

Board of Directors: Bob Wiggins Merle Gillespie Jeannie Mustola Steve Petersen Don Hooper

General Manager: Marc Farmer

7/21/2025

Bonneville Power Administration 905 NE 11th Ave Portland, OR 97232

RE: Clatskanie PUD comment on Transition Issues Related to BPA's Grid Access Transformation (GAT) Submitted via tech forum

Dear GAT Team,

We, at Clatskanie People's Utility District (PUD), appreciate the opportunity to respond to the recent Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) transmission workshop series.

BPA has the PUDs support on a number of transmission related matters and we are committed to working with BPA and the region in the future.

As an initial item, within these comments we refer to the term "Embedded Forecast". For the purposes of these comments, "Embedded Forecast" refers to a historically accepted baseline forecast that includes: (1) historical load; (2) projected growth or decline; (3) returning load; and (4) large loads that are contingent upon readiness criteria such as permitting or notice provisions.

While additional context is warranted; we offer the following distilled comments:

Clatskanie currently supports;

- 1. Additional planning tools which allow BPA to accommodate traditional embedded load forecast and unknown asymmetrical requests.
- 2. Implementation of a "Readiness Criteria".
 - a. We request additional clarification about how BPA plans to treat "Regional Transfers", "Hybrid Resources", and "System Resources".
 - b. We believe "state and/or federal permits are a type of acceptable evidence to assert readiness.
 - c. We believe listing of a resource within any table of Exhibit A of the utilities BPA power contract is acceptable evidence to assert readiness.
- 3. Use of "Queue Management" tools under review to transition to the "Future State"
- 4. Development of a 6NN product comparable to 6CF.
- 5. Acceleration expansion with a 5 year target.
- 6. Use of all forecasted load submissions regardless of probability. We further support reasonable planning discretion when considering how portions of the load will be incorporated.
- 7. Inclusion of a formal "Dispute Mechanism" for an array of the processes including application of the readiness criteria, adoption of NITS load forecast, application of New Large Load status.

Clatskanie does not currently support;

- 1. Elimination of MIDCRemote and/or NWHub.
 - a. We believe this topic needs additional discussion and understanding before changes can be supported.
- 2. An annual New Large Load threshold of 13 MW per POD.
 - a. We have concerns with a simple use of "POD" as the singular reference when applying a planning threshold. As an alternative we recommend BPA apply a combination of measurement points including the POD to establish when an alternative planning process is warranted. Specifically, a combination of the cumulative utility forecast, POD forecasts and individual end use forecasts.
 - b. Given the desire to identify growth beyond what is included in the embedded forecast, we believe a series of checks will better target and track load growth outside the purview of the standard process. The checks are outlined below.
 - i. Does the parties total forecast exceed the embedded forecast?
 - ii. Do any of the individual POD forecasts exceed the greater of the embedded forecast or the previous forecast plus 13MW?
 - iii. Where the POD forecast exceeds the greater of the embedded forecast or the previous forecast plus 13MW, can the growth be attributed to an individual end-use consumer?
 - iv. When a New Large Load is being considered, is service requested within BPA's short term planning horizon?
 - c. A spreadsheet with high level examples is attached illustrating some of the forecasted load growth scenarios and how they would be considered with a multifactor methodology.
- 3. Doing too much too fast.
 - a. While we support BPA "getting off pause" and processing the queue, we believe there is risk in going too far. The steps needed to move forward should be taken cautiously and in many cases on a "trial basis".

As a final note, we would like to highlight the tone and intent of our comments. The PUD is committed to being constructive even when its difficult; and right now it's difficult. These are big and complex issues. Staying informed and knowledgeable through this process is a big challenge. We have a large number of knowledge gaps and doesn't fully understand the consequences of our positions. With that said, we hope to learn more in the coming months and gain a better understanding of how to solve these challenges together.

