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Sections 13.2 and 14.2 of the BPA Transmission Services' Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) establish the reservation priority for awarding Short-Term service on constrained paths. Reservation priority allows a later-submitted Short-Term Transmission Service Request (TSR) with higher reservation priority (Challenger) to preempt an earlier-submitted Short-Term request TSR or conditional reservation with lower reservation priority (Defender) when there is not sufficient Short-Term ATC capacity to grant all TSRs requests. A Defender of a conditional reservation has a Right of First Refusal (ROFR) to match the challenging TSR's request's duration in certain circumstances. This business practice outlines the Preemption process BPA uses to manage reservation priority for the Short-Term market.

BPA has excluded the Preemption of redirects and the hourly service markets from this implementation schedule as further analysis policy work is needed before Preemption can be applied to these hourly TSRs requests and reservations.

For additional details step-by-step guide regarding how Preemption will be conducted on BPA Transmission Services' OASIS, please see the Preemption and ROFR reference documents and its Preemption Competition Training Manual posted at the following links:

- Preemption and ROFR Procedures
- Preemption Process Flow Diagram

BPA Policy Reference

- Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT): Sections 13.2, 14.2

For more information, visit the BPA Transmission Business Practices webpage or submit questions to techforum@bpa.gov.
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A. Conditional vs. Unconditional Criteria

1. Customers holding Short-Term PTP pending TSRs (i.e., not yet CONFIRMED on OASIS) or conditional reservations on constrained ATC Paths must remain mindful of the conditional nature of their capacity as it can be challenged.

2. Short-Term Firm Point-to-Point (PTP) service that is already CONFIRMED on OASIS can only be preempted up to the conditional reservation deadline (as defined in Section 13.2 of the BPA OATT).
   a. Once a Short-Term Firm PTP reservation reaches its conditional reservation deadline, it is deemed to be ‘unconditional’ and no longer subject to the Short-Term Preemption process. This start of the TSR’s unconditional time is provided in the Unconditional field of the TSR on OASIS.
   b. Prior to the conditional reservation deadline, a Short-Term Firm PTP reservation is deemed to be ‘conditional’ and available to be challenged as a potential Defender under the Short-Term Preemption process by a higher-priority TSR.

3. Short-Term PTP pending TSRs (Firm and Non-Firm) are always conditional.

4. Short-Term Non-Firm PTP reservations are also always conditional.

5. Long-Term Firm PTP and Network Integration Transmission (NT) Service reservations of any duration are always considered unconditional.

B. A. Types of Preemption Process

1. There are two types of Preemption in the Short-Term market: —Bumping and Competition Preemption with ROFR and Preemption without ROFR.
   a. Competition Preemption with ROFR occurs when a higher-priority Short-Term PTP request TSR challenges a conditional Short-Term PTP reservation. In this scenario, the customer with the conditional PTP reservation can attempt to defend that reservation by matching the duration of the challenging TSR request in OASIS. At this time, the matching request is created by OASIS and cannot be modified by the customer.
   b. Bumping Preemption without ROFR occurs when a Defender does not have a Right of First Refusal, meaning a Challenger takes a Defender’s capacity without the Defender being able to match the duration of the Challenger’s TSR request. Bumping Preemption without ROFR occurs in two scenarios:
      i. When a Short-Term PTP Point-to-Point (PTP) transmission service request TSR is pending (not confirmed on OASIS) and the Challenger is a higher-priority Short-Term PTP or Network Integration Transmission (NT) service TSR request.
ii. When a Defender is a conditional Short-Term Firm PTP reservation and the Challenger is a Short-Term Firm NT TSR request.

2. Process Flow Diagram No. 1 in section G below illustrates the Preemption evaluation process. The process for both Preemption with and without ROFR is outlined in NAESB Wholesale Electric Quadrant (WEQ) Version 003.2 standards or subsequent versions incorporated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission’s (FERC) regulations. BPA conducts the Preemption process as set forth in these standards. A simplified flow diagram representing the NAESB process is illustrated in the Preemption Process Flow Diagram document.

