# **BP-26 Rate Proceeding**

# **Final Proposal**

# Power and Transmission Risk Study

BP-26-FS-BPA-05

July 2025



# POWER AND TRANSMISSION RISK STUDY

# **Table of Contents**

CO	MMO	NLY USED ACRONYMS AND SHORT FORMS	iii
1.	INTR	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Purpose of the Power and Transmission Risk Study	1
2.	FINA	NCIAL RISK POLICIES AND OBJECTIVES	3
	2.1	Risk Mitigation Policy Objectives	3
	2.2	How Risk Results Are Used	3
	2.3	Financial Reserves and Liquidity	4
	2.4	BPA's Treasury Payment Probability (TPP) Standard	5
	2.5	BPA's Financial Reserves Policy (FRP)	
	2.6	Quantitative vs. Qualitative Risk Assessment and Mitigation	9
3.	<b>TOO</b>	LS AND SIMULATORS USED IN QUANTITATIVE RISK MODELING	
	3.1	Modeling Process to Calculate TPP	11
		3.1.1 Study Models	
		3.1.2 Revenue Simulation Models	
		3.1.3 Non-Operating Risk Models	
		3.1.4 Net-Revenue-to-Cash (NRTC) Adjustments	
	DOM	3.1.5 Overview of the ToolKit	
4.			
	4.1	Power Quantitative Risk Assessment	
		4.1.1 Revsim	
		4.1.3 Net-Revenue-to-Cash Adjustment	
	4.2	Power Quantitative Risk Mitigation	
		4.2.1 Thresholds for CRAC, RDC, and FRP Surcharge	
		4.2.2 Power Risk Mitigation Tools	
		4.2.3 ToolKit	54
		4.2.4 Quantitative Risk Mitigation Results	56
	4.3	Power Qualitative Risk Assessment and Mitigation	
		4.3.1 Risks Associated with Tier 2 Rate Design	
		4.3.2 Risks Associated with Resource Support Services Rate Design	
_		4.3.3 Qualitative Risk Assessment Results	
5.		NSMISSION RISK	
	5.1	Transmission Quantitative Risk Assessment	
		5.1.1 RevRAM—Revenue Risk 5.1.2 T-NORM Inputs	
		5.1.2 T-NORM Inputs 5.1.3 Net-Revenue-to-Cash Adjustment	
	5.2	Transmission Quantitative Risk Mitigation	
	5.4	5.2.1 Thresholds for CRAC, RDC, and FRP Surcharge	
		5.2.2 Transmission Risk Mitigation Tools	

5.2.3	ToolKit	83
5.2.4	Quantitative Risk Mitigation Results	85

TABLES	
Table 1: RevSim Net Revenue Statistics for FY 2026 through FY 2028 (Dollars in millions)	
Table 2: P-NORM Risk Summary90	
Table 3: Power Days Cash and Financial Reserves Thresholds	
Table 4: Agency Upper Financial Reserves Threshold91	
Table 5: Power CRAC Thresholds and Caps91	
Table 6: Power RDC Thresholds and Caps92	
Table 7: BPA RDC Annual Threshold92	
Table 8: Power FRP Surcharge Thresholds92	
Table 9: Power Risk Mitigation Summary Statistics	
Table 10: T-NORM Risk Summary94	
Table 11: Transmission Days Cash and Financial Reserves Thresholds	
Table 12: Transmission CRAC Thresholds and Caps95	
Table 13: Transmission RDC Thresholds and Caps95	
Table 14: Transmission FRP Surcharge Thresholds and Caps95	
Table 15: Transmission Risk Mitigation Summary Statistics	

APPENDIX A: FINANCIAL RESERVES POLICY	′ A-1
---------------------------------------	-------

# COMMONLY USED ACRONYMS AND SHORT FORMS

AAC	Anticipated Accumulation of Cash
ACNR	Accumulated Calibrated Net Revenue
ACS	Ancillary and Control Area Services
AF	Advance Funding
AFUDC	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction
AGC	automatic generation control
aMW	average megawatt(s)
ANR	Accumulated Net Revenues
ASC	Average System Cost
BAA	Balancing Authority Area
BiOp	Biological Opinion
BPA	Bonneville Power Administration
BPAP	Bonneville Power Administration Power
BPAT	Bonneville Power Administration Transmission
Bps	basis points
Btu	British thermal unit
CAISO	California Independent System Operator
CIP	Capital Improvement Plan
CIR	Capital Investment Review
CDQ	Contract Demand Quantity
CGS	Columbia Generating Station
CHWM	Contract High Water Mark
CNR	Calibrated Net Revenue
COB	California-Oregon border
COI	California-Oregon Intertie
Commission	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (see also "FERC")
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
COSA	Cost of Service Analysis
COU	consumer-owned utility
Council	Northwest Power and Conservation Council (see also "NPCC")
COVID-19	coronavirus disease 2019
СР	Coincidental Peak
CRAC	Cost Recovery Adjustment Clause
CRFM	Columbia River Fish Mitigation
CSP	Customer System Peak
СТ	combustion turbine
CWIP	Construction Work in Progress
CY	calendar year (January through December)
DD	Dividend Distribution
DDC	Dividend Distribution Clause
dec	decrease, decrement, or decremental
DERBS	Dispatchable Energy Resource Balancing Service
DFS	Diurnal Flattening Service

5.115	
DNR	Designated Network Resource
DOE	Department of Energy
DOI	Department of Interior
DSI	direct-service industrial customer or direct-service industry
DSO	Dispatcher Standing Order
EE	Energy Efficiency
EESC	EIM Entity Scheduling Coordinator
EIM	Energy imbalance market
EIS	environmental impact statement
EIS	
	Energy Northwest, Inc.
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESS	Energy Shaping Service
e-Tag	electronic interchange transaction information
FBS	Federal base system
FCRPS	Federal Columbia River Power System
FCRTS	Federal Columbia River Transmission System
FELCC	firm energy load carrying capability
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FMM-IIE	Fifteen Minute Market – Instructed Imbalance Energy
FOIA	Freedom of Information Act
FORS	Forced Outage Reserve Service
FPS	Firm Power and Surplus Products and Services
FPT	Formula Power Transmission
FRP	Financial Reserves Policy
F&W	Fish & Wildlife
FY	fiscal year (October through September)
G&A	general and administrative (costs)
GARD	Generation and Reserves Dispatch (computer model)
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GDP GI	
	generation imbalance
GMS	Grandfathered Generation Management Service
GSP	Generation System Peak
GSR	Generation Supplied Reactive
GRSPs	General Rate Schedule Provisions
GTA	General Transfer Agreement
GWh	gigawatthour
HLH	Heavy Load Hour(s)
HYDSIM	Hydrosystem Simulator (computer model)
IE	Eastern Intertie
IIE	Instructed Imbalance Energy
IM	Montana Intertie
inc	increase, increment, or incremental
IOU	investor-owned utility
IP	Industrial Firm Power
IPR	Integrated Program Review

IR	Integration of Resources
IRD	Irrigation Rate Discount
IRM	Irrigation Rate Mitigation
IRPL	Incremental Rate Pressure Limiter
IS	Southern Intertie
kcfs	thousand cubic feet per second
kW	kilowatt
kWh	kilowatthour
LAP	Load Aggregation Point
LDD	Low Density Discount
LGIA	Large Generator Interconnection Agreement
LLH	Light Load Hour(s)
LMP	Locational Marginal Price
LPP	Large Project Program
LT	long term
LTF	Long-term Firm
Maf	million acre-feet
Mid-C	Mid-Columbia
MMBtu	million British thermal units
MNR	Modified Net Revenue
MO	market operator
MRNR	Minimum Required Net Revenue
MW	megawatt
MWh	megawatthour
NCP	Non-Coincidental Peak
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NERC	North American Electric Reliability Corporation
NFB	National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Federal Columbia
	River Power System (FCRPS) <b>B</b> iological Opinion (BiOp)
NLSL	New Large Single Load
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA Fisheries	National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration
	Fisheries
NOB	Nevada-Oregon border
NORM	Non-Operating Risk Model (computer model)
NWPA	Northwest Power Act/Pacific Northwest Electric Power
	Planning and Conservation Act
NWPP	Northwest Power Pool
NP-15	North of Path 15
NPCC	Northwest Power and Conservation Council (see also "Council")
NPV	net present value
NR	New Resource Firm Power
NRFS	NR Resource Flattening Service
NRU	Northwest Requirements Utilities
NT	Network Integration
	J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J

NTSA	Non-Treaty Storage Agreement
NUG	non-utility generation
OATT	Open Access Transmission Tariff
0&M	operations and maintenance
OATI	Open Access Technology International, Inc.
ODE	Over Delivery Event
OS	oversupply
OY	operating year (August through July)
P10	tenth percentile of a given dataset
PDCI	Pacific DC Intertie
PF	Priority Firm Power
PFp	Priority Firm Public
PFx	Priority Firm Exchange
PNCA	Pacific Northwest Coordination Agreement
PNRR	Planned Net Revenues for Risk
PNW	Pacific Northwest
POD	Point of Delivery
POI	Point of Integration or Point of Interconnection
POR	point of receipt
PPC	Public Power Council
PRSC	Participating Resource Scheduling Coordinator
PS	Power Services
PSC	power sales contract
PSW	Pacific Southwest
PTP	Point-to-Point
PUD	public or people's utility district
RAM	Rate Analysis Model (computer model)
RAS	Remedial Action Scheme
RCD	Regional Cooperation Debt
RD	Regional Dialogue
RDC	Reserves Distribution Clause
REC	Renewable Energy Certificate
Reclamation	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
REP	Residential Exchange Program
REPSIA	REP Settlement Implementation Agreement
RevSim	Revenue Simulation Model
RFA	Revenue Forecast Application (database)
RHWM	Rate Period High Water Mark
ROD	Record of Decision
RPSA	Residential Purchase and Sale Agreement
RR	Resource Replacement
RRHL	Regional Residual Hydro Load
RRS	Resource Remarketing Service
RSC	Resource Shaping Charge
RSS	Resource Support Services
1.00	Resource support services

RT1SCRHWM Tier 1 System CapabilityRTD-IIEReal-Time Dispatch – Instructed Imbalance EnergyRTIEOReal-Time Imbalance Energy OffsetSCDScheduling, System Control, and Dispatch ServiceSCADASupervisory Control and Data AcquisitionSCSSecondary Crediting ServiceSDDShort Distance DiscountSILSSoutheast Idaho Load ServiceSliceSlice of the System (product)SMCRSettlements, Metering, and Client RelationsSP-15South of Path 15T1SFCOTier 1 System Firm Critical OutputTCTariff Terms and ConditionsTCMSTransmission Curtailment Management ServiceTDGTotal Dissolved GasTGTTownsend-Garrison TransmissionTOCATier 1 Cost Allocator
RTIEOReal-Time Imbalance Energy OffsetSCDScheduling, System Control, and Dispatch ServiceSCADASupervisory Control and Data AcquisitionSCSSecondary Crediting ServiceSDDShort Distance DiscountSILSSoutheast Idaho Load ServiceSliceSlice of the System (product)SMCRSettlements, Metering, and Client RelationsSP-15South of Path 15T1SFCOTier 1 System Firm Critical OutputTCTariff Terms and ConditionsTCMSTransmission Curtailment Management ServiceTDGTovnsend-Garrison Transmission
SCDScheduling, System Control, and Dispatch ServiceSCADASupervisory Control and Data AcquisitionSCSSecondary Crediting ServiceSDDShort Distance DiscountSILSSoutheast Idaho Load ServiceSliceSlice of the System (product)SMCRSettlements, Metering, and Client RelationsSP-15South of Path 15T1SFCOTier 1 System Firm Critical OutputTCTariff Terms and ConditionsTCMSTransmission Curtailment Management ServiceTDGTotal Dissolved GasTGTTownsend-Garrison Transmission
SCADASupervisory Control and Data AcquisitionSCSSecondary Crediting ServiceSDDShort Distance DiscountSILSSoutheast Idaho Load ServiceSliceSlice of the System (product)SMCRSettlements, Metering, and Client RelationsSP-15South of Path 15T1SFCOTier 1 System Firm Critical OutputTCTariff Terms and ConditionsTCMSTransmission Curtailment Management ServiceTDGTotal Dissolved GasTGTTownsend-Garrison Transmission
SCSSecondary Crediting ServiceSDDShort Distance DiscountSILSSoutheast Idaho Load ServiceSliceSlice of the System (product)SMCRSettlements, Metering, and Client RelationsSP-15South of Path 15T1SFCOTier 1 System Firm Critical OutputTCTariff Terms and ConditionsTCMSTransmission Curtailment Management ServiceTDGTotal Dissolved GasTGTTownsend-Garrison Transmission
SDDShort Distance DiscountSILSSoutheast Idaho Load ServiceSliceSlice of the System (product)SMCRSettlements, Metering, and Client RelationsSP-15South of Path 15T1SFCOTier 1 System Firm Critical OutputTCTariff Terms and ConditionsTCMSTransmission Curtailment Management ServiceTDGTotal Dissolved GasTGTTownsend-Garrison Transmission
SILSSoutheast Idaho Load ServiceSliceSlice of the System (product)SMCRSettlements, Metering, and Client RelationsSP-15South of Path 15T1SFCOTier 1 System Firm Critical OutputTCTariff Terms and ConditionsTCMSTransmission Curtailment Management ServiceTDGTotal Dissolved GasTGTTownsend-Garrison Transmission
SliceSlice of the System (product)SMCRSettlements, Metering, and Client RelationsSP-15South of Path 15T1SFCOTier 1 System Firm Critical OutputTCTariff Terms and ConditionsTCMSTransmission Curtailment Management ServiceTDGTotal Dissolved GasTGTTownsend-Garrison Transmission
SMCRSettlements, Metering, and Client RelationsSP-15South of Path 15T1SFCOTier 1 System Firm Critical OutputTCTariff Terms and ConditionsTCMSTransmission Curtailment Management ServiceTDGTotal Dissolved GasTGTTownsend-Garrison Transmission
SP-15South of Path 15T1SFC0Tier 1 System Firm Critical OutputTCTariff Terms and ConditionsTCMSTransmission Curtailment Management ServiceTDGTotal Dissolved GasTGTTownsend-Garrison Transmission
T1SFC0Tier 1 System Firm Critical OutputTCTariff Terms and ConditionsTCMSTransmission Curtailment Management ServiceTDGTotal Dissolved GasTGTTownsend-Garrison Transmission
TCTariff Terms and ConditionsTCMSTransmission Curtailment Management ServiceTDGTotal Dissolved GasTGTTownsend-Garrison Transmission
TCMSTransmission Curtailment Management ServiceTDGTotal Dissolved GasTGTTownsend-Garrison Transmission
TDGTotal Dissolved GasTGTTownsend-Garrison Transmission
TGT Townsend-Garrison Transmission
TPP Treasury Payment Probability
TRAM Transmission Risk Analysis Model
Transmission System Act Federal Columbia River Transmission System Act
Treaty Columbia River Treaty
TRL Total Retail Load
TRM Tiered Rate Methodology
TS Transmission Services
TSS Transmission Scheduling Service
UAI Unauthorized Increase
UDE Under Delivery Event
UFE unaccounted for energy
UFT Use of Facilities Transmission
UIC Unauthorized Increase Charge
UIE Uninstructed Imbalance Energy
ULS Unanticipated Load Service
USFWS U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
VER Variable Energy Resource
VERBS Variable Energy Resource Balancing Service
VOR Value of Reserves
VR1-2014 First Vintage Rate of the BP-14 rate period (PF Tier 2 rate)
VR1-2016 First Vintage Rate of the BP-16 rate period (PF Tier 2 rate)
WECC Western Electricity Coordinating Council
WPP Western Power Pool
WRAP Western Resource Adequacy Program
WRAPWestern Resource Adequacy ProgramWSPPWestern Systems Power Pool

This page intentionally left blank.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The objectives of the Power and Transmission Risk Study (Study) are to identify, model, and analyze the impacts that key risks and risk mitigation tools have on BPA's net revenue (total revenue less total expenses) and cash flow. The Study ensures that power and transmission rates are set high enough that the probability BPA can meet its cash obligations is at least as high as required by BPA's Treasury Payment Probability (TPP) standard. This evaluation is carried out in two distinct steps: 1) a risk assessment step, in which the distributions (or profiles) of operating and non-operating risks are defined; and 2) a risk mitigation step, in which risk mitigation tools are assessed with respect to their ability to recover costs given the uncertainties assessed in step 1. The risk assessment estimates two elements: the central tendency of risks and the potential variability of those risks. Both of these elements are used in the ratemaking process.

In this Study the words "risk" and "uncertainty" are used in similar ways. Each can have both beneficial and harmful impacts on BPA objectives. The BPA objectives that may be affected by the risks considered in this Study are generally BPA's financial objectives.

1.1 Purpose of the Power and Transmission Risk Study

The Power and Transmission Risk Study demonstrates that BPA's proposed rates and risk
mitigation tools together meet BPA's standard for financial risk tolerance: the TPP
standard. This Study includes quantitative and qualitative analyses of risks to net revenue
and tools for mitigating those risks. It also establishes the adequacy of those tools for
meeting BPA's TPP standard.

1 In addition to mitigating the risk that financial reserves and other liquidity may be 2 insufficient to repay the U.S. Treasury (Treasury), this Study also describes the 3 implementation of BPA's Financial Reserves Policy (FRP), which was established in the 4 Administrator's Record of Decision (ROD) for BP-18 and refined in September 2018. See 5 Appendix A, Financial Reserves Policy; see also Administrator's Final Record of Decision, 6 BP-18-A-04, Appendix A; Administrator's Record of Decision, Financial Reserves Policy 7 Phase-In Implementation (Sept. 2018), available at https://www.bpa.gov/-8 /media/Aep/about/publications/records-of-decision/rod-20180925-financial-reserves-9 policy-phase-in-implementation.pdf. The FRP was established to maintain BPA's financial 10 health. It establishes financial reserves target ranges for the business lines and agency, as well as rate actions to be taken when financial reserves are outside those target ranges.

# 2. FINANCIAL RISK POLICIES AND OBJECTIVES

-		
2		
3	2.1 Risk Mitigation Policy Objectives	
4	The following policy objectives guide the development of the risk mitigation package:	
5	• Create a rate design and risk mitigation package that meets BPA financial standard	ls,
6	particularly achieving the TPP Standard.	
7	• Produce the lowest possible rates, consistent with sound business principles and	
8	statutory obligations, including BPA's long-term responsibility to invest in and	
9	maintain the Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS) and Federal Columbia	£
10	River Transmission System (FCRTS).	
11	• Implement BPA's FRP to maintain prudent financial reserves levels and support	
12	BPA's financial objectives.	
13	• Include in the risk mitigation package only those elements that can be relied upon.	
14	• Allocate costs and risks of products to the rates for those products to the fullest	
15	extent possible; in particular, for Power rates, prevent any risks arising from Tier 2	2
16	service imposing costs on Tier 1 or requiring stronger Tier 1 risk mitigation.	
17	• Rely prudently on liquidity tools, and create means to replenish them when they an	re
18	used in order to maintain long-term availability.	
19		
20	These objectives are not completely independent and may sometimes conflict with each	
21	other. Thus, BPA must create a balance among these objectives when developing its over	all
22	isk mitigation strategy.	
23		
24	2.2 How Risk Results Are Used	
25	The main result from the risk assessment and mitigation process is the TPP calculation. If	f
26	his number is 92.6 percent or higher, then the rates and risk mitigation tools meet BPA's	

TPP standard. The calculation takes into account the thresholds and caps for the risk
adjustment mechanisms, that is, the Cost Recovery Adjustment Clause (CRAC), the
Reserves Distribution Clause (RDC), and the FRP Surcharge. These thresholds and caps are
incorporated in the Power and Transmission General Rate Schedule Provisions (GRSPs)
and will be used in later calculations outside the ratemaking process to determine whether
a CRAC, RDC, or FRP Surcharge will be applied to certain power and transmission rates for
FY 2026, FY 2027 or FY 2028. *See* Power Rate Schedules and GRSPs, BP-26-A-01-AP01
(Power GRSPs); Transmission Rate Schedules and GRSPs, BP-26-A-01-AP02 (Transmission

# 2.3 Financial Reserves and Liquidity

This Study evaluates the availability of financial reserves to meet BPA's obligations over the
rate period when considering rates and risk mitigation tools. When this Study uses the
term "financial reserves," it is referring to a specific subset of total financial reserves,
known as "financial reserves available for risk," which consists of cash and investments
held in the Bonneville Fund, *plus* any deferred borrowing, *less* any financial reserves not
available for risk, *less* any outstanding balance on the Treasury Facility. These components
are discussed below.