Chris Roden

Director of Energy Resources

Clatskanie People's Utility District

Utility A				Utility B				Utility C				Utility D				
Overall Forcast	Previous Forecast 100 MW	Embeded Forecast 165 MW	Current Forecast 105 MW	Overall Forcast	Previous Forecast 1000 MW	Embeded Forecast 1065 MW	Current Forecast 1050 MW	Overall Forcast	Previous Forecast 500 MW	Embeded Forecast 565 MW	Current Forecast 520 MW	Overall Forcast	Previous Forecast 1000 MW	Embeded Forecast 1165 MW	Current Forecast 1150 MW	
POD 1	20 MW	33 MW	21 MW	POD 1	200 MW	213 MW	210 MW	POD 1	100 MW	113 MW	100 MW	POD 1	200 MW	213 MW	210 MW	
POD 2	20 MW	33 MW	21 MW	POD 2	200 MW	213 MW	210 MW	POD 2	100 MW	113 MW	90 MW	POD 2	200 MW	213 MW	210 MW	
POD 3	20 MW	33 MW	21 MW	POD 3	200 MW	213 MW	210 MW	POD 3	100 MW	113 MW	90 MW	POD 3	200 MW	313 MW	310 MW	
POD 4	20 MW	33 MW	21 MW	POD 4	200 MW	213 MW	210 MW	POD 4	100 MW	113 MW	130 MW	POD 4	200 MW	213 MW	210 MW	
POD 5	20 MW	33 MW	21 MW	POD 5	200 MW	213 MW	210 MW	POD 5	100 MW	113 MW	110 MW	POD 5	200 MW	213 MW	210 MW	
								POD Growth / Lo	ad Shift		30 MW	POD Growth / Re	eturning Load		110 MM	
Embeded Growth		5 MW	Embeded Growt	Jed Growth 50 MW		Embeded Growt	Embeded Growth		20 MW	Embeded Growth 150 MW						
				Simple senario for a large utility. Customer forecasts is below threholds for both				Mid size utility senario. In this instance the utility forecast was within tolerance				Large utility with returning load. Total load growth is 150 MW and within tolerance				
Cimple constitution a small utility. Customer for seasts is helpsy threholds for both				the overall forecast and each of the POD forecasts.				when looking at the total. POD 4 grew by more than 13MW. When evaluated				for the overall forecast but POD 3 has a lot of returning load. Perhaps CFCT load				
the everall force	Simple senario for a small utility. Customer forecasts is below threholds for both								across the other PODs the total growth was minimized due to load shifting across				or cyclical load. When evaulated at the individual load it is considered above the			
the overall forecast and each of the POD forecasts.				Note: due to the allowable amounts being based on PODs, the amount of				the PODs. The total growth is 20MW and included in the embeded forecast rather				13 MW threshold but has maintaind capacity through inclusion in the embeded				
				threshold growth is the same as the small utility.				than having 30 tagged as LL.				forecast.				

	ι	Jtility E			I	Utility F		Utility G					
	Previous Forecast	Embeded Forecast	Current Forecast		Previous Forecast	Embeded Forecast	Current Forecast		Previous Forecast	Embeded Forecast	Current Forecast		
Overall Forcast	100 MW	165 MW	205 MW	Overall Forcast	1000 MW	1065 MW	1150 MW	Overall Forcast	1000 MW	1065 MW	1100 MW		
POD 1	20 MW	33 MW	121 MW	POD 1	200 MW	213 MW	310 MW	POD 1	200 MW	213 MW	220 MW		
Large Load			100		Large Load			POD 2	200 MW	213 MW	220 MW		
Embeded Load			1		Emb	eded Load	10	POD 3	200 MW	213 MW	220 MW		
POD 2	20 MW	33 MW	21 MW	POD 2	200 MW	213 MW	210 MW	POD 4	200 MW	213 MW	220 MW		
POD 3	20 MW	33 MW	21 MW	POD 3	200 MW	213 MW	210 MW	POD 5	200 MW	213 MW	220 MW		
POD 4	20 MW	33 MW	21 MW	POD 4	200 MW	213 MW	210 MW						
POD 5	20 MW	33 MW	21 MW	POD 5	200 MW	213 MW	210 MW						
Large Load Forecast 100 MW				Large Load Forecast			100 MW	High POD Growth			100 MW		
Embeded Growth	า		5 MW	Embeded Growth			50 MW	Embeded Growth 50 MW			50 MW		
Small utility with 100 MW for a LL a determined to be	a large load. In this c and 1 MW on the asso a LL and the 1 MW is	ase POD 1 has 101 MV ociated distribution sys associated with the er	N of growth expected. tem. 100 MW is nbeded forecast.	Large utility with associated distr MW is associate	Large utility with a large load. In this case the LL at POD 1 is 100 MW and the associated distribution system load is 10 MW. 100 MW is tagged as a LL and 50 MW is associated with the embeded forecast.				Large utility with high distribution system growth. In this example the utility is experiancing a lot of growth and each of the PODs wich cary a lot of load are expcted to grow by more than 13MW. No LL is attributable to the growth. All tof the growth is attributed within the embeded forecast.				