

BPA Log ID	Comment	Stakeholder Type	Form of Comment	Location	Preferred Alternative	Opposed Alternative	Alternatives Mentioned	Issues Mentioned - Codes
0441	"Beseeching" BPA not to let Seattle use political clout to get decision changed. Last year BPA chose the most environmentally conservative and economically feasible alternative, treated residents fairly. Don't change decision, take homes - residents will fight.	Individual	E-mail					2e, 14c, 15b
0442	Biodiversity NW and Seattle City Council members Wills and Pageler making misleading statements re: environmental impact in Cedar River watershed.	Individual	Letter	Hobart			1	5a
0442	Opposed to 500kv line through Kangley, Ravensdale, Hobart. Thought BPA decision to go through watershed was final. Does BPA plan to re-open decision?	Individual	Letter	Hobart		C	1, C	2e
0442	Committed to rural lifestyle - natural beauty, wildlife. Property has salmon spawning area. Concerned re: losing home, diminished property value, family health. Less disruption to site line along existing line in watershed.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1		1	5g, 8d, 10c, 11a, 13b, 14b, 19a
0443	Prefers Alt 1 - less expensive, stays away from populated areas, BPA has good mitigation plan	Individual	E-mail		1		1	1c, 6a
0444	Prefer Alt 1 - people more important than slight environmental impact; Watershed has been logged, won't be harmed by more work. Line probably isn't harmful to people, but should go where homes, schools will never be built, just in case.	Individual	Fax	Landsberg	1		1	5g, 19a
0444	Alt C same impact on wildlife as Alt 1 - have deer, elk, bear, bobcat, cougars and eagles where they live.	Individual	Fax	Landsberg	1		1, C	11a, 11c
0444	Alt A is second choice after Alt 1	Individual	Fax	Landsberg			1, A	
0445	Appalled that Alt 1 might be scrapped due to wildlife fears - what about human risks, danger of 175' uninsulated 500kv multiple lines within 100' of where people live. What about human damage - what takes priority?	Individual	E-mail	Rocky Reach	1		1	1d-iii, 5h, 19a
0446	Lives along Alt A - adamantly opposed. Grows flowers in right-of-way and does not want them disturbed, does not want double circuit line near her home	Individual	Telephone			A	A	1d-ii, 4j, 13b, 15e
0446	correct mail list to reflect that she lives at this address, property is not owned by State of WA as indicated by Metroscan. 16241 SE 272nd Ave, Covington, 98042	Individual	Telephone					2a

0447	Prior good relationship with BPA. Unhappy to hear about proposed Alt C. Have sensitive areas on property: streams, seasonal creeks, natural spring, 2nd growth timber; wildlife incl. Raptors, large cats, birds, bears, Trilliums, rare tree. Why are Alt 1 & 2 more damaging just because they are on public land?	Individual	E-mail			C	1, 2, C	4b, 5g, 8d, 9b, 11a, 11c
0447	What regard is there for impact to relatively few residents? Lived here for 25 years - cannot be compensated for loss and cannot find replacement.	Individual	E-mail			C	C	14b, 15f
0447	Just been notified although option has been around since June 2001. Concerned that Alt C will be chosen because impact is to low-density private property.	Individual	E-mail			C	C	
0447	BPA planning to widen road - only given 2 days notice, no EIS, work is out of bounds of easement	Individual	E-mail					20b
0448	Lives on Alt C. Received notice that BPA will be widening road and clearing easements - thought alternatives were still under review and wants to know why BPA is expanding roads before public meetings have been held.	Individual	E-mail			C	C	20b
0449	Has tower on property - heard that it might be replaced with much larger tower and wants to know if that is a possibility?	Individual	E-mail	Renton				1d-iii
0450	Crossing Cedar River with new power line would impact riparian zone - can it move west? Similar situation at Raging River - moving line west would protect riparian zone. Examine in SDEIS. Also, taller towers would have less impact on trees in riparian zone.	Individual	E-mail	Raging River, Cedar River			1	1d-iii, 5a, 8d, 9a
0450	Full mitigation must be provided for any alternative. Acquisition/protection of forest habitats as part of mitigation should include: 1) land along Raging River where existing and proposed lines cross; 2) Section 25 adjacent to watershed owned by Plum Creek (already has line through section).	Individual	E-mail	Raging River, Cedar River				6a
0451	Alt C directly impacts property - would like higher-resolution maps that show detailed impact areas and list of impacted property owners.	Individual	E-mail				C	2a
0452	Alt A - Concerned about impact of rebuilding and expanding on property values and environment.	Individual	E-mail				A	14b, 15e
0453	Timeline for release of SDEIS, public comment? Projected date for beginning/completion of construction?	Interest Group	E-mail					2a
0453	What led to decision to issue SDEIS?	Interest Group	E-mail					2e
0454	Alt C crosses property - would like to negotiate the exact route due to planned land uses.	Business	Letter				C	4e