• Deferred borrowing consists of amounts of capital expenditures BPA has made that authorize borrowing from the Treasury when BPA has not yet completed the borrowing. Deferred borrowing amounts can be converted to cash at any time by completing the borrowing.

- Reserves not available for risk consist of funds held for specific purposes, such as deposits from customers and other entities.
- The Treasury Facility is an agreement between BPA and the Treasury that makes a \$750 million short-term note available to BPA for up to two years to pay expenses.

BPA has concluded that this note can be prudently relied upon as a source of liquidity. The Treasury Facility allows BPA to borrow to meet cash needs. Because of this, financial reserves could fall to a negative level, and BPA could still meet its cash obligations. Borrowing from the Treasury Facility generates cash, but also results in an outstanding balance against the Treasury Facility. When borrowing occurs, the effect on financial reserves is neutral; financial reserves are augmented by the cash but reduced by the outstanding balance. As the cash is expended, however, this relationship allows financial reserves to go negative.

10 This Study also differentiates between financial reserves attributable to Power Services (PS reserves) and financial reserves attributable to Transmission Services (TS reserves). 12 Financial reserves are not held in Power Services- or Transmission Services-specific 13 accounts. BPA has only one account, the Bonneville Fund, in which it maintains financial 14 reserves. Staff in the BPA Chief Financial Officer's organization "attribute" part of the Bonneville Fund balance to the power generation function and part to the transmission 16 function. These funds do not belong to Power Services or Transmission Services; they 17 belong to BPA.

18

19

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

#### 2.4 **BPA's Treasury Payment Probability (TPP) Standard**

20 In the WP-93 rate proceeding, BPA adopted and implemented its 10-Year Financial Plan, 21 which included a policy requiring that BPA set rates to achieve a high probability of 22 meeting its payment obligations to the Treasury. See 1993 Final Rate Proposal 23 Administrator's Record of Decision, WP-93-A-02, at 72. The specific standard set in the 24 10-Year Financial Plan was a 95 percent probability of making both of the annual Treasury 25 payments in the two-year rate period on time and in full. This TPP standard was 26 established as a rate period standard; that is, it focuses upon the probability that BPA can

successfully make all of its payments to Treasury over the multi-year rate period ratherthan the probability for a single year. BP-26 is a three-year rate period, covering FY 2026through FY 2028. The TPP standard for a three-year rate period is 92.6 percent.

The Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act (Northwest Power
Act) states that BPA's payments to the Treasury are the lowest priority for revenue
application, meaning that payments to Treasury are the first to be missed if financial
reserves are insufficient to pay all bills on time. 16 U.S.C. § 839e(a)(2)(A). Therefore,
TPP is a prospective measure of BPA's overall ability to meet its financial obligations.

BPA's Treasury payments are an obligation of the agency. Since 2002, TPP has been
separately measured for Power Services and Transmission Services. This Study tests the
ability of Power Services and Transmission Services to make their portions of the Treasury
payments over the rate period.

The following items (explained in more detail in Chapter 4 below) are included in the calculation of TPP:

- *Starting Financial Reserves.* The amount of Power Services reserves and Transmission Services reserves at the start of FY 2025.
- *Planned Net Revenues for Risk (PNRR).* PNRR is the final component of the revenue requirement that may be added to annual expenses. PNRR may be added when the risk mitigation provided by starting financial reserves and other risk mitigation tools is insufficient to meet the TPP standard. PNRR may also be added to meet the needs of the FRP or for settlement purposes.

• *BPA's Treasury Facility.* BPA's Treasury Facility is relied on as a source of borrowing to meet liquidity needs (Borrowing Liquidity). The full \$750 million in the Treasury

Facility is considered to be available for the liquidity needs associated with Power Services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Agency Liquidity in Excess of TPP (Agency Liquidity). BPA assumes that any liquidity above the level required to meet a business line's 92.6 percent TPP standard can be made available to meet the remaining Treasury payment obligations of the agency. The other business line may rely on this liquidity as a source of Borrowing Liquidity, for purposes of the TPP test, up to the amount needed to demonstrate achievement of the TPP standard. Use of Agency Liquidity does not affect the attribution of financial reserves or interest earnings for either business line.
- Within-year Liquidity Need. The within-year liquidity need is an amount of cash or short-term borrowing capability that must be set aside for meeting within-year liquidity needs (or risks). The within-year liquidity need is \$320 million for Power Services and \$100 million for Transmission Services. The within-year liquidity need is first applied as a reduction to Borrowing Liquidity. If Borrowing Liquidity is insufficient to cover the within-year liquidity need, the remainder of the need is applied as a reduction to financial reserves available to meet the TPP standard.
  - *Cost Recovery Adjustment Clause.* The CRAC is an upward adjustment to applicable power and transmission rates. The adjustment is applied to rates charged for service beginning in December following a fiscal year in which Power Services or Transmission Services Reserves For Risk fall below the Power or Transmission CRAC threshold. The Power Services threshold is set at \$0 in Power Services Reserves For Risk in accordance with the FRP. *See* Appendix A, Financial Reserves Policy. The Transmission Services threshold is set at \$0 in Transmission Services Reserves For Risk in accordance with the FRP. *See id*.

• *Reserves Distribution Clause.* The RDC allows the Administrator to repurpose financial reserves (that are above the level necessary for TPP and the FRP) as debt

reduction, incremental capital investment, rate reduction through a Dividend 2 Distribution (DD), distribution to customers, or any other business-line-specific 3 purpose determined by the Administrator. A DD is a downward adjustment to the 4 applicable power or transmission rates. The adjustment is applied to rates charged 5 for service following a fiscal year in which Power Services or Transmission Services 6 Reserves For Risk are above the RDC threshold. A financial reserves distribution may be made if a) financial reserves attributed to a business line exceed the RDC 8 threshold for that business line, and b) BPA financial reserves exceed the BPA RDC threshold. Power GRSP II.P; Transmission GRSP II.H.

10 FRP Surcharge. The FRP Surcharge is an upward adjustment to applicable power 11 and transmission rates. The adjustment is applied to rates charged for service 12 beginning in December following a fiscal year in which Power Services or 13 Transmission Services Reserves For Risk falls below the business line lower 14 threshold. Power GRSP II.Q; Transmission GRSP II.I.

*Revenue-Financed Capital.* Transmission rates include \$125 million per year in • revenue-financed capital projects. Transmission Revenue Requirement Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-09, §2.2.3. Power rates include \$42 million per year in revenue financed capital projects. Power Revenue Requirement Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-02,  $\S2.2.4$ . This study assumes that these revenue-financed projects will be borrowed against to offset or reduce an FRP Surcharge or CRAC.

21

22

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

7

9

#### 2.5 **BPA's Financial Reserves Policy (FRP)**

23 The FRP applies a consistent methodology to determine lower and upper financial reserves 24 thresholds for each business line and an upper financial reserves threshold for BPA as a 25 whole. See Appendix A, Financial Reserves Policy. The FRP describes the actions BPA may 26 take in response to financial reserves levels that either fall below a lower threshold or

exceed an upper threshold. Relevant to this Study, the FRP is implemented through the
CRAC, RDC, and FRP Surcharge rate mechanisms for Power Services and Transmission
Services. These mechanisms are described further in Sections 4.2 and 5.2.

The FRP was adopted in the BP-18 rate proceeding. Administrator's Final Record of
Decision, BP-18-A-04, Appendix A. In 2018, BPA refined the FRP to specify the rate actions
that would be taken when financial reserves attributable to a business line are below its
lower threshold. Administrator's Record of Decision, Financial Reserves Policy Phase-In
Implementation (Sept. 2018), *available at* <u>https://www.bpa.gov/-</u>

10 /media/Aep/finance/financial-policies/rod-20180925-financial-reserves-policy-phase-in 11 implementation.pdf. The policy is included as Appendix A of this Study.

# 2.6 Quantitative vs. Qualitative Risk Assessment and Mitigation

This Study distinguishes between quantitative and qualitative perspectives of risk. The quantitative risk assessment is a set of risk simulations that are modeled using a Monte Carlo approach, a statistical technique in which deterministic analysis is performed on a distribution of inputs, resulting in a distribution of outputs suitable for analysis. The output from the quantitative risk assessment is a set of 2,700 possible financial results (net revenues and financial reserves) for each of the three years in the rate period (FY 2026-2028) and for the year preceding the rate period (FY 2025). The models used in the quantitative risk assessment are described in Chapter 3. Quantitative risk modeling for Power is described in Section 4.1 and for Transmission in Section 5.1.

23 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

BPA's primary tool for risk mitigation is financial reserves. BPA also uses the CRACs and
FRP Surcharges for Power and Transmission to manage financial risk. The CRACs and FRP
Surcharges add risk mitigation to that provided by financial reserves and liquidity. When

financial reserves, plus the additional revenue earned through a business line's CRAC and
FRP Surcharge, plus Agency Liquidity, do not provide sufficient risk mitigation to meet the
92.6 percent TPP standard, PNRR is added to the revenue requirement. This increases
rates, which generates additional financial reserves, which increases TPP. The models used
in quantitative risk mitigation are described in Section 3. Modeling of quantitative risk
mitigation is described in Section 4.2 for Power Services and Section 5.2 for Transmission
Services.

Some financial risks are unsuitable for quantitative modeling but are significant enough
that they need to be accounted for. These qualitative risks usually fit into one of two
general categories that make them unsuitable for quantitative modeling. The first type is
risks for which there is no basis for estimating the probabilities of future outcomes:
relevant historical data is unavailable and subject matter experts are unable to provide
estimates of probabilities. The second type is risks for which modeling may adversely
influence the future actions of human beings, including possible impact on legal
proceedings.

For the most part, the qualitative risk assessment is a logical assessment of possible events that could have significant financial consequences for BPA. The qualitative risk mitigation describes measures BPA has put in place, or responses BPA would make to these events, and then presents logical analyses of whether any significant residual financial risk remains for BPA after taking into account the mitigation measures. Qualitative Power risks and associated mitigation are described in Section 4.3. There have been no qualitative risks identified for Transmission rates.

# 3. TOOLS AND SIMULATORS USED IN QUANTITATIVE RISK MODELING

This section provides an overview of BPA's general approach to quantitative risk assessment and mitigation. More detailed descriptions of how this approach is implemented for Power and Transmission rates are provided below in Sections 4 and 5.

The approach BPA takes to quantify risks and assess whether BPA's proposed risk mitigation packages for Power Services and Transmission Services rates are sufficient is based on Monte Carlo simulation. In this technique, risks and the relationships between risks are defined using probabilistic models. A large number of simulations, or iterations, are run. In each iteration, a random value is drawn for each probabilistic model and the results are recorded. The entire set of iterations results is examined to verify that BPA's risk mitigation objectives have been achieved.

The 2,700 iterations from the quantitative risk assessment are used in the quantitative risk mitigation step to determine if BPA's financial risk standard—the 92.6 percent three-year
TPP standard—has been met. *See* Sections 2.4, 3.1.5.

3.1 Modeling Process to Calculate TPP

### 3.1.1 Study Models

BPA traditionally models risks using Monte Carlo simulation. Accordingly, models
including Aurora, the Revenue Simulation Model (RevSim), the Non-Operating Risk Models
(P-NORM and T-NORM, explained in Section 3.1.3 below), and ToolKit each run 2,700
iterations. Aurora estimates electricity prices, which serve as inputs to numerous other
studies, including the Power portions of this Study. RevSim (see Section 3.1.2.1 below)
combines deterministic load, resource, revenue, and expense values with the uncertainty in

spot market electricity prices, loads and resources, Power Services transmission and
ancillary services expenses, and Northwest Power Act Section 4(h)(10)(C) credits to
produce 2,700 values for Power Services annual net revenue for each year of the BP-26
rate period, FY 2026-2028. The output of this process is combined with the distribution of
output from P-NORM and provided to the ToolKit to calculate Power Services TPP.
Similarly, Transmission Services revenue uncertainty is modeled for the Transmission
Services Sales and Revenue Forecasts. The distribution that models aggregate
Transmission Services revenue uncertainty is combined with the distribution of output
from T-NORM and provided to ToolKit to calculate Transmission Services TPP.

# 

# 3.1.2 Revenue Simulation Models

# **3.1.2.1** Power—RevSim

RevSim calculates secondary energy revenues, balancing power purchase expenses, system augmentation purchase expenses, and extra-regional sales revenue. Two financial operating risks are modeled externally and input to RevSim: 4(h)(10)(C) credits and Power Services transmission and ancillary services expenses. The results from RevSim and these two financial operating risks are used as inputs into the Rate Analysis Model (RAM2026). RevSim also simulates Power Services operating net revenue for use in ToolKit. Inputs to RevSim include the output of certain risk models discussed in the Power Market Price Study and Documentation (to the extent that they affect generation and loads) and prices from Aurora. See Power Market Price Study and Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-04, § 2.3. RevSim also uses deterministic monthly load and resource data; rates from RAM2026; and non-varying revenues and expenses from Section 9 of the Power Rates Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-01.

1	3.1.2.1.1 Operating Risk Models		
2	Uncertainty in each of the following variables is modeled as independent:		
3	Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) loads		
4	Natural gas prices		
5	Regional hydroelectric generation		
6	Pacific Northwest (PNW) hourly wind generation		
7	Columbia Generating Station (CGS) generation		
8	PNW hourly intertie availability		
9			
10	Each model uses historical data to calibrate a statistical model. The model can then, by		
11	Monte Carlo simulation, generate a distribution of outcomes. Each realization from the		
12	joint distribution of these models constitutes one iteration and serves as input to Aurora.		
13	Where applicable, the results for that iteration also serve as input to RevSim. The prices		
14	from Aurora, combined with the deterministic and variable values used in RevSim,		
15	constitute one net revenue iteration. Not every risk model will generate 2,700 iterations,		
16	and where necessary, a bootstrap approach ( <i>i.e.</i> , resampling with replacement) is used to		
17	produce a full distribution of 2,700 iterations. Each of the 2,700 iterations in the joint		
18	distribution is uniquely identified, which allows for coordination between Aurora prices		
19	and RevSim inventory levels.		
20			
21	If BPA forecasts system augmentation purchases, their cost is estimated in RevSim using		
22	variable electricity prices calculated under P10 "firm water" conditions. These results are		
23	used by RAM2026 when calculating rates and calculating net revenues provided for input		
24	into the ToolKit model. <i>See</i> Section 3.1.5.		
21 22 23	variable electricity prices calculated under P10 "firm water" conditions. These results used by RAM2026 when calculating rates and calculating net revenues provided for in		

25

BP-26-FS-BPA-05 Page 13

The monthly flat electricity prices calculated by Aurora under 30 water year conditions for all 2,700 iterations for each fiscal year are inputs into the risk model that calculates the average 4(h)(10)(C) credits included in the Power Revenue Requirement Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-02. The 4(h)(10)(C) credits calculated by this risk model for 2,700 iterations for each fiscal year are input into RevSim for use in calculating net revenue risk.

The monthly flat secondary energy values calculated by RevSim for all 2,700 iterations for
 each fiscal year are inputs into the Power Services Transmission and Ancillary Services
 Expense Risk Model, which calculates the average Power Services transmission and
 ancillary services expenses included in the Power Revenue Requirement Study,
 BP-26-FS-BPA-02. The transmission and ancillary services expenses, calculated for 2,700
 iterations for each fiscal year, are input into RevSim for use in calculating net revenue risk.

# 3.1.2.2 Transmission—RevRAM

Transmission revenue is a key input to the income statement and to T-NORM. The
Transmission Revenue Risk Assessment Model (RevRAM) models the revenue uncertainty
in BPA's transmission products and services. RevRAM uses Microsoft Excel®-based models
with the add-in risk simulation computer package @RISK®, a product of Palisade
Corporation of Ithaca, New York, to generate 2,700 iterations with Monte Carlo simulation.
Transmission products and services that are modeled for revenue uncertainty include:

- Network Integration (NT) Load Service, which has risk based on load variability.
- Long-Term Point-to-Point (PTP) Service on the Network, Southern Intertie and Montana Intertie (PTP LT, IS LT, IM LT), which have risk based on probability of customers taking the contractual service.

• Short-Term PTP Service on the Network and Intertie (PTP ST and IS ST), which has risk based on variability of market conditions that include hydro and prices.

- Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch (SCD), which has variability dependent on sales of Network and Intertie transmission service.
- Other revenues, including Delivery, Fiber and Personal Communications Services (PCS) Wireless, and other miscellaneous revenues, which have differing inputs but are modeled using historical variability.

The transmission products and services that are modeled for revenue uncertainty are
individually modeled in Excel. A separate spreadsheet tab in RevRAM adds all individual
revenue products to generate the total Transmission revenue forecast (excluding
reimbursable revenues).

# 3.1.3 Non-Operating Risk Models

A Non-Operating Risk Model (NORM) is an analytical risk tool that quantifies the impacts of
risks that are not modeled in the revenue simulation models (Section 3.1.2). Two NORMs
are used in BP-26: P-NORM, which contains models of non-operating risks for Power
Services; and T-NORM, which contains models of non-operating risks for Transmission
Services. The NORMs follow BPA's traditional approach to modeling risks, which uses
Monte Carlo simulation. In each iteration, each modeled uncertainty is randomly assigned
a value from its probability distribution based on input specifications for that uncertainty.
After all of the iterations are run, the results can be analyzed and summarized or passed to other tools.

New risks for inclusion in P-NORM or T-NORM are identified based on review of historical
results and querying of subject matter experts. If a financial risk has a significant range of
financial uncertainty and is suitable for quantitative modeling, it is included in the model.

If a risk has a significant range of financial uncertainty but is not suitable for modeling, it is evaluated in the qualitative risk analysis. *See* Section 4.3.

The probability distributions used by NORM were developed using historical financial data and subject matter expert interviews. The subject matter experts were asked to assess the risks concerning their cost estimates, including the possible range of outcomes and the associated probabilities of occurrence.

After data is gathered, risks are modeled using Excel and @RISK. Risks are generally
modeled using continuous or discrete probability distributions selected to best match the
available data on the risk. Serial correlation (correlation over time) and correlation
between different risks are included in the modeling when relevant and assessable.

### 3.1.3.1 Power—P-NORM

P-NORM models Power Services risks that are not incorporated into RevSim, such as risks around corporate costs covered by power rates and debt service-related risks. While the operating risk models and RevSim are used to quantify operating risks—such as variability in economic conditions, load, and generating resource capability—P-NORM is used to model risks surrounding projections of non-operations-related revenue or expense levels in the Power Services revenue requirement. P-NORM models the accrual impacts of the included risks and translates the net revenue impacts into cash flow impacts through Net-Revenue-to-Cash (NRTC, explained in Section 3.1.4 below) adjustments. P-NORM supplies 2,700 iterations of net revenue and cash flow impacts of the risks that it models. The outputs from P-NORM, along with the outputs from RevSim, are passed to the ToolKit model to assess Power TPP.

#### 1 3.1.3.2 Transmission—T-NORM

Similar to P-NORM, T-NORM models Transmission Services risks that are not incorporated into RevRAM. T-NORM models the accrual impacts of the included risks, and translates the accrual impacts into cash flow impacts through NRTC adjustments. T-NORM supplies 2,700 iterations of net revenue and cash flow impacts of the risks that it models. The outputs from T-NORM, along with the outputs from RevRAM, are passed to the ToolKit model to assess Transmission Services TPP.

### 3.1.4 Net-Revenue-to-Cash (NRTC) Adjustments

One of the inputs to the ToolKit (through P-NORM and T-NORM) is the NRTC Adjustment. Most of BPA's probabilistic modeling is based on impacts of various factors on net revenue. BPA's TPP standard is a measure of the probability of having enough cash to make payments to the Treasury. While cash flow and net revenue generally track each other closely, there can be significant differences in any year. For instance, the requirement to repay federal borrowing over time is reflected in the accrual arena as depreciation of assets. Depreciation is an expense that reduces net revenue, but there is no cash inflow or outflow associated with depreciation. The same repayment requirement is reflected in the cash arena as cash payments to the Treasury to reduce the principal balance on federal bonds and appropriations. These cash payments are not reflected on income statements. Therefore, in translating a net revenue result to a cash flow result, the impact of depreciation must be removed and the impact of cash principal payments must be added. P-NORM and T-NORM each apply NRTC adjustments to the 2,700 accrual results (net revenue results) in order to produce 2,700 cash flows. These cash flows are used by the ToolKit to calculate financial reserves values and TPP in each iteration. Power and Transmission NRTC adjustments are described in Sections 4.1.3 and 5.1.3, below.