3. The Preemption process is initiated when there is insufficient Short-Term ATC to grant a full offer to a Short-Term TSR for PTP or NT service. However, there is no assurance that the outcome of the Preemption process will result in sufficient ATC to grant a full offer or even a partial offer. The Transmission Service Requests Evaluation Business Practice shows where Preemption occurs within the overall evaluation of a TSR.

4. The Preemption process is conducted the same for request types of Original and Redirect. Reassignments (Resales) and redirects are not currently subject to Preemption directly. However, as described in more detail in Section G below, the capacity underlying the Resale is subject to Preemption on the Parent Reservation, while the parent is still conditional. BPA is developing policies regarding how redirects will be subject to preemption. BPA will revise this business practice once those policies are developed and the automation necessary to implement those policies is ready for implementation.

5. It is the responsibility of all Customers to monitor their TSRs and reservations throughout the Preemption process as Defenders or Challengers. See the Preemption and ROFR Procedures reference document. Sections E and F also provide further information.

6. To be considered a Challenger, a PTP request must have a flat profile over time. It must also be possible to grant a full offer to the Challenger considering all available Defenders. Note: NT requests are not subject to either requirement to be considered a valid Challenger.

C. B. Reservation Priority & for Preemption

1. Reservation priority is set forth in Sections 13.2 and 14.2 of the BPA Transmission Services’ OATT. Table A shows the reservation priorities for Preemption, including whether the Defender has a Right of First Refusal (ROFR).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Defending Request or Reservation</th>
<th>Challenging Request</th>
<th>ROFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-Term Firm NT and Long-Term Firm PTP</td>
<td>Not subject to Preemption</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional Short-Term Firm PTP TSR or Reservation</td>
<td>Pre-confirmed Short-Term Firm NT</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional Short-Term Firm PTP Reservation</td>
<td>Pre-confirmed Short-Term Firm PTP of higher service increment, or same service increment and longer duration</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Pre-confirmed Short-Term Firm PTP TSR Request

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-confirmed Short-Term Firm PTP of higher service increment, or same service increment and longer duration</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not Pre-confirmed Short-Term Firm PTP TSR Request</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-confirmed Short-Term Firm PTP of higher service increment, or same service increment and equal to or longer duration</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional Short-Term Non-Firm PTP Reservation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-confirmed Short-Term Non-Firm PTP of longer duration</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Pre-confirmed Short-Term Non-Firm PTP TSR Request</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-confirmed Short-Term Non-Firm PTP of equal or longer duration</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. This table sets forth the reservation priority for Preemption in descending order. Challenging requests identified in higher rows can preempt defending requests or reservations identified in lower rows. Requests for Firm NT may preempt all lower tiered Firm requests and conditional reservations.

2. Process Flow Diagram No. 1, in section G below, illustrates how these reservation priorities are applied in the Preemption process. The reservation priority outlined in Table A is consistent with NAESB WEQ Version 003.2 standards or subsequent FERC approved versions.

   a. Certain scenarios described in the NAESB standards are excluded from Table A because they would never occur due to how BPA manages ATC. For example, in theory Firm TSRs have reservation priority over Non-Firm TSRs and reservations. In practice, however, a Non-Firm reservation would never be selected as a Defender for a Firm Challenger because BPA manages Firm and Non-Firm ATC separately, but in a manner that respects the higher reservation priority of Firm service.

3. Defenders with otherwise equal reservation priority will be competed considered for Preemption based on queue time (Defenders requests/reservations with a later queue time will be competed preempted before Defenders requests/reservations with an earlier queue time). If multiple Defenders requests/reservations have the same queue time, then Defenders requests will be competed considered for Preemption based on their AREF number (Defenders requests with higher AREF numbers will be competed preempted before Defenders requests with lower AREF numbers).