0455	B/D run parallel to house. Concerned about compensation for decreased property values. Wants BPA to proceed with Alt 1 - less disruptive, lower health risks. Can't believe unpopulated watershed valued over people.	Individual	Telephone		1		1, B, D	14b, 19a
0455	B/D - concerned about increased EMF, wants to know if something will be done re: noise levels, reducing visual impacts.	Individual	Telephone				B, D	13b, 17b, 19b
0456	Do any of the alternatives involve condemnation/removal of homes or property?	Individual	E-mail					14b
0457	Writing in response to letter in Seattle Times mentioning eminent domain - alternatives outside the watershed directly affect over 300 property owners who stand to lose homes, property values, health.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1		1	14b, 19a
0457	BPA concluded in Oct 2000 that outside the watershed alternatives were not reasonable.	Individual	Letter		1		1	2e
0457	Proposed line in the watershed parallels existing line - only need 1.5 mi. of new clearing.	Individual	Letter		1		1	5g
0457	All options west of watershed require new right-of-ways -- more expensive.	Individual	Letter		1		1	1c, 4j
0457	All options outside watershed have greater short-term environmental impacts, including creek crossings, forest, riparian and wetland water quality, federally listed species. Running through watershed causes least environmental damage.	Individual	Letter		1		1	8e, 9a, 11c, 12a
0457	All options outside watershed require hundreds of buy-outs; watershed option only affects 2 residences.	Individual	Letter		1		1	14c
0457	Have logged in watershed for 100 years - applauds HCP, but shouldn't family receive same consideration as endangered species and water quality impact? Fundamental principle of biodiversity is that humans are part of the environment.	Individual	Letter		1		1	5g
0458	BPA decided in Oct 2000 to build 500kv line in watershed - now decision to go through populated area affects even more residents than in 2000. Opposed to going through populated areas due to environmental damage, property loss, property value loss and loss of residents' quality of life. Would rather have brownouts than move - would like BPA to stand by original decision.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1		1	2e, 14b, 15e
0458	Family roots going back to 1900 for some neighbors - live there all their lives. Have salmon spawning stream, elk, coyote, hawks, deer, bear, quail, pheasant, cougar, bobcat, rabbit, woodpeckers. Part of State Forest Stewardship Program to replace trees, neighborhood provides habitat. Cannot find similar land and keep multi-generation families together if have to relocate.	Individual	Letter		1		1	9b, 10c, 11b, 12a, 14c, 15f, 16c