Page 17

# **3.1.4.1 @RISK Computer Software**

P-NORM and T-NORM are maintained in Excel using @RISK, which allows analysts to
develop models incorporating uncertainty in a spreadsheet environment. Uncertainty is
incorporated by specifying the probability distribution that reflects the specific risk,
providing the necessary parameters that describe the probability distribution, and letting
@RISK sample values from the probability distributions based on the parameters provided.
The values sampled from the probability distributions reflect their relative likelihood of
occurrence. The parameters required for appropriately quantifying risk are not developed
in @RISK but in analyses external to @RISK.

# 3.1.5 Overview of the ToolKit

The ToolKit is a model that is used to evaluate the ability of Power Services and
Transmission Services to meet BPA's TPP standard given the net revenue and financial
reserve variability embodied in the distributions of operating and non-operating risks. The
ToolKit is modeled in Microsoft Excel.

The ToolKit contains several parameters (*e.g.*, Starting Financial Reserves and CRAC and
RDC settings) defined within the ToolKit file itself. The ToolKit reads in data from three
external files. For Power, ToolKit reads in a file from RevSim and a file from P-NORM. For
Transmission, ToolKit reads in a file from T-NORM, which includes the RevRAM data. Most
of the modeling of risks is performed by the input risk models, as described in
Sections 4 and 5.

The ToolKit is used to assess the effects of various policies, assumptions, changes in data,
and risk mitigation measures on the level of year-end financial reserves and liquidity
attributable to each business line, and thus on TPP. The ToolKit registers a Treasury
payment deferral when financial reserves and all sources of liquidity for a business line are

exhausted in any given year. The ToolKit is run for 2,700 iterations. TPP is calculated by
dividing the number of iterations where a deferral did not occur in either year of the rate
period by 2,700. The ToolKit calculates the TPP and other risk statistics for each business
line and reports results. The ToolKit also allows analysts to calculate how much PNRR is
needed in rates, if any, to meet the TPP standard.

If TPP is below the 92.6 percent standard required by BPA's Financial Plan, then one or
more risk mitigation tools may be adjusted in the ToolKit until the standard is met. These
options include: a) adding PNRR to the revenue requirement; b) raising the CRAC and FRP
Surcharge thresholds, which makes them more likely to trigger; and c) increasing the cap
on the annual revenue the CRAC can collect.

# 4. POWER RISK

# 4.1 Power Quantitative Risk Assessment

This section describes the uncertainties pertaining to Power Services finances in the
context of setting power rates. Section 4.2 describes how BPA determines whether its risk
mitigation measures are sufficient to meet the TPP standard given the risks detailed in this
section.

Variability in Power Services net revenue, largely a product of uncertainty in both federal
hydro generation and market prices, is substantial. BPA also considers uncertainty in
a) customer load; b) CGS output; c) wind generation; d) system augmentation costs;
e) Power Services transmission and ancillary services expenses; and f) Northwest Power
Act Section 4(h)(10)(C) credits. The effects of these risk factors on Power Services net
revenue are quantified in this Study.

Power Services also faces risks not directly related to the operation of the power system.
These non-operating risks are modeled in P-NORM. These risks include the potential for
CGS, Corps of Engineers (Corps), and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) operations
and maintenance (O&M) spending to differ from their forecasts. P-NORM also accounts for
variability in interest rate expense.

# 4.1.1 RevSim

As described in Section 3.1.2, RevSim calculates secondary energy revenues, balancing
 power purchase expenses, system augmentation purchase expenses, and extra-regional
 sales revenue. Two financial operating risks are modeled externally and input into RevSim:
 4(h)(10)(C) credits and Power Services transmission and ancillary services expenses. The

results from RevSim and these two financial operating risks are provided for input intoRAM2026. RevSim also determines, by simulation, Power Services operating net revenuerisk for use in the ToolKit model. *See* Section 3.1.5.

# 4.1.1.1 Inputs to RevSim

Inputs to RevSim include risk data simulated by various risk models and market prices
calculated by Aurora. *See* Power Market Price Study and Documentation, BP-26-FSBPA-04, § 2.1. Other inputs include deterministic monthly data from other rate
development studies. Deterministic data is data provided as single forecast values, as
opposed to data presented as a distribution of many values.

# 4.1.1.1.1 Section not used

# 4.1.1.1.2 Loads and Resources

Monthly heavy load hour (HLH) and light load hour (LLH) load and resource data are
provided by the Power Loads and Resources Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-03. A summary of these
load and resource data in the form of monthly surplus/deficit energy for FY 2026-2028 is
provided in the Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-03A,
Table 10.1.1.

### 4.1.1.1.3 Miscellaneous Revenues

Miscellaneous revenues represent estimated revenues that are not subject to change
through BPA's ratemaking process. See Power Rates Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-01, § 9.2, for a
discussion of miscellaneous revenues.

### 4.1.1.1.4 Composite, Non-Slice, Load Shaping, and Demand Revenues

Composite, Non-Slice, Load Shaping, and Demand revenues are provided by RAM2026.
Consistent with the Tiered Rate Methodology (TRM), Composite and Non-Slice revenues do not vary in the RevSim revenue simulation, but Load Shaping and Demand revenues do vary. The Load Shaping billing determinants and Load Shaping rates from RAM2026 are input into RevSim to facilitate the calculation of changes in Load Shaping revenue. Demand billing determinants and rates from RAM2026 are input into RevSim to facilitate the calculation of changes in Load Shaping revenue. Demand billing determinants and rates from RAM2026 are input into RevSim to facilitate the calculation of changes in Demand revenue. *See* Power Rates Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-01A, Table 3.1.5.

# 4.1.1.1.5 Risk Data

Uncertainty around the deterministic data provided to RevSim must be considered in the determination of TPP using ToolKit. Specifically, the uncertainty considered in RevSim is called operational uncertainty, as opposed to the non-operational uncertainty considered in P-NORM. Uncertainty in the deterministic data is represented by risk data; *i.e.*, a distribution of many values.

Input data to RevSim for operational uncertainty include federal hydro generation risk, Power Services load risk, CGS generation risk, Power Services wind generation risk, Power Services transmission and ancillary services expense risk, 4(h)(10)(C) credit risk, and electricity price risk. The load, resource, and price risk inputs are reflected in the risk distributions for secondary energy revenues, balancing power purchases expenses, system augmentation expenses, and extra-regional sales revenues. These risks, along with the 4(h)(10)(C) credit risk and Power Services transmission and ancillary services expense risk, are reflected in the Power Services operating net revenues calculated by RevSim and provided for input into ToolKit.

### 4.1.1.1.5.1. Federal Hydro Generation Risk

The federal hydro generation risk factor reflects the uncertain impacts that streamflow timing and volume have on monthly federal hydro generation under specified hydro operation requirements. Federal hydro generation risk is accounted for in RevSim by inputting hydro generation estimates from the HYDSIM model and adjusting these results to account for efficiency losses associated with BPA standing ready to provide balancing reserve capacity, which is discussed below.

For FY 2026-2028, average monthly hydro generation risk is accounted for based on hydro generation estimates from the HYDSIM model for monthly streamflow patterns
experienced from 1989-2018 (also referred to as the 30 water years). These monthly
hydro generation data are developed by simulating hydro operations sequentially over all
360 months of the 30 water years. *See* Power Loads and Resources Study, BP-26-FSBPA-03, § 3.1.2.1.2.

For each of the 30 water years, monthly diurnal (HLH and LLH) energy splits for the federal system's hydro generation are developed for each fiscal year of the rate period based on analyses by the RiverWare Model, which incorporates results from HYDSIM hydro regulation studies. *See id.* § 3.1.2.1.4. These monthly diurnal regulated hydro generation estimates are combined with monthly diurnal independent hydro generation estimates developed from historical data to yield total monthly diurnal federal hydro generation.

Monthly values for federal hydro generation for each of the 30 historical water years are
provided in the Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA -05A,
and are reported in terms of HLH, LLH, and flat energy in Tables 1, 3, and 3a for FY 2026,
Tables 2, 4, and 4a for FY 2027, and Tables 16, 17, and 17a for FY 2028.

Adjustments are made to the average monthly hydro generation in the 30 water year data
to represent efficiency losses associated with maintaining balancing reserve capacity for
load and wind variability. The generation adjustments are reported in terms of HLH, LLH,
and flat energy adjustments in the Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation,
BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Tables 5-7 for FY 2026, Tables 8-10 for FY 2027, and Tables 23-25 for
FY 2028. These generation data are added to the values presented in Tables 1-2 to yield
the final monthly federal hydro generation for each of the 30 water years.

The monthly federal hydro generation data are input into RevSim to quantify the impact that federal hydro generation variability has on Power Services secondary energy sales and revenues, balancing power purchases and expenses, and net revenues for 2,700 three-year simulations (FY 2026-2028). The Power Services secondary energy sales data are input into the Power Services Transmission and Ancillary Services Expense Risk Model to calculate these expenses for 2,700 three-year simulations. See Section 4.1.1.1.5.5 below regarding the Power Services Transmission and Ancillary Services Expense Risk Model.

The water year sequences developed for each iteration for federal hydro generation are
also used for PNW hydro generation, resulting in a consistent set of federal and PNW hydro
generation being used for each iteration in Aurora and RevSim. See Power Market Price
Study and Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-04, Section 2.3.3.1, regarding the development of
water year sequences for PNW hydro generation. The spill operations detailed in the
Power Loads and Resources Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-03, Section 3.1.2.1, are also incorporated.

4.1.1.1.5.2. BPA Load Risk

The BPA load risk factor represents the impacts that variability in the economy and temperature can have on Power Services revenues and expenses. Under the TRM,

1 fluctuations in customer loads and revenues are considered as changes in Tier 1 loads, 2 specifically through the Load Shaping and Demand charges. Load fluctuations are also 3 reflected as changes in secondary energy revenues and balancing power purchase 4 expenses. The level of regional economic activity affects the annual amount of load placed 5 on BPA. Weather and climate conditions cause real-time and monthly variations in loads, 6 especially during the winter and summer when heating and cooling loads are highest. BPA 7 annual load growth variability and monthly load variability due to weather are derived 8 from PNW load variability simulated in the load risk model for WECC. See Power Market 9 Price Study and Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-04, § 2.3.2.1. BPA load variability is derived 10 such that the same percentage changes in PNW regional loads are used to quantify BPA 11 balancing authority load variability.

While the Aurora load risk model considers WECC-wide loads, only the PNW regional elements of the load risk are applied to BPA loads for the revenue simulation.

# 4.1.1.1.5.3. CGS Generation Risk

The CGS generation risk factor reflects the impact CGS output variability has on the amount
of Power Services secondary energy sales and balancing power purchases estimated by
RevSim. The source of the CGS generation risk data input into RevSim is Aurora, which
simulates these data when calculating electricity prices. See *id.* at Section 2.3.6.2 regarding
the methodology used in quantifying CGS generation risk.

# 22

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

# 23 **4.1.1.1.5.4.** Power Services Wind Generation Risk

The Power Services wind generation risk factor reflects the uncertainty in the amount and
value of the energy generated by the portions of the Klondike III and Stateline wind
projects that are under contract to BPA.

The uncertainty in the amount of energy generated by BPA's portions of these wind projects is simulated in the PNW Hourly Wind Generation Risk Model, which is described in the Power Market Price Study and Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-04, Section 2.3.4.1. Since the PNW Hourly Wind Generation Risk Model includes the output of wind projects that do not serve BPA loads, the results from this model are scaled such that the average wind generation output is equal to the forecast wind generation in the Power Loads and Resources Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-03, Section 3.1.3.

The simulated monthly wind generation results are specified in terms of flat energy.
Results shown in Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BP-05A,
Figure 1, are the monthly flat energy output for all wind projects during FY 2026-2028 at the 5<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles. These monthly flat energy values are input into RevSim,
where they are converted into monthly HLH and LLH energy values by applying HLH and LLH shaping factors that are associated with these wind projects. The source of these HLH and LLH shaping factors is the data used to compute the monthly HLH and LLH wind generation values included under Non-Hydro Renewable Generation in the Power Loads and Resources Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-03, Section 3.1.3.

The uncertainty in the value of the wind generation output is calculated in RevSim based on the differences between a) the monthly weighted average purchase prices for all the output contracts between wind generators and BPA, and b) the wholesale electricity prices at which BPA can sell the amount of variable energy produced. The output contracts specify that BPA pays for only the amount of energy produced. The risk of the value of the wind generation output is computed in RevSim in the following manner: a) subtract from expenses the expected monthly payments for the expected output from all the wind projects; b) on a iteration-by-iteration basis, compute the monthly payments for the output

from all the wind projects; and c) on a iteration-by-iteration basis, compute the revenues associated with the wind generation from all the projects.

Results shown in Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A,
Tables 11, 12, and 26 report information from which the value of wind generation during
FY 2026-2028 can be observed at expected monthly flat energy output levels and variable
monthly electricity prices. Total deterministic wind generation purchase costs and total
revenues earned from the sale of all wind generation at average, 5<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, and 95<sup>th</sup>
percentile electricity prices estimated by Aurora are provided, with the value of the wind
generation being the difference between the revenues earned and purchase costs paid.

#### 4.1.1.1.5.5. Power Services Transmission and Ancillary Services Expense Risk

The Power Services transmission and ancillary services expense risk factor represents the uncertainty in Power Services transmission and ancillary services expenses relative to the expected values of these expenses included in the power revenue requirement. Those expected values are \$86.0 million during FY 2026, \$85.6million during FY 2027, and \$87.1 during FY 2028. *See* Power Revenue Requirement Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-02A, Table 3A, line 112. This risk is modeled in the Power Services Transmission and Ancillary Services Expense Risk Model.

The modeling of this risk is based on comparisons between monthly firm PTP Network transmission capacity that Power Services has under contract, the amount of existing firm contract sales, and the variability in secondary energy sales estimated by RevSim. Expense risk computations reflect how transmission and ancillary services expenses vary from the cost of the fixed take-or-pay firm PTP Network transmission capacity that Power Services has under contract. Because Power Services has more firm PTP Network transmission 1

capacity under contract than it has firm contract sales, the probability distribution for these expenses is asymmetrical. This asymmetry occurs because Power Services does not incur the costs of purchasing additional transmission capacity until the amount of secondary energy sales exceeds the amount of residual firm transmission capacity after serving all firm sales.

Transmission and ancillary services expenses will increase under conditions in which Power Services sells more energy than it has firm PTP Network transmission rights. Alternatively, transmission and ancillary services expenses will remain unchanged under conditions in which Power Services sells less energy than it has firm PTP Network transmission rights.

Results shown in Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Figures 2, 3, and 3a, indicate how FY 2026-2028 transmission and ancillary service expenses vary depending on the amount of secondary energy sales. In these figures, the Power Services transmission and ancillary services expenses do not fall below \$38.5 million in FY 2026, \$38.4 million in FY 2027, and \$38.5 million in FY 2028, regardless of the amount of secondary energy sales. This result is because Power Services must pay for the take-or-pay firm transmission capacity it has under contract. Included in these expenses are deterministic costs for the take-or-pay firm transmission capacity that Power Services has under contract on the Southern (alternating current (AC) and direct current (DC)) Interties.

24

Results shown in Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Figures 4, 5 and 5a, reflect the probability distributions for transmission and ancillary

service expenses during FY 2026-2028. These figures indicate how often transmission and ancillary service expenses fall within various expense ranges.

#### 4.1.1.1.5.6. 4(h)(10)(C) Credits

The 4(h)(10)(C) credit risk results are quantified in an external risk model and input into RevSim. These results reflect the uncertainty in the amount of 4(h)(10)(C) credits BPA receives from the Treasury. Section 4(h)(10)(C) of the Northwest Power Act allows BPA to allocate its expenditures for systemwide fish and wildlife mitigation activities to various purposes. 16 U.S.C. § 839b(h)(10)(C). The credit reimburses BPA for its expenditures allocated to the non-power purposes of the federal hydro projects, and BPA reduces its annual Treasury payment by the amount of the credit. The 4(h)(10)(C) credit risk analysis performed in this Study estimates the amount of 4(h)(10)(C) credits available for each of the 30 water years for FY 2026-2028 by first summing the costs of the operating impacts on the hydro system (*e.g.*, power purchase expenses), direct program expenses, and capital costs associated with BPA's fish and wildlife mitigation measures. The resulting total cost is multiplied by 0.223 (22.3 percent, which is the percentage of the FCRPS attributed to non-power purposes) to yield the amount of 4(h)(10)(C) credits available for each of the 30 water years.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Operating impact costs are calculated for each of the 30 water years for FY 2026-2028 by multiplying spot market electricity prices from Aurora by the amount of power purchases (in average megawatts (aMW)) qualifying for 4(h)(10)(C) credits. The amount of power purchases qualifying for 4(h)(10)(C) credits is derived outside of RevSim and is used to calculate the dollar amount of the 4(h)(10)(C) credits. A description of the methodology used to derive the amount of power purchases associated with the 4(h)(10)(C) credits is contained in the Power Loads and Resources Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-03, Section 3.3. The Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-03A, shows the 4(h)(10)(C) credit power purchase amount for FY 2026 in Table 6.1.1, for FY 2027 in Table 6.1.2, and for FY 2028 in Table 6.1.3.

The direct program expenses and capital costs for FY 2026-2028 do not vary by water
volume or flow timing and are documented in the Power Revenue Requirement Study
Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-02A, Sections 3 and 4. A summary of the costs included in
the 4(h)(10)(C) calculation and the resulting credit for each fiscal year are shown in
Table 13 of this Study's documentation, Power and Transmission Risk Study
Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A.

Results shown in Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A,
Figures 6, 7, and 7a reflect the probability distributions for the 4(h)(10)(C) credit during
FY 2026-2028. The average 4(h)(10)(C) credit for the 2,700 iterations rounds to
\$124.9 million for FY 2026, \$131.1 million for FY 2027, and \$132.2 million for 2028. These
values are included in the revenue forecast described in Section 9.4.1 of the Power Rates
Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-01. The 4(h)(10)(C) credit for each of the 2,700 iterations is included
in the net revenue provided to the ToolKit.

#### 4.1.1.1.5.7. Electricity Price Risk

Results from two runs of the Aurora model are typically used in this Study. One run, which
uses hydro generation for all 30 water years, is referred to as the "market price run." The
other run, which uses hydro generation for only the monthly 10<sup>th</sup> percentile (P10) of hydro
generation, is referred to as the "firm water run." *See also* Power Market Price Study and
Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-04, § 2.4. Both runs produce 2,700 iterations of monthly
HLH and LLH prices for FY 2026-2028. Figures 4 and 5 of the Power Market Price Study

and Documentation provide a summary of the average monthly diurnal prices for each of these Aurora runs. *Id.*, Figures 4, 5.

Prices from the market price run are used by RevSim to develop secondary energy
revenues and balancing power purchase expenses for FY 2026-2028. They are also used to
compute 4(h)(10)(C) credits that are calculated in an external model, but then input into
RevSim. These values are provided to RAM2026 to develop rates for FY 2026-2028. Prices
from the market price run are also used to incorporate risk in the operating net revenues
calculated by RevSim and provided to the ToolKit. See Sections 4.1.1.2.1 through 4.1.1.2.4,
below, for a description of this process.

If augmentation purchases are forecast, prices from the firm water run are used to compute
the system augmentation costs provided to RAM2026 for ratemaking purposes. Prices
from the firm water run are also used to incorporate system augmentation expense risk in
the operating net revenues calculated by RevSim and provided to the ToolKit. See
Section 4.1.1.2.1 below for a description of this process.

4.1.1.2 RevSim Model Outputs

RevSim model outputs are provided to RAM2026, the ToolKit model, and the revenue forecast component of the Power Rates Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-01, Section 9.

#### 4.1.1.2.1 System Augmentation Costs and Firm Surplus Energy Revenues

For this rate period, the system is firm load/resource balance in all three years. While
there was no augmentation in BP-26, if the need would have arisen, deterministic values
for system augmentation costs would be provided for input into RAM2026 by multiplying
the system augmentation amount (average megawatts) by the average Aurora price from

the firm water run. A summary of the system augmentation costs calculation in this Study is shown in Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Table 14.

