Materials and information provided thus far in the EIM workshops have been helpful. Tacoma has a couple comments that we’d like BPA to take into consideration as it refines and develops its proposal further:

1) Are there impacts or differences between Preference customers in BPA’s balancing authority and those outside its balancing authority?

2) How are deliveries of BPA preference products impacted by interchange between balancing authorities, either within or outside the EIM?

3) Charge code allocation have been categorized for either Load or Wheel-through & Interchange, as well as Non-participating Resources. Should there be a distinct category for “Outside BA” customers when considering charge code allocations?

Also, we proposed at the workshop a minor edit to the third bullet on slide 53 for consideration which was indicated as acceptable to BPA:

- Mitigate seams and potential for charge code allocation misalignments with other EIM Entities and BAAs.

Thank you for your consideration of these questions/comments.
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