



Provider of Choice Workshop Scheduling, Delivery, Transfer

May 21, 2024

PROVIDER OF CHOICE

**POST
2028**



Objectives

- Brief customers on Bonneville's thinking regarding the scheduling, delivery and transfer sections of the PoC contract.
- Touch on anticipated key drivers for edits, including:
 - Implementing positions in PoC Policy and ROD.
 - Day Ahead Market (and potentially WRAP) for transfer load in a BAA that is in a DAM or if BPA joins.
- Discuss and hear early feedback on thinking.

Coordination with BPA Transmission

- The Provider of Choice team is closely coordinating with BPA Transmission (BPAT) staff to identify any issues around deliverability across the BPAT System with the PoC contracts.
- BPAT is engaging with regional stakeholders to develop policy for NITS Planning in a series of workshops that started on March 20, 2024. The next workshop is planned for July 10 with new and previous materials posted to the [NITS Additional Resources web page](#).
- Bonneville encourages customer engagement and feedback in this workshop series; please reach out to your Transmission AE with any questions or for more information.



Summary of Anticipated Edits to Contract Language

Scheduling Section and Exhibit F

- The scheduling section is relatively short, leaning on Exhibit F for all the details on terms and obligations. Because of this, the initial thinking is that the body of the contract will need little changes.
- Exhibit F, however, will need significant conditional language for when DAM goes live if Bonneville joins and for transfer loads that are in a BAA that is in a DAM. There may also be seams issues we need to address.
 - Likely will need to be towards end of the negotiation process.
- Exhibit F will include all the details of the term and conditions such as TSS, TCMS, etc. if BPA decides to offer.

Delivery Section – Overview

- Includes for all customers (direct connect and transfer) where power sold is made available (Point(s) of Receipt), obligations and liabilities related to transmission service over the FCRTS, and losses.
- States obligations related to transfer service, including use of non-federal resources.
- States obligations for customers served over multiple transmission systems.

Delivery Section – Changes

- **Day Ahead Market**
 - Bonneville expects most edits can be surgical.
 - Non-federal resource and transfer service seams issues may require special consideration.
- **Transfer to NLSLs**
 - As determined in the POC policy and ROD, Bonneville will pass-through to a customer any costs associated with transfer service to an NLSL.
 - Adding language to address 1) power sold at the NR rate and 2) non-federal resources serving an NLSL (this will be a clarification from RD).

Delivery Section – Changes (Cont'd)

Proportional Scheduling (Section 14.7 in RD):

- Bonneville is proposing a shift in how we approach customers served over multiple transmission systems. Expect this approach to simplify contract language and implementation.
- In RD there is a lengthy section (with additional provisions in Exhibit D) identifying costs associated with non-federal resources for these customers. There is a heavy workload to administer and recover these costs.
- In POC will be a rates approach to the extent there are costs that need to be recovered (expected to be less due to single leg of losses policy change in POC).
- In the POC contract propose to scale back to a simple identification of where customers are planning to deliver their non-federal resources, and some minimal checks to assure that load is large enough for delivered amount.

Delivery Section – Changes (Cont'd)

Firm and Non-firm Delivery Across Third-Party Systems:

- BPA is working to draft language for transfer served loads to address new circumstance of firm transmission being unavailable on a third-party transmission system.
- Will identify terms and obligations around Bonneville's subsequent efforts to acquire non-firm transmission, and what must happen if a transmission provider is unable to deliver power either on firm or non-firm transmission.
- RD tackled this for non-federal resources, but it has presented as an issue when established PODs have load growth served by an existing Designated Network Resource.

Other Topics in the Works

Exhibit G:

- In RD was focused on principles for non-federal transfer service.
- For PoC, we believe we could make better use of the Exhibit by folding in other contractual aspects of transfer service. Previously both of these were standalone agreements:
 - Reimbursable Agreement
 - Transfer Service Support For Non-Federal Resources Agreement (TSSA)



Questions?