The deterministic values for firm surplus energy revenues provided to RAM2026 are
calculated by multiplying the firm surplus energy amount (average megawatts) by the Firm
Surplus Sales price, as detailed in the Power Rates Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-01, Section 3.2.6.
This value uses forward market prices to establish the value of remarketed non-federal
energy and establishes the Tier 2 short-term rate.

The computation of firm surplus includes the additional inventory that results from the
forward power purchases of 61 aMW in FY 2026 and FY 2027, which were acquired to
provide Southeast Idaho Load Service (SILS). As well as forward power purchases, the
calculation of firm surplus also accounts for any forward power sales BPA had executed at
the time of calculating rates. The source of the firm surplus energy amounts is the Power
Loads and Resources Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-03, Section 4.3. The inclusion of the firm
surplus energy revenues in RAM2026 reduces the total amount of surplus energy (average
megawatts) such that loads and resources are in balance on a firm energy basis. Thus, the
net secondary energy revenue analysis in RevSim reflects only secondary energy values.
See Power Loads and Resources Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-03, Section 3.1.5, regarding the
treatment of SILS forward power purchases, and Power Loads and Resources Study
Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-03A, Tables 9.1.1, 9.1.2, and 9.1.3, where the SILS loads are
embedded in the total load values. The firm surplus energy revenues calculation is shown
in Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BP-05A, Table 15.

# 4.1.1.2.2 Secondary Energy Sales/Revenues and Balancing Power **Purchases/Expenses**

RevSim calculates secondary energy sales and revenues under various load, resource, and market price conditions. For each simulation, RevSim calculates Power Services' HLH and LLH load and resource conditions and determines HLH and LLH secondary energy sales and balancing power purchases.

8 Losses on BPA's transmission system, which reduce the amount of resource output that can 9 be delivered to load or sold as surplus, are incorporated into RevSim by reducing 10 generation in the summer (June through August) by 3.04 percent and reducing generation 11 for the rest of the year by 2.83 percent. See Power Loads and Resources Study, 12 BP-26-FS-BPA-03, § 3.1.7. This is applied to the federal hydro generation, CGS output, and 13 wind generation that BPA has under contract. Additional incremental loss percentages 14 (more than the amounts described above) are applied to the Green Springs, Lost Creek, and Cowlitz Falls independent hydro projects. These losses are 4.45 percent for Green Springs and Lost Creek, and 0.5 percent for Cowlitz Falls. 16

Electricity prices estimated by Aurora from the market price run are applied to the secondary energy sales and balancing power purchase amounts to determine secondary energy revenues and balancing power purchase expenses. These diurnal revenues and expenses are then combined with other revenues and expenses to calculate Power Services operating net revenues.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

#### 4.1.1.2.3 Valuing Extra-regional Marketing in RevSim

25 Given that BPA has access to extra-regional markets (e.g., California-Oregon Border (COB), 26 Nevada-Oregon Border (NOB), and other points of delivery contiguous to the California

Independent System Operator (CAISO)), BPA can reasonably expect to participate in these markets and receive a premium, where such a premium exists, for corresponding sales. Extra-regional sales include CAISO transactions as well as bilateral transactions at COB and NOB, where BPA realizes a premium for COB and NOB sales on the presumption that such energy will be remarketed to California. RevSim allocates surplus energy sales between Mid-C, COB, and NOB such that it maximizes surplus energy revenues. This allocation takes into consideration the relative price spreads between COB, NOB, and Mid-C; the amount of available transmission capacity on the Southern interties; the amount of excess available firm transmission capacity on the Southern interties that Power Services has under contract; and the cost of transmission losses for sales over the interties. The source of the available excess transmission capacity and the price spreads is Aurora. *See* Power Market Price Study and Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-04, § 2.3.

The excess available firm transmission capacities that Power Services has under contract
on the Southern interties are represented by deterministic data that is input into
RevSim. Results from the WECC-wide dispatch process in Aurora provide a distribution of
modeled transmission capacity constraints. Therefore, for a given iteration, RevSim is able
to determine whether all or only a portion of Power Services excess firm transmission
capacity on the Southern interties is available for export sales.

BPA recognizes that extra-regional sales incur incremental transaction costs that are not
observed at Mid-C. As noted above, additional transmission losses are assessed to each
unit of energy RevSim markets to California to account for losses associated with moving
energy to COB or NOB over the interties. Additionally, to account for costs associated with
sales to CAISO, RevSim applies a per megawatthour (MWh) reduction to the modeled value
of a portion of the modeled extra-regional sales, where this decrement represents the sum

of the CAISO Grid Management Charges (GMC) and carbon allowance purchase costs BPA will incur in association with these sales.

The portion of sales assumed to be made to CAISO was determined by looking at BPA's historical transactions in the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC's) Electronic Quarterly Reporting (EQR) data, from years 2022-2024. For the BP-26 rate period, BPA assumes 35 percent of its sales to California will be made to CAISO—in line with the average over the past three years of EQR data.

Any sale into CAISO is assessed a GMC on a per megawatthour basis, and this charge is the vehicle through which CAISO recovers its administrative and capital costs from the entities that utilize CAISO's service. This charge is a published rate, and as of June 1, 2021, the rate was about \$0.30/MWh. There is also a Bid Segment Fee and a SCID monthly fee, both of which are relatively minor. Considering these three fees together, BPA included a \$0.35/MWh GMC fee on all modeled sales assumed to be made to CAISO.

Finally, BPA must pay for carbon allowances when selling to CAISO. The forecast cost of carbon allowance purchases is based off a forecast of carbon allowance pricing and a forecast of BPA's system's average carbon content. BPA's Asset Controller Supplier emission factor averaged 0.02 megatons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent per megawatthour (MT CO<sub>2e</sub>/MWh) from the years 2013 to 2025. This value is used as the forecast for FY 2026-28. This emission factor forecast combines with BPA's carbon allowance price forecast of roughly \$63/MT CO<sub>2e</sub> over the rate case period to yield an estimated carbon compliance cost for BPA of \$1.25/MWh for the rate case period. Talks with BPA's marketing subject matter experts led to an assumption in RevSim that costs will total to \$1.60/MWh.

Taking everything together, BPA assumes that 35 percent of its modeled extra-regional sales will be made to CAISO. These sales are assessed an incremental cost of \$1.60/MWh to account for the GMC fee and carbon allowances. Modeling extra-regional sales adds
\$43.6 million in FY 2026, \$38.9 million in FY 2027, and \$34.4 million in FY 2028 to the net secondary energy revenue credits, as compared to modeling sales being made only at Mid-C.

For the BP-26 rate period, value associated with market participation in the Energy
Imbalance Market (EIM) is estimated by simulating EIM dispatch using forecast hourly
Northwest market prices at Mid-C and projected BPA system flexibility gained by no longer
holding non-regulated balancing reserves. This value is directly input into RAM2026.
Power Rates Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-01A, Table 3.2.8.

#### 4.1.1.2.4 Modeling Capacity Sales in RevSim

In BP-26, RevSim will continue to account for the impacts of capacity sales made by BPA. This will be done in a manner consistent with that of BP-22, where capacity that BPA has sold is held in reserve to provide to the counterparties, should they call for it. In compensation for this, BPA receives monthly capacity fees.

These capacity agreements impact RevSim in the calculation of extra-regional sales and in the committed sales revenue category. For any given period, when RevSim checks whether there is surplus energy available to market at COB or NOB, the first set of megawatts are held exempt from consideration—it is effectively on reserve, held in case a counterparty calls for it. RevSim subsequently sells this holdout at Mid-C, which adequately models either BPA providing the energy to a counterparty and said counterparty compensating BPA at Mid-C prices, or BPA holding the energy when a counterparty does not call for it and

then BPA marketing the megawatts itself at Mid-C. The capacity payment BPA receives is included in the committed sales revenue category.

A recent capacity sale made by BPA stipulates that BPA will be compensated for the energy value of any capacity called by the counterparty at the contemporaneous price of energy at Mid-C, plus a premium. To forecast a value BPA might expect to receive from the premium portion of the contract, BPA would have to estimate how often, and when, the counterparty would call the option for capacity. Given the unique terms of the sale and a lack of recent historical experience with this type of a sale, which could inform an expectation of when the counterparty may exercise its option, BPA is not forecasting, in BP-26, the premium on the energy component that it may receive from this sale.

#### 4.1.1.2.5 Mean Net Secondary Revenue Computations

Secondary energy revenues and balancing power purchases expenses for FY 2026-2028
are provided to RAM2026. These revenues and expenses are based on the arithmetic mean
net secondary revenues (secondary energy revenues less balancing power purchases
expenses) from the 2,700 iterations. The secondary energy sales and balancing power
purchases passed to RAM2026, both measured in annual average megawatts, are also the
arithmetic means of these quantities over the 2,700 iterations for each fiscal year.
In the Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Tables 18
and 19 provide monthly values for the secondary energy sales/revenues and total power
purchases/expenses provided to RAM2026 for FY 2026-2028. The total power purchases
expenses are \$105.0 million for FY 2026, \$76.7 million for FY 2027, and \$91.7 million for
FY 2028. The secondary energy revenues are \$437.3million for FY 2026, \$405.5 million for
FY 2027, and \$386.9 million for FY 2028. Annual secondary energy sales/revenues and

total power purchases/expenses for FY 2026-2028 are reported together in Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Table 20.

#### 4.1.1.2.6 Net Revenue

RevSim results are used in an iterative process with ToolKit and RAM2026 to calculate
PNRR and, ultimately, rates that provide BPA with at least a 92.6 percent TPP for the threeyear rate period. The Power Services net revenue simulated in each RevSim run depends
on the revenue components developed by RAM2026, which in turn depends on the level of
PNRR assumed when RAM2026 is run. RevSim simulates intermediate sets of net revenue
during this iterative process. The final set of Power Services net revenue from RevSim is
the lowest set that yields at least a 92.6 percent TPP.

Using 2,700 iterations of net revenue risk data simulated by RevSim and P-NORM and
mathematical descriptions of the CRAC and RDC, the ToolKit produces 2,700 iterations of
cash flow and annual ending financial reserves levels. The ToolKit calculates TPP from
these iterations, and then analysts change the amounts of PNRR to achieve TPP targets. For
BP-26, no PNRR was needed to meet the TPP target.

A statistical summary of the annual net revenue for FY 2026-2028 simulated by RevSim using proposed rates is reported in Table 1. Power Services' net revenue over the rate period averages \$179.7 million per year. This amount represents the operating net revenues calculated in RevSim, plus increased Net Secondary revenue pursuant to Section IV.C of the Power Rate Settlement for FY 2026-2028 to reflect the benefit of flexibility within HLH/LLH time periods. It does not reflect additional net revenue adjustments in the ToolKit model caused by the output from P-NORM, interest earned on financial reserves, or impacts of the CRAC, FRP Surcharge, and RDC.

#### **4.1.2 P-NORM**

#### 4.1.2.1 Inputs to P-NORM

To obtain the data used to develop the probability distributions used by P-NORM, BPA analyzed historical data and consulted with subject matter experts for their assessment of the risks concerning their cost estimates, including the possible range of outcomes and the associated probabilities of occurrence. Table 2 shows the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile, mean, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile results from each of the risk models described below, along with the deterministic amount that is assumed in the FY 2025 forecast and FY 2026-2028 revenue requirement for that risk. *See* Power Revenue Requirement Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-02A, Table 3A.

#### 4.1.2.1.1 CGS Operations and Maintenance (O&M)

CGS 0&M uncertainty is modeled for Base 0&M and Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited
(NEIL) insurance premiums. P-NORM captures uncertainty around Base 0&M and NEIL
insurance costs. For Base 0&M, P-NORM distributes the minimum- and maximum-based
subject matter expert estimation of deviations from the expected value. For FY 2025
through FY 2028, the maximums are 6 percent greater than forecast and the minimums are
4 percent less than forecast.

For NEIL insurance premiums, risk is modeled around forecast gross premiums and
distributions based on the level of earnings on the NEIL fund. Historically, member utilities
have received annual distributions based on the level of these earnings, and the net
premiums they pay are lower as a result. NEIL premiums are modeled using a normal
distribution. For FY 2025 and through the FY 2026-2028 rate period, the most likely is set
to the average of the forecast NEIL premium amounts, less the average of the NEIL credits
received from FY 2018 through FY 2024. The standard deviation is set to the standard

deviation of credits received from FY 2018 through FY 2024. See Table 2 for the expected, 5<sup>th</sup> percentile, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile values for this risk.

#### 4.1.2.1.2 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Bureau of Reclamation O&M

For Corps and Reclamation O&M, P-NORM models uncertainty around the following:

- Additional costs if a security event occurs or if the security threat level increases;
- Additional costs if a fish event occurs;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Additional extraordinary hydro system maintenance;
- Additional costs due to a catastrophic event; and
- Additional costs due to new system requirements.

For additional security costs, P-NORM assumes for FY 2025 and for FY 2026–2028 that there is a 2 percent probability that an event will occur in any given year that leads to a requirement for additional security at Corps or Reclamation facilities. The additional annual cost if an event were to occur is the same for both the Corps and Reclamation, at \$3 million each.

Additional fish environmental costs are modeled similarly for FY 2025 and through the FY 2026-2028 rate period, with a 2 percent probability that an event that requires additional annual expenditures of \$2 million each for either the Corps or Reclamation will occur in each fiscal year.

For additional extraordinary hydro system maintenance needs, P-NORM models the
uncertainty that additional repair and maintenance costs at the federal hydro projects
could be incurred and the probability that an outage event could occur. For FY 2025 and
through the FY 2026-2028 rate period, this risk is modeled with a 2.5 percent probability

that an event will occur in any given year that leads to an additional \$5 million expense. This risk is modeled in the same way for both the Corps and Reclamation.

P-NORM models the expense cost of a catastrophic, systemwide event. This risk is modeled for FY 2025 and through the FY 2026-2028 rate period with a 1 percent probability of an event occurring in any given year resulting in a \$30 million expense. This risk is modeled in the same way for both the Corps and Reclamation.

P-NORM models the expense cost related to increased compliance or regulatory requirements. This risk is modeled for FY 2025 and through the FY 2026-2028 rate period with a 10 percent probability of a \$5 million expense in any given year. This risk is modeled in the same way for both the Corps and Reclamation. See Table 2 for the expected, 5<sup>th</sup> percentile, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile values for these risks.

#### 4.1.2.1.3 Conservation Expense

For this expense item, P-NORM models uncertainty around Conservation Acquisition and Low-Income and Tribal Weatherization. Conservation Acquisition expense is modeled for each year from FY 2025 and through the FY 2016-2028 rate period using a Program Evaluation and Review Technique (PERT) distribution. A PERT distribution is a type of beta distribution for which minimum, most likely, and maximum values are specified. For each fiscal year, Conservation Acquisition expense is modeled with a minimum value of 90 percent of the amount in the revenue requirement, a most likely value equal to the amount, and a maximum value of 105 percent of the amount.

Low-Income and Tribal Weatherization expense variability is modeled using a PERT distribution for FY 2025 and through the FY 2026-2028 rate period. For each fiscal year, 1

2

3

4

these expenses are modeled with a minimum value of 95 percent of the amount in the revenue requirement, a most likely value equal to the amount, and a maximum value of 105 percent of the amount. See id. See Table 2 for the expected, 5th percentile, and 95th percentile values for this risk.

#### 4.1.2.1.4 Power Services Transmission Acquisition and Ancillary Services

For this cost item, P-NORM models uncertainty around expenses for Third-Party Transfer Service Wheeling and Third-Party Transmission and Ancillary Services.

P-NORM models Third-Party Transfer Service Wheeling cost for each year from FY 2025 and through the FY 2026-2028 rate period with PERT distributions. For each fiscal year, the minimum, most likely, and maximum are set to 96 percent, 100 percent, and 102 percent of the revenue requirement amounts.

The cost of Third-Party Transmission and Ancillary Services is modeled for FY 2025 and through the FY 2026-2028 rate period using a PERT distribution with minimum and most likely values set to the revenue requirement amount. For each fiscal year, the maximums are set to 105 percent, 110 percent, 116 percent, and 116 percent of the revenue requirement amount. See Table 2 for the expected, 5<sup>th</sup> percentile, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile values for this risk.

#### 4.1.2.1.5 Fish and Wildlife Expenses

P-NORM models uncertainty around four categories of fish and wildlife mitigation program expenses, as described below. See Table 2 for the expected, 5<sup>th</sup> percentile, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile values for this risk.

#### 4.1.2.1.5.1. BPA Direct Program Costs for Fish and Wildlife Expenses

The costs of BPA's Fish and Wildlife Program are uncertain, in large part because the actual pace of implementation cannot be known ahead of time and there is a chance that program components will not be implemented as planned. This does not reflect any uncertainty in BPA's commitment to the plans; instead, it reflects the reality that it can take time to plan and implement programs, and the expenses of the programs may not be incurred in the fiscal years in which BPA plans for them to be incurred.

9 The uncertainty in fish and wildlife expenses is modeled for each year from FY 2025 and 10 through the FY 2026-2028 rate period using PERT distributions. For FY 2025, the 11 minimum is set to 5 percent lower than the revenue requirement amount, the most likely 12 value is set to the revenue requirement amount, and the maximum is set to 5 percent 13 greater than the revenue requirement amounts. For FY 2026-2028, the minimums are set 14 to 5 percent lower than the revenue requirement amount; the most likely values are set to 15 2.5 percent lower than the revenue requirement amount; and the maximums are set equal 16 to the revenue requirement amounts.

# 4.1.2.1.5.2. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Lower Snake River Hatcheries **Expenses**

For FY 2025 and through the FY 2026-2028 rate period, USFWS Lower Snake River Hatcheries Expense uncertainty is modeled as a PERT distribution. For FY 2025, a minimum value is set to 5 percent less than the forecast value, a most likely value is set to the forecast value, and a maximum value is set to 5 percent greater than the forecast value. For FY 2026-2028, the minimum value set to 10 percent less than the forecast value, a most likely value is set to 5 percent less than the forecast value, and a maximum value is set to the forecast value.

> BP-26-FS-BPA-05 Page 43

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### 4.1.2.1.5.3. Bureau of Reclamation Leavenworth Complex O&M Expenses

P-NORM models uncertainty of the O&M expense of Reclamation's Leavenworth Complex using a discrete risk model. A discrete risk is defined using a set of specified values, with probabilities assigned to each value. In a discrete distribution, only the specified values can be drawn, as opposed to a continuous distribution, in which the set of possible values is not specified and any value between the minimum and maximum can be drawn. Leavenworth Complex O&M risk is modeled with a 1 percent probability of incurring an additional
\$1 million expense each year. The revenue requirement amounts for Reclamation's Leavenworth Complex O&M for FY 2025 and through the FY 2026-2028 rate period are included in Reclamation's O&M budget, which is discussed in Section 4.1.2.1.2 above.

#### 4.1.2.1.5.4. Corps of Engineers Fish Passage Facilities Expenses

P-NORM models uncertainty of the cost of the fish passage facilities for the Corps using a discrete risk model, with a 1 percent probability of incurring an additional \$1 million expense each year. The revenue requirement amounts for Corps Fish Passage Facilities
Expenses for FY 2025 and through the FY 2026-2028 rate period are included in the Corps' O&M budget, which is discussed in Section 4.1.2.1.2 above.

# 

#### 4.1.2.1.6 Interest Expense and Earnings

P-NORM captures the impact of interest rates, capital uncertainty, and Power Services
reserves levels on interest expense and earnings. Interest expense risk is modeled for
FY 2025 and through the FY 2026-2028 rate period using a normal distribution with the
expected values set at the revenue requirement amount and the standard deviations set at
\$1.7 million for FY 2025, \$2.2 million for FY 2026, \$3.8 million for FY 2027, and
\$3.8 million for FY 2028. P-NORM models interest earnings risk for FY 2025 and through
the FY 2026-2028 rate period using a uniform distribution with the maximum set at the

revenue requirement amount and the minimum set at \$0. See Table 2 for the expected, 5<sup>th</sup> percentile, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile values for these risks.

#### 4.1.2.2 P-NORM Results

The output of P-NORM is an Excel file containing a) the aggregate total net revenue deltas
for all of the individual risks that are modeled, and b) the associated Net-Revenue-to-Cash
adjustments for each iteration for FY 2025 and through the FY 2026-2028 rate period.
Each run has 2,700 iterations. The ToolKit uses this file in its calculations of TPP.
Summary statistics and distributions for each fiscal year are shown in Power and
Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Figure 8.