4. To be considered a Defender, a reservation or TSR request must provide non-de minimis capacity to all ATC Paths (1:1 paths and/or Flow-Based Paths) where there is a deficiency in meeting the Challenger request for a full offer. at least one constrained flowgates or to the path needed by the Challenger.

   a. A de minimis impact is an impact as set forth noted in Section D of the Transmission Service Requests Evaluation Business Practice webpage.
D. C. Preemption Timing Requirements

1. The Preemption process runs continually, including weekends and holidays. Tables B and C describe the processing timeframes for Challengers and Defenders in various Preemption scenarios involving Firm and Non-Firm service.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenger Timeframes</th>
<th>Defender Timeframes¹ (Timeframes below represent the latest time a Defender is at risk of Preemption)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Challenger</strong></td>
<td><strong>Monthly Firm PTP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Firm PTP</td>
<td>72 Hours prior to 1:00 AM of the Preschedule Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Days 80 Minutes Prior to Start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34 39 Days Prior to Start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Days 80 Minutes Prior to Start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32 39 Days Prior to Start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Days 80 Minutes Prior to Start</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B: Preemption Processing Timeframes for Firm Service
Involving Confirmed Firm PTP Reservations as Defender
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### Table C: Preemption Processing Timeframes for Non-Firm Service Involving Confirmed Non-Firm PTP Reservations as Defenders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenger</th>
<th>Must Be Queued By</th>
<th>Defender Timeframes&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; (Timeframes below represent the latest time a Defender is at risk of Preemption)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly Non-Firm PTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weekly Non-Firm PTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Daily Non-Firm PTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>72 Hours prior to 1:00 AM of the Preschedule Day&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>48 Hours prior to 1:00 AM of the Preschedule Day&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Days&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; 80 Minutes Prior to Start</td>
<td>49 Hours 20 Minutes Prior to Start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>72 Hours prior to 1:00 AM of the Preschedule Day&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Days 80 Minutes Prior to Start</td>
<td>49 Hours 20 Minutes Prior to Start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>48 Hours prior to 1:00 AM of the Preschedule Day&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 Hours 20 Minutes Prior to Start</td>
<td>27 Hours 20 Minutes Prior to Start</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>The Defender timeframes apply to scenarios where the Defender is a confirmed reservation. Pending TSRs requests can be preempted at any time. Bumped up to noon of the WECC Preschedule Day prior to the Defender’s start of service. Challenger timeframes in Bumping scenarios are the same.

<sup>2</sup>All references to “Day” in this table refers to Calendar Days except where specifically noted as “Preschedule Day” (defined in footnote 3).

<sup>3</sup>“Preschedule Day” means WECC Preschedule Day, which starts at midnight Pacific Prevailing Time.
In additional to the timing elements set forth above, BPA Transmission Services has implemented an automation window to ensure that customers with a Right of First Refusal are notified of a Competition during normal business hours. BPA Transmission Services will initiate Preemption between midnight and noon on WECC Business Days. Outside of these hours, BPA Transmission Services’ automation will evaluate its short-term queue for Preemption opportunities, but will not initiate Preemption until the window reopens. Once Preemption is initiated, it is no longer subject to the automation window (i.e., a Defender may exercise its Right of First Refusal consistent with the timeframes set forth in Tables B and C, above, even if the window has closed).

The following illustration shows the relationship between the window and the timeframes shown in Table B for firm daily Challengers and Defenders.
E. D. Preemption Process Challenger Eligibility & Responsibilities

1. Process Flow Diagram Nos. 2 and 3, in section C below, illustrate the Preemption and matching processes. A Short-Term TSR for NT and PTP service must meet the following conditions to be eligible to be a Challenger.
   a. The TSR must be Preconfirmed.
   b. A PTP TSR for Daily, Weekly, or Monthly service must have a flat MW profile over time.
   c. An NT TSR for Daily, Weekly, or Monthly service may have a varying MW profile over time and still be eligible to be a Challenger.
   d. The TSR cannot have a leading or trailing zero (0) MW profile segment.
   e. It must at least be possible to grant a full offer to a PTP Challenger considering all available Defenders. NT TSRs are not subject to this requirement to be a valid Challenger.

