DATE: May 25, 2012
REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEPR/Olympia
SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

TO: Lee Webb
    Line Foreman III – TFOF-Olympia

        Ryan Brady
    TFOK-Chehalis – Line Foreman III

Proposed Action: Wood pole structure replacements on the Chehalis-Olympia No. 1 and Chehalis-Mayfield No. 1, 115-kV transmission lines

PP&A Project No.: 2370 & 2373, Work Order # 298130, 298138, and 297997

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance activities…

Location: The subject transmission lines are located in Lewis and Thurston counties, Washington, in Bonneville Power Administration’s (BPA) Olympia Maintenance District. The transmission line right-of-way (ROW) corridors cross public and privately-owned lands that include industrial forestlands and rural residential property. No US Forest Service or tribal lands are within the project boundaries.

Proposed by: BPA

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA proposes to replace deteriorating wood poles and associated structural/electrical components (e.g. crossarms, insulators, and guy anchors) at existing H-frame structures along the subject transmission lines in Lewis and Thurston counties, Washington. Wood pole replacements would be in-kind and would utilize the existing holes to minimize ground disturbance. If necessary, an auger would be used to remove any loose soil from the existing hole prior to new wood pole replacement.

Two wood pole structures, 10/9 and 18/9 on the Chehalis-Olympia No. 1, and two wood pole structures, 7/2 and 7/4, on the Chehalis-Mayfield No.1, have been identified for replacement in 2012.

There is adequate, existing access to all of the structures along this transmission line corridor and no access road work or landing construction is anticipated at any location. The proposed action is required to maintain safe and reliable power transmission in the region.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a) (1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the
definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

No threatened or endangered species, critical habitat, or other sensitive areas are known to exist within the proposed project sites. Based on this, the proposal will not affect any listed threatened or endangered species, or critical habitat under the Endangered Species Act, or Essential Fish Habitat under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Philip W. Smith, for:
Greg Tippetts
District Environmental Scientist

Concur: /s/ Katherine S. Pierce
Katherine S. Pierce
NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: May 25, 2012

Attachment:
Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions
### Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

**Name of Proposed Project:** Chehalis-Olympia No. 1 and Chehalis-Mayfield No. 1 Transmission Lines Wood Pole Replacements

**Work Order #:** 298130, 298138 & 297997  
**PP&A Project No.:** 2370 & 2373

**Prepared by:** Greg Tippetts  
**Routing:** KEPR- Olympia  
**Date:** 5/22/2012

This project does **not** have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resources</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential, with Conditions (describe)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Landing construction is not planned. Crews will utilize existing holes and tower footprints for pole replacement. crews and equipment are to use existing access roads to and from each work site.</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In the event that archaeological or historic materials are discovered during project activities, work in the immediate vicinity must stop, the area will be secured and the SHPO and the environmental project lead must be notified.</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. T &amp; E Species, or their habitat(s)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Floodplains or wetlands</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Areas of special designation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Health &amp; safety</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Prime or unique farmlands</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Special sources of water</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Other (describe)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supporting documentation in the official project file:  
Effects Determination for Threatened & Endangered Species

Signed: /s/ Greg Tippetts  
Date: May 22, 2012