## 11

12

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### 4.1.3 Net-Revenue-to-Cash Adjustment

13 P-NORM calculates 2,700 NRTC adjustments to make the necessary changes to convert 14 RevSim and P-NORM accrual results (net revenue results) into the equivalent cash flows 15 so ToolKit can calculate financial reserves values in each iteration and thus calculate TPP. 16 See § 3.1.4 (NRTC Adjustments). The NRTC Adjustment is the same across all 2,700 17 iterations in P-NORM, based on the deterministic expected values for each fiscal year's cash 18 adjustments and non-cash adjustments. The NRTC adjustment for FY 2025 remains at the 19 level calculated in BP-24. See Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, 20 BP-24-FS-BPA-05A, Table 21. The NRTC table is shown in Power and Transmission Risk 21 Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Table 21.

22

23

#### 4.2 Power Quantitative Risk Mitigation

The preceding sections describe the Power risks that are modeled explicitly, with the
output of P-NORM and RevSim quantitatively portraying the financial uncertainty faced by
Power Services in each fiscal year. This section describes the tools used to mitigate these

risks—Power Services reserves, the Treasury Facility, Agency Liquidity, PNRR, the CRAC, the FRP Surcharge, and the RDC—and how BPA evaluates the adequacy of this mitigation.

The risk that is the primary subject of this Study is the possibility that BPA might not have sufficient cash on September 30, the last day of a fiscal year, to fully meet its obligation to the Treasury for that fiscal year. BPA's TPP standard, described in Section 2.4, defines a way to measure this risk (TPP) and a standard that reflects BPA's tolerance for this risk (no more than a 7.4 percent probability of any deferrals of BPA's Treasury payment in a threeyear rate period). TPP and the ability of the rates to meet the TPP standard are measured in the ToolKit by applying the risk mitigation tools described in this section to the modeled financial risks described in the previous sections.

A second risk addressed in this Study is within-year liquidity risk, *i.e.*, the risk that at some time within a fiscal year BPA will not have sufficient cash to meet its immediate financial obligations (whether to the Treasury or to other creditors) even if BPA might have enough cash later in that year. In each recent rate proceeding, a need for financial reserves for within-year liquidity (liquidity reserves) has been defined.

#### 4.2.1 Thresholds for CRAC, RDC, and FRP Surcharge

The FRP applies a consistent methodology to determine lower and upper financial reserves
thresholds for each business line and an upper financial reserves threshold for BPA as a
whole. *See* Appendix A, Financial Reserves Policy. The lower and upper thresholds are
used to determine when rate actions will be taken to increase or decrease financial
reserves. These rate actions are implemented through the FRP Surcharge and the RDC.
The FRP also establishes a \$0 threshold for each business line, below which an additional
rate action must be taken. This rate action is implemented through the CRAC.

The Power CRAC thresholds are shown in Table 5. The Power RDC thresholds are shown inTable 6. The Agency RDC thresholds are shown in Table 7. The Power FRP Surchargethresholds are shown in Table 8.

#### 4.2.1.1 Power Services Lower Financial Reserves Threshold

The Lower Financial Reserves Threshold for Power is the greater of 60 days cash or what is necessary to meet the TPP Standard. For this rate case, no additional financial reserves are needed to meet the TPP Standard, so the threshold is set at 60 days cash. The calculations of Power operating expenses and translations into days cash dollar amounts are shown in Table 3.

## 11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### 4.2.1.2 Power Services Upper Financial Reserves Threshold

The Upper Financial Reserves Threshold for Power is the Lower Financial Reserves Threshold plus 60 days cash. The calculations of Power operating expenses and translations into days cash dollar amounts are shown in Table 3.

# 16

#### 4.2.1.3 Agency Upper Financial Reserves Threshold

The Agency (BPA) Upper Financial Reserves Threshold is the sum of the Power andTransmission Lower Financial Reserves Thresholds plus 30 days Agency cash. The Agencydays cash dollar amounts are shown in Table 4.

#### 22 **4.2.2** Power Risk Mitigation Tools

#### 23 **4.2.2.1** Liquidity

Cash and cash equivalents provide liquidity, which means they are available to meet
immediate and short-term obligations. For purposes of BP-26 rate period risk modeling,
Power Services has three sources of liquidity: 1) Power Services reserves, 2) the Treasury

Facility, and 3) Agency Liquidity. These liquidity sources are described further in Section 2.3.

#### 4.2.2.1.1 Power Services Reserves

Power Services reserves at the start of FY 2025 are \$507.5 million. This value was
calculated as *total* financial reserves (see Section 2.3) attributed to Power Services of
\$591.4 million less \$83.9 million of financial reserves not for risk as of the end of FY 2024. *See* Q4 2024 Quarterly Business Review Technical Workshop Slide Presentation, BPA, at 16
(Nov. 12, 2024), *available at* https://www.bpa.gov/-/media/Aep/finance/quarterlybusiness-review/qbr-2024/fy24-q4-qbrtw.pdf; Power and Transmission Risk Study

Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Figure 10.

#### 4.2.2.1.2 The Treasury Facility

For the purpose of TPP modeling, all \$750 million of the Treasury Facility is modeled to be available for Power Services risk as Borrowing Liquidity.

#### 4.2.2.1.3 Agency Liquidity in Excess of TPP

Power Services meets the TPP standard before accounting for any additional Agency Liquidity. Therefore, the Power Services Agency Liquidity reliance is \$0.

#### 4.2.2.1.4 Within-Year Liquidity Need

BPA needs to maintain access to short-term liquidity for responding to within-year needs,
such as uncertainty due to the unpredictable timing of cash receipts or cash payments, or
known timing mismatches. An illustrative timing mismatch is the large Energy Northwest
bond payment due in the spring. Priority Firm (PF) Power rates are set to recover the
entire amount of this payment, but by spring BPA will have received only about half of the

PF revenue that will fully recover this cost by the end of the fiscal year. The Power Services within-year liquidity need of \$320 million was determined in the BP-14 rate proceeding, and that amount continues to be used for ratemaking risk mitigation purposes.

#### 4.2.2.1.5 Within-year Liquidity Borrowing Level

For this Study, \$320 million of Power Services Borrowing Liquidity is considered to be available only for within-year liquidity needs.

#### 4.2.2.1.6 Within-year Liquidity Reserves Level

10 The Power Services within-year liquidity need is met through Borrowing Liquidity. 11 Therefore, the within-year liquidity reserves level is \$0.

#### 4.2.2.2 Planned Net Revenues for Risk

Analyses of BPA's TPP are conducted during rate development using current projections of Power Services reserves and other sources of liquidity. If the TPP is below the 92.6 percent 16 three-year standard required by BPA's Financial Plan, then the projected financial reserves, along with whatever other risk mitigation is considered in the risk study, are not sufficient to reach the TPP standard. This may be corrected by adding PNRR to the revenue 19 requirement as a cost needing to be recovered by rates. This addition has the effect of increasing rates, which will increase net cash flow, which will increase the available Power Services reserves, and therefore increase TPP.

21 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

23 PNRR needed to meet the TPP standard is calculated using the ToolKit, described in 24 Section 3.1.5. If the ToolKit calculates TPP below 92.6 percent, PNRR can be added to the 25 model in one, two, or three years of the rate period (typically, PNRR is added evenly to all 26 years). PNRR is added in \$1 million increments until a 92.6 percent TPP is achieved. The

calculated PNRR amounts are then provided to the Power Revenue Requirement Study
 (BP-26-FS-BPA-02), which calculates a new revenue requirement. This adjusted revenue
 requirement is then iterated through the rate models and tested again in ToolKit. If ToolKit
 reports TPP below 92.6 percent or TPP above 92.6 percent by more than the equivalent of
 \$1 million in PNRR, PNRR adjustments are calculated again and reiterated through the rate
 models.

PNRR is not needed to meet the TPP standard for this Study.

#### 4.2.2.3 Risk Adjustment Mechanisms

In most power rates in effect since 1993, BPA has employed CRACs or Interim Rate
Adjustments as upward rate adjustment mechanisms that can respond relatively quickly to
financial circumstances BPA may experience, *i.e.*, before the next opportunity to adjust
rates in a rate proceeding. BPA has included three risk adjustment mechanisms for Power
in BP-26: the Power CRAC, Power RDC, and Power FRP Surcharge. *See* Sections 2.4 and
4.2.2.3.1-3. The Power rates and products subject to these risk adjustment mechanisms are
Load Following, Block, the Block portion of Slice/Block, power purchased at the PF Melded
rate, power purchased at the Industrial Firm Power (IP) rate, and power purchased at the
New Resource Firm Power (NR) rate. *See* Power GRSPs II.O–Q.

For BP-26, Power rates include an average of \$42 million per year in revenue financed
capital. See Power Revenue Requirement Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-02, § 2.2.4. The Study
assumes that if additional Power Services reserves are needed for liquidity, BPA's
Administrator would repurpose the planned revenue financing to maintain liquidity.
Specifically, the study assumes that if Power Services reserves are below 120 days cash and
end-of-year Power Services reserves are expected to be lower than start-of-year reserves,

If revenue financing is reduced in the operating year, the Slice share of the reduction will be returned to Slice customers through the Slice True-Up. The remainder of the revenue financing reduction will result in an increase in Power Services reserves. Therefore, only the Non-Slice share of the revenue financing amount is relied upon for risk mitigation. The Slice percentage is 11.75. See Power Rates Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-01A, Table 2.3.8. Therefore, the Non-Slice share of the revenue financed capital is \$37 million in each fiscal year.

#### 4.2.2.3.1 Power Cost Recovery Adjustment Clause (CRAC)

As described in Section 2.4 and Power GRSP II.O, the CRAC for FY 2026 through FY 2028 is a potential annual upward adjustment in various power rates. The Power CRAC could increase rates for FY 2026 based on Power Services reserves at the end of FY 2025. It also could increase rates for FY 2027 based on Power Services reserves at the end of FY 2026 and increase rates for FY 2028 based on Power Services reserves at the end of FY 2027.

1

revenue financing will be reduced by the amount needed to retain start-of-year reserves. If Power Services reserves are below the FRP Surcharge threshold at the end of a fiscal year, revenue financing would be repurposed in the following year to replenish Power Services reserves back to the threshold.

CRAC, FRP Surcharge, and RDC rate adjustments applying to FY 2026 are based on financial reserves at the end of FY 2025. These rate adjustments will not apply to the portion of customers' PF System Shaped Load that has been converted from Slice to non-Slice beginning October of FY 2026. Rate adjustments applying to FY 2027 and FY 2028 will apply to these loads. See Power GRSPs II.O-Q.

The CRAC implements the FRP requirement for a rate action to increase financial reserves in the event that business line financial reserves fall below \$0. *See* Appendix A, §4.2.3.

4 The thresholds for triggering the CRAC are described in Section 4.2.1. If Power Services 5 reserves are below the thresholds, a shortfall has occurred. The shortfall is equal to the 6 Power Services CRAC threshold minus Power Services reserves. The shortfall is first 7 assumed to be replenished through redeploying the planned revenue financing in the 8 applicable year. See Sections 2.4 and 4.2.2.3. If there is a remaining shortfall, the Power 9 CRAC will recover 100 percent of the first \$100 million of the remaining shortfall. Any 10 amount beyond \$100 million will be collected at 50 percent up to the CRAC annual limit on 11 total collection, or cap, of \$300 million. For example, if Power Services reserves are 12 negative \$250 million at the end of FY 2025 then the shortfall is \$250 million. The shortfall 13 is reduced by Non-Slice share of the revenue financing amount (\$37 million), leaving a 14 remaining shortfall of \$212 million. The CRAC then triggers, collecting 100 percent of 15 the first \$100 million, and 50 percent of the remaining \$112 million, for a total CRAC 16 of \$156 million. The Power CRAC will only trigger if the amount to be collected by the 17 CRAC is greater than or equal to \$5 million.

Calculations for the CRAC that could apply to FY 2026, FY 2027, and FY 2028 rates will be made early in that fiscal year based on end-of-year actual Power Services Reserves For
Risk. If the CRAC triggers, an upward rate adjustment will be calculated for December through September of the fiscal year. *See* Power GRSP II.O.

BP-26-FS-BPA-05 Page 52

22 23

18

19

20

21

1

2

The Power RDC implements the FRP requirement for a financial reserves distribution in the event that financial reserves are above upper financial reserves thresholds. *See* Appendix A, § 4.1.

The thresholds for triggering the RDC are described in Section 4.2.1. The Power RDC is triggered if both BPA reserves (the sum of Power Services reserves and Transmission Services reserves) and Power Services reserves are above specified thresholds. Abovethreshold financial reserves will be considered for providing a downward adjustment to the same Power rates and products subject to the Power CRAC or for being deployed to other high-value business line-specific purposes. In the event PNRR is included in rates, the Administrator will apply any RDC Amount to reduce power rates through a Power DD in an amount that is the lesser of a) the RDC Amount, or b) the PNRR included in power rates for the same year in which the RDC is applied. PNRR is \$0 in this proposal. Any remaining Power RDC Amount may then be applied to reduce debt, incrementally fund capital projects, further decrease rates through a Power DD, distribute to customers, or any other Power-specific purposes determined by the Administrator. Also, the cap on the RDC Amount is removed for the BP-26 rate period. The RDC will trigger only if the RDC distribution amount is greater than or equal to \$5 million. *See* Power GRSP II.P.

#### 4.2.2.3.3 Power Financial Reserves Policy (FRP) Surcharge

The Power FRP Surcharge is a potential annual upward adjustment in various power rates.
The Power FRP Surcharge applies to the same power rates that are subject to the Power
CRAC. The Power FRP Surcharge implements the FRP requirement for a rate action to
increase financial reserves in the event that business line financial reserves are below the
Lower Financial Reserves Threshold. *See* Appendix A, §§ 4.2.1, 4.2.2.

The thresholds for triggering the FRP Surcharge are described in Section 4.2.1, above. If Power Services reserves are below the thresholds, a shortfall has occurred. The shortfall is equal to the Power Services FRP Surcharge threshold minus Power Services reserves. The shortfall is first assumed to be replenished through redeploying the planned revenue financing in the applicable year. *See* Sections 2.4 and 4.2.2.3. If there is a remaining shortfall, the Power FRP Surcharge will collect that remaining shortfall, up the Power FRP Surcharge cap of \$40 million per year. If the Power FRP Surcharge Amount calculation results in a value less than \$5 million, then the amount is deemed to be zero. *See* Power GRSP II.Q.1.(a).

10

12

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### 11 **4.2.3 ToolKit**

The ToolKit model is described in Section 3.1.5. The inputs to the ToolKit for Power are shown in Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Figure 10.

#### 4.2.3.1 ToolKit Inputs and Assumptions for Power

### 17 **4.2.3.1.1** RevSim Results

The ToolKit reads in risk distributions generated by RevSim that are created for the current
year, FY 2025, and the rate period, FY 2026 through FY 2028. TPP is measured for only the
three-year rate period, but the starting financial reserves for FY 2026 depend on events yet
to unfold in FY 2025. Because of this, these runs reflect that FY 2025 uncertainty. See
Section 4.1.1 for more details on the operating risk models.

#### 4.2.3.1.2 Non-Operating Risk Model

The ToolKit reads in P-NORM distributions that are created for FY 2025 and theFY 2026-2028 rate period and that reflect the uncertainty around non-operating expenses.See Section 4.1.2 of this Study for more detail on P-NORM.

#### 4.2.3.1.3 Treatment of Treasury Deferrals

In the event that ToolKit forecasts a Treasury principal payment deferral, the ToolKit assumes that BPA will repay this balance as soon as liquidity is available to make the payment.

4.2.3.1.4 Starting Power Services Reserves

The FY 2025 starting Power Services reserves have a forecast value of \$507.5 million. See Section 4.2.2.1.1 above for a description of Power Services reserves.

#### 4.2.3.1.5 Power Services Within-year Liquidity Reserves Level

The Power Services Within-year Liquidity Reserves Level is an amount of Power Services reserves set aside (*i.e.*, not available for TPP use) to provide liquidity for within-year cash flow needs. This amount is set to \$0. *See* Section 4.2.2.1.6 above. Within-year cash flow needs for power are handled through adjustments to the Liquidity Borrowing Available amount.

#### 4.2.3.1.6 Liquidity Borrowing Available

This Study relies on all \$750 million of BPA's Treasury Facility. This borrowing availability is reduced by \$320 million for within-year liquidity needs, as described in Section 4.2.2.1.4 above, leaving \$430 million for liquidity borrowing. The liquidity borrowing amount is increased by any Agency Liquidity relied upon by Power Services. The liquidity borrowing

amount is decreased by any Agency Liquidity provided by Power Services. Both amounts are \$0, so the total liquidity borrowing amount is \$430.

#### 4.2.4 Quantitative Risk Mitigation Results

Summary statistics are shown in Table 9.

#### 4.2.4.1 Ending Power Services Reserves

Starting Power Services reserves for FY 2025 are \$507.5 million. The expected values of ending financial reserves are \$489 million for FY 2025, \$566 million for FY 2026, \$549 million for FY 2027, and \$457 million for FY 2028. Over 2,700 iterations, the range of ending FY 2028 financial reserves is negative \$377 million to positive \$2,120 million. Financial reserves distributions are shown in Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Figure 9.

#### 4.2.4.2 TPP

The three-year TPP is greater than 99.9 percent. In 2,700 iterations, there is one deferral for the FY 2026-2028 rate period.

4.2.4.3 CRAC, RDC, and FRP Surcharge

The Power CRAC does not trigger for FY 2026. The Power CRAC triggers in 0.1 percent of iterations for FY 2027, yielding an average of \$0.1 million (measured as the average amount across all 2,700 iterations). The Power CRAC triggers in 3 percent of iterations for FY 2028, yielding an average of \$2 million.

The Power RDC triggers in 0.4 percent of iterations for FY 2026, yielding an average of \$0.2 million. The Power RDC triggers in 20 percent of iterations for FY 2027, yielding an average of \$41 million. The Power RDC triggers in 24 percent of iterations for FY 2028, yielding an average of \$58 million.

The Power FRP Surcharge triggers in 0.6 percent of iterations for FY 2026, yielding an average of \$0.2 million. The Power FRP Surcharge triggers in 16 percent of iterations for FY 2027, yielding an average of \$6 million. The Power FRP Surcharge triggers in 24 percent of iterations for FY 2028, yielding an average of \$9 million.

Power CRAC, RDC, and FRP Surcharge statistics are shown in Table 9. The thresholds and caps for the Power CRAC, Power RDC, and Power FRP Surcharge applicable to rates for FY 2026 and FY 2027 are shown in Tables 5, 6, and 8. The BPA RDC Thresholds are shown in Table 7.

#### 4.3 **Power Qualitative Risk Assessment and Mitigation**

The qualitative risk assessment described here is a logical analysis of the potential impacts of risks that have been identified, but not included, in the quantitative risk assessment. The qualitative analysis considers the risk mitigation measures that have been created, which are largely terms and conditions that define how possible risk events would be treated. If this logical analysis indicates that significant financial risk remains in spite of the risk mitigation measures, then additional risk treatment might be necessary. The two categories of risk analyzed here are 1) financial risks to BPA or to Tier 1 costs arising from BPA's provision of service at Tier 2 rates; and 2) financial risks to BPA or to Tier 1 costs arising from BPA's provision of Resource Support Services (RSS).