2. If a TSR has been identified as a Challenger, the Competing Request Flag will be set on OASIS for that TSR to a unique reference identifier for that Preemption process.

3. Only one Preemption process can be active at a time for a given ATC Path and a specific time period of constrained ATC. If there are multiple Challengers vying for the same constrained ATC from the same set of Defenders for the same time period, the Preemption process will proceed one at a time for each Challenger in queue order. The other Challengers will remain in queued status awaiting the completion of the earlier-queued Preemption processes.

4. Once a TSR has been identified as a Challenger, it cannot be withdrawn until the Preemption process is complete and unless the result is a partial offer.

5. To avoid being stuck behind other Challengers, a Customer may waive their right to challenge lower-priority TSRs and reservations at the time their TSR is submitted on OASIS. The Preemption process will not be initiated in this case, regardless of whether sufficient ATC is available to grant an offer. See the Preemption and ROFR Procedures reference document for how to waive the right to challenge.

6. A Customer may instead waive their right to challenge their own lower-priority TSR or reservation at the time their TSR is submitted on OASIS. In this case, the Preemption process will not be initiated if one of the Customer’s own TSRs or reservations would otherwise have been identified as a Defender. The same reference document from bullet E.5 explains this process also.

7. Customers that do receive a partial offer after the Preemption process has completed are required to respond within the same Customer Confirmation Time Limits as for any offer. Customers may respond by accepting the counteroffer, rebidding to a lower capacity, or withdrawing their TSR. The Confirmation Time Limits are defined under the Reservation Response Timing Requirements table as set forth in the Requesting Transmission Service Business Practice webpage.

8. Customers are encouraged to subscribe to alarms and/or email notifications on OASIS in order to receive status updates as the Preemption process is initiated and completed. See the Preemption and ROFR Procedures reference document.
9. An e-Tag submitted against a conditional reservation that is subsequently be at risk of no longer having a to support it the capacity needed for the be recalled from the conditional reservation. It is the Customer’s responsibility to adjust any e-Tags where capacity has been recalled.

F. Defender Responsibilities

1. Customers holding Short-Term pending TSRs or conditional reservations on constrained ATC Paths must be prepared to respond accordingly if their TSR or reservation is identified as a Defender in the Preemption process.

2. If a TSR or conditional reservation has been identified as a Defender, the Competing Request Flag will be set on OASIS to a unique reference identifier for that Preemption process.
   a. Customers may use this unique reference number to identify all TSRs and reservations involved in this particular Preemption process on OASIS, as well as to query the status of the overall process.
   b. The Competing Request Flag will also be set to the same reference identifier for any dependents of an identified Defender (i.e., Redirects and Resales).
   c. The Competing Request Flag will be cleared for the Defender and all associated dependents once the Defender has completed the Preemption process.

3. While the Competing Request Flag is set to a non-blank value for a given Defender and related dependents (if any), no Customer-initiated transactions are permitted for that TSR or reservation until the flag is cleared. This includes status changes on OASIS or new TSRs such as Resale, Redirect, Transfer, or Consolidation.

4. Defenders with and without ROFR may lose their capacity from a Short-Term PTP TSR or conditional reservation through the Preemption process in order to grant a better offer to a Challenger.
   a. If the Defender is a pending TSR, it is re-evaluated following Preemption and may result in a different offer, such as a COUNTEROFFER or SUPERSEDED.
   b. If the Defender is a conditional Original reservation, capacity is preempted in full or in part through a Recall of the Defender.
   c. If the Defender is a conditional Redirect reservation from a conditional Parent Reservation, capacity is preempted in full or in part through a Recall of the Defender. No rights are restored to the Parent Reservation.
   d. If the Defender is a conditional Redirect reservation from an unconditional Parent Reservation, capacity is preempted in full or in part through a Firm Relinquish from the Defender. Rights are restored back to the Parent Reservation.