0458	Existing powerlines in the watershed do not affect Seattle's water quality. No families living near lines subjected to health risk; no families have to be relocated. Environmental threat is equal between watershed and rural area.	Individual	Letter		1		1	5d, 14c, 15b, 19a
0459	Keep project in the watershed - less impact to people (environmental impact either way). Has salmon habitat, sensitive wetlands on property. Property values affected, along with rural aesthetic quality. Private property rights are fundamental to American way of life.	Individual	Letter	Taylor Creek	1		1	5g, 10b, 10c, 12a, 13b, 14b, 15c
0460	DEIS does not demonstrate need for additional transmission line. Power delivered to Canada will probably be sold to California.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley				3c
0460	Doesn't have to be Maple Valley residents vs. Seattle residents. Alt A or B with double circuit line could result in win-win for everyone.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley			A, B	1d-ii, 15b
0460	Cedar River Watershed is protected by HCP - represents commitment to water quality, fish and wildlife habitat, environmental education. Were mitigation costs included in analysis?	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley			1	5d, 6f, 10b, 11b, 15e
0460	Consider alternatives with least impact to people, property, environment.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley				14a, 15e
0461	Adding lines to existing towers or changing/adding towers? Who to contact about fences to prevent access, causes of tower damage, fire?	Interest Group	E-mail	North Bend				1d-iii, 9d, 19c
0462	Thanks for prompt reply to earlier questions. Understands that choice is new taller towers or more right of way to add new towers.	Interest Group	E-mail					1d-iii, 2a, 4j
0463	Please rethink proposal for line through watershed - danger of water contamination due to results of logging (spills, sediment). Any corridor should be replanted to provide habitat and discourage weeds.	Individual	Letter				1	5d, 9d
0463	BPA should acquire low elevation forest land for new line, especially section 25. Please restudy EIS alternatives and properly mitigate for impacts of all actions.	Individual	Letter				1	1a, 4a, 6a
0464	Prefers Alt A or B - using existing lines and right of ways is more cost effective. Constructing new lines is waste of money. Save resources by reusing.	Individual	Letter		A		A, B	1c, 15e
0465	Timing of maintenance activities coincided with notice of Alt C - communication could have been better to make distinction between activities and not upset neighbors.	Individual	E-mail					20b
0465	Environmentally sensitive area - people purchased land to preserve forests and wildlife. Just as sensitive as watershed.	Individual	E-mail		1	C	1, C	5h, 11b, 11c, 15b
0465	Property owners will fight - private property shouldn't be impacted when public land is available. Primary users of expansion should pay the costs, including environmental impact.	Individual	E-mail		1	C	1, C	4b, 14b, 15b

0466	Poor timing by BPA to schedule maintenance when area is under consideration for expansion. Some property owners not notified - better communication needed.	Individual	E-mail					20b
0466	Will support BPA in Alt 1 however possible - Seattle should pay costs of power needs. Will fight Alt C any way necessary, including litigation and chaining people to towers to prevent access.	Individual	E-mail		1	C	1, C	15b
0467	Alt 1 would not disturb wildlife; impacts minimal number of landowners. Cedar River intake should move east of proposed line for salmon.	Individual	Letter		1		1	5h, 10c, 11b, 15c
0468	Prefers Alt C or Alt 1 along existing line. Not concerned about damage from increased load through watershed, but opposed to creating new path through watershed.	Individual	E-mail		C		1, C	5g
0469-001	Support preferred alternative. SDEIS recommendations: 1. evaluate alternatives on public lands east of existing line 2. specify short-term vs. long-term environmental impacts for all alternatives, including water quality and quantity (wildlife and municipal use) 3. evaluate and present impacts on private property, e.g. cost of acquiring easements vs. modifying existing line 4. implications of no action and consequences of delaying the project by expanding DEIS 5. evaluate and identify impacts on stream crossings inside and outside the watershed, including mitigation to reduce impacts on stream crossings	Local Governme	Letter		1		1	1a, 2e, 4a, 5d, 6a, 8d, 10b, 10c, 11b, 14d
0469-002	Support preferred alternative. SDEIS recommendations: 1. evaluate alternatives on public lands east of existing line 2. specify short-term vs. long-term environmental impacts for all alternatives, including water quality and quantity (wildlife and municipal use) 3. evaluate and present impacts on private property, e.g. cost of acquiring easements vs. modifying existing line 4. implications of no action and consequences of delaying the project by expanding DEIS 5. evaluate and identify impacts on stream crossings inside and outside the watershed, including mitigation to reduce impacts on stream crossings	Local Governme	Letter		1			1a, 2e, 4a, 5d, 6a, 8d, 10b, 10c, 11b, 14d

