DATE: December 1, 2011

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEC-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

TO: James Hall
    Project Manager - TPC-TPP-4

Proposed Action: Integration of the University of Oregon’s Cogeneration Project

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.7 “Acquisition, installation, operation, modification, and removal of electricity transmission control and monitoring devices for grid demand and response, communication systems, data processing equipment, and similar electronic equipment.”

Location: Lane County, Oregon

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

Description of the Proposed Action: In response to the University of Oregon’s small generator interconnection request, BPA is planning to integrate their 11-MW generation project into its balancing authority (BA). The proposed point of interconnection is at the University of Oregon Substation owned by Eugene Water and Electric Board. In order to integrate the proposed generation, BPA would install and operate bi-directional metering at the University of Oregon generation site. The University of Oregon would be responsible for securing and paying for a four-wire audio telecommunication circuit (telephone company leased line) to send BPA telemetry data, as well as a publicly switched telephone network service at the project site for BPA MV-90 dial up access.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled
or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Kara Hempy-Mayer  
Kara Hempy-Mayer  
Environmental Project Manager

Concur:

/s/ Richard Yarde  
Date: December 1, 2011  
Richard Yarde  
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment:  
Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions
Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Name of Proposed Project: Integration of the University of Oregon’s Cogeneration Project

Work Order #: 257288

This project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resources</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential, with Conditions (describe)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resources</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. T &amp; E Species, or their habitat(s)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Floodplains or wetlands</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Areas of special designation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Health &amp; safety</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Prime or unique farmlands</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Special sources of water</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Other (describe)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List supporting documentation attached (if needed):

Signed: /s/ Kara Hempy-Mayer  Date: December 1, 2011