1	4.3.1 Risks Associated with Tier 2 Rate Design
2	For the FY 2026-2028 rate period, there are two Tier 2 rates with contractually committed
3	sales at those rates: the Tier 2 Short-Term rate and the Tier 2 Load Growth rate. See Power
4	Rates Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-01, § 3.2.2. BPA expects to meet its load obligations for Tier 2
5	in FY 2026 using firm power from the FCRPS including balancing purchases and
6	uncommitted market purchases referred to as Tier 2 augmentation. See id. § 3.2.2.1. One of
7	the objectives guiding risk mitigation for the FY 2026-2028 rate period is to prevent risks
8	associated with Tier 2 from increasing costs for Tier 1 or requiring increased mitigation for
9	Tier 1. See Section 2.1.
10	
11	4.3.1.1 Identification and Analysis of Risks
12	The qualitative assessment of risks associated with Tier 2 cost recovery identified several
13	possible events that could pose a financial risk to either BPA or Tier 1 costs:
14	• The contracted-for power is not delivered to BPA.
15	• A customer's actual load is lower than the forecast amount used to set its
16	Above-Rate Period High Water Mark (Above-RHWM) Load.
17	• A customer's actual load is higher than the forecast amount used to set its
18	Above-RHWM Load.
19	• A customer does not pay for its Tier 2 service.
20	• The cost of BPA power purchases to meet Tier 2 obligations is higher than the cost
21	allocated to the Tier 2 pool.
22	
23	The following sections describe the analysis of these risks, which determines whether
24	there is any significant financial risk to BPA or Tier 1 costs.
25	

#### 4.3.1.1.1 Risk: The Contracted-for Power Is Not Delivered to BPA

If BPA makes any balancing purchases to support its power sales at Tier 2 rates, such
future purchases are expected to be standard Western Systems Power Pool (WSPP)
Schedule C contracts. Under the WSPP Schedule C contracts, if a supplier fails to deliver
power at Mid-C, the contract provides for liquidated damages to be paid by the supplier.
The liquidated damages cover the cost of any replacement power purchased by BPA to the
extent the cost of the replacement power exceeds the original purchase price.

If there is a disruption in the delivery from Mid-C to the BPA point-of-delivery due to a transmission event, BPA will supply replacement power and pass through the cost of the replacement power to the Tier 2 purchasers by means of a Transmission Curtailment Management Service (TCMS) calculation. In the Power Rates Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-01, Sections 5.4.6 and 5.6.1.5 explain how the TCMS calculation is performed for service at Tier 2 rates. BPA will base the TCMS charge on the cost of replacement power that is based on either a) the cost of replacement power if actually purchased by BPA; or b) the Load Aggregation Point (LAP) price for BPA as determined by the Market Operator (MO) under Section 29.11(b)(3)(C) of the MO Tariff when a distinct replacement power purchase was not made by BPA. Based upon BPA's past experiences, it is not anticipated that such disruptions would affect a substantial number of hours in a year. Given the nature of the event being an unplanned market agnostic energy-only event, and until a capacity obligation is realized, the LAP price is a fair, unbiased estimate of the cost of replacement power. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that, if such events occur in a fiscal year, BPA or Tier 1 would not incur a net cost.

# 4.3.1.1.2 Risk: A Customer's Actual Load is Lower than the Forecast Amount Used to Set Its Above-RHWM Load

Each customer provided BPA with an election regarding its intention to meet none, some,
or all of its Above-RHWM Load with Tier 2-priced power from BPA through the RHWM
Process, as described in the TRM. *See* 2012 Wholesale Power and Transmission Rate
Adjustment Proceeding (BP-12), Tiered Rate Methodology, BP-12-A-03, § 4.3; Power Rates
Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-01, § 1.4.2.

If the customer's actual load is lower than the BPA forecast used to calculate the customer's Above-RHWM Load amounts, then the terms of the customer's Contract High Water Mark (CHWM) contract obligate the customer to continue to pay the full cost of its purchases at Tier 2 rates. This approach protects BPA and Tier 1 purchasers from financial impacts of this event. The customer's load reduction could free up some of the power BPA has contracted for, and BPA would remarket this power. BPA would return the value of the remarketed power to the customer by charging it less through the Load Shaping rate than it would otherwise have been charged. BPA would effectively credit the customer for the unneeded power at the Load Shaping rate, which is an unbiased estimate of the market value of the power; thus, there would be no net cost to BPA or Tier 1.

# 4.3.1.1.3 Risk: A Customer's Actual Load is Higher than the Forecast Amount Used to Set Its Above-RHWM Load

This risk is the inverse of the previous risk. If a customer's load is higher than forecast by
BPA and the customer's sources of power (the sum of the quantity of power at Tier 2 rates
the customer committed to purchase, its Tier 1 power, and the amount of non-BPA power
the customer committed to its load) are inadequate to meet its Total Retail Load, BPA
would obtain additional power from the market and charge the customer for this power at

the Load Shaping rate. The Load Shaping rate is an unbiased estimate of the market cost ofthe power. The customer retains the primary obligation to pay for the additional power,and there would be no net cost to BPA or Tier 1.

#### 4.3.1.1.4 Risk: A Customer Does Not Pay for its Tier 2 Service

It is not possible for a customer to be in default on its Tier 2 charges and remain in good standing for its Tier 1 service. If a customer does not pay for its service at the Tier 2 rate, it will be in arrears for its BPA bill and will be subject to late payment charges. BPA may require additional forms of payment assurance if a) BPA determines that the customer's retail rates and charges may not be adequate to provide revenue sufficient to enable the customer to make the payments required under the contract, or b) BPA identifies in a letter to the customer that BPA has other reasonable grounds to conclude that the customer may not be able to make the payments required under the contract. If the customer does not provide payment assurance satisfactory to BPA, then BPA may terminate the CHWM contract.

# 4.3.1.1.5 Risk: The Cost of BPA Power Purchases to Meet Tier 2 Obligations is Higher than the Cost Allocated to the Tier 2 Pool

In the event that BPA makes power purchases to meet its Tier 2 obligations, there is a risk
that the cost of the purchase is greater (or less) than the cost applied to the Tier 2 cost pool.
If the purchase cost is greater, then the Power net revenue will be reduced by the amount
of the difference.

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

If BPA makes a power purchase prior to establishing its Tier 2 rates for a rate period, then the cost of those purchases will be allocated to the Tier 2 cost pool. Therefore, there is no risk that power purchase costs for Tier 2 service, if the purchase is made before the Tier 2 rates are established, will be higher than the cost allocated.

If BPA does not make a power purchase to serve load at Tier 2 rates prior to establishing its Tier 2 rates for the rate period, or there is a remaining Tier 2 obligation not met with power purchases, then BPA will serve such load with power from the FCRPS or balancing purchases, if needed. This unpurchased amount of Tier 2 energy is priced at the Remarketing Value for purposes of cost allocation. The Remarketing Value is based on the average of the annual Mid-C Market Price under firm generation conditions for each fiscal year plus a capacity adder. See Power Market Price Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-04, Figure 5; Power Rates Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-01, § 3.2.6.

The ICE Mid-C financial settlement prices represent the cost BPA could transact in advance for Tier 2 energy. Such market prices inherently include a risk premium for locking in a power purchase well in advance of delivery and the risk premium associated with low water conditions. This risk premium plus the capacity adder in the Remarketing Value used for Tier 2 energy costs helps ensure that Tier 2 rates are not subsidized by Tier 1 rates.

#### 4.3.2 Risks Associated with Resource Support Services Rate Design

RSS includes resource and scheduling related services. The support services help financially convert the variable, non-dispatchable output from non-federal generating resources to a flat block. Operationally, BPA serves the net load placed on it after taking into consideration the variability of the customer's loads and resources. RSS include Transmission Scheduling Service (TSS), Secondary Crediting Service (SCS), Diurnal Flattening Service (DFS), and Forced Outage Reserve Service (FORS), and other similar

services. *See* Power Rates Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-01, § 3.2.2.2. The customers that have elected to purchase RSS, and their elections, are listed in the Power Rates Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-01A, Table 3.11.

#### 4.3.2.1 Identification and Analysis of Risks

6 The RSS-pricing methodology is a value-based methodology that relies on a combination of 7 forecast market prices and costs associated with new capacity resources, rather than 8 aiming to capture the actual cost of providing these services. Therefore, the primary risk 9 for BPA is that the "true" value of providing these services will be more or less than the 10 established rate. This pricing approach makes the sale of RSS no different from that of any 11 other service or product BPA sells into the open market. Moreover, there is currently no 12 transparent and/or liquid market for such services, which makes after-the-fact 13 measurements of the "true" value difficult. BPA does not intend to quantify the cost of each 14 operational decision, which means that BPA is not able to measure the cost of following a 15 customer's load separately from the cost of following its resources when a customer is 16 taking some combination of RSS. Therefore, in addition to the difficulty in quantifying the 17 after-the-fact value difference between the price paid and the "true" value, it would be extremely challenging, if not impossible, to measure the difference between the price 18 19 received by BPA and the cost incurred by BPA.

The total forecast cost of RSS is about \$1 million annually. *See* Power Rates Study
Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-01A, Table 9.2. The magnitude of forecast error for these
RSS costs is not large enough to affect TPP calculations.

24

20

1

2

3

4

## 1 **4.3.3 Qualitative Risk Assessment Results**

# 4.3.3.1 Risks Associated with Tier 2 Rate Design

Tier 2 risks are adequately mitigated by the terms and conditions of service at the Tier 2 rate and BPA's credit risk policies, and no residual Tier 2 risk is borne by BPA or Tier 1.

# 4.3.3.2 Risks Associated with Resource Support Services Rate Design

BPA uses a pricing construct that does not lead to prices for RSS that are systematically too high or systematically too low. There is not a significant financial risk that the cost would affect the Composite or Non-Slice cost pools or BPA generally, and as a consequence, there is no quantification or mitigation of RSS risks in this Study.

### 5. TRANSMISSION RISK

#### 5.1 Transmission Quantitative Risk Assessment

This chapter describes the uncertainties pertaining to Transmission Services' finances in
the context of setting transmission rates. Section 5.2 describes how BPA determines
whether its risk mitigation measures are sufficient to meet the TPP standard given the risks
detailed in this chapter.

Variability in Transmission revenues is modeled in RevRAM, as described in Section 3.1.2.2.
Variability in Transmission expenses and Net-Revenue-to-Cash (NRTC) adjustments are modeled in T-NORM, as described in Section 5.1.2. The results of these quantitative risk models are provided to ToolKit, which performs quantitative risk mitigation, as described in Section 5.2.

#### 5.1.1 RevRAM—Revenue Risk

### 5.1.1.1 Network Integration Service Revenue Risk

Risks in the network integration (NT) revenue forecast arise from uncertainty in the load forecast, which is the basis for the NT sales and revenue forecast. The load forecast is based on predicted year-to-year NT load growth. Actual loads can vary from the forecast because economic conditions may be different from those forecast and load center temperatures may differ from the normalized temperatures on which the forecast is based.

Risk in the growth rate is modeled with a triangular risk distribution defined by a high value, a low value, and a most likely value (or mode). The most likely value is the forecast rate of year-to-year load growth. The high value is an optimistic load growth rate that

serves as the 80th percentile of the triangular distribution, and the low value is a pessimistic load growth rate that serves as the 20th percentile of the distribution.

The optimistic load growth rate is determined by adding the predicted year-to-year NT
load growth rate to an optimistic forecast of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) obtained from
IHS Markit (formerly known as Global Insight), an economic forecasting and analysis firm.
Similarly, the pessimistic load growth rate is determined by adding the predicted year-toyear NT load growth rate to a pessimistic GDP forecast obtained from IHS Markit. The
resulting distribution around growth rate serves as the first component of NT revenue risk.

The impact of temperature variability on the load is also modeled. The load forecast is
based on normalized temperature, so the risk arises from the variability of load center
temperatures. Variability in these temperatures induces variability in the load. The
distribution of temperatures in a 30-year period follows a normal distribution (a bell curve
symmetrical around the mean) calculated from historical temperatures.

The NT revenue risk distributions have standard deviations of \$4.4 million for FY 2026, \$4.8 million for FY 2027, and \$5.4 million for FY 2028.

#### **5.1.1.2** Long-Term Network Point-to-Point Service Revenue Risk

Risks in revenue from long-term PTP service are related to assumptions about new service
and potential deferrals of the service commencement date, exercise of renewals under
BPA's Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) and possible customer default. BPA also
models revenue risk related to service that has not been granted yet but that might be
granted during the rate period.

BPA models risk for forecast revenue from new transmission service (that is, service that has been offered to customers but has not yet begun) because the customer has a right to defer the service commencement date for up to five years. A deferral delays the revenue from that service for the period of the deferral. The revenue risk associated with deferrals is based on a comparison of the service commencement date on the service reservation to the probable service commencement date after deferrals.

BPA identifies possible deferrals by determining whether the service appears to be related to a Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (LGIA). If the generation in-service date has been forecast, then risk around the forecast LGIA generation in-service date is modeled using a triangular distribution defined by maximum, most likely, and minimum values. The transmission service commencement date is assumed to match the risk-adjusted generation in-service date (that is, the analysis assumes the customer would defer its transmission service commencement date to match the generation in-service date). If the generation in-service date has not been forecast, the risk of deferral is identified based on information from BPA's account executive for the customer. The likelihood of deferral is based on the account executive's level of confidence that the request will begin on its current service commencement date.

20 BPA also models risk associated with revenue from new service to be offered as a result of 21 new transmission infrastructure that BPA will energize in the rate period. A PERT distribution is used to model possible delays to the in-service date for these projects (and 23 resulting delays in the start of service and receipt of revenue).

24

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25 Risk is also modeled for service that is eligible to be renewed during the rate period. 26 Historical data is gathered on the frequency of renewal of long-term PTP service for service reservations that have been eligible for renewal over the past five years. A normal distribution is identified using the historical frequency of renewals for service requests that are eligible for renewal. That distribution is applied to the service requests that are eligible for renewal during the rate period to identify the probability of the service being renewed.

The risk of default is modeled for all current and anticipated service. The probability of default for each customer is modeled using information from Standard & Poor's. BPA applies Standard & Poor's credit rating for each entity and refers to Standard & Poor's Global Corporate Average Default Rate for the level of default risk associated with that credit rating. Standard & Poor's conducts its default studies on the basis of groupings called static pools. Static pools are formed by grouping issuers by rating category at the beginning of each year covered by the Study. Annual default rates are calculated for each static pool, first in units and later as percentages with respect to the number of issuers in each rating category. Finally, these percentages are combined to obtain cumulative default rates for the 30 years covered by the Study. If a default occurs in the model, the capacity held by the defaulting customer is assumed to return to inventory to be resold for a portion of the remaining months of the fiscal year. Assuming the capacity is resold for only a portion of the year accounts for the time it takes to process and offer the new contract for the service.

Risk associated with additional sales of service that have not yet been requested (the possibility that revenues will be higher than forecast due to these sales) is modeled based on three different sources : 1) new sales associated with new generation that is included in the LGIA forecast but for which long-term service has not yet been requested; 2) new sales from transmission inventory that becomes available due to customer default, as described above; and 3) new sales as a result of competitions performed in accordance with
Section 17.7 of the OATT (deferral competitions). Sales due to new generation are modeled
using a PERT distribution and information from Transmission Services' customer service
engineering organization on expected in-service dates. Modeling of sales from inventory
that becomes available due to customer default is described above. To model sales that
occur after competitions, it is assumed that zero to six competitions will be performed per
year. For each competition performed there is a 50 percent chance that the competition
will be successful and result in additional revenue.

The long-term PTP revenue risk distribution results in standard deviations of \$11.2 million for FY 2026, \$10.9 million for FY 2027, and \$10.8 million for FY 2028.

#### 5.1.1.3 Short-Term Network Point-to-Point Service Revenue Risk

The short-term PTP revenue forecast carries significant risk due to the nature of the
product. This service is not reserved far in advance with an existing contract, but instead is
requested on an hourly, daily, weekly, or monthly basis. Short-term PTP service is
sensitive to market conditions and streamflow, so BPA models the risks around the price
spread between the North of Path 15 (NP-15) hub and the Mid-C hub, as well as
streamflow. Modeling risk around the Mid-C and NP-15 prices incorporates variability
around natural gas prices and streamflow. Natural gas volatility is important because
natural gas-fired electricity generation is often the marginal resource in Western power
markets, and therefore plays an important role in setting the market price of power.
Fluctuations in natural gas prices lead to fluctuations in power prices.

Streamflow variability is important for two reasons. First, the Mid-C and NP-15 price spread is positively correlated with streamflow. As streamflow increases, Mid-C prices

decrease and the price spread widens. Second, streamflow has a high correlation with
short-term transmission reservations made by Power Services. The short-term PTP
forecast is developed using a regression analysis, so risk of errors is incorporated in the
relationships identified between historical sales, streamflow, and price spread. The short-term PTP risk distribution resulting from the methodology outlined above results in
standard deviations of \$9.2 million for FY 2026, \$10.5 million for FY 2027, and \$10.1
million for FY 2028.

#### **5.1.1.4 Long-Term Intertie Service Revenue Risk**

10 Long-term capacity on the Southern Intertie (IS) is almost fully subscribed in the north-to-11 south direction. This means that BPA cannot make additional sales unless existing 12 agreements terminate or are not renewed, or until reliability upgrades on the Pacific DC 13 Intertie (PDCI) increase transfer capability. In addition, there is a queue of transmission 14 service requests that are seeking long-term IS service but that have not been granted 15 because no long-term IS capacity is available for sale. In addition, there are new requests 16 for south-to-north service, also reaching the transfer capability. Requests in the queue are 17 expected to take service or replace any contracts that expire. Thus, BPA identified a high 18 service commencement probability, with a normal distribution, for these requests. In 19 addition, default risk for service on the IS modeled using the same method described for long-term PTP service. The long-term IS risk distribution results in standard deviations of \$1.6 million for FY 2026, \$3.5 million for FY 2027, and \$3.6 million in FY 2028.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Long -term capacity on the Montana Intertie (IM) is now modelled as sales have been
increasing from 16 MW to 746 average MW in the BP-26 rate period. The average sales
MW includes existing and new confirmed reservations. There is also an assumption that
1,730 MW of sales in will be added in FY 2028 for the expiration of the Townsend-Garrison

Transmission (TGT) contract and rolling the contracted amounts to IM LT service. The long-term IM risk distribution results in standard deviations of \$0.1 million in FY 2026, \$0.2 million in FY 2027, and \$2.3 million in FY 2028.

#### 5.1.1.4.1 Short-Term Southern Intertie Service Revenue Risk

The revenue forecast for short-term IS service carries significant risk due to the nature of
the product. This service is not reserved far in advance with an existing contract, but
instead is requested on an hourly, daily, weekly, or monthly basis. Short-term IS service is
sensitive to market conditions, so BPA models the risks around the NP-15 minus Mid-C
price spread and South of Path 15 (SP-15) minus Mid-C spread. The forecast is developed
using a regression analysis, so BPA also models risk of errors in correlations identified
between historical sales, streamflow, and price spread. The short-term IS revenue risk
distribution results in standard deviations of \$1.9 million for FY 2026, \$1.9 million for
FY 2027, and \$2.0 million in FY 2028.

#### 5.1.1.5 Other Transmission Revenue Risk

The risk related to other transmission revenues arises from variability in Direct-Service
Industry (DSI) Delivery revenues, revenues from fiber and wireless contracts, and revenues
from other fixed-price contracts. This risk is modeled based on the historical variance
between rate case revenue forecasts for these products and actual revenue. Data from
FY 2020 through FY 2024 is used and the mean average deviation is applied, resulting in a
deviation of \$0.3 million in FY 2026 through 2028 for DSI Delivery revenue, \$0.6 million
per year for fiber and wireless contract revenue, and \$1.7 million per year for other fixed-

#### **5.1.1.6** Ancillary and Control Area Services Revenue Risk

BPA models the revenue risk associated with the Ancillary Service Scheduling, System
Control, and Dispatch (SCD), which applies to customers taking both firm and non-firm
transmission service. SCD revenue is based on sales of NT, long-term PTP, short-term PTP,
long-term IS, and short-term IS. As such, the revenue variability for SCD follows the risk
associated with those services, and SCD revenue risk is not modeled individually. Instead,
variations in SCD revenues are assumed to be directly proportional to variations in the
revenue from those services.

BPA does not model revenue risk associated with the Ancillary Service Reactive Supply and
Voltage Control from Generation Sources (GSR) because that rate is a formula rate that is
currently set at zero. As a result, it generates no revenue. The formula rate for GSR is
calculated for each quarter but has been calculated to be zero in every quarter since 2009.

Generation Inputs services comprise Regulation and Frequency Response (RFR),
Dispatchable Energy Resource Balancing Service (DERBS), Variable Energy Resource
Balancing Service (VERBS), Energy and Generation Imbalance (EI/GI), and Operating
Reserve (OR) – Spinning and Supplemental. These sources of revenue are sorted into two
categories based on their characteristics and their impact on Transmission Services net
revenue: 1) variable revenue with fixed expense, and 2) variable revenue with variable
expense.

Transmission Services will pay Power Services for providing reserves for the GenerationInputs services, offset by Transmission revenue recovery, during the rate period.

Generation Inputs services whose revenues and expenses have generally equivalent
variability and are correlated—that is, any potential change in Transmission Services

revenue is matched by an offsetting change in Transmission Services expense—create insignificant uncertainty in Transmission Services net revenue. Therefore, no uncertainty in net revenue from these services is modeled.

