DATE: January 21, 2011

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: KEC-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

TO: Myra Lovell – TELP-TPP-3

Proposed Action: Ringold Substation Structure Replacement Project

Budget Information: Work Order # 00272078 Task 03

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.6 “Additions or modifications to electric power transmission facilities…”

Location: Franklin County, Washington – Township 12 North, Range 29 East, Section 26 of the Basin City Quadrangle

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA is proposing to replace the single pole structure 8/9 of the Benton-Scootteney No. 1 115-kV transmission line, the attached vertically mounted disconnect switch, and current guy wiring with a new, 30-foot wide, three-pole transposition structure, a new horizontally mounted disconnect switch, and new guy wiring. In addition, a new 14-foot wide two-pole structure and a new 25×5-foot switch support structure would be installed roughly 30-feet ahead of the substation dead-end and approximately 15-feet ahead of the KD, respectively. There would be no disturbances to access roads.

Under existing conditions the vertically mounted disconnect switch on the single pole is prone to sparking and consequently welding itself shut. In lieu of temporary fixes, this project would provide a permanent solution. In addition, the 3-pole replacement structure for tower 8/9 on the Benton-Scootteney No.1 115-kV transmission line would provide increased clearance above the neighboring orchard.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminant or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, or (iv) adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources.
Based on a site survey and a detailed cultural resource report produced by a BPA in-house archeologist covering the proposed area of potential effect, it was determined that no adverse effects to cultural resources would occur by this project. The cultural resource report and a summary letter were sent on December 21, 2010 to the Washington State Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation (DAHP), the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Reservation, the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, and Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho. A concurrence letter dated January 3, 2011 was received regarding this cultural resource report from the Washington DAHP. No written reply was received from the tribes.

The project area is absent of water features, unique wildlife habitat, or other environmentally sensitive resources. Because of the previous disturbance and lack of habitat, no ESA species listed or proposed for listing are likely to be present. There would also be no effect to floodplains or wetlands. It was therefore determined that no adverse environmental effects would occur from construction of the project.

Based on the provisions identified on the attachment below this proposed action meets the requirements for the categorical exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Michael B. Henjum  
Michael B. Henjum  
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Katherine S. Pierce  
Katherine S. Pierce  
NEPA Compliance Officer  

DATE: January 21, 2011

Attachments:  
Provisions  
Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions
Provisions

This categorical exclusion will meet the following provisions:

Cultural resources:

1. Should archaeological materials be unexpectedly encountered during project activities, the following actions should be taken:
   - Stop work and immediately notify the COTR and a qualified archaeologist. In addition, all concerned Tribes and appropriate county, state, federal agencies should be notified.
   - Implement reasonable measures to protect the discovery site, including any appropriate stabilization or covering.
   - Take reasonable steps to ensure the confidentiality of the discovery site.
   - Take reasonable steps to restrict access to the site of discovery.

Vegetation protection and noxious weeds:

2. Restrict construction activities to the area needed to work effectively. Construction crews will be instructed to restrict vehicles to designated areas and existing roads as much as possible.

3. Designated areas will be used to store equipment and supplies. The contractor will follow applicable state and federal regulations to protect plant communities.

4. After construction, disturbed areas not needed for ongoing access or maintenance will be promptly reseeded with native species where possible.

5. Seed mix for revegetation will contain a mixture of the common native bunchgrasses and dryland species present in the Project area. Native seed is commercially available for this area.

6. Do not spread noxious weed seeds:
   - Certify in writing that all vehicles, equipment, and machinery are free of all weeds including seeds before moving the equipment into the construction area. The COTR will inspect vehicles prior to bringing them on site.
   - When an area contaminated by weeds is encountered on, or off of, the construction site, use caution to prevent the spreading of weeds to other areas. This may include cleaning the equipment with high-pressure water prior to moving from one work site to another, or installing wheel washes. Notify the COTR as to the location of the noxious weeds.

7. Use only weed-free materials, or inert materials for mulching and for erosion control.

Erosion control and land use:

8. Require dust abatement on road and construction site, if necessary.

9. Appropriate erosion and sediment control best management practices will be utilized for the protection of water resources.
10. Provide a schedule of construction activities to all landowners/agencies along the corridor that could be affected by construction.

11. Plan and schedule construction activities, when practical, to minimize temporary disturbance, displacement of crops, and interference with farming activities.

12. Keep gates in as found condition (opened or closed). Coordinate construction sequence with landowner so that livestock may be moved if necessary.

**Public Health and Safety:**

13. No equipment with un-muffled exhaust is allowed. Fit all equipment with sound-control devices that are as effective as the original equipment.

14. Should contaminated media be unexpectedly encountered during construction of the project, stop work and notify the COTR. Contaminated media include materials that are potentially harmful to the environment or human health and safety. Work will proceed only after measures approved by the ODEQ are put in place to prevent the spread of contaminated materials and protect the health and safety of workers.

15. Equip vehicles with fire suppression equipment, including a shovel, fire extinguisher, and bladder or water supply.

16. Equip construction vehicles with spill containment kits able to respond to construction related spills.
Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Name of Proposed Project: Ringold Substation Structure Replacement Project

Work Order #: 272078

This project has been found to not adversely affect the following environmentally sensitive resources, laws, and regulations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resources</th>
<th>No Adverse Effect</th>
<th>No Adverse Effect With Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Cultural Resources</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. T &amp; E Species, or their habitat(s)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Floodplains or wetlands</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Areas of special designation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Health &amp; safety</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Prime agricultural lands</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Special sources of water</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Consistency with state and local laws and regulations</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Pollution control at Federal facilities</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Other</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Supporting documentation is in the Project file.

Signed: /s/ Michael Henjum          Date: January 21, 2011