0469-003	Support preferred alternative. SDEIS recommendations: 1. evaluate alternatives on public lands east of existing line 2. specify short-term vs. long-term environmental impacts for all alternatives, including water quality and quantity (wildlife and municipal use) 3. evaluate and present impacts on private property, e.g. cost of acquiring easements vs. modifying existing line 4. implications of no action and consequences of delaying the project by expanding DEIS 5. evaluate and identify impacts on stream crossings inside and outside the watershed, including mitigation to reduce impacts on stream crossings	Local Governme	Letter		1			1a, 2e, 4a, 5d, 6a, 8d, 10b, 10c, 11b, 14d
0469-004	Support preferred alternative. SDEIS recommendations: 1. evaluate alternatives on public lands east of existing line 2. specify short-term vs. long-term environmental impacts for all alternatives, including water quality and quantity (wildlife and municipal use) 3. evaluate and present impacts on private property, e.g. cost of acquiring easements vs. modifying existing line 4. implications of no action and consequences of delaying the project by expanding DEIS 5. evaluate and identify impacts on stream crossings inside and outside the watershed, including mitigation to reduce impacts on stream crossings	Local Governme	Letter		1			1a, 2e, 4a, 5d, 6a, 8d, 10b, 10c, 11b, 14d
0470	Preferred alternative has least impact - cheaper to follow existing line, fewer homes bought out, least visual impact (sees line from home and another line would not matter).	Individual	E-mail		1		1	1c, 13b, 14d
0471	Unfair to use private land for public use.	Individual	E-mail			C	C	4b
0472	Seattle's water will not be affected by preferred alternative. Would not affect wildlife.	Interest Group	Letter	Hobart			1	5g
0472	Family is in county Forest Stewardship program - would lose many trees, property values decrease, more expensive if BPA goes outside watershed.	Interest Group	Letter	Hobart			1	1c, 9a, 14b
0472	Tahoma School District has decreased tax base because of land in the watershed and will lose more if line crosses private property. Intake pipes and Landsburg have reduced water flow for recreation and salmon habitat. Seattle should accept alternative through watershed.	Interest Group	Letter	Hobart	1		1	4f, 5a, 8d, 10b, 10c, 14f, 15b
0473	Concerned how Alt A would impact mail delivery during construction	Business	Comment form	Renton			A	20a

0474	Route through watershed makes the most sense. Need to find ways to assure Seattle that watershed won't be harmed.	Individual	Comment form		1		1	1c, 5d, 14b
0475	More concerned about families and children than fish. Prefers 1, B, D (in that order).	Individual	Comment form		1		1, A, B, C, D	15c, 19a
0476	Prefers Alt C to Alt A due to A's proximity to home.	Individual	Comment form		C		A, C	
0477	Health hazards and decreasing property values of overhead lines. Can't believe power company would not use existing lines.	Individual	Comment form	Hobart	1		1	14b, 19a
0478	Existing route is source of radio interference.	Individual	E-mail		1	A	1, A	19e
0479	Not received any information that BPA is considering options outside watershed.	Individual	E-mail					
0480	Thanks for response to earlier message. Holding community meeting to discuss opposition to Alt C.	Individual	E-mail			C	C	2a
0481	Spent money on land improvements after learning in 2001 that route would go through watershed. Seattle's concerns about the watershed should have been voiced earlier so mitigation could have been planned and alternatives outside the watershed would not have to be considered.	Individual	E-mail	Ravensdale, Hobart		C	1, C	1c, 2e, 5d, 6f, 14b
0481	Alt C has highest impact on families, environment, community. Feels that residents are caught in the middle between need for more power, costs of delivery and protection of watershed.	Individual	E-mail	Ravensdale, Hobart		C	C	5a, 15e
0482	BPA has no right to take private land when public land is available.	Individual	Letter			C	C	4b, 14b
0483	Needs more detailed maps, especially north end of proposed route for Alt C where line will terminate.	Individual	E-mail				C	2a
0484	Alt A, B, D do not require purchase of property and follow existing lines. Alt 1 least impacts residents - can't believe Seattle puts creatures above taxpayers, no evidence that lines affect wildlife, water quality. Alt C will damage local economy, has potential health and safety hazards, will degrade property values.	Individual	E-mail			C	1, A, B, C, D	5g, 13b, 14b, 15c, 19a
0485	Construction through watershed risks water contamination. Please restudy EIS alternatives and properly mitigate for impacts of all actions.	Individual	Letter				1	1a, 5d, 6a
0486	Wants clarification on steps following close of comment period - who ensures analysis for Seