | Buffer Zone Management | \$2,000 | \$1,000 |
| 14. | CEATI Projects | \$15,000 | \$0 |
| 15. | CF Project Telephones | \$7,000 | \$3,300 |
| 16. | Cooling Water Valves | \$8,000 | \$0 |
| 17. | Electrical Contractors | \$45,000 | \$20,000 |
| 18. | Electrical Parts | \$14,000 | \$8,000 |
| 19. | Instrumentation Parts | \$10,000 | \$8,000 |
| 20. | Mechanical Contractors | \$45,000 | \$20,000 |
| 21. | Mechanical Parts | \$14,000 | \$8,000 |
| 22. | New Facility Analysis/Design/Site Testing (OR\&R Projeet) | \$45,000 | \$36,722 |
| 23. | Radio/Pager System Improvements | \$25,000 | \$0 |
| 24. | Smeke Detectors \& Fire Water Systems | \$2,600 | \$1,600 |
| 25. | Spillway Handrail \& Guardrail (OR\&R Project) | \$140,000 | \$70,000 |
| 26. | Structure Maintenance; Concrete, Fencing | \$20,000 | \$10,000 |
| 27. | Tools \& Equipment | \$15,000 | \$6,000 |
|  | Operating Expenses - Operating Expenses |  |  |
| 28. | 1D Sediment Transport Study | \$100,000 | \$0 |
| 29. | Dam Instrumentation | \$6,000 | \$4,000 |
| 30. | Diving Services | \$12,500 | \$12,000 |
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 31. \& Equipment Rental \& \$30,800 \& \$18,900 <br>
\hline 32. \& Meehanieal \& Piping \& \$9,000 \& \$6,600 <br>
\hline 33. \& Metal \& \$12,000 \& \$3,000 <br>
\hline 34. \& Sedimentation Survey \& \$5,200 \& \$2,700 <br>
\hline 35. \& Spillway 4 Rail Extension - Alternative Analysis (OR\&R Project) \& \$45,000 \& \$0 <br>
\hline 36. \& Spillway Gates \& \$5,000 \& \$3,000 <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Operating Expenses - Recreation} <br>
\hline 37. \& Campground Internet \& \$3,000 \& \$1,500 <br>
\hline 38. \& Contract Services (Backhoe, Gravel) \& \$7,000 \& \$2,000 <br>
\hline 39. \& Copper Creek Take Out Site Parking \& \$75,000 \& \$0 <br>
\hline 40. \& Ground Maintenance \& \$3,200 \& \$0 <br>
\hline 41. \& Open/Close Packwood Gate \& \$2,600 \& \$0 <br>
\hline 42. \& Portable Restrooms \& \$2,200 \& \$2,100 <br>
\hline 43. \& Repairs-\& Supplies \& \$9,000 \& \$8,200 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{44.} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Operating Expenses - Transmission} <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Operating Expenses - Transmission} <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{45.} \& ROW Maintenance \& \$60,000 \& \$50,000 <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Labor - Wage, Benefits, \& Taxes} <br>
\hline 46. \& Employee Medical Insurance \& \$411,400 \& \multirow[b]{23}{*}{$\$ 2,727,218$

$\$ 0$} <br>
\hline 47. \& Employer PERS \& \$ 131,400 \& <br>
\hline 48. \& ES Support Overhead \& \$439,200 \& <br>
\hline 49. \& ESSuppert Wages \& \$627,900 \& <br>
\hline 50. \& Flu-Shot Clinies \& \$400 \& <br>
\hline 51. \& L\&I Employer \& \$16,200 \& <br>
\hline 52. \& Long Term Disability \& \$3,200 \& <br>
\hline 53. \& Mise-Pension \& Benefits, CDL, Medical Exam, etc \& \$5,000 \& <br>
\hline 54. \& OT Benefits \& \$7,000 \& <br>
\hline 55, \& ӨT Taxes \& \$4,000 \& <br>
\hline 56. \& OT Wages \& \$52,000 \& <br>
\hline 57. \& Paid Family Leave Benefit \& \$2,100 \& <br>
\hline 58. \& PCORI ACA-Requirement \& \$100 \& <br>
\hline 59. \& PL Cash Out \& \$15,000 \& <br>
\hline 60. \& Retiree/COBRA Med Ins-Premiums \& Claims \& \$20,000 \& <br>
\hline 61. \& Short Term Disability \& \$2,000 \& <br>
\hline 62. \& Social Security Medicare \& \$100,400 \& <br>
\hline 63. \& Standby Pay \& \$37,100 \& <br>
\hline 64. \& Term Ins Benefit \& \$1,400 \& <br>
\hline 65. \& VEBA Benefit \& \$12,200 \& <br>
\hline 66. \& VEBA Cash Out \& \$5,000 \& <br>
\hline 67. \& Wages \& \$1,312,500 \& <br>
\hline 68. \& Debt Funding \& \$0 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

* The Unsettled Issues are shown without strikethrough. Settled issues are shown with
strikethrough for informational purposes.

Partial Settlement Agreement

Partial Settlement Agreement

From: Stacy Davis
Sent: Wed Jun 09 17:11:17 2021
To: Connolly,Kieran P (BPA) - PG-5
Cc: Carlson, Debbie (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND; Sonoda,Cherie D (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND; Joe First
Chris Roden; Brad Ford
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Right to Act Notice-Rail Extension
Subject: [EXTERNAL]
Importance: Normal
Importance: Normal
Attachments: LCPUD-BPA Right To Act Notice-Rail Extension 06-09-2021.pdf
Mr. Connolly,
Please see the attached letter regarding the District's Right to Act Notice for Rail Extension project.

Due to the size and the amount of documents noted in the letter, the enclosures can be viewed through the following link:
https://lewiscountypud.sharefile.com/d-s91d31a1032714cb9b84099556f861b3c
Please note some of the documents provided through this link are CEII and are exempt from disclosure.
Please let me know if you have any problems accessing the enclosures included in the link provided.

## Sincerely,

Stacy Davis

## Stacy Davis

Executive Assistant | Lewis County PUD | www.Icpud.org
o:(360) 748-9261 | d:(360) 740-2412 | e:Stacy@lcpud.org
321 NW Pacific Ave | PO Box 330 | Chehalis, WA 98532-0330
Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County is required to comply with the Washington Public Records Act, RCW
Ch.42.56. Information submitted via e-mail, including personal information may be subject to disclosure as a
public record.

PO. BOX 330 CHEHALIS, WA 98532 • 321 N.W. PACIFIC AVENUE CHEHALIS, WA 98532
(800) 562-5612 • (360) 748-9261 • FAX (360) 740-2455 • www.lepud.org

June 9, 2021

Mr. Kieran Connolly
Vice President
General Asset Management
Bonneville Power Administration
P.O. Box 3621

Portland, OR 97208-3621
Re: Spillway 4 Rail Extension Alternative Analysis / Budget Amendment
Dear Mr. Connolly;
As previously discussed with Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) staff Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County's (District) Spillway 4 Rail Extension Alternative Analysis budget line item is a significant matter pertaining to the operations of the Cowlitz Falls Project (Project). While the item by itself appears somewhat benign; it is in actuality an urgent matter relating to the operations of the Project and work needing to be performed to restore normal operations of the sluice gates as repeatedly referenced in the attached materials.

The completion of the rail across Spillway 4 as contemplated in the initial Project design will allow for the use of the gantry crane as was originally intended. Previous use of a mobile crane during emergency operations has proven to be difficult and expensive at best, and a potential safety and operations risk at worst. Advancing this project ensures District staff can complete the necessary projects and respond to similar emergencies in the future safely and efficiently.

In response to having the Spillway 4 Rail Extension Alternative Analysis incorporated into the Project budget, BPA replied on October 9, 2020 in its enclosure titled "BPA FY 2021 Budget Review Details" with a budget proposal of $\$ 0$ with the following comment "Refine estimates; analysis needed. CFP has as ability to defer."

The District believes the inclusion of the budget item itself is in response to BPA's request for further analysis. The District further objects to the statement that "CFP has as ability to defer". The alternative analysis itself, and the alternative from that analysis ultimately implemented, are required before construction on the Sluice Gate Replacement project can begin. Continual deferral as BPA proposes will stall and/or prohibit the advancement of this necessary item. BPA has not provided any justification for deferring this or the associated projects other than it is reluctant to increase Project costs because of a BPA's zero cost increase mandate.

It is with this in consideration the District provides written recommendation to BPA, as authorized by Section 8(d)(1) of the Power Purchase Agreement, to agree to affirming the FY 2021 operating budget costs of $\$ 90,000$ for the Spillway 4 Rail Extension Alternative Analysis. Please note the amount required
for advancing this project has increased from what was included in the September 24, 2020 budget document.

The ongoing FY2021 budget dispute continues to detrimentally affect the Project and its ability to adhere to matters of compliance, safety, and reliability. We believe the ongoing failure to recognize the matters held within the submitted budget as necessary items for funding of the project to be a departure from our Amendatory Contract for Power Purchase and the principles set within.

Response to this written recommendation is requested within 15 days.
Sincerely,

Ciledes
Chris Roden
General Manager

Attachments: BPA FY 2021 Budget Review Details
Rail Extension Over Spillway 4
1998 Sluice Gate Summary Report-CEII
2000 Bechtel sluiceway paper-CEII
2000, Apr. 06-Waterpower Paper [Exp. Vault]-CEII
2003 Feb 3, Sluice Gate 1 \& 2 Failure-CEII
Cowlitz Fall Paper-NAES Power Contractors_Lewis County PUD-Final-CEII
Cowlitz Falls Sluice Gate Alt Anal_FINAL_2018-07-24-CEII
Crane from S Abutment-CEII
Sluice Gate \#2 Out-CEII
cc: Debbie Carlson, BPA
Cherie Sonoda, BPA
Joe First, District
Brad Ford, District

From: Stacy Davis
Sent: Wed Jun 09 17:12:33 2021
To: Connolly,Kieran P (BPA) - PG-5
Cc: Carlson,Debbie (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND; Sonoda,Cherie D (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND; Chris Roden;
Brad Ford; Joe First
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Right to Act Notice-5 Ton Crane
Importance: Normal
Attachments: LCPUD-BPA Right to Act Notice-5 Ton Crane 06-09-2021.pdf
Mr. Connolly,
Please see the attached letter regarding the District's Right to Act Notice for 5 Ton Crane project.
Due to the size and the amount of documents noted in the letter, the enclosures can be viewed through the following link:
https://lewiscountypud.sharefile.com/d-s4f0b62561b174b2ba8d68a58d43e0695
Please note some of the documents provided through this link are CFII and are exempt from disclosure.
Please let me know if you have any problems accessing the enclosures included in the link provided.

## Sincerely,

Stacy Davis

## Stacy Davis

Executive Assistant | Lewis County PUD | www.Icpud.org
o:(360) 748-9261 | d:(360) 740-2412 | e:Stacy@lcpud.org
321 NW Pacific Ave | PO Box 330 | Chehalis, WA 98532-0330
Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County is required to comply with the Washington Public Records Act, RCW
Ch.42.56. Information submitted via e-mail, including personal information may be subject to disclosure as a
public record.

## From: Stacy Davis

Sent: Tue Jun 08 17:09:21 2021
To: Connolly,Kieran P (BPA) - PG-5
Cc: Carlson,Debbie (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND; Sonoda,Cherie D (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND; Joe First; Chris Roden; Brad Ford
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Right to Act Notice-Handrai
Importance: Normal
Attachments: LCPUD-BPA Right to Act Notice-Handrail-06-08-2021.pdf; 2021 CFP Budget Justification
Sheet -Spillway Handrail Guardrail 202011 3.pdf; BPA FY21 budgets review details.pdf
Mr. Connolly,
Please see the attached letter regarding the District's Right to Act Notice for handrail project

## Sincerely,

Stacy Davis

Stacy Davis
Executive Assistant | Lewis County PUD | www.Icpud.org
$0:(360) 748-9261|\mathrm{~d}:(360) 740-2412|$ e:Stacy@Icpud.org
321 NW Pacific Ave | PO Box 330 | Chehalis, WA 98532-0330
Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County is required to comply with the Washington Public Records Act, RCW
Ch.42.56. Information submitted via e-mail, including personal information may be subject to disclosure as a
public record.
P.O. BOX 330 CHEHALIS, WA 98532 • 321 N.W. PACIFIC AVENUE CHEHALIS, WA 98532
(800) 562-5612 • (360) 748-9261 • FAX (360) 740-2455 • www.lepud.org

June 9, 2021

Mr. Kieran Connolly
Vice President
General Asset Management
Bonneville Power Administration
P.O. Box 3621

Portland, OR 97208-3621

Re: 5 Ton Bridge Crane / Budget Amendment

Dear Mr. Connolly;
As discussed and subsequently deferred in previous budget cycles the Cowlitz Falls Project (Project or CFP) is in need of a bridge crane installed over each of the generating units.
In Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) October 9, 2020 response enclosure titled "BPA FY 2021 Budget Review Details", BPA stated: "Needs additional study and scoping to determine need, benefit, and alternatives; This is for two cranes - one over each unit. There are questions still outstanding and CFP needs to look into alternatives. The estimates are from several years ago as well as initial information and scope. This project is at high risk for going over budget and over schedule." Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County (District) has previously supplied the requested information and concurrently within the FY 2021 budget process completed with BPA a "Project Justification Sheet" (attached) evaluating alternatives and need.

This measure is critical in nature and can no longer be delayed out of what appears to be efforts to keep immediate costs as low as possible. The measure would provide operational and fiscal benefit to the project. A bridge crane would greatly reduce the frequency of fish flume and generator hatch cover removals during unit overhauls and equipment replacements such as generator water coolers, rotor poles, thrust and guide bearings, and oil head components. Removal of the fish flumes and generator hatch covers likely would require contracting outside contractors at a cost of $\$ 80,000$ per occurrence. The removal of the fish flumes during fish collection season is unlikely to take place. Removal of the generator hatch cover outside of July through September is problematic due to likelihood of rain or snow entering the powerhouse. This delays replacement and repair projects into short scheduling windows each year. This potentially increases generator outage time and reduces unit availability.

However, most importantly the installation of the cranes will allow District staff to perform work as safely as possible. Specific examples of this are the removal of the generator deck plates and speed signal generator. Each of the generator deck plate covers weighs over 300pounds and must be removed for normal annual maintenance activities. Currently, each of the generator deck plates is removed by hand and then manually lifted, carried up to 35 feet, and finally stacked for temporary storage by District staff prior to each annual maintenance activity, and then again lifted by hand from the temporary storage stack and manually restored to its proper place when the maintenance is concluded. Manually handling and carrying the heavy deck covers across the tight generator floor is cumbersome and dangerous work that would largely be avoided if the bridge cranes were installed. There are twenty-two generator deck plates. Currently, the plates are not all removed each maintenance cycle due to lack of resources, time, and a safe process. This inhibits performing all of the unit maintenance activities that need to be completed. This will reduce the life of the generator and associated components.

There is a similar risk to staff when removing the speed signal generators located at the top of the unit rotating shafts. Removal requires District staff to construct large scaffolding that allows access to the top of the rotating shaft. The scaffolding takes 98 man-hours to build and dismantle. . The speed signal generators both weigh over 200 pounds and they must be manually lifted vertically over one foot to remove from the shaft and then carried down the scaffolding to the generator floor by hand where the actual maintenance is performed. Then, again, when the maintenance is completed, the speed signal generators are manually lifted off the floor, carried back up the scaffolding, and then put by hand back into place. Due to the tight working environment and the weight and awkward shape of the speed signal generators, the work of lifting and carrying them (both up and down the scaffolding and across the generator floor) is done by no more than two employees and any slip or other mistake could quickly give rise to a serious injury.

Placing our employees in situations of additional risk due to a budget dispute is not acceptable. It is with this in consideration the District provides written recommendation to BPA, as authorized by Section 8(d)(1) of the Power Purchase Agreement, to agree to affirming the FY 2021 operating budget costs of $\$ 500,000$ for the 5 Ton Bridge Crane as presented by the District on September 24, 2020. Failure to fund and advance the discussed measures is inconsistent with the stated strategic objective of safety shared by both agencies and would force the District to act in a manner inconsistent with the principles set forth in our Power Purchase Agreement, and as such force the District to exercise its right to act as needed to maintain compliance.

The ongoing FY2021 budget dispute continues to detrimentally affect the Project and its ability to adhere to matters of compliance, safety, and reliability. We believe the ongoing failure to recognize the matters held within the submitted budget as necessary items for funding of the project to be a departure from our Amendatory Contract for Power Purchase and the principles set within.

## Response to this written recommendation is requested within 15 days.

Sincerely,
Cieder

Chris Roden
General Manager

Enclosure: BPA FY 2021 Budget Review Details
2021 CFP Budget Justification Sheet-5-Ton Bridge Crane 2020113
2007-131 Ergonomic Guidelines for Manual Materials Handling
LCPUD Bid 17-06-CF Powerhouse Bridge Cranes-Rev 11
Hitachi 10P182-630 Rev 0 Oil Supply Head-CEII
IMG_2249
IMG_2257
IMG_2264
cc: Debbie Carlson, BPA
Cherie Sonoda, BPA
Joe First, District
Brad Ford, District
P.O. BOX 330 CHEHALIS, WA 98532 • 321 N.W. PACIFIC AVENUE CHEHALIS, WA 98532
(800) 562-5612 • (360) 748-9261 • FAX (360) 740-2455 • www.lepud.org

June 8, 2021

Mr. Kieran Connolly
Vice President
General Asset Management
Bonneville Power Administration
P.O. Box 3621

Portland, OR 97208-3621
Re: Right to Act Notice-Handrai

Dear Mr. Connolly;

As previously discussed in prior budgeting periods, the Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County (District or Lewis County PUD) believes it is necessary and prudent to move forward with Cowlitz Falls Project (CFP or Project) Spillway Handrail and Guardrail project. The matter has been discussed with the Bonneville Power Administration (Bonneville) and deferred based on Bonneville's demand to hold Project budgets flat for several years and it has unfortunately reached the point where the District must take action to eliminate a safety hazard. Much like the Bonneville Power Administration (Bonneville) the District values safety and are committed to "taking actions to prevent and eliminate hazards" (see
https://www.bpa.gov/news/AboutUs/Pages/Mission-Vision-Values.aspx). We do not invoke the issue of safety lightly; this issue has suffered from ongoing deferment and must be accomplished.

In Bonneville's October 9, 2020 budget response letter enclosure titled "BPA FY 2021 Budget Review Details" Bonneville stated "Replace hand rails only. Need handrail costs. Jersey barriers in place sufficient for safety- handrails seem prudent." The District does not agree that Bonneville's assessment or measure of what is prudent to address this safety hazard is accurate or determinative. The September 26, 2018 Independent Review and Analysis prepared by HDR identified this issue as one that is more probable than not to have a failure and, if there is a failure, to have extremely bad consequences. The HDR report further recommended replacement in 2021. As noted above, the District's own assessment communicated to Bonneville over the last several budget cycles is that the guardrails and handrails are failing and must be replaced. As the operator of the Project, and as the employer of the employees who work at the Project the determination of what is sufficient and prudent to address a clear safety hazard at the Project are tasked to the District. See, e.g., section 18 of the Amendatory Power Purchase Contract .


It is with this in consideration, the District provides written recommendation to Bonneville, as authorized by Section $8(\mathrm{~d})(1)$ of the Power Purchase Agreement, to agree to affirming the FY 021 operating budget costs of $\$ 140,000$ for the Spillway Handrail and Guardrail project as presented by the District on September 24, 2020 to accommodate those costs necessary to maintaining a safe and healthy workplace. Failure to fund and advance the identified measures would force the District to act in a manner inconsistent with the requirements of the Project and places the Project's employees at risk, and as such force the District to exercise its right to act.

The ongoing FY2021 budget dispute continues to detrimentally affect the Project and its ability to adhere to matters of compliance, safety, and reliability. We believe the ongoing failure to recognize the matters held within the submitted budget as necessary items for funding of the project to be a departure from our Amendatory Contract for Power Purchase and the principles set within.

Response to this written recommendation is requested within 15 days.