#### 5.1.1.7 Total Transmission Revenue Risk

The Transmission Revenue Risk worksheets compute the revenue risk and the resulting expected value for transmission revenues from these products. The revenue uncertainty from all transmission services is aggregated. The variability of the total transmission revenues (as measured by the standard deviation) is less than the sum of the variabilities (standard deviations) of the individual services. The standard deviation of the distribution of total transmission revenue for FY 2026 is \$19.0 million, for FY 2027 is \$19.3 million, and \$19.3 million in FY 2028. In each iteration, the total transmission revenue is linked into the income statement in T-NORM.

#### 5.1.2 T-NORM Inputs

#### 5.1.2.1 Inputs to T-NORM

To obtain the data used to develop the probability distributions used by T-NORM, BPA analyzed historical data and consulted with subject matter experts for their assessment of the risks concerning their cost estimates, including the possible range of outcomes and the associated probabilities of occurrence. Table 10 shows the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile, mean, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile results from each of the risk models described below, along with the deterministic amount that is assumed in the FY 2025 forecast and FY 2026-2028 revenue requirement for that item. *See* Transmission Revenue Requirement Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-09A, Table 3-1.

# 5.1.2.1.1 Transmission Operations

T-NORM models variability in transmission operations expense using PERT distributions
for FY 2025 and for each of the three fiscal years in the rate period, FY 2026 through
FY 2028. For FY 2025, the most likely value comes from the start-of-year budget. For the
rate period years, the most likely values come from the revenue requirement. The
minimum and maximum values of the distribution come from the historically observed
minimum and maximum actual values (FY 2010-2024) compared to rate case projections.
The minimum value is 14 percent lower than the expected level of expense in the revenue
requirement and the maximum value is 18 percent higher than the expected level of
expense in the revenue requirement.

#### 5.1.2.1.2 Transmission Maintenance

Variability in transmission maintenance expense is modeled using the same historical data method described for Transmission Operations in Section 4.2.2.1.4, above. The minimum value is 8 percent lower, and the maximum value is 6 percent higher, than the expected level of expense in the revenue requirement.

#### 5.1.2.1.3 Agency Services General and Administrative

Variability in agency services general and administrative costs is modeled using the same
historical data method described for Transmission Operations in Section 4.2.2.1.4, above.
The minimum value is 14 percent lower, and the maximum value is 24 percent higher, than
the expected level of expense in the revenue requirement.

#### 5.1.2.1.4 Interest Expense and Earnings

T-NORM captures the impact of interest rates, capital uncertainty, and Transmission
Services reserves levels on interest expense and earnings. Interest expense risk is modeled

for FY 2025 Andy 2026-2028 using a normal distribution with the expected values set at the revenue requirement amount and the standard deviations set at \$1.5 million for FY 2025, \$1.7 million for FY 2026, \$5.0 million for FY 2027, and \$5.0 million for FY 2028.
T-NORM models interest earnings risk for FY 2025 and FY 2026-2028 using a uniform distribution with the maximum set at the revenue requirement amount and the minimum set at \$0.

#### 5.1.2.1.5 Transmission Engineering

Variability in transmission engineering expense is modeled using the same historical data
method described for Transmission Operations in Section 4.2.2.1.4, above. The minimum
value is 15 percent lower, and the maximum value is 45 percent higher, than the expected
level of expense in the revenue requirement.

#### 5.1.2.2 T-NORM Results

The output of T-NORM is an Excel file containing a) the aggregate total net revenue deltas for all of the individual risks that are modeled and b) the associated net-revenue-to-cash (NRTC) adjustments for each iteration for FY 2025 and through the FY 2026-2028 rate period. Each run has 2,700 iterations. The ToolKit uses this file in its calculations of TPP. Summary statistics and distributions for each fiscal year are shown in Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Figure 11.

### 5.1.3 Net-Revenue-to-Cash Adjustment

T-NORM calculates 2,700 NRTC adjustments in order to make the necessary changes to
convert RevRAM and T-NORM accrual results (net revenue results) into the equivalent cash
flows so ToolKit can calculate financial reserves values in each iteration and thus calculate
TPP. See Section 3.1.4 (NRTC Adjustments). The NRTC Adjustment is the same across all

2,700 iterations in T-NORM, based on the deterministic expected values for each fiscal year's cash adjustments and non-cash adjustments. The NRTC adjustment for FY 2025 remains at the level calculated in BP-24. *See* Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-24-FS-BPA-05A, Table 22. The NRTC table is shown in Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Table 22.

#### 5.2 Transmission Quantitative Risk Mitigation

The preceding sections of this chapter describe the risks that are modeled explicitly, with
the output of T-NORM and RevRAM quantitatively portraying the financial uncertainty
faced by Transmission Services in each fiscal year. This section describes the tools used to
mitigate these risks—TS reserves, Agency Liquidity, PNRR, the CRAC, the FRP Surcharge,
and the RDC—and how BPA evaluates the adequacy of this mitigation.

The risk that is the primary subject of this Study is the possibility that BPA might not have sufficient cash on September 30, the last day of its fiscal year, to fully meet its obligation to the Treasury for that fiscal year. BPA's TPP standard, described in Section 2.4 above, defines a way to measure this risk (TPP) and a standard that reflects BPA's tolerance for this risk (no more than a 7.4 percent probability of any deferrals of BPA's Treasury payment in a three-year rate period). TPP and the ability of the rates to meet the TPP standard are measured in the ToolKit by applying the risk mitigation tools described in this section to the modeled financial risks described in the previous sections.

A second risk addressed in this Study is within-year liquidity risk—the risk that at some time within a fiscal year BPA will not have sufficient cash to meet its immediate financial obligations (whether to the Treasury or to other creditors), even if BPA might have enough cash later that year. In each recent rate proceeding, a need for financial reserves for within-year liquidity (liquidity reserves) has been defined.

#### 5.2.1 Thresholds for CRAC, RDC, and FRP Surcharge

The FRP applies a consistent methodology to determine lower and upper financial reserves thresholds for each business line and an upper financial reserves threshold for BPA as a whole. *See* Appendix A. The lower and upper thresholds are used to determine when rate actions will be taken to increase or decrease financial reserves. These rate actions are implemented through the FRP Surcharge and the RDC. The FRP also establishes a \$0 threshold for each business line, below which an additional rate action must be taken. This rate action is implemented through the CRAC.

The Transmission CRAC thresholds are shown in Table 12. The Transmission RDC thresholds are shown in Table 13. The Agency RDC thresholds are shown in Table 7. The Transmission FRP Surcharge thresholds are shown in Table 14.

### 5.2.1.1 Transmission Services Lower Financial Reserves Threshold

The Lower Financial Reserves Threshold for Transmission is the greater of 60 days cash or what is necessary to meet the TPP Standard. For the BP-26 Rate Case, no additional financial reserves are needed to meet the TPP Standard, so the Lower Threshold for Transmission is set at 60 days cash. The calculations of Transmission operating expenses and translations into days cash dollar amounts are shown in Table 11.

### 5.2.1.2 Transmission Services Upper Financial Reserves Threshold

The Upper Financial Reserves Threshold for Transmission is the Lower Threshold plus 60 days cash. The calculations of Transmission operating expenses and translations into days cash dollar amounts are shown in Table 11.

### 5.2.1.3 Agency Upper Financial Reserves Threshold

The Agency (BPA) Upper Financial Reserves Threshold is the sum of the Power and Transmission Lower Financial reserves Thresholds plus 30 days Agency cash. The Agency days cash dollar amounts are shown in Table 4.

# 5.2.2 Transmission Risk Mitigation Tools

# 5.2.2.1 Liquidity

Cash and cash equivalents provide liquidity, which means they are available to meet immediate and short-term obligations. For purposes of BP-26 rate period risk modeling, Transmission Services has two sources of liquidity: 1) Transmission Services reserves and 2) Agency Liquidity. Transmission Services reserves are described further in Section 2.3.

## 5.2.2.1.1 Transmission Services Reserves

Transmission Services reserves at the start of FY 2025 are \$315.8 million. This value was calculated as total financial reserves (see Section 2.3 above) attributed to Transmission Services of \$707.4 million less \$391.6 million of financial reserves not for risk as of the end of BPA fiscal year 2024. See Q4 2024 Quarterly Business Review Technical Workshop Slide Presentation, BPA, at 16 (Nov. 12, 2024), available at https://www.bpa.gov/-/media/Aep/finance/quarterly-business-review/qbr-2024/fy24-q4-qbrtw.pdf; Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Figure 13.

#### 5.2.2.1.2 Agency Liquidity in Excess of TPP

Transmission Services meets the TPP standard before accounting for any additional Agency Liquidity. Therefore, the Transmission Services Agency Liquidity reliance is \$0.

#### 5.2.2.1.3 Within-Year Liquidity Need

BPA needs to maintain access to short-term liquidity for responding to within-year needs,
such as uncertainty due to the unpredictable timing of cash receipts or cash payments or
known timing mismatches. The Transmission Services within-year liquidity need of
\$100 million was determined in the BP-16 rate proceeding, and that amount continues to
be used for ratemaking risk mitigation purposes.

#### 5.2.2.1.4 Within-year Liquidity Borrowing Level

For this Study, Transmission does not rely on any Borrowing Liquidity. Therefore, the within-year liquidity borrowing level is \$0.

#### 5.2.2.1.5 Within-year Liquidity Reserve Level

The Transmission Services within-year liquidity reserve level is \$100 million. As these reserves are set aside to meet the within-year liquidity need and not available to meet the TPP standard, a TPP miss is modeled to occur when Transmission Services reserves fall below \$100 million.

#### 5.2.2.2 Planned Net Revenues for Risk

Analyses of BPA's TPP are conducted during rate development using current projections of
Transmission Services reserves. If the TPP is below the 92.6 percent three-year standard
required by BPA's Financial Plan, then the projected financial reserves, along with
whatever other risk mitigation is considered in the risk study, are not sufficient to reach

the TPP standard. This may be corrected by adding PNRR to the revenue requirement as a
cost needing to be recovered by rates. This addition has the effect of increasing rates,
which will increase net cash flow, which will increase the available Transmission Services
reserves, and therefore increase TPP.

PNRR needed to meet the TPP standard is calculated in the ToolKit, described in
Section 3.1.5. If the ToolKit calculates TPP below 92.6 percent, PNRR can be iteratively
added to the model in one or both years of the rate period (typically, PNRR is evenly added
to both years). PNRR is added in \$1 million increments until a 92.6 percent TPP is
achieved. The calculated PNRR amounts are then provided to the Transmission Revenue
Requirement Study, BP-26-FS-BPA-09, which calculates a new revenue requirement. This
adjusted revenue requirement is then iterated through the rate models and tested again in
ToolKit. If ToolKit reports TPP below 92.6 percent or TPP above 92.6 percent by more than
the equivalent of \$1 million in PNRR, PNRR adjustments are calculated again and reiterated
through the rate models. PNRR is not needed to meet the TPP standard for this Study.

#### 5.2.2.3 Risk Adjustment Mechanisms

The Transmission CRAC was first adopted in the BP-18 rate proceeding. *See* Power and
Transmission Risk Study, BP-18-FS-BPA-05; Administrator's Final Record of Decision,
BP-18-A-04, at 26. BPA has included three risk adjustment mechanisms for Transmission
in BP-26: the Transmission CRAC, Transmission RDC, and Transmission FRP Surcharge. *See* Sections 2.4 and 5.2.2.3.1-3.

The Transmission rates subject to these risk adjustment mechanisms are the Network Integration Rate (NT-26), the Point-to-Point Rate (PTP-26), the Southern Intertie Point-toPoint Rate (IS-26), the Scheduling, Control, and Dispatch Rate (ACS-26), and the Montana Intertie Rate (IM-26). *See* Transmission GRSP II.G-I.

For BP-26, Transmission rates include \$125 million per year in revenue financed capital.
The Study assumes that if additional Transmission Services reserves are needed for
liquidity, BPA's Administrator would repurpose the planned revenue financing to maintain
liquidity. Specifically, the study assumes that if Transmission Services reserves are below
120 days cash and end-of-year Transmission Services reserves are expected to be lower
than start-of-year reserves, revenue financing will be reduced by the amount needed to
retain start-of-year reserves. If Transmission Services reserves are below the FRP
Surcharge threshold at the end of a fiscal year, revenue financing would be repurposed in
the following year to replenish Transmission Services reserves back to the threshold.

#### 5.2.2.3.1 Transmission Cost Recovery Adjustment Clause (CRAC)

As described in Section 2.4 and Transmission GRSP II.G, the CRAC for FY 2026 through
FY 2028 is a potential annual upward adjustment in various Transmission rates. The
Transmission CRAC explained here could increase rates for FY 2026 based on
Transmission Services reserves at the end of FY 2025. It also could increase rates for
FY 2027 based on Transmission Services reserves at the end of FY 2026 and rates for
FY 2028 based on Transmission Services reserves at the end of FY 2027. The CRAC
implements the FRP requirement for a rate action to increase financial reserves in the
event that business line financial reserves fall below \$0. See Appendix A, § 4.2.3.

The thresholds for triggering the CRAC are described in Section 5.2.1. If Transmission
Services reserves are below the thresholds, a shortfall has occurred. The shortfall is equal
to the Transmission Services CRAC threshold minus Transmission Services reserves. The

shortfall is first assumed to be replenished through redeploying the planned revenue
financing in the applicable year. *See Sections* 2.4 and 5.2.2.3. If there is a remaining
shortfall, the Transmission CRAC will recover 100 percent of the remaining shortfall, up to
a cap of \$100 million. The Transmission CRAC will only trigger if the amount to be
collected by the CRAC is greater than or equal to \$5 million.

Calculations for the CRAC that could apply to FY 2026 through FY 2028 rates will be made
early in each fiscal year based on end-of-year actual Power Services reserves. If the CRAC
triggers, an upward rate adjustment will be calculated for December through September of
that fiscal year. *See* Transmission GRSP II.G.1.

#### 5.2.2.3.2 Transmission Reserves Distribution Clause (RDC)

The Transmission RDC implements the FRP requirement for a financial reserves distribution in the event that financial reserves are above upper financial reserves thresholds. *See* Appendix A, § 4.1.

The thresholds for triggering the RDC are described in Section 5.2.1. The Transmission
RDC is triggered if both BPA reserves (the sum of Power Services reserves and
Transmission Services reserves) and Transmission Services reserves are above specified
thresholds. As specified in BP-26-M-BPA-1, Appendix A, Settlement Agreement, any
Transmission RDC during the BP-26 rate period will be applied as a downward adjustment
to the same Transmission rates that are subject to the Transmission CRAC or for being
deployed to other high-value business line-specific purposes. For the BP-26 rate period,
the cap on the RDC Amount is removed. The RDC will only be triggered if the RDC
distribution amount is greater than or equal to \$5 million. See Transmission GRSP II.H.1.

## 5.2.2.3.3 Transmission Financial Reserves Policy (FRP) Surcharge

The Transmission FRP Surcharge is a potential annual upward adjustment in various transmission rates. The Transmission FRP Surcharge applies to the same Transmission rates that are subject to the Transmission CRAC. The Transmission FRP Surcharge implements the FRP requirement for a rate action to increase financial reserves in the event that business line financial reserves are below the lower financial reserves threshold. *See* Appendix A, §§ 4.2.1, 4.2.2.

The thresholds for triggering the FRP Surcharge are described in Section 5.2.1. If Transmission Services reserves are below the thresholds, a shortfall has occurred. The shortfall is equal to the Transmission Services FRP Surcharge threshold minus Transmission Services reserves. The shortfall is first assumed to be replenished through redeploying the planned revenue financing in the applicable year. See Sections 2.4, 5.2.2.3. If there is a remaining shortfall, the Transmission FRP Surcharge will collect that remaining shortfall up to the Transmission FRP Surcharge cap of \$15 million per year. If the Transmission FRP Surcharge amount calculation results in a value less than \$5 million, then the amount is deemed to be zero. See Transmission GRSP II.I.1.(a).

5.2.3 ToolKit

The ToolKit model is described in Section 3.1.5. The inputs to the ToolKit for Transmission are shown in Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FS-BPA-05A, Figure 13.

### 1 **5.2.3.1** ToolKit Inputs and Assumptions for Transmission

#### 5.2.3.1.1 RevRAM Results

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

The ToolKit reads in risk distributions generated by RevRAM that are created for the
current year, FY 2025, and the rate period, FY 2026 through FY 2028. TPP is measured for
only the three-year rate period, but the starting financial reserves for FY 2026 depend on
events yet to unfold in FY 2025. Because of this, these runs reflect that FY 2025
uncertainty. See Section 3.1.2.2 for more details on RevRAM.

### 5.2.3.1.2 Non-Operating Risk Model

The ToolKit reads in T-NORM distributions that are created for FY 2025 and through the FY 2026-2028 rate period and reflects the uncertainty around non-operating expenses. See Section 5.1.2 for more detail on T-NORM.

#### 5.2.3.1.3 Treatment of Treasury Deferrals

In the event that ToolKit forecasts a Treasury principal payment deferral, the ToolKit assumes that BPA will repay this balance as soon as liquidity is available to make the payment.

# 19 **5.2.3.1.4 Starting Transmission Services Reserves**

The FY 2025 starting Transmission Services reserves have a forecast value of
\$315.8 million. See Section 5.2.2.1.1 above for a description of Transmission Services
reserves.

23

24

## 5.2.3.1.5 Transmission Services Within-year Liquidity Reserves Level

25 The Transmission Services within-year liquidity reserves level is an amount of

26 Transmission Services reserves set aside (*i.e.*, not available for TPP use) to provide liquidity

for within-year cash flow needs. This amount is set at \$100 million. *See* Section 5.2.2.1.5 above.

#### 5.2.3.1.6 Liquidity Borrowing Available

The Transmission Services liquidity borrowing amount is decreased by any AgencyLiquidity provided by Transmission Services. Both amounts are \$0, so the total liquidityborrowing amount is \$0.

#### 5.2.4 Quantitative Risk Mitigation Results

Summary statistics are shown in Table 15.

#### 5.2.4.1 Ending Transmission Services Reserves

Starting Transmission Services reserves for FY 2025 are \$315.8 million. The expected
values of ending financial reserves are \$234 million for FY 2025, \$316 million for FY 2026,
\$297 million for FY 2027, and \$271 million for FY 2028. Over 2,700 iterations, the range of
ending FY 2028 financial reserves is from \$134 million to \$426 million. Financial reserves
distributions are shown in Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-26-FSBPA-05A, Figure 12.

## 5.2.4.2 TPP

The three-year TPP is greater than 99.9 percent. In 2,700 iterations, there are no deferrals for the FY 2026-2028 rate period.

#### 5.2.4.3 CRAC, RDC, and FRP Surcharge

The Transmission CRAC and FRP Surcharge do not trigger in any of the 2,700 iterations for FY 2026-2028.

The Transmission RDC triggers in 0.8 percent of iterations for FY 2026, yielding an average
 amount of \$0.1 million (measured as the average amount across all 2,700 iterations). The
 Transmission RDC triggers in 52 percent of iterations for FY 2027, yielding an average
 amount of \$26 million. The Transmission RDC triggers in 24 percent of iterations for
 FY 2028, yielding an average amount of \$7 million.

Transmission CRAC, RDC, and FRP Surcharge statistics are shown in Table 15. The
thresholds and caps for the Transmission CRAC, Transmission RDC, and Transmission FRP
Surcharge applicable to rates for FY 2026, FY 2027, and FY 2028 are shown in Tables 12,
13, and 14, respectively. The BPA RDC Thresholds are shown in Table 7.