5. Customers must be diligent to avoid over-use penalties if they choose to submit an e-Tag against a reservation that is still conditional.
   a. A reservation can still be identified as a Defender or a dependent of a Defender even if a tag has already been approved for a conditional reservation.
   b. An e-Tag can still be approved against a conditional reservation even if the Competing Request Flag has already been set for that reservation.
c. An e-Tag submitted against a conditional reservation that is subsequently preempted will be at risk of no longer having a sufficient reserved capacity reservation to support it. The e-Tag since the capacity needed for the Challenger competition will be recalled or relinquished from the conditional reservation. It is the Customer’s responsibility to adjust any e-Tags where capacity has been recalled or relinquished.

d. Customers will be assessed over-use penalties for any e-Tags for which there is insufficient reserved capacity following Preemption, even if that e-Tag had previously been approved.

6. Customers are strongly encouraged to subscribe to alarms and/or email notifications on OASIS in order to received alerts as the Preemption process is initiated and completed for Defenders and/or dependents of a Defender. See the Preemption and ROFR Procedures reference document.

7. The following provisions apply to Defender reservations that are eligible for Right of First Refusal (ROFR). See the Preemption and ROFR Procedures reference document for related OASIS procedures.

a. An eligible Customer will have the right to exercise ROFR, but it is not guaranteed that the ROFR will be successful. There may not be sufficient ATC to grant ROFR to all eligible Defenders.

b. BPA will provide simultaneous notification of ROFR eligibility through an OASIS posting for each Defender reservation. That ROFR Notification will indicate:
   i. Matching Profile: This indicates the minimum profile required by the Customer to exercise ROFR to match the terms of the Challenger.
   ii. Remaining Profile: This indicates the default profile that will remain on the Defender reservation if capacity is preempted by the Challenger.

c. To indicate their intent to exercise ROFR, the Customer must submit a valid ROFR request on OASIS by the following ROFR deadlines:
   i. For Daily, Weekly, and Monthly Defenders: Within 24 hours of the OASIS posting of ROFR eligibility (irrespective of weekends and holidays).
   ii. For Hourly Defenders: To be determined at a later date.

d. Customers holding a Defender reservation eligible for ROFR have the following options to consider for their ROFR request. The ROFR decision is binding and may not be reconsidered later based on the actions of other Defenders or the Challenger.
   i. Default ROFR: Customers may exercise ROFR by returning the minimum Matching Profile and the default Remaining Profile as specified in the initial ROFR Notification provided by BPA.
   ii. Exceed Challenger Duration: Customers may exceed the duration of the Challenger request by returning a Matching Profile with a longer duration than required to match. Note that the capacity of the Matching Profile may not exceed the default MW profile provided by BPA.
   iii. Lower Remaining Profile: Customers may return a Remaining Profile that is lower than the default provided by BPA. This would be used in the event that the Matching Profile cannot be granted (i.e., the ROFR attempt
is unsuccessful. If capacity must be preempted from a Defender reservation, the Customer may wish to avoid having an unusable MW profile remaining on their reservation. The lower Remaining Profile indicates to BPA the capacity that the Customer would like to retain on their reservation after preemption by the Challenger, including the possibility of a Remaining Profile of zero (0) MW.

iv. Best Offer: Customers may indicate to BPA that they will accept the best available offer if their Matching Profile cannot be granted. This would also be used in the event that the ROFR attempt is unsuccessful. Customers are indicating that they will accept any offer equal to or higher than the default Remaining Profile and less than or equal to their current reservation profile.

v. Decline ROFR: Customers may also explicitly decline to exercise ROFR. Their participation in the Preemption process would end immediately. The Defender reservation will be preempted down to the default Remaining Profile.

e. To successfully exercise ROFR, a Customer’s ROFR request must be considered both valid and be accepted by BPA following an ATC evaluation.

f. The first valid ROFR request submitted on OASIS will be used in the subsequent ATC evaluation of ROFR for that Defender.

i. Customers will be able to see on OASIS within a few minutes whether the ROFR attempt is deemed valid or not.

ii. Customers will be able to submit additional ROFR attempts if the initial attempts are deemed invalid.

iii. If no valid ROFR request is received on OASIS by the ROFR deadline, the Defender reservation is preempted down to the default Remaining Profile.

g. An ATC evaluation of each ROFR request is performed to determine whether the ROFR is successful or unsuccessful.

i. The ATC evaluation is performed once all Defenders eligible for ROFR have returned a valid ROFR request or once the ROFR deadline has expired (whichever comes first).

ii. The ATC evaluation is performed using Short-Term ATC at the time of the evaluation.

iii. The ATC evaluation is performed using the Matching Profile included in the ROFR request.

h. If the ROFR is successfully exercised, the existing Defender reservation is updated on OASIS with the Matching Profile. Customers will keep their existing AREF. A new Matching TSR is no longer used.

i. If the ROFR attempt is unsuccessful or the Customer chooses to explicitly decline ROFR, then the existing Defender reservation will be preempted down to the Remaining Profile with a Recall or Relinquish transaction on OASIS. Customers will keep whatever capacity may remain on their existing AREF.
8. A Defender TSR or reservation may be subject to the Preemption process multiple times until reaching the conditional reservation deadline (if applicable). However, a Defender can only be subject to a single Preemption process at a given time.

G. Defender Responsibilities for Resellers

1. A conditional Resale reservation is exempt from the Preemption process. However, the capacity supporting the Resale is subject to Preemption if the Parent Reservation is conditional.

2. If a conditional Parent Reservation of a Resale is identified as a Defender, then the Reseller has all the same responsibilities as noted in the Defender Responsibilities Section F. The Reseller also has additional responsibilities as outlined in this Section G.

3. If the Parent Reservation is eligible for ROFR, it is the Reseller (not the Assignee) that makes the ROFR decision.

4. The Assignee will be made aware if the Parent Reservation of a Resale becomes involved in a Preemption scenario. The Competing Request Flag will be set for all Defenders and dependents (including Resales) while the Preemption process is ongoing.

5. Both Resellers and Assignees (like all Customers) are strongly encouraged to subscribe to alarms and/or email notifications to monitor the Preemption process.

6. It is possible for a conditional Parent Reservation of a Resale to lose capacity through Preemption. This may create a situation in which there is no longer sufficient capacity on the Parent Reservation to support the Resale. This creates an “orphan Resale” situation.

7. It is the responsibility of the Reseller of the conditional Parent Reservation to deal with orphan Resales. The Reseller must reconcile and post correct information for any impacted Resale(s) for which there is no longer sufficient capacity on the conditional Parent Reservation. See the Preemption and ROFR Procedures reference document for how to reconcile Resales on OASIS.

8. The Reseller will be financially responsible for any over-use penalties as a result of an uncorrected Resale where there remains insufficient capacity on the Parent Reservation due to lost capacity through Preemption.

9. If an Assignee has in turn resold a Resale from a conditional Parent Reservation, they have become a Reseller themselves. As such, they have inherited the Reseller responsibility for reconciling and posting correct Resale information on OASIS for Resales in which they are the Reseller (as well as any over-use penalties for not doing so).

10. As a result of the complexity and financial penalties involved with the reconciliation of orphan Resales from Preemption, both potential Resellers and Assignees must pay particular attention to the Unconditional time on OASIS when considering the Resale of a conditional Parent Reservation.
H.E. Billing Process for Preemption

1. Customers granted transmission through Preemption or retaining transmission through exercising their ROFR (matching) will be billed in accordance with BPA Transmission Services' applicable rate schedule(s) in effect at the time.
   a. Customers who successfully exercise ROFR will be granted new service as an extension of their existing transmission (i.e., the ROFR extension). This applies to both Original and Redirect reservations.
   b. In addition to any current charges for existing transmission, Customers will be billed for this new service using the Block rate based on the total duration of the updated reservation (rather than the duration of just the ROFR extension). For example, consider an Original or Redirect reservation that is five (5) days duration and successfully exercises ROFR to add four (4) additional days. Those four (4) days will be billed starting at the lower Block 2 rate (days 6+), considering that the ROFR extension represents days six (6) through nine (9) of a now nine (9) day reservation. This approach is applied consistently to new service granted as a ROFR extension to both Original and Redirect reservations.

2. PTP Customers whose confirmed CONFIRMED capacity is recalled, in whole or in part, due to Preemption will receive a credit based on the amount of time capacity was recalled. The rate applied to this credit will be based upon the actual time recalled rather than the rate paid for the original capacity. For example, a recall of five (5) days will be credited at the Block 1 (days 1-5) Monthly, Weekly, Daily PTP rate, even if the Customer is being billed at the lower Block 2 rate for the reserved capacity it originally requested.

3. The messages associated with the recall credit will be:
   a. "PTP Preemp Com STF Competition Credit Days 1-5";
   b. "PTP Preemp Com STF Competition Credit Days 6+";
   c. "SCD Preemp Com STF Competition Credit Days 1-5";
   d. "SCD Preemp Com STF Competition Credit Days 6+";
   e. "GSR Preemp Com STF Competition Credit Days 1-5"; and
   f. "GSR Preemp Com STF Competition Credit Days 6+".

4. The following billing process will apply to redirects of resales once BPA develops and implements policies and automation allowing Redirects to participate in Preemption as Defenders or Challengers. With regard to Redirects of Resales that are subsequently preempted, BPA Transmission Services will credit the Assignee of the Resale as described above in Section H.2 based on the amount of capacity recalled from the Redirect as described above. The Reseller of the original resold capacity is still billed in full consistent with the BPA Transmission Services’ Resale of Transmission Service Business Practice webpage. The Assignee and Reseller may negotiate a bilateral agreement with respect to the final disposition of the credit provided to the Assignee by BPA Transmission Services.
I. F. BPA Transmission Service OASIS-Generated Messages Involving Preemption

1. As described in Table D below, BPA Transmission Services’ OASIS generates the following messages in the Seller Comment F field on all TSRs requests, reservations, and recalls, and matching transactions involved in the Preemption scenarios described below. The term <aref> denotes the AREF number of the applicable Challenger or Defender(s) involved.

| Request SUPERSEDED to accommodate Challenger <aref>. | This is applied to a pending PTP TSR request when it is bumped preempted by a higher-priority NT or PTP TSR request. |
| Reservation without ROFR was RECALLED to accommodate Challenger <aref>. | This is applied to a conditional PTP reservation when it is bumped preempted by an NT TSR request. |
| Competition completed resulting in full offer. | This is applied to a Challenger when it gets a full offer through Preemption. |
| Competition completed resulting in partial offer. | This is applied to a Challenger when it gets a partial offer through Preemption. Can occur in one of two ways: (1) when one or more Defenders exercise ROFR against a PTP Challenger; or (2) when an NT Challenge was initiated even though there were insufficient Defenders to make a full offer. |
| Competition completed, but ATC is still unavailable. | This is applied to a Challenger that is Refused because there is still no capacity available through Preemption. Occurs when one or more Defenders exercise ROFR. |
| Capacity with ROFR has been RECALLED to accommodate Challenger <aref>. MATCHING and RECALL requests have been created. | This is applied to a defending reservation with ROFR when a Competition Preemption has been initiated and capacity has been recalled to satisfy the challenging TSR request. |
| Confirm this MATCHING request to exercise ROFR and match Challenger AREF <aref>. Withdraw to decline ROFR. | This is applied to matching requests created by OASIS which must be submitted by the Defender. |
| RECALL to accommodate Challenger <aref>. | This is applied to the initial recall of the Defender’s capacity when a Competition has been initiated. |
J. G. Flow Diagrams

Flow Diagram No. 1 – Preemption Evaluation when ATC or AFC is

Evaluate Eligibility to Challenge

Eligible to Challenge?

Yes

Evaluate Potential Defenders for this Challenger

is a Full Offer to Challenger Possible with Preemption

No

Refuse request in OASIS if no ATC/AFC is available or counteroffer if ATC/AFC is partially available

Yes

Sort Defenders in Priority Order

Automation Window Open?

No

Wait for Preemption automation window to open, then reevaluate Challenger from the beginning of this flow diagram

Yes

Ongoing Competition on the same Path/Flowrate or same Defender?

Yes

Wait for ongoing Competition to finish, then reevaluate Challenger along with other pending requests from the beginning of this flow diagram

No

Initiate Preemption (See Flow Diagram No. 2)

Defender priority is established as follows:

- Requests are subject to Preemption before reservations
- If there are multiple defending requests or reservations, shorter duration is subject to Preemption before longer duration.
- For multiple defending requests of equal duration, non-preconfirmed requests will be subject to Preemption before preconfirmed requests.
- If the above parameters are equal, later queue time is subject to Preemption before earlier queue time.
- If queue times are equal, then the higher AREF will be chosen first.

Challenger must be preconfirmed and meet the timing requirements described in section 3 above.
Flow Diagram No. 2 – Bumping and Competition

As shown in Table A, NT and PTP challengers have different reservation priorities with respect to preemption. A PTP challenger can only preempt NT Defenders of equal or shorter duration. NT challenger can preempt short-term PTP Defenders regardless of duration.

There are three classes of PTP Defenders (first are required to have confirmed) which have no Right of First Refusal. The other Defenders (in conditions which are not confirmed) – one being challenged by an NT request and has no Right of First Refusal, and second challenged by a PTP request and has the Right of First Refusal.

Defenders are chosen as follows:
- Requesters must be on before reservations
- If there are more than one requests or reservations, shorter duration is given priority.
- If duration is the same, non p-reconfirmed are chosen before p-reconfirmed requests.
- If duration and p-reconfirmation are the same, due time is subject to reconfirmation.
- If due times are equal, the challenger AREF will be chosen first.

Choose Enough PTP Defenders in Priority Order to Provide a Full Offer to the Challenger Should the Defenders Not Exercise their Right of First Refusal

Notify Challenger and Selected Defenders

Challenger Type?

NT

PTP

1. Supersede defending requests.
2. If needed, recall needed capacity from defending reservations.
3. Award released capacity to the Challenger.
4. Update seller comments describing the outcome of the Preemption process on all impacted T SRs, which terminate Preemption for the Challenger.

1. Supersede defending requests.
2. If superseding defending requests results in providing full capacity to the Challenger,
   a. Award released capacity to the Challenger.
   b. Update seller comments describing the outcome of the Preemption process on all impacted T SRs, which terminate Preemption for the Challenger.
3. If not, recall needed capacity from defending reservations.
4. Create a preconfirmed matching request for the Defender and go to Flow Diagram No. 3.
Flow Diagram No. 3 – Matching Request Process

This diagram illustrates the matching request process for Defenders who have the right of first refusal to match a Challenger’s duration.

1. BPA Transmission Services’ OASIS will make a full or partial counteroffer based on existing ATC or AFC, capacity gained from pending Defenders whose requests were superseded (Bumping), and capacity gained from Defenders whose reservations were recalled (Competition).
2. If, after preemption concludes, an AFC/ATC remains for the challenging request, then BPA Transmission Services’ OASIS will refuse the request.
3. BPA Transmission Services’ OASIS will reset the Competition Flag to “N” and update seller comments describing the outcome of the preemption process on all impacted TSRs, which terminates preemption for that Challenger.

Since the matching request when confirmed will replace the Defender’s original reservation, the reserved capacity not recalled earlier in Flow Diagram No. 2 must now be recalled.