Sincerely,

Cender

## Chris Roden

General Manager
Enclosures:
2021 CFP Budget Justification Sheet-Spillway Handrail Guardrail 2020113 BPA FY21 budgets review details

CC: Debbie Carlson, BPA
Cherie Sonoda, BPA
Joe First, Lewis County PUD
Brad Ford, Lewis County PUD

Cowlitz Falls Project Justification Sheet


Cowlitz Falls Project Justification Sheet



Additional Information / Notes

| ADMIN \& GENERAL |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A\&G Exhibit F |  |  |  | Working jointly to <br> establish agreed upon <br> methodology and <br> amend PPA. This <br> amount is a |
|  |  |  |  | placeholder. BPA <br> understands the |
|  |  |  |  | actual may be more or |
| less than amount |  |  |  |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Engineering Consultants } & \$ 16,200 & \$ 65,000 & \$ 16,200 & \begin{array}{l}\text { 300\% increase from } \\ \text { FY20. Based on } \\ \text { actuals as of August, }\end{array} \\ & & & \begin{array}{l}\text { FY20 amounts are } \\ \text { adequate. The scope }\end{array} \\ \text { of work consultants } \\ \text { will be utilized on has } \\ \text { yet to be solidified. }\end{array}\right]$ BPA holding to FY20

| WPUDA Admin Roundtable | \$0 | \$600 | \$0 | CFP has ability to defer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WPUDA Records Roundtable | \$0 | \$300 | \$0 | CFP has ability to defer |
| Small Tools \& equipment | \$5,500 | \$5,500 | \$5,500 |  |
| **cost of debt financing | \$0 | \$106,700 | \$0 | BPA declining debt option. |
| TOTALS | \$750,300 | \$1,493,000 | \$890,400 |  |
| OPERATING EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |
| 1D Sediment Transport Study | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$0 | The 1D study is not required by ecology. <br> This study may help support sedimentation plan but not essential/information provided in detailed study over 2017-2019 is sufficient. <br> Conclusion from this study will not support an alternative plan. Money better spent to address sluice gate operations which identified as concern to properly operating for better sedimentation management. |
| 230kV Circuit Breaker Maintenance | \$0 | \$45,000 | \$0 | HydroAmp score 6.9 (good); Black\&Vetch suggests replacement in 2022;item can wait for LRP and future assessments. |
| Advertising | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |  |
| AVR Testing | \$0 | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | Prudent to perform in FY21 based on current condition and testing freq. requirements. |
| Buffer Zone Management | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$1,000 | $100 \%$ increase from FY20. FY20 allocation sufficient and not essential to increase. |
| Building Maintenance | \$3,200 | \$3,200 | \$3,200 |  |


| Campground Hosts | $\$ 4,000$ | $\$ 115,400$ | $\$ 115,400$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Campground Internet | $\$ 1,500$ | $\$ 3,000$ | $\$ 1,500$ | 100\% increase. <br> Reason for increase <br> from FY20 not |
| provided. FY20 |  |  |  |  |
| allocation sufficient. |  |  |  |  |
| Increase not essential. |  |  |  |  |


| Dam Instrumentation | \$4,000 | \$6,000 | \$4,000 | 50\% increase from FY20. This line item is not based on actuals of previous years or based on instrumentation for planned work. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debris Barrier coating \& Cathodic Protect | \$30,000 | \$160,000 | \$160,000 | Funds for design. |
| Debris Barrier Corrosion Inhibitor | \$0 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | Work scheduled to be done in FY22-coating the inside of the debris barrier |
| Debris Barrier Parts | \$0 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |  |
| Debris Removal | \$1,000 | \$6,000 | \$6,000 |  |
| Diving Services | \$12,000 | \$12,500 | \$12,000 |  |
| Drainage System | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |  |
| Electric Utilities | \$6,000 | \$6,200 | \$6,200 |  |
| Electric Utilities - Secondary Power Feed | \$10,900 | \$11,200 | \$11,200 |  |
| Electrical Contractors | \$0 | \$45,000 | \$20,000 | Mechanical and electrical contractors fall into the GL 2.08.544.000 (which includes other items as such as parts, etc.). FY20 August SOB has a total of all expenditures in this category of \$55,339. Bases on these actuals, approximately \$20K should be sufficient for misc. work for contractors. Our expectation is contract services for specific projects be included in the cost of associated project and this category is for misc. work. |

$\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Electrical Parts } & \$ 8,000 & \$ 14,000 & \$ 8,000 & \begin{array}{l}\text { 75\% increase from } \\ \text { FY20. This is not } \\ \text { based on actuals or } \\ \text { estimates based on }\end{array} \\ \text { trending. }\end{array}\right]$
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Kid's Trout Derby } & \$ 0 & \$ 5,200 & \$ 2,500 & \begin{array}{l}\text { This is for prizes and } \\ \text { advertising- there is } \\ \text { room for reduction of }\end{array} \\ \text { both these items. }\end{array}\right\}$
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Metal } & \$ 3,000 & \$ 12,000 & \$ 3,000 & \begin{array}{l}\text { 300\% increase from } \\ \text { FY20. This line item is } \\ \text { not based on actuals }\end{array} \\ \text { of previous years or } \\ \text { based on }\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}\text { instrumentation for } \\ \text { planned work. }\end{array}\right]$

93\% increase FY20 levels sufficient. Quantifiable reason for significant increase not provided.

| Seismic Study | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sewer \& Water System Maintenance | \$4,400 | \$6,400 | \$4,400 | 45\% increase. Not based on actuals. Quantifiable reasoning for increase from FY2O not provided. |
| Shelving \& Furniture | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |  |
| Sign Replacements | \$2,200 | \$2,200 | \$2,200 |  |
| Smoke Detectors \& Fire Water Systems | \$1,600 | \$2,600 | \$1,600 |  |
| Spillway Gates | \$3,000 | \$5,000 | \$3,000 |  |
| Structure Maintenance; Concrete, Fencin | \$10,000 | \$20,000 | \$10,000 | $100 \%$ increase for FY20. FY2O levels adequate for misc. expenses associated with this GL. |
| Subscriptions, Prints, Copies and Maps | \$2,200 | \$2,200 | \$2,200 |  |
| Temporary Offices | \$40,000 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | Provides adequate space for all CFP staff and contractors to address requested extra space for staff and security reasons. |
| Tools and Equipment | \$6,000 | \$15,000 | \$6,000 | $150 \%$ increase. Increase not based on actuals or quantifiable reasoning. Large increase not based on proposed work or tending of current equipment. |
| Transformer Oil Processing \& Testing | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |  |
| Transmission Line Corridor Planting | \$20,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |  |
| Turbidity Sensor Communication | \$1,020 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |  |
| Turbine Maintenance Seals | \$0 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | Prudent to perform in FY21 based on current condition of seals. |
| TOTALS | \$587,020 | \$2,080,600 | \$1,665,400 |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { Alternate Fishing Derby Site Developmen } \$ 0 & \$ 50,000 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Current site sufficient. }\end{array} \\ \text { Ability to defer. Old } \\ \text { fishing derby site is } \\ \text { available. Defer so } \\ \text { alternatives can be }\end{array}\right]$

| *Spillway 4 Rail Extension - Analysis | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 45,000$ | $\$ 0$ | Refine estimates; <br> analysis needed. CFP <br> has as ability to defer. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Spillway Handrail \& Guardrail | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 140,000$ | $\$ 70,000$ | Replace hand rails <br> only. Need handrail <br> costs. Jersey barriers <br> in place sufficient for <br> safety- handrails seem <br> prudent. |
| Wages - capitialized | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 200,000$ | $\$ 0$ | Labor allocation tor <br> capital |
| TOTALS | $\$ 880,300$ | $\$ 1,432,300$ | $\$ 759,022$ |  |

From: Stacy Davis
Sent: Tue Jun 08 17:16:24 2021
To: Connolly,Kieran P (BPA) - PG-5
Cc: Carlson, Debbie (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND; Sonoda,Cherie D (BPA) - PGAC-RICHLAND; Joe First;
Chris Roden; Brad Ford
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Right to Act Notice-Sediment
Subject: [EXTERNAL]
Importance: Normal
Importance: Normal
Attachments: LCPUD-BPA Right to Act Notice-Sediment-06-08-2021.pdf
Mr. Connolly,
Please see the attached letter regarding the District's Right to Act Notice for sediment. Due to the size and the amount of documents noted in the letter, the enclosures can be viewed through the following link:
https://lewiscountypud.sharefile.com/d-s3da406c0586a46daa79f346d7b819bab
Please note some of the documents provided through this link are CEII and are exempt from disclosure.
Please let me know if you have any problems accessing the enclosures included in the link provided.
Sincerely,
Stacy Davis

## Stacy Davis

Executive Assistant | Lewis County PUD | www.Icpud.org
$0:(360) 748-9261|\mathrm{~d}:(360) 740-2412| \mathrm{e}:$ Stacy@Icpud.org
321 NW Pacific Ave | PO Box 330 | Chehalis, WA 98532-0330
Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County is required to comply with the Washington Public Records Act, RCW
Ch.42.56. Information submitted via e-mail, including personal information may be subject to disclosure as a
public record.

## Department of Energy

Bonneville Power Administration
Mail Drop 1399
P.O. Box 968

Richland, Washington 99352-0968

July 15, 2022
In reply refer to: 1 . Bonneville's December 1, 2021, CFP OY 2022 CFP Final approval from Cherie Sonoda to Brad Ford
2. Lewis' June 24, 2022, OY 2022 CFP Budget from Brad Ford to Debbie Carlson

Mr. Brad Ford, Chief Financial Officer
Public Utility District \#1 of Lewis County
P.O. Box 330

Chehalis WA 98532-0330
Dear Mr. Ford:

Reference is made to the Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County's (District) June 24, 2022, etter providing the Bonneville Power Administration (Bonneville) an updated budget for the Cowlitz Falls Project (CFP) for Operating Year (OY) 2022 (OY is defined as January 1 December 31).

The 2021 OY Financial Account Summary showed an underrun of $\$ 700,515.00$. Per the Power Purchase Agreement, this underrun will be used to reduce the CFP OY 2022 Budget. Bonneville approves the proposed budget as written with the exception of the funding allocated for the proposed three new positions, the IT/IS ES support position, purchasing ES support position, and CFP Fish \& Wildlife technician. We understand the two "ES support" positions (IT/IS and purchaser) will not become a part of the dedicated CFP staff and the positions were specifically listed separately in the OY 2022 CFP Budget because of uncertainty in how to allocate the percentage of their time between the CFP and the District. The third position (technician) is expected to be a permanent member of the CFP staff. Bonneville dos not support this position. Bonneville is not in agreement with the addition of these positions due to inability of the District to provide the required justification needed per agreement in the Bonneville/District Phase I Settlement Agreement (dated March 18, 2021). We feel strongly that this issue should be elevated to one of the highest priority items to be addressed with onboarding of the facilitator so that staffing concerns can be resolved to support the OY 2023 CFP Budget proposal.