11

**TABLES** 

This page intentionally left blank

	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
Mean	\$280,938	\$108,528	\$103,710
Median	\$262,211	\$85,509	\$77,188
StDev	\$257,461	\$250,240	\$250,661
Min	(\$686,232)	(\$518,980)	(\$567,090)
Max	\$1,864,738	\$1,552,323	\$1,557,512

# Table 1: RevSim Net Revenue Statistics for FY 2026 through FY 2028 (Dollars in millions)

Percentile	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028		
1%	(\$195,076)	(\$329,523)	(\$317,545)		
5%	(\$97,951)	(\$223,327)	(\$228,966)		
10%	(\$30,409)	(\$174,714)	(\$183,950)		
15%	\$19,928	(\$135,058)	(\$141,306)		
20%	\$66,451	(\$88,279)	(\$100,986)		
25%	\$108,803	(\$50,651)	(\$62,235)		
30%	\$141,238	(\$19,954)	(\$28,820)		
35%	\$174,156	\$8,772	(\$115)		
40%	\$202,333	\$35,584	\$27,127		
45%	\$233,261	\$58,974	\$51,466		
50%	\$262,211	\$85,509	\$77,188		
55%	\$292,872	\$109,621	\$104,935		
60%	\$322,982	\$134,885	\$132,054		
65%	\$355,284	\$164,234	\$158,267		
70%	\$385,829	\$191,958	\$187,886		
75%	\$423,551	\$226,619	\$223,646		
80%	\$468,955	\$265,390	\$263,797		
85%	\$521,184	\$309,768	\$318,613		
90%	\$593,744	\$384,560	\$388,523		
95%	\$726,591	\$536,037	\$547,148		
99%	\$1,055,727	\$966,321	\$978,454		
100%	\$1,864,738	\$1,552,323	\$1,557,512		

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G
			P-NORM F	Risk Summ	ary		
	Study Section	Risk Title	Fiscal Year	Forecast	5th Percentile	Mean	95th Percentile
1			2025	381.8	369.3	380.6	392.8
2	4.1.2.1.1	CGS Operations and	2026	349.0	337.5	347.6	358.8
3	4.1.2.1.1	Maintenance (0&M)	2027	413.7	400.2	412.5	425.8
4			2028	381.0	368.4	379.7	391.9
5		U.S. Army Corps of	2025	459.1	459.1	460.8	465.1
6	4.1.2.1.2	Engineers and	2026	487.6	487.6	489.3	493.6
7	4.1.2.1.2	Bureau of	2027	523.0	523.0	524.8	529.0
8		Reclamation O&M	2028	549.1	549.1	550.9	555.1
9		Conservation Expense	2025	75.0	71.1	74.5	77.4
10	4.1.2.1.3		2026	71.4	67.7	70.8	73.6
11	4.1.2.1.3		2027	71.4	67.7	70.8	73.6
12			2028	92.5	87.6	91.8	95.5
13		Power Services	2025	95.9	93.8	95.6	97.2
14	4.1.2.1.4	Transmission	2026	95.9	93.9	95.6	97.2
15	4.1.2.1.4	Acquisition and	2027	97.9	95.8	97.9	99.9
16		Ancillary Services	2028	100.0	97.8	100.0	102.0
17			2025	297.6	290.8	299.0	307.2
18	41215	Fish & Wildlife	2026	309.3	296.3	300.7	305.1
19	4.1.2.1.5	1.2.1.5 Expenses	2027	317.2	303.9	308.4	313.0
20			2028	325.2	311.6	316.2	320.7
21			2025	183.9	185.4	200.3	215.2
22	4.1.2.1.6	Interest Expense and	2026	214.5	216.2	234.5	252.9
23	4.1.2.1.0	Earnings Risk	2027	220.4	221.2	240.6	259.5
24			2028	222.4	223.7	243.4	263.5

# Table 2: P-NORM Risk Summary<br/>(Dollars in millions)

		Α	В	С
		FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
1	Total Expenses	\$3,310	\$3,433	\$3,528
	Less			
2	Net Interest Expense	\$198	\$178	\$180
3	Depreciation and Amortization	\$526	\$555	\$586
4	Contracted Power Purchases	\$424	\$413	\$453
5	Sum of rows 2-4	\$1,147	\$1,146	\$1,219
6	Operating Expenses (row 1 less row 5)	\$2,163	\$2,287	\$2,310
7	Operating Expenses divided by 365 (row 6/365)	\$5.93	\$6.27	\$6.33
8	Rate period average (average of row 7 column A and B)		\$6.17	
9	Lower Financial Reserves Threshold (row 8 * 60)	\$370		
10	30 days cash on hand (row 8 * 30)	\$185		
11	Upper Financial Reserves Threshold (row 8 * 120)		\$741	

# Table 3: Power Days Cash and Financial Reserves Thresholds

(Dollars in millions)

# Table 4: Agency Upper Financial Reserves Threshold

(Dollars in millions)

		BP-26 Thresholds
1	Power Lower Financial Reserves Threshold	\$370
2	Transmission Lower Financial Reserves Threshold	\$141
3	Power 30 days cash on hand	\$185
4	Transmission 30 days cash on hand	\$71
5	Agency Upper Financial Reserves Threshold (sum of rows 1 through 4)	\$768

# Table 5: Power CRAC Thresholds and Caps<br/>(Dollars in millions)

Power RFR as of the end of Fiscal Year	CRAC Applied to Fiscal Year	Power RFR Threshold	Revenue Financing Amount	Maximum CRAC Amount (Cap)
2025	2026	\$0	\$37	\$300
2026	2027	\$0	\$37	\$300
2027	2028	\$0	\$37	\$300

Power RFR as of the end of Fiscal Year	RDC Applied to Fiscal Year	Power RFR Threshold
2025	2026	\$741
2026	2027	\$741
2027	2028	\$741

#### Table 6: Power RDC Thresholds and Caps (Dollars in millions)

# Table 7: BPA RDC Annual Threshold

(Dollars in millions)

BPA RFR as of the end of Fiscal Year	RDC Applied to Fiscal Year	BPA RFR Threshold
2025	2026	\$768
2026	2027	\$768
2027	2028	\$768

# Table 8: Power FRP Surcharge Thresholds<br/>(Dollars in millions)

Power RFR as of the end of Fiscal Year	FRP Surcharge Applied to Fiscal Year	Power RFR Threshold	Revenue Financing Amount	Base Surcharge
2025	2026	\$370	\$37	\$40
2026	2027	\$370	\$37	\$40
2027	2028	\$370	\$37	\$40

	Α	В	С	D	Ε
		FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
1	Three-year TPP			>99.9%	
2	PNRR for TPP		\$0	\$0	\$0
3	CRAC Frequency		0%	0%	3%
4	Expected Value (EV) CRAC Revenue	NI A	\$0	\$0	\$2
5	RDC Frequency	NA	0%	20%	24%
6	EV RDC		\$0	\$41	\$59
7	FRP Surcharge Frequency		1%	16%	24%
8	EV Surcharge Revenue		\$0	\$6	\$9
9	EV Revenue Financing Adjustment	\$0	\$15	\$21	\$26
10	Treasury Deferral Frequency	0%	0%	0%	0%
11	EV Treasury Deferral	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
12	EV End of Year Financial Reserves	\$489	\$566	\$549	\$457
13	Financial Reserves, 5th percentile	\$390	\$191	\$32	-\$126
14	Financial Reserves, 25th percentile	\$445	\$407	\$334	\$217
15	Financial Reserves, 50th percentile	\$491	\$546	\$527	\$446
16	Financial Reserves, 75th percentile	\$529	\$702	\$741	\$682
17	Financial Reserves, 95th percentile	\$596	\$1,009	\$1,104	\$1,054
18	Probability Reserves Fall below \$0	0%	0%	4%	10%

# Table 9: Power Risk Mitigation Summary Statistics<br/>(Dollars in millions)

	Α	В	С	D	Ε	F	G
		T-N	ORM Risk	Summary			
	Study Section	Risk Title	Fiscal Year	Forecast	5th Percentile	Mean	95th Percentile
1			2025	220.0	200.3	221.6	244.1
2	5.1.3.1.1	Transmission Operations	2026	220.4	200.6	222.0	244.5
3	5.1.5.1.1	Transmission Operations	2027	237.8	216.5	239.5	263.8
4			2028	253.8	231.0	255.6	281.6
5			2025	220.5	210.3	219.7	228.5
6	<b>F</b> 1212	Transmission Maintenance	2026	224.7	214.3	223.9	232.8
7	5.1.3.1.2		2027	239.5	228.4	238.7	248.2
8			2028	253.0	241.3	252.1	262.2
9		Agency Service G&A	2025	157.9	143.1	160.5	179.9
10	5.1.3.1.3		2026	203.0	196.4	207.5	222.2
11	5.1.5.1.5		2027	219.7	212.6	224.6	240.6
12			2028	230.6	223.1	235.7	252.5
13			2025	202.8	189.8	213.0	237.6
14	5.1.3.1.4	Interest Expense and	2026	209.5	194.6	216.5	239.6
15	5.1.5.1.4	Earnings	2027	227.1	210.5	234.1	259.0
16			2028	242.9	225.2	250.1	277.2
17			2025	74.9	67.1	78.7	93.2
18	5.1.3.1.5	Transmission Engineering	2026	73.8	66.1	77.5	91.8
19	5.1.5.1.5	Transmission Engineering	2027	77.4	69.3	81.2	96.3
20			2028	80.8	72.4	84.8	100.5

# Table 10: T-NORM Risk Summary<br/>(Dollars in millions)

# Table 11: Transmission Days Cash and Financial Reserves Thresholds<br/>(Dollars in millions)

		Α	В	С
		FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
1	Total Expenses	\$1,398	\$1,507	\$1,621
	Less			
2	Net Interest Expense	\$164	\$190	\$237
3	Depreciation and Amortization	\$423	\$454	\$477
4	Contracted Power Purchases	\$0	\$0	\$0
5	Sum of rows 2-4	\$587	\$644	\$714
6	Operating Expenses (row 1 less row 5)	\$811	\$863	\$907
7	Operating Expenses divided by 365 (row 6/365)	\$2.22	\$2.37	\$2.49
8	Rate period average (average of row 7 column A and B)		\$2.36	
9	Lower Financial Reserves Threshold (row 8 * 60)	\$141		
10	30 days cash on hand (row 8 * 30)	\$71		
11	Upper Financial Reserves Threshold (row 8 * 120)		\$283	

Transmission RFR as of the end of Fiscal Year	CRAC Applied to Fiscal Year	Transmission RFR Threshold	Revenue Financing Amount	Maximum CRAC Amount (Cap)
2025	2026	\$0	\$125	\$100
2026	2027	\$0	\$125	\$100
2027	2028	\$0	\$125	\$100

Table 12: Transmission CRAC Thresholds and Caps<br/>(Dollars in millions)

# Table 13: Transmission RDC Thresholds and Caps<br/>(Dollars in millions)

Transmission RFR as of the end of Fiscal Year	RDC Applied to Fiscal Year	Transmission RFR Threshold
2025	2026	\$283
2026	2027	\$283
2027	2028	\$283

# Table 14: Transmission FRP Surcharge Thresholds and Caps(Dollars in millions)

Transmission RFR as of the end of Fiscal Year	FRP Surcharge Applied to Fiscal Year	Transmission RFR Threshold	Revenue Financing Amount	Base Surcharge
2025	2026	\$141	\$125	\$15
2026	2027	\$141	\$125	\$15
2027	2028	\$141	\$125	\$15

	Α	В	С	D	Ε
		FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
1	Three-year TPP			>99.9%	
2	PNRR for TPP		\$0	\$0	\$0
3	CRAC Frequency		0%	0%	0%
4	Expected Value (EV) CRAC Revenue	NA	\$0	\$0	\$0
5	RDC Frequency	NA	1%	52%	24%
6	EV RDC		\$0	\$26	\$7
7	FRP Surcharge Frequency		0%	0%	0%
8	EV Surcharge Revenue		\$0	\$0	\$0
9	EV Revenue Financing Adjustment	\$0	\$0	\$13	\$96
10	Treasury Deferral Frequency	0%	0%	0%	0%
11	EV Treasury Deferral	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
12	EV End of Year Financial Reserves	\$234	\$316	\$297	\$271
13	Financial Reserves, 5th percentile	\$192	\$249	\$254	\$225
14	Financial Reserves, 25th percentile	\$217	\$289	\$283	\$262
15	Financial Reserves, 50th percentile	\$235	\$316	\$284	\$283
16	Financial Reserves, 75th percentile	\$250	\$345	\$312	\$283
17	Financial Reserves, 95th percentile	\$275	\$383	\$362	\$283
18	Probability Reserves Fall below \$0	0%	0%	0%	0%

# Table 15: Transmission Risk Mitigation Summary Statistics<br/>(Dollars in millions)

Appendix A: Financial Reserves Policy

This page intentionally left blank.

# **APPENDIX A: FINANCIAL RESERVES POLICY**

#### 1. Background and Purpose

The Financial Reserves Policy (Policy) provides a consistent, transparent, and financially prudent method for determining BPA's target ranges for financial reserves available for risk (financial reserves). The Policy establishes upper and lower financial reserves thresholds for Power Services, Transmission Services, and the agency as a whole, which define the target ranges. The Policy also describes the actions BPA may take when financial reserves levels either fall below a lower threshold or exceed an upper threshold. The Policy supports BPA's requirement to establish the lowest possible rates consistent with sound business principles.

Prior to the Policy, BPA did not have a consistent way to establish financial reserves target ranges and upper and lower financial reserves thresholds for each business line and BPA. This is of particular importance because financial reserves levels and financial reserves policies and practices have a direct effect on BPA's credit rating, which is determined at the aggregate BPA level. BPA, however, sets rates to recover costs for each business line individually. The lack of a consistent policy across the business lines and for BPA as a whole allows for *ad hoc* financial reserves decisions and different treatment for each business line.

Establishing prudent financial reserves lower thresholds over time for the business lines helps to maintain BPA's credit rating, solvency, and rate stability, which is consistent with sound business principles. Establishing prudent financial reserves upper thresholds for the business lines and BPA as a whole ensures that financial reserves do not grow to unnecessarily high levels but rather are invested back into the business or distributed as rate reductions, both of which lower revenue requirement costs.

#### 2. Scope of the Financial Reserves Policy

The Policy affects financial reserves available for risk (financial reserves) attributed to Power Services (Power) and Transmission Services (Transmission).

The Policy establishes lower and upper financial reserves thresholds for Power Services and Transmission Services, and upper financial reserves thresholds for the agency at the ends of fiscal years. The Policy also provides guidance on the actions BPA should take when financial reserves fall below established lower threshold levels or rise above established upper threshold levels at the ends of fiscal years.

The Policy does not preclude or hinder in any way the Administrator's authority to use financial reserves for purposes deemed necessary by the Administrator.

The Policy is intended to provide a consistent framework within which BPA can manage its financial reserves. To that end, the Policy will constitute precedent that BPA will adhere to in future rate cases absent a determination by the Administrator that the Policy must be modified to meet BPA's changing operating environment.

### 3. Financial Reserves Thresholds

## 3.1 Definitions

**Financial reserves available for risk.** Financial reserves available for risk (financial reserves) consist of cash, market-based special investments, and deferred borrowing, all of which are highly liquid and unobligated for BPA to use to mitigate financial risk, less any outstanding balance on the Treasury Facility.

**Days Cash on Hand Metric.** Days cash on hand is the number of days a business can continue to operate using its own cash on hand with no new revenue. Days cash on hand is a common industry liquidity metric measuring the relationship between the amount of cash a business holds and the amount of average daily expenses incurred in operating the business.

# 3.2 Business Line Financial Target Ranges

Financial reserves target ranges for each business line shall be calculated independently each rate period, and consist of upper and lower financial reserves thresholds, which define the upper and lower ends of the target ranges.

## 3.3 Lower Financial Reserves Thresholds

Lower financial reserves thresholds shall be calculated independently for Power and Transmission each rate period based on the greater of: (1) 60 days cash on hand, and (2) what is necessary to meet the Treasury Payment Probability (TPP) Standard. For each business line, if financial reserves fall below the lower threshold, a rate action shall trigger the following fiscal year to recover, in part or in whole, the shortfall.

## 3.4 Upper Financial Reserves Thresholds

Upper financial reserves thresholds shall be calculated independently for Power and Transmission each rate period and will be the financial reserves' equivalent of 60 days cash on hand above the lower financial reserves thresholds. The agency's upper threshold is the sum of Power and Transmission's lower thresholds plus 30 days cash on hand for the agency.

## 3.4.1 Financial Reserves Distributions

If business line financial reserves and agency financial reserves are above their respective upper thresholds, the Administrator shall consider the above-threshold financial reserves for investment in other high-value business line-specific purposes including, but not limited to, debt retirement, incremental capital investment, or rate reduction.

# 3.5 Calculation of Lower and Upper Financial Reserves Thresholds

3.5.1 – Power Services				
Power lower financial reserves threshold	Ξ	The greater of: (1) 60 days * (Power operating expenses / 365 days), and (2) the threshold needed to achieve a 95% TPP.		
Power upper financial reserves threshold	=	Power lower financial reserves threshold plus 60 days * (Power operating expenses / 365 days)		
Where:				
Power operating expenses	=	Power total expenses – (Power depreciation and amortization + Power net interest expense + Power non-federal debt service + Power purchases)		

3.5.2 – Transmission Services				
Transmission lower	=	The greater of: (1) 60 days * (Transmission operating		
financial reserves		expenses / 365 days), and (2) the threshold needed to		
threshold		achieve a 95% TPP.		
Transmission upper	=	Transmission lower financial reserves threshold plus		
financial reserves		60 days * (Transmission operating expenses / 365		
threshold		days)		
Where:				
Transmission operating	=	Transmission total expenses – (Transmission		
expenses		depreciation & amortization + Transmission net		
		interest expense)		

3.5.3 – Agency				
Agency upper financial reserves threshold		The sum of the Power lower financial reserves threshold and the Transmission lower financial reserves threshold plus 30 days cash on hand for the agency		
Where:				
30 days cash on hand for the agency	=	30 days * (agency operating expenses / 365 days)		
Agency operating expenses	=	Power operating expenses + Transmission operating expenses		

### 4. Implementation

### 4.1 Overview

The Policy will be implemented each rate period through the Power and Transmission rate schedules and GRSPs. The lower and upper financial reserves thresholds for each business line will be recalculated each time BPA establishes new Power and Transmission rates. Lower and upper financial reserves thresholds will remain constant throughout each rate period. Lower and upper financial reserves thresholds will be computed using forecast rate period average operating expenses from the Power and Transmission revised revenue tests.

Implementation shall include parallel rate mechanisms for each business line each rate period that will trigger if financial reserves are below the lower financial reserves thresholds. Implementation shall also include parallel Financial Reserves Distributions for each business line each rate period that will trigger if financial reserves are above upper financial reserves thresholds.

### 4.2 **Provisions for Increasing Financial Reserves**

The methodologies for increasing financial reserves are described below. The specific rate mechanisms to achieve 4.2.1 through 4.2.3 will be determined in the applicable rate proceeding.

- 4.2.1 Except as provided in Section 4.2.2, if financial reserves attributable to a business line are below its lower threshold, then the annual rate action will be the lower of the following two, unless a larger increase in reserves is necessary to achieve the TPP standard:
  - (1) \$40 million per year in Power rates, if recovering Power financial reserves; \$15 million per year in Transmission rates, if recovering Transmission financial reserves; or
  - (2) The amount needed to fully recover financial reserves up to the applicable business line lower threshold.
- 4.2.2 The \$40 million per year rate action described above in Section 4.2.1(1) is being phased in for Power until Fiscal Year (FY) 2022. In FY 2022 and thereafter, the \$40 million per year rate action in Section 4.2.1(1) will apply and this Section 4.2.2 will be inapplicable. In FY 2020 and FY 2021, if financial reserves attributable to Power are below its lower threshold, then the annual rate action will be the lower of the following two, unless a larger increase in reserves is necessary to achieve the TPP standard:
  - (1) \$30 million per year in Power rates; or
  - (2) The amount needed to fully recover financial reserves up to the Power lower threshold.

- 4.2.3 In addition to the rate action described above in Sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.2, Bonneville will initially propose in each rate case a rate mechanism to increase each business line's financial reserves in the event they fall below \$0. Such rate mechanism will include the following parameters:
  - (1) When financial reserves are below \$0 for Power Services, Bonneville will recover in each year of the rate period the first \$100 million dollar-for-dollar. Bonneville will recover only fifty cents on the dollar for any amounts greater than \$100 million. This provision will be limited to an annual cap of \$300 million; and
  - (2) When financial reserves are below \$0 for Transmission Services, Bonneville will recover in each year of the rate period the first \$100 million dollar-for-dollar. This provision will be limited to an annual cap of \$100 million.

Implementation of the methodology described above, including the timing of when the calculations in (1) and (2) will be performed, will be determined each rate period through the Power and Transmission rate schedules and GRSPs. Such implementation may include *de minimis* thresholds.

This page intentionally left blank.

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION DOE/BP-5445 • July 2025