

NAESB OS Customer Meeting April 22, 2016

The March 2016 OS Meeting was primarily taken up with going through the preemption/competition list of Motions to see if there were changes needed to conform to FERC's Dynergy/Entergy ruling. Below is the list of Motions that were thought to need changes as a result of FERC's ruling and the outcome of those changes.

Motion #	Motion	Resolved ?
11	Redirect on a Firm basis shall be treated as any other ORIGINAL reservation and subject to preemption and competition on its own merit and afforded ROFR based on the nature of the challenging request. Conditionality of the Redirect on a Firm basis reservation shall be based on the service and term of the Redirect on a Firm basis reservation.	Revised by Motion 123 which states: With respect to motion 11 regarding the conditionality of a redirect on a firm basis Business Practice WEQ 001-9.1.3.3 establishes an exception to the conditionality of the redirect.
12	Redirect on a Non-Firm basis shall be subject to preemption without ROFR as required to meet the needs of any challenging request. Preemption of Redirect on a Non-Firm basis shall be treated in the same manner as a customer initiated RELINQUISH and will restore scheduling rights and capacity available to redirect on the parent Firm reservation.	no changes necessary
34	Redirect Request of the defenders should be processed as regular WEQ Business Practice Standards process and will not be prevented from submitting a redirect. WEQ Business Practice Standards should therefore be silent about limiting the redirects.	no changes necessary
41	Limitation on redirecting the resale shall be the same as that applied to redirecting the parent of the resale which is a defender. If Business Practices are silent about redirect of Defender, these will also be silent about redirect of resale.	no changes necessary
42	While submission of redirect requests are permitted in Motions 34 and 41, new WEQ Business Practice standards shall be written to require that 1) the Competing_Request_Flag shall be set to "Y" for all defenders and all resales associated with defenders and 2) the Transmission Provider shall suspend taking action on redirect of request/reservation with the Competing_Request_Flag set to "Y" until completion of the preemption and competition process.	no changes necessary

45	<p>Requests (pending) for Redirect on a Firm basis shall be evaluated and impact transmission capability only in the amount in excess of the impacts to transmission capability held by the confirmed firm reservation being redirected in accordance with WEQ-001-9.1.3.1 and 9.4. When considering a request (pending) for Redirect on a Firm basis as a potential Challenger in preemption and competition the magnitude of the ATC/AFC deficiency that must be mitigated by preemption and competition shall be no more than the net increase in impact to transmission capability over that already held by the confirmed firm reservation being redirected. When considering a request (pending) for Redirect on a Firm basis as a potential Defender (No ROFR) in preemption and competition shall contribute to mitigating the Challenger's ATC/AFC deficiency shall be no more than the net increase in impact to transmission capability over that already held by the confirmed firm reservation being redirected.</p>	<p>Revised by Motion 124 which states: With respect to motion 45, when considering a confirmed but conditional Redirect on a Firm basis as a potential Defender in preemption and competition, the contribution to mitigating the Challenger's ATC/AFC deficiency shall be no more than the net increase in impact to transmission capability over that still held by the confirmed firm Parent reservation being redirected.</p>
----	---	---