With the staffing changes noted above, this brings the total amount approved as $\$ 10,505,585$ for the OY 2022 CFP Budget. Monthly payments will be $\$ 875,465.42$ and will commence with the August O\&M payment (due August 4). A onetime true up payment of $\$ 2,635,537.94$ will be made to address difference for the months of January 2022 - July 2022.

In addition Bonneville approves the following added items in OY 2022 CFP Budget with the caveat that their costs will be managed within the amount allocated for the approved OY 2022 CFP Budget:
(1) HDR study ( $\sim \$ 25 \mathrm{~K}$ ) to look into the possibility of the CFP being eligible for funding from the federal infrastructure bill;
(2) Free Doc ( $\sim 15.6 \mathrm{~K})$ to assist the CFP in cleaning up and organizing their documents; and, (3) the boat barrier design $(\sim \$ 200 \mathrm{~K})$ for which we would expect the justification sheet for this item be updated to reflect any new information.

On a personal note, as you know Debbie Carlson will be retiring from Bonneville at the end of July and she has asked I pass on her appreciation to you and to the rest of the District and CFP staff that she was worked with over the last 19 years while serving as Bonneville's Project Manager for the CFP.

Please let me know if you have any issues concerning the OY 2022 CFP Budget or the Cowlitz Falls Project. I can be reached at csonoda@bpa.gov or (509) 3725164.

Sincerely,

## Cherie Sonoda, Nuclear Supervisor

Contract Generating Resources
ecc:
Mr. Joe First, Lewis
Ms. Michelle Holmes, Lewis
Ms. Nichole Lantau, Lewis
Mr. Chris Roden, Lewis
Mr. Doug Streeter, Lewis
Ms. Wendy Wood, Lewis
Lewis Commissioner's: Messrs. Tim Cournyer; Michael Kelly and Ed Rothlin
P.O. BOX 330 CHEHALIS, WA 98532 • 321 N.W. PACIFIC AVENUE CHEHALIS, WA 98532
(800) 562-5612 • (360) 748-9261 • FAX (360) 740-2455 • www.lepud.org

June 8, 2021

## Mr. Kieran Connolly

Vice President
General Asset Management
Bonneville Power Administration
P.O. Box 3621

Portland, OR 97208-3621

## Re: Right to Act Notice-Sediment

Dear Mr. Connolly;
As originally submitted, approved and progressively amended, Public Utility District No. 1 of Lewis County (District)'s Sediment Operation Plan as required by Article 39 of the FERC License together with the Sediment Monitoring Plan as required by Article 38 of the FERC License have guided the operations of the Cowlitz Falls Project (Project) since its inception. These requirements, together with the Washington State Department of Ecology's (Department of Ecology) Agreed Order \#15322, provide the basis from which the District has created and submitted a FY 2021 budget which includes those cost necessary for advancing these efforts.

The April 23, 2019 "Cowlitz Falls Hydroelectric Project Sedimentation Study and Preliminary Hydraulic Analysis Report" (Report) prepared by Northwest Hydraulic Consultants Ltd (NHC) "recommended that one-dimensional modelling be undertaken as it has the ability to incorporate sediment transport and simulate long periods of time over the entire reservoir."

In response to having the recommended modeling incorporated into the Project budget, the Bonneville Power Administration (Bonneville) replied on October 9, 2020 in its enclosure titled "BPA FY 2021 Budget Review Details" that "1D study is not required by ecology. This study may help support sedimentation plan but not essential/information provided in detailed study over 2017-2019 is sufficient. Conclusion from this study will not support an alternative plan. Money better spent to address sluice gate operations which identified as concern to properly operating for better sedimentation management."

While the District agrees that proper sluice gate function is essential to the operations of the Project and the management of sediment; it disagrees with Bonneville's position that the study would not provide material benefit to the overall management of sediment in the affected area and/or is not necessary to meet the requirements of Agreed Order \#15322 between the District and the Department of Ecology.

Among other things, Agreed Order \#15322 requires the District to develop recommendations for future actions to preclude slug discharges of sediment below the Project when opening spillway gates. One of the chief conclusions of the Report prepared by NHC is that the key problem for managing sediment at
the Project, and thus (by implication) the key problem that the District must address in order to comply with Agreed Order \#15322, "concerns the supply of sediment to the dam from upstream during drawdown events." To address this key concern, NHC recommended that the one-dimensional modeling be undertaken to better identify alternative approaches for managing sediment in the reservoir by focusing on the frequency, timing and magnitude of drawdowns to prevent the intake from becoming overwhelmed by sediment supplied from upstream.

NHC's identification of the key problem for managing sediment at the Project and its proposal to perform one-dimensional modeling to help identify alternatives for addressing that problem were made in its 50-page hydraulic analysis report prepared following its performance of two-dimensional and hree-dimensional modeling of the Project, performance of sonar at the Project, visiting the site, and discussions with Project staff. In contrast, Bonneville's proposal to reject NHC's recommendation entails ursory, unsupported statements in Bonneville's October 9, 2020 response to the District's budget proposal that one-dimensional modeling would provide no additional information and would provide no material benefit to the overall management of sediment.

Bonneville's cursory, unsupported justifications for not performing one-dimensional modeling are unpersuasive; particularly when set against the analytical weight behind NHC's recommendation. Accordingly, as the Project Operator, and the party ultimately responsible for ensuring that the Project meets aforementioned regulatory obligations, the District has concluded that 1D sediment modeling is prudent and necessary to meet both (i) its regulatory obligations, including its regulatory obligation under Agreed Order \#15322 to develop recommendations for future actions to preclude slug discharges f sediment below the Project when opening spillway gates; and (ii) its contractual obligation to discharge duties as Project Operator in accordance with Section 7(e) of the Power Purchase Agreement

It is with this in consideration that the District provides written recommendation to BPA, as authorized by Section 8(d)(1) of the Power Purchase Agreement, that it agree to affirm the FY 2021 operating budget costs of $\$ 100,000$ for the 1D Sediment Transport Study as presented by the District on September 24, 2020 to accommodate those costs necessary to comply with License articles 38 and 39 as set forth by FERC and Ecologies Agreed Order \#15322. Failure to fund and advance the measures as explicitly and implicitly required by FERC and Washington's Department of Ecology would force the District to act in a manner inconsistent with the requirements of the Project and as such force the District to exercise its right to act as needed to maintain compliance.

The ongoing FY2021 budget dispute continues to detrimentally affect the Project and its ability to adhere to matters of compliance, safety, and reliability. We believe the ongoing failure to recognize the matters held within the submitted budget as necessary items for funding of the project to be a departure from our Amendatory Contract for Power Purchase and the principles set within.

Response to this written recommendation is requested within 15 days.
Sincerely,
Ci Peden
Chris Roden
General Manager
Enclosures:

- 1983 Final Environmental Impact Statement (including FERC License)
- 2018 Ecology Agreed Order \#15322
- BPA FY21 budgets review details
- Cowlitz Falls FEIS-0156-FEIS
- 1987 Sediment Monitoring Program-CEII
- 1988 Sediment Operations Plan-CEII
- 1998 Oct 26, Reservoir Sediment Operation Plan-Bechtel-CEII
- 2019 Improving Sediment Management-CEII
- 2019 NHC Cowlitz Falls Sediment Study-CEII
- \#28-1D Sediment Transport Study
- \#28-2021 CFP Budget Justification Sheet-1D Sediment Transport Study 2020113
- \#28-2021 CFP Budget Justification Sheet-Sedimentation Recommendations Report
- \#28-Sedimentation Recommendations Report
- 1987 Dec 14, Ecology Letter, Sediment Monitoring
- 1987 Dec 17, Ecology Comments on Sediment Monitoring
- 1987 Nov 24, Required to Consult with Army Corps
- 1988 Feb 1, Sediment Monitoring Fulfills Requirements
- 1994 Jun 2, Bechtel Sediment Monitoring Report
- 2015 Nov 24, Updated Plan
- 2019Mar06.FERC.Part12ConsultingEngineerings-Copy-CEII
- 2021-03-12 Cowlitz Falls 2020 DSSMR-CEII
- 20190423_2002854_NHC_CowlitzFallsDamStudy_R1-CEII


## CC. Debbie Carlson, BPA

Cherie Sonoda, BPA
Joe First, Lewis County PUD
Brad Ford, Lewis County PUD

September $20^{\text {th }} 2022$
In reply refer to:
Mr. Chris Roden, General Manager
Public Utilities District No. 1 of Lewis County
P.O. Box 330

Chehalis, WA 98532

Dear Mr. Roden,
Reference is made to the August $18^{\text {th }}, 2022$, Cowlitz Falls Project (CFP) OY 2023 Budget Proposal.
The Bonneville Power Administration (Bonneville) reiterates its commitment to prioritizing the safe, reliable, and efficient operation of the CFP consistent with the Power Purchase Agreement and Bonneville's statutory mandate to operate consistent with sound business principles. Bonneville also shares the Public Utility District \#1 of Lewis County's (Lewis) commitment to meet the requirement under section 9(a) of the Power Purchase Agreement to have a mutually agreed upon budget in place 30 days prior to the start of the next OY. Regarding the OY 2023 budget process currently underway, I feel compelled to share with you some concerns about the proposed OY 2023 budget that Bonneville's budget staff have shared with me. These concerns make meaningful review of the FY 2023 problematic and severely jeopardize meeting the shared goal of having an approved CFP budget in place ahead of the beginning of the operating year.

In Operating Years 2021 and 2022, Bonneville agreed to atypically large increases to the CFP budget, $52.9 \%$ and $34.6 \%$ respectively. That Bonneville agreed to such substantial increases despite our well-documented concerns with, among other things, the lack of justification for such increases within the context of a cogent long-term investment plan, speaks to Bonneville's commitment to the safe and reliable operation of the CFP and our willingness to trust Lewis and CFP's declaration of its budgetary needs despite the aforementioned deficiencies. Bonneville agreed to these budgets in large part due to a good faith expectation that Bonneville and Lewis would continue to work together to improve both the budget process and substance and that future budgets would demonstrate marked improvements in both areas.

I believe that a good faith effort by both parties to improve the process and the substance is occurring and I want to thank Lewis and CFP staff for that work. Likewise, I believe that you and I have established the basis for a productive relationship with respect to the CFP. These efforts
made by staff and management alike make the OY 2023 budget presented to Bonneville beginning on August $18^{\text {th }}, 2022$, all the more puzzling.

For OY 2023, Lewis presented Bonneville with a CFP budget request of over $\$ 23$ million. This budget represents an approximately $120 \%$ increase over the prior year and $351 \%$ increase over OY 2020 when the large annual increases began. Just as disconcerting as the amount of the CFP budget proposal, the proposed budget still lacks in critical areas of justification and prioritization that one would expect from any capital budget, much less one proposing such massive and unprecedented increases.

It is unclear how the Bonneville staff can even begin to evaluate a budget without basic information such as a prioritization of capital projects to assess how they relate to the immediate needs of the Project. Information related to the condition, criticality, and strategic need for the projects are often incomplete or altogether absent. Also unclear is the readiness of some of these projects and how Lewis and the CFP plan to execute. Any level of strategic contribution and value is worthless if it cannot be delivered. A project's deliverability is too often assumed.

It is concerning that Lewis struggles to generate a prioritization process that objectively identifies the priority investments. Bonneville has resources to assist with this exercise if needed but this process would ideally occur during budget formulation and should be led by Lewis and CFP. Bonneville staff should not be tasked with prioritizing the CFP budget.

Additionally, the budget information submitted by Lewis thus far has contained material errors and omissions. After five meetings held to date a complete budget has yet to be delivered to Bonneville. The original proposed budget lacked a labor estimate, which is a major portion of the budget, and the budget proposal contained items that should not have been included based on mutual agreement. Incomplete budget information has significantly frustrated progress of reaching an agreement for the upcoming operating year.

Lastly, we need to consider that \$23 million represents a $1 \%$ increase to Bonneville's Power rates. The historical level of the CFP annual budget ( $\$ 4 \mathrm{M}-\$ 6 \mathrm{M}$ ) has evaded scrutiny but, as you well know, this type of increase will not go unnoticed and Lewis and Bonneville must be prepared to explain the dramatic increases to Bonneville's stakeholders. I am not confident in our ability to justify the CFP budget at this time. The basic budget elements Bonneville is and has been asking of Lewis, such as justification and prioritization of capital projects that relate to basic strategic objectives, are the very things needed to justify to external stakeholders and required of Bonneville as part of our statutory requirement to operate consistent with sound business principles.

I think we share concern that the pace of budget negotiations thus far is jeopardizing the common goal of timely completion of the CFP OY 2023 budget. We expected to have the budget facilitator on board by now but the selected firm recently backed out. We are working diligently to get another firm on board through the contracting process but I wonder if it would be prudent at this point for the both of us to become more directly involved in the substantive issues? I will make myself available for a meeting with the budget working group if you think this would help expedite the process. Bonneville remains committed to working towards agreement on an acceptable OY 2023 budget. We appreciate the Lewis team's efforts on this front and we look forward to continuing to work together to find workable solutions for the long-term funding of the CFP.

Sincerely,

## Bill Leady, Vice President

Generating Asset Management
ecc:
Mr. Bradley Ford, Lewis
Messrs. T. Cournyer, M. Kelly, E. Rothlin, Commissioners

## PROPELLER <br> Cowlitz Falls Project Budget Process Improvement

## Action Summarization

Maithreyi Shankar \& Kevin Price

WWW.PROPELLERCONSULTING.COM

## Agenda

01 Review of Issue Identification

02 Walkthrough of Issues and Resolutions

03 Ways of Working Recommendations

04 Open Items \& Actions to Keep in View

05 CFP OR\&R Capital Budget Cycle Process Breakdown

06 Reference Guide to Agendas/Minutes from 2023 Budget Discussions

07 Questions

## Timeline Before 2023 Budget Cycle



COWLITZ FALLS PROJECT

## Main Causes Identified By Propeller

## Fundamental Alignment

Lewis County and Bonneville Power Administration have a different understanding of the PPA and the underlying authority that imparts on both sides, and how that carries forward in a working relationship through the remainder of the contract.

## Budget Communication Deficiencies

Change in personnel over time has revealed that there are systemic process deficiencies in the budget resolution between Lewis County and BPA. Timing, breadth of information, and lack of longer-term planning are symptoms of this issue.

## Ways of Working Misalignment

While there are some procedures outlined in either the PPA or in amendatory agreements, these are not complete sources for how to proceed, and some are not being utilized as the source for current operating practices. Additionally, there is a lack of historical precedent or context among current teams for how to align.

## Roles and Responsibilities

Lewis County and BPA both need to align on the responsibility each has to this working relationship. Lewis County needs to understand BPA's fiduciary responsibility to steward ratepayers' funds for this project, and BPA needs to understand Lewis County's role as owner and operator.

| Overall | oi |
| :--- | :--- |
| Budget | Annual <br> Budget Cycle |
| Issues |  |
|  |  |
| 04 <br> End of the <br> Year <br> Reconciliation | Valuein 2032 |



## Annual Budget Cycle

BPA Position: All necessary materials are required before the budget process is initiated for the next yearly cycle.
Lewis Position: Not having budget agreed upon before beginning of operating year causes issues.
Shared Position: Documented processes and agreed upon criteria for alignment and concurrence aids in budget creation.

## New Budget Timeline

Different criteria like staffing changes or major non-recurring projects are surfaced early. The 1/12 Payment structure is being followed for O\&M Budget while a monthly cadence is followed for OR\&R/larger investment projects.

## Closeout

Closeout Timeline changed from 60 days after the end of the year to 90 days to better aid Lewis County in processing.

## Justification Sheets

Feedback has been gathered for optimization for both Lewis' ease of completion and for BPA's ease of review.

[^2]
## Support Staffing Methodology

BPA Position: Support staffing allocations and changes do not have adequately documented justifications and are unbound.
Lewis Position: Support staffing needs are increasing with needs of the project
Shared Position: Cowlitz Falls Project needs to be adequately staffed, and work that staff perform on the project should be funded appropriately

## Engaged BPA Accountants

BPA SMEs that worked on previous agreements (Exhibit F) agreed to participate in sessions with Lewis County to develop a robust and reusable Cost
Allocation Methodology that
utilized previous work as a guide for future cost allocation strategies.

## Resolved New Positions

After new methodology was put into place and agreed upon, Lewis was able to justify or reconcile new positions for 2023 or adjust needs as landscape changed.

## Future Timelines

Lewis has agreed to surface and give notice to any major staffing shifts by Q2 of year preceding budget development.

## OR\&R Planning and Long Range Plan Development

BPA Position: Historical underruns create difficulty when reconciling execution versus future payments.
Lewis Position: Created 2 year budget and 7 year plan for projects that would serve as the plan.
Shared Position: Ability to forecast future year spending will alleviate yearly oversight and create confidence

## Utilized BPA Best Practices

We were able to leverage BPA's FCRPS Funding process as a rough outline and guide for developing the new monthly OR\&R/Capital Funding model for Cowlitz Falls Project.

## OR\&R Monthly Process

This monthly approval process involves creating business cases and fulfilling justification sheets for each project and then reviewing them on a regular cadence as projects mature and need funding. We will walk through this process specifically at the end of this presentation.

## Long Range Plan

Lewis is collaborating appropriately with BPA and
utilizing Black and Veatch's model to create a robust and dynamic
Long Range Plan by the end of Q1. The yearly plan that results from this will serve as a rough guide for the OR\&R budget costs in the upcoming year.

## Closeout \& End of the Year Reconciliation

BPA Position: All necessary materials are required before the budget process is initiated for the next yearly cycle.
Lewis Position: Process for closeout impacted by budget process and not given proper consideration

Shared Position: Current year execution impacts future year planning and budget consideration.

## 60 to 90 days

Changing the timeline of closeout to the end of Q1 aids in Lewis
County's ability to meet the deadline with all necessary information

## Regular Checkpoints

Lewis County and BPA have
regular touchpoints about reconciliation and BPA is informed with current status.

## Prioritized in 2023

Because the regular budget development process has been improved, closeout can be more easily focused on and resolved this year instead of treated as an afterthought.

## Residual Value in 2032

BPA Position: Residual value reporting helps BPA to understand future benefits to projects undertaken
Lewis Position: Residual value reporting aids Lewis to justify projects necessary to achieve "good working condition" of the project

Shared Position: The current Residual Value of the Project helps both parties know what is owed at the end of the contract period.

## Residual Value Report

Lewis County will be creating a Residual Value Report for the first time this year including:

- Acquisition Cost (Capital Expenses)
- Estimated Time of Acquisition (Different for different assets)
- Expected Usable Life in Months
- Expected Residual Value at End of Contract


## Cumulative View

We have discussed that Residual Value Reporting should be cumulative and keep a running total for what is owed at the end of the contract.

## PPA Interpretation

There are other items also included in the 2032 end-ofcontract payments like consumables. These will be monitored in upcoming budget cycles and be added to the total as well as needed.

## Ways of Working Recommendations



01

## Documentation

Try to have consistent written agendas and minutes throughout the calendar year, possibly alternating on providing documentation week to week or month to month. Michael has agreed to create agendas for ongoing budget meetings to start.

02

## Visualization

Visualization and sharing documents on calls have proven easy ways to ensure alignment in communication. In addition, providing documentation in advance allows others to be comfortable with the information and eases decision making.

03

## Communication

Being able to have open communication and clarification has been key to improving the efficiency of our meetings, Pivoting to time sensitive or critical items and reviewing open action items and accountability markedly improved time to decisions.

04

## Roles and Responsibilities

Lewis County and BPA both need to align on the
responsibility each has to this working relationship. Lewis County needs to understand BPA's fiduciary responsibility to steward ratepayers' funds for this project, and BPA needs to understand Lewis County's role as owner and operator.

## Open Items \& Actions to Keep In View

Power Purchase Agreement Amendments

- Change for the Closeout Process from 60 days after the end of the year to 90 days needs to be made
- As the new OR\&R process is more refined this first half of the year, we would recommend a legal review and PPA Amendment in order to codify and substantiate the new process

Open Items Still in Discussion

- Infrastructure Grant Proposals via Federal Government (with aid from HDR)
- More information is necessary to determine feasibility and how the funding process would work
- Underrun from 2022 and process to manage how the credit will work toward 2023 in practice for this year


## CFP OR\&R Capital Budget Cycle

ON A MONTHLY CADENCE:

an operating year or in multiple operating years

## CFP Capital Budget Cycle Process Specifics

## Projects Included

OR\&R / Capital Projects, Non-Reoccurring O\&M Projects

## Yearly Planning

Looking at the overall Long Range Plan for projects and estimated total costs, this serves as the guidance for the next operating year.

## Project Threshold

- \$100,000 threshold for justification sheet / formal business case
- Items under $\$ 100,000$ will be handled via monthly collective Excel sheet with written reasonings/comments
- Both will be part of the Excel document and discussed in OR\&R meeting, items above $\$ 100,000$ will also have justification sheets and business cases


## Payment Process

- Separate from OR\&R Approval Cycle
- Not a 1/12 Payment Schedule
- Approved Project enters next phase of project with schedule of costs
- Lewis asks for amount needed in certain month, BPA cuts check


## Logistics

- Ideally have final justification sheets (with up to date cost schedule) or excel sheet completed for OR\&R projects completed a month prior to seeking approval


## COWLITZ FALLS PROJECT

## Calendar of Meetings with Attached Minutes

| meeting date | AgEnda focus | AGENDA | minutes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOVEMBER 4TH | Resolution of 2023 Critical Budget Items | 11/4 AGENDA | 11/4 MINUTES |
| NOVEMBER 10TH | Resolution of 2023 Critical Budget Items (Cont.) | 11/10 AGENDA | 11/10 MINUTES |
| NOVEMBER 17TH | 2023 Annual Budget / Staffing Methodology / Legal Follow Up | 11/17 AGENDA | 11/17 MINUTES |
| NOVEMBER 21ST | 2023 Annual Budget / Budget Process Improvements | 11/21 AGENDA | 11/21 MINUTES |
| NOVEMBER 28TH | Legal Follow Up / Staffing Allocation / Budget Cycle Process | 11/28 AGENDA | 11/28 MINUTES |
| DECEMBER 15T | Budget Cycle Process Changes / Staffing Allocation Discussion | 12/1 AGENDA | 12/1 MINUTES |
| DECEMBER $8^{\text {TH }}$ MORNING | Budget Cycle Expectations / OR\&R Process / Justification Sheets | 12/8 AM AGENDA | 12/8 AM MINUTES |
| DECEMBER $8^{\text {TH }}$ AFTERNOON | Staffing Allocation Methodology (with BPA Accts) / Closeout | 12/8 PM AGENDA | 12/8 PM MINUTES |
| DECEMBER 15TH | Last Items for 2023 Resolution / OR\&R Process Finalization | 12/15 AGENDA | 12/15 MINUTES |
| JANUARY 19 ${ }^{\text {TH }}$ | OR\&R Monthly Agenda / Residual Value Report / PPA Updates | 1/19 AGENDA | 1/19 MINUTES |




## 11.4 .22

Timeline Review

- Schedule Alignment of remaining meetings
- Is this enough time to reach agreement?
- Thanksgiving week consideration


## 2023 Open Budget Items

- Continuation of $11 / 3$ discussion and decision points for remaining items
- Process shift to screen-shared budget document with in-meeting Decision Log/Action Items


## 2023 Staffing Allocation

If figures available from Lewis, discussion of staffing shifts and the impact of these changes to 2023 Budget

## Additional Action Items

In prior discussions, different ongoing actions have been discussed regarding Legal, Compliance, and external processes. Let us document these together.

Future Planning Reverse Brainstorm
We will reintroduce this activity and its intended purpose for this group, and we will gather ideas that will aid in future planning.

## 2023 Budget Timeline

| S | M | T | W | T | F | S | - Budget Facilitation Process Outlined <br> - Key Items Highlighted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 31 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Future Planning Process Initiated |
| 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | - Reach Agreement on Key Budget Items <br> - Future Planning Process and Discussions in Tandem |
| 13 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |
| 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | adline for 2023 Budget Agreement |
| 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |



## Propeller Facilitation Process

## SCREENSHARING OF BUDGET LINE ITEMS

To aid and clarify process for both groups, Propeller will screenshare the overall budget, highlighting items for discussion.

IN-MEETING DOCUMENTATION OF DECISIONS / ACTIONS NECESSARY In this same document, Propeller will annotate current standing decision of item as well as any actions necessary to assist the final decision process

## PROVIDE CURRENT LOG POST-MEETING

After each meeting, we will provide a view of this log along with open actions for the team.
cowlitz falls project

Spillway 4 Rail Extension
Cispus Adult Fish Site Release Analysi
Fishing Pond Sediment Removal

Construction Management Software
Dam Instrumentation
Drainage Sump Level Indication

Elevator Controls - Design

Spillway Gate Finite Element Analysis
Unit Turbine Gage Board Inputs into SCADA -
Installation
Sedimentation Report

Kiona Creek Enhancement

Man-Made Island Canal- Design

| FY 2023 |  | ACTION ITEMS / NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUDGET AMOUNT | CURRENT DECIIIION |  |
| \$3,768,300 | Pending Further Discussion | Necessary to enable Sluice Gate Timeline |
| \$75,000 | Remove From Budget |  |
| \$30,000 | Keep in Budget | BPA recommends using underrun or contingency to fund |
| \$7,600 | Keep in Budget | Licensing cost moving forward. |
| \$3,000 | Remove From Budget | Core O\&M Cost, unknown which specifics, needs to be accounted for |
| \$3,500 | Defer to 2024 | Necessary activity in 2024 |
| \$60,000 | Defer to 2024 | Necessary because Controls are Obsolete and spare parts are unavailable. |
| \$90,000 | Keep in Budget | Unable to complete on time if started in 2024. |
| \$35,000 | Defer to 2024 |  |
| \$50,000 | Keep in Budget | Compliance Requirement from WA Ecology for overall reporting ending in 2028 |
| \$120,000 | Keep in Budget | Update to amount forthcoming due to grant funding. Joe will update justification information. |
| \$50,000 | Defer to 2024 | Compliance Requirement from Wildlife due to Fish Stranding |

## Why Reverse Brainstorming?

- Harnesses our natural tendency toward problem-based thinking
- Utilizes full team's familiarity with the processes to quickly generate ideas
- Helps to depersonalize the problem and improves the creativity of the group through the hypothetical framing
- Resets the future process in a methodology that sits outside the current experience
- Allows for collaboration and generation of implementable and practical solutions since the ideation process involves potential problems
- Involves the whole team at an equal footing and anonymizes participation


## COWLITZ FALLS APPROACH

## Reverse Brainstorming



## IDENTIFYME

A Problem is a Goal
that needs to be met by a certain date.


## REVERSE

## REVERSETHE

 PROBLEM(S)this step, the team flips the problem or goal into its opposite.


GATHER

## GATHER IDEAS

Gather ideas around how to achieve the opposite of the Goal.


## REVERSE IDEAS

Taking the collected ideas, the team flips these upright to create pieces of solutions.

## EVALUATE SOLUTIONS

 Create a plan out of the reversed ideas and formulate an ideal state to achieve theGoal.

Reverse Brainstorming is a technique that builds on our natural ability to more easily see problems than solutions. Instead of asking a group to brainstorm ideas that would work, the group brainstorms all the ways that they could cause a plan to fail. This technique is used because it can be easier to criticize and see gaps in a plan than to outline a strategy for success. Once the group has this list, they can look at these specific examples and come up with ways to achieve the opposite effect.

## COWLITZ FALLS APPROACH

## Reverse Brainstorming Example



Reverse Brainstorming is a technique that builds on our natural ability to more easily see problems than solutions. Instead of asking a group to brainstorm ideas that would work, the group brainstorms all the ways that they could cause a plan to fail. This technique is used because it can be easier to criticize and see gaps in a plan than to outline a strategy for success. Once the group has this list, they can look at these specific examples and come up with ways to achieve the opposite effect.


COWLITZ FALLS PROJECT

| Budget Items Decided 11/4 | FY 2023 |  | ACTION ITEMS / NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BUDGET AMOUNT | CURRENT DECISION |  |
| Cispus Adult Fish Site Release Analysis | \$75,000 | Remove From Budget |  |
| Fishing Pond Sediment Removal | \$30,000 | Remove From Budget | BPA recommends using underrun or contingency to fund |
| Construction Management Software | \$7,600 | Keep in Budget | Licensing cost moving forward. |
| Dam Instrumentation | \$3,000 | Keep in Budget | Core O\&M Cost, unknown which specifics, needs to be accounted for |
| Drainage Sump Level Indication | \$3,500 | Defer to 2024 | Necessary activity in 2024 |
| Elevator Controls - Design | \$60,000 | Defer to 2024 | Necessary because Controls are Obsolete and spare parts are unavailable. |
| Spillway Gate Finite Element Analysis | \$90,000 | Keep in Budget | Unable to complete on time if started in 2024. |
| Unit Turbine Gage Board Inputs into SCADA Installation | \$35,000 | Defer to 2024 |  |
| Sedimentation Report | \$50,000 | Keep in Budget | Compliance Requirement from WA Ecology for overall reporting ending in $2028$ |
| Man-Made Island Canal- Design | \$50,000 | Defer to 2024 | Compliance Requirement from Wildlife due to Fish Stranding |


| Budget Items Io Discuss 11/10 | FY 2023 |  | ACTION ITEMS / NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BUDGET AMOUNT | CURRENT DECISION |  |
| Staffing Allocation: Wages \& Benefits | See Staffing Documentation | More Discussion Needed | Review of Methodology and walkthrough of process and data to inform Allocation percentages. |
| Document Management Software | \$50,000 | More Information Needed | Joe to provide information about license fees and ongoing cost. |
| Kiona Creek Enhancement | \$120,000 | More Information Needed | Update to amount forthcoming due to grant funding. Joe will update justification information. |
| Spillway 4 Rail Extension | \$3,768,300 | Contingent Funding | Team needs to discuss structure of Contingent Funding Option. |
| Infrastructure Grant Proposal (HDR) | \$50,000 | More Information Needed | Attorneys Need to Review |

## Staffing Allocation Discussion

- BPA needs either supporting data or supporting methodology for the allocations for FY 2023
- Past evidence or support for change in allocation percentages
- BPA best practices around staffing methodology



### 11.10.22

Timeline Review

- Targeting Budget Draft by $11 / 17$


## 2023 Open Budget Items

- Start with the list on Slide 4
- Check for Agreement on Full Budget Under 3 Categories: Agreement, Open to Funding Later in 2023 Pending Information, Lack Agreement


## 2023 Staffing Allocation

- Allocation Changes and Justification
- Methodology and Process Until Today
- Process Moving Foward


## Additional Action Items

In prior discussions, different ongoing actions have been discussed regarding Legal, Compliance, and external processes. Let us document these together.

## Closeout for 2022

Checking in on reconciliation of the books for 2022 and the closeout process.

## 2023 Budget Timeline - November



NOV
10

NOV
17

DEC
1

- Budget Draft Ready for Approval
- Remaining 2023 Items (on Slide 4)
- Staff Allocation Methodology discussion
- Budget Finalization Timeline
- Deadline for 2023 Budget Agreement
- Ongoing discussion for Future Process

COWLITZ FALLS PROJECT

| Budget Items Decided 11/3 | FY 2023 |  | ACTION ITEMS / NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | budget Amount | CURRENT DECISION |  |
| Cispus Adult Fish Site Release Analysis | \$75,000 | Remove From Budget |  |
| Fishing Pond Sediment Removal | \$30,000 | Remove From Budget | BPA recommends using underrun or contingency to fund |
| Dam Instrumentation | \$3,000 | Keep in Budget | Core O\&M Cost, unknown which specifics, needs to be accounted for |
| Drainage Sump Level Indication | \$3,500 | Defer to 2024 | Necessary activity in 2024 |
| Spillway Gate Finite Element Analysis | \$90,000 | Keep in Budget | Unable to complete on time if started in 2024. |
| Unit Turbine Gage Board Inputs into SCADA Installation | \$35,000 | Defer to 2024 |  |
| Sedimentation Report | \$50,000 | Keep in Budget | Compliance Requirement from WA Ecology for overall reporting ending in 2028 |


| Budget Items Io Discuss 11/10 | FY 2023 |  | ACTION ITEMS / NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BUDGET AMOUNT | CURRENT DECISION |  |
| Staffing Allocation: Wages \& Benefits | See Staffing Documentation | More Discussion Needed | Review of Methodology and walkthrough of process and data to inform Allocation percentages. |
| Generator Relay Installation | \$100,000 |  |  |
| Man-Made Island Canal- Design | \$50,000 | Defer to 2024 | Compliance Requirement from Wildlife due to Fish Stranding |
| Elevator Controls - Design | \$60,000 | Defer to 2024 | Necessary because Controls are Obsolete and spare parts are unavailable. |
| Document Management Software | \$50,000 | More Information Needed | Joe to provide information about license fees and ongoing cost. |
| Construction Management Software | \$7,600 | More Discussion Needed | Licensing cost moving forward. |
| Kiona Creek Enhancement | \$120,000 | More Information Needed | Update to amount forthcoming due to grant funding. Joe will update justification information. |
| Spillway 4 Rail Extension | \$3,768,300 | Contingent Funding | Team needs to discuss structure of Contingent Funding Option. |
| Infrastructure Grant Proposal (HDR) | \$50,000 | More Information Needed | Attorneys Need to Review |



### 11.10.22 Budget Meeting Minutes 2023 Budget Resolution

- Team worked systematically through remaining items for discussion and categorization into "Agreement", "Later Pending Additional Information", "Lack of Agreement", and "Pending Legal Review"
- Kiona Creek Enhancement is only item in "Lack of Agreement" category - should be removed from budget
- Infrastructure Grant Proposal (HDR) is only item in "Pending Legal Review"
- Draft Budget sent by Brad will categorize items and allow for sign off on all items in "Agreement" much more easily


### 11.10.22 Budget Meeting Minutes (cont.) Support Staffing Allocations

- Staffing Allocation changes were also reviewed position by position with more context
- Procurement changes of $50 \%$ for Supervisor allows for flexibility to oversee the ES and CFP resources
- Increase of allocation for existing Purchasing Buyer to $30 \%$ and new Purchasing Buyer at $70 \%$ will aid the increase of projects
- IT Technician line item is 2 staff members working $50 \%$ allocation
- Business Services Manager is new title for Public Affairs Manager and moved categories, but did not change allocation from $12.5 \%$
- BPA wants to take time to understand changes and discuss next week
- Cost Allocation Methodology Memo from April 2022 was brought up as a possible solution moving forward, sent as a resource for next meeting on 11/17


### 11.10.22 Budget Meeting Minutes (cont.) Action Items

- Brad to send Revised Draft Budget to aid approval of items in agreement
- Joe to send additional information about Construction and Document Management Softwares
- Both teams to follow up with Legal regarding Infrastructure Grant Proposal
- Both teams to discuss follow up to Support Staffing on $11 / 17$



### 11.17 .22

2023 Budget Timeline / Schedule

- Budget Draft with All Pending Items Separated
- Approval Letter Timing
- Alignment of $12 / 1$ deadline for majority of budget


## Staffing Allocation Discussion

- Proposed Methodology per Chris' Memo
- Discussion of Approach and Feasibility
- Engagement of Subject Matter Experts
- Additional Time Necessary - Schedule Meetings

Legal Follow Up

- Infrastructure Grant Proposal
- Kiona Creek Enhancement

Closeout for 2022
Checking in on reconciliation of the books for 2022 and the closeout process.

Plan for Remainder of 2023

- Priority Issues / Discussions
- Timeline for Planning


## 2023 Budget Timeline - November



NOV 17


- Budget Draft Circulated
- Approval Letter in Process
- Staffing Methodology Discussion (Additional sessions? With SMEs?)
- Deadline for 2023 Budget Agreement
- Ongoing discussion for Future Process


## 2023 Budget Timeline - December

| S | M | T | W | T | F | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |

## Is this timeline feasible?

Do we need to increase the cadence of meetings until the end of the year?
Considering vacation time, do the future process discussions need to occur in January?

## Support Staffing Methodology

- How does this impact 2023 new positions and allocation changes?
- Lewis County Proposed Cost Allocation Methodology Discussion
- Next Steps - engaging subject matter experts and focused sessions?
- Timeline to Resolution

COWLITZ FALLS PROJECT

| Budget Items Results 11/3 | FY 2023 |  | ACTION ITEMS / NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | budget Amount | CURRENT DECISION |  |
| Cispus Adult Fish Site Release Analysis | \$75,000 | Remove From Budget |  |
| Fishing Pond Sediment Removal | \$30,000 | Remove From Budget | BPA recommends using underrun or contingency to fund |
| Dam Instrumentation | \$3,000 | Keep in Budget | Core O\&M Cost, unknown which specifics, needs to be accounted for |
| Drainage Sump Level Indication | \$3,500 | Defer to 2024 | Necessary activity in 2024 |
| Spillway Gate Finite Element Analysis | \$90,000 | Keep in Budget | Unable to complete on time if started in 2024. |
| Unit Turbine Gage Board Inputs into SCADA Installation | \$35,000 | Defer to 2024 |  |
| Sedimentation Report | \$50,000 | Keep in Budget | Compliance Requirement from WA Ecology for overall reporting ending in 2028 |


| Budget Items Results 11/10 | FY 2023 |  | ACTION ITEMS / NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BUDGET AMOUNT | CURRENT DECISION |  |
| Staffing Allocation: Wages \& Benefits | See Staffing Documentation | More Discussion Needed | Review of Methodology and walkthrough of process and data to inform Allocation percentages. |
| Generator Relay Installation | \$100,000 | Keep in Budget |  |
| Man-Made Island Canal- Design | \$50,000 | Defer to 2024 | Compliance Requirement from Wildlife due to Fish Stranding |
| Elevator Controls - Design | \$60,000 | Defer to 2024 | Necessary because Controls are Obsolete and spare parts are unavailable. |
| Document Management Software | \$50,000 | Pending More Information | Joe to provide information about license fees and ongoing cost. |
| Construction Management Software | \$7,600 | Pending More Information | Licensing cost moving forward. |
| Kiona Creek Enhancement | \$120,000 | Lack Agreement | Update to amount forthcoming due to grant funding. Joe will update justification information. |
| Spillway 4 Rail Extension | \$3,768,300 | Contingent Funding | Team needs to discuss structure of Contingent Funding Option. |
| Infrastructure Grant Proposal (HDR) | \$50,000 | Pending Legal Review | Attorneys Need to Review |



## 2023 Budget Timeline - November



NOV 17


- 2023 Budget Approval Draft / Process
- Staffing Methodology Discussion
- Budget Draft Circulated
- Approval Letter in Process
- Staffing Methodology Discussion ongoing Scheduled additional check in for $28^{\text {th }}$
- Deadline for 2023 Budget Agreement
- Ongoing discussion for Staffing Methodology
- Ongoing Discussion for Additional Topics


## 2023 Budget Timeline - December

| S | M | T | W | T | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |

## DEC

8

DEC
15

- Morning and Afternoon session scheduled
- Will structure agenda to include Budget Process topics that can be more easily accomplished alongside Support Staffing Methodology
- Possible Additional Meeting during the week Plan for Q1 2023 Budget Discussions
- Report of Issues Resolved


### 11.17.22 Meeting Minutes 2023 Budget Process

- Color-coded budget breaks out the Deferred Funding (Spillway Rail Extension), Pending (Infrastructure Grant Proposal, Construction Management Software, Document Management Software), Pending - SL (Support Labor changes for 2023)
- $11 / 16 / 22$ version of budget should be considered final - Brad will send a reformatted packet week of $11 / 28$
- Michael to write BPA Budget Letter by $12 / 1$


### 11.17.22 Meeting Minutes (cont.) Infrastructure Grant Proposal

- If Lewis funds the grant process independently, no need for continued discussion
- If funded by BPA, potential legal issues for particular grants especially coming from federal government \& downstream effects (repayment process at the end of the project vs. upfront costs)
- Both teams to confer with attorneys and confirm which path being pursued by Lewis and whether to include item in budget for 2023


### 11.17.22 Meeting Minutes (cont.) Support Staffing Methodology

- Lewis County Proposed Cost Allocation Methodology Discussion
- Shift in process from individual position allocation to Departmental allocation based on cost drivers
- Alternate considerations of implementing this methodology: changes to staff, how to factor external labor factors (market changes, inflation)
- BPA to engage team and see if expertise can join sessions with team by end of the year


### 11.17.22 Meeting Minutes (cont.) Closeout Process \& Future Planning

- Propeller shared list of identified issues (Slide 10 and 11) to anchor future meetings
- Closeout Process for 2023 and moving forward: No projection for underrun included in 2023 Budget -- will amend budget with actuals in the new year. Currently, reconciliation is within 60 days of new year, can this be moved to 90 days? (Needs further discussion and development of process)
- Budget Cycle Changes: Changing to 2 year cycle, exploring changes to payment structure
- These two topics will be slated for future meetings and we will continue to plan to solve for remaining issues


### 11.17.22 Meeting Minutes (cont.) Software Packages

- Joe shared additional detail on Labor savings and implementation process for Document Management Software
- For Construction Management Software - Joe shared which recurring cost level Cowlitz Falls Project falls into (under \$10M)
- Construction Management Software - has not been procured yet though budgeted for 2022


### 11.17.22 Meeting Minutes (cont.) Action Items:

- Lewis/BPA check in with attorneys regarding Infrastructure Grant Proposal (11/21)
- Glen to check with BPA subject-matter-experts on cost allocation / staffing methodology to see potential availability for discussions (11/21)
- Brad to share reformatted budget packet (but \#s should match budget shared 11/16/22) (11/28)
- Michael (with support from Cherie and Glen) to write BPA Budget Letter (12/1)


## Issues Explored

| Issue Summary | BPA Position | Shared Position | Lewis PUD Position | Actions to Resolve |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Budget Cycle | - Provision of all necessary information and justification when process starts is essential | - Documented processes and agreed upon criteria for agreement aids in budget creation | - Not having budget agreed upon before beginning of operating year causes issues | - Budget Cadence: 2 year Budget with alignment to Rate Case <br> - Alignment on definitions, criteria, and process for justification <br> - Consider payment structure revision |
| Support staffing allocations cause concern in the budget process | - Support staffing allocations and changes do not have adequately documented justifications and are unbound | - Cowlitz Falls Project needs to be adequately staffed <br> - Work that staff perform on the project should be funded appropriately | - Support staffing needs are increasing with needs of the project | - Develop cost allocation methodology <br> - Justification process for new positions and changed allocations <br> - Resolve disputed positions by aligning them with new agreed methodology |
| Long-range planning and inconsistent costs for OR\&R projects | - Long-range plan lacks aligned and agreed upon methodology and metrics <br> - Budgeted projects are often tabled and result in underruns | - Ability to forecast future year spending will alleviate yearly oversight and create confidence | - Created 2 year budget and 7 year plan for projects | - Create incremental funding option for projects <br> - Collaborate on long-range plan utilizing expertise and best practices <br> - LPUD working with Black and Veatch on a model to inform future capital investment projects |

## Issues Explored (cont.)

| Issue Summary | BPA Position | Shared Position | Lewis PUD Position | Actions to Resolve |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Closeout and End-of-Year Reconciliation | - Historical underruns create difficulty when reconciling execution versus future payments | - Current year execution impacts future year planning and budget consideration. | - Process for closeout impacted by budget process and not given proper consideration | - Develop a process to track and follow through on closeout procedures independent of budget process to ensure accountability |
| Residual Value in 2032 | - Residual value reporting helps BPA to understand future benefits to projects undertaken. | - The current Residual Value of the Project helps both parties know what is owed at the end of the contract period. | - Residual value reporting aids Lewis to justify projects necessary to achieve "good working condition" of the project | - Residual Value Report created yearly by LPUD |



### 11.21 .22

2023 Budget Timeline / Schedule

- Check In on Agreement
- Approval Letter Timing
- Alignment of $12 / 1$ deadline for majority of budget


## Staffing Allocation Discussion

- Any additional data or changes to process proposed
- Cost Allocation / Staffing SMEs Check In

Legal Follow Up

- Infrastructure Grant Proposal
- Kiona Creek Enhancement


## Budget Cycle Process Changes

- Option of 2 year cadence
- Current Timeline and processes and proposed changes

Closeout Process

- Closeout Process for 2022
- What should this look like?


## 2023 Budget Timeline - November



NOV


- 2023 Budget Approval Draft / Process Check In
- Staffing Expertise Check In
- Budget Cycle Process Changes
- Closeout Process

NOV
28

- Budget Draft Circulated
- Approval Letter in Process
- Staffing Methodology Discussion ongoing Scheduled additional check in for $28^{\text {th }}$
- Deadline for 2023 Budget Agreement
- Ongoing discussion for Staffing Methodology
- Ongoing Discussion for Additional Topics


## 2023 Budget Timeline - December

| S | M | T | W | T | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |

## DEC

8

DEC
15

- Morning and Afternoon session scheduled
- Will structure agenda to include Budget Process topics that can be more easily accomplished alongside Support Staffing Methodology
- Possible Additional Meeting during the week Plan for Q1 2023 Budget Discussions
- Report of Issues Resolved



## 2023 Budget Timeline - November



NOV


- 2023 Budget Approval Draft / Process Check In
- Staffing Expertise Check In
- Budget Cycle Process Changes
- Closeout Process

NOV
28

- Budget Draft Circulated
- Approval Letter in Process
- Staffing Methodology Discussion ongoing Scheduled additional check in for $28^{\text {th }}$
- Deadline for 2023 Budget Agreement
- Ongoing discussion for Staffing Methodology
- Ongoing Discussion for Additional Topics


## 2023 Budget Timeline - December

| S | M | T | W | T | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |

## DEC

8

DEC
15

- Morning and Afternoon session scheduled
- Will structure agenda to include Budget Process topics that can be more easily accomplished alongside Support Staffing Methodology
- Possible Additional Meeting during the week Plan for Q1 2023 Budget Discussions
- Report of Issues Resolved


### 11.21.22 Meeting Minutes 2023 Budget Process

- Michael making progress on letter - on track for $12 / 1$
- Brad to send revised Budget Packet with new projections for 7 year look ahead
- BPA Subject Matter Experts - Cherie has emailed, follow up on 11/28
- Legal - also following up $11 / 28$
- Current status on closeout process: October invoices being processed in December


### 11.21.22 Meeting Minutes (cont.) Budget Cycle Process Changes

- Brad shared budget timeline from 2023 process
- 10-year planning via Black and Veatch for OR\&R projects should be ready in early Spring (March 2023)
- Long Range Planning and Justification sheets should be submitted by the end of Q1
- Identify any Changes to Staffing Allocations and/or Proposed Staff by end of Q2
- Draft Budget for next operating year by end of July


### 11.21.22 Meeting Minutes (cont.) Budget Cycle Process Changes

- 2 year Budget Cycle: helps save time, reduces underrun and overrun because of 2 years for execution. Need to play out scenarios as a group or try out example calculations
- Incremental Funding Option: based on the probability of execution, follows the federal capital investment structure process, Project by Project, potential separate funding schedule


### 11.21.22 Meeting Minutes (cont.) Budget Cycle Process Changes

- Closeout Process: proposed change to 90 days (end of March) instead of 60 days (outlined in PPA) \& Residual Value Report should be included
- Current issue is projection of underrun amount:
- Historically included in the budget letter and then amended
- May not be necessary to include estimate
- Determine how best to credit for the following year
- Potentially solved by Incremental Funding option because large underruns via projects would not be carried over
- Other issue is big non-routine expenditures (like control room) that is not executed in preceding year (potentially solved by 2 year budget cycle)


## 2023 Budget Timeline Draft




### 11.28.22

2023 Budget Timeline / Schedule

- Approval Letter Progress
- Budget Packet Progress
- 12/1 deadline


## Legal Follow Up

- Infrastructure Grant Proposal
- What is the timeline for the grants to help determine resolution?

Staffing Allocation Discussion

- Cost Allocation / Staffing SMEs Check In
- Clarification on Departmental vs Position by Position
- Line Item in Budget per Activity for Certain Departments (not Support Staff)

Budget Cycle Process Changes

- Revisit ideas from last meeting, time permitting
- What would the breakout of the Capital Budget look like in practice?
- Best Practices from BPA


## 2023 Budget Timeline - November



NOV


- 2023 Budget Approval Draft / Process Check In
- Staffing Expertise Check In
- Budget Cycle Process Changes
- Closeout Process

NOV
28

- Budget Draft Circulated
- Approval Letter in Process
- Staffing Methodology Discussion ongoing
- Budget Process Discussion ongoing
- Deadline for 2023 Budget Agreement
- Ongoing discussion for Staffing Methodology
- Ongoing Discussion for Additional Topics


## 2023 Budget Timeline - December

| S | M | T | W | T | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |

## DEC

8

DEC
15

- Morning and Afternoon session scheduled
- Will structure agenda to include Budget Process topics that can be more easily accomplished alongside Support Staffing Methodology
- Possible Additional Meeting during the week Plan for Q1 2023 Budget Discussions
- Report of Issues Resolved


## Legal Follow Up

- Infrastructure Grant Proposal
- HDR: what services are covered in the $\$ 50,000$ ?
-What is the timeline for the grants to help determine resolution?


## Staffing Methodology

- Cost Allocation / Staffing SMEs Check In
- Clarification on Departmental vs Position by Position
- Line Item in Budget per Activity for Certain Departments (not Support Staff)
-How would the Modified Massachusetts formula apply to this apply to this function?


## Capital Budget Breakout

- Revisit ideas from last meeting, time permitting
- What would the breakout of the Capital Budget look like in practice?
- Best Practices from BPA's other projects or internal process



## 2023 Budget Timeline - November



NOV


- 2023 Budget Approval Draft / Process Check In
- Staffing Expertise Check In
- Budget Cycle Process Changes
- Closeout Process

NOV
28

- Budget Draft Circulated
- Approval Letter in Process
- Staffing Methodology Discussion ongoing
- Budget Process Discussion ongoing
- Deadline for 2023 Budget Agreement
- Ongoing discussion for Staffing Methodology
- Ongoing Discussion for Additional Topics


## 2023 Budget Timeline - December

| S | M | T | W | T | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |

## DEC

8

DEC
15

- Morning and Afternoon session scheduled
- Will structure agenda to include Budget Process topics that can be more easily accomplished alongside Support Staffing Methodology
- Possible Additional Meeting during the week Plan for Q1 2023 Budget Discussions
- Report of Issues Resolved


### 11.28.22 Meeting Minutes <br> 2023 Budget Process

- Brad sent over reformatted budget packet on 11/28 with updated 2024-2029 OR\&R cost estimates
- BPA Budget Letter draft is complete, awaiting some figures from 11/28 packet and then can be finalized


### 11.28.22 Meeting Minutes (cont.) Legal Follow Up

- Infrastructure Grant Proposal
- HDR: Services that are covered in the $\$ 50,000$ : selection of grants to apply for and then the grantwriting
- What is the timeline for the grants? Not sure yet but 2 are slated for May and 1 is slated for March
- Additional information will be available from DOE in January regarding eligibility and feasibility


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ PPA section 34.

[^1]:    The District's and Bonneville's counsel agreed that any deliverables that fall on a weekend or holiday per the chedule in Section 31 of the Contract will become due the following business day.

[^2]:    Note:
    2 year budget has been discussed but is tabled at this time because of other changes being implemented. May be revisited later on as 2025 would more closely align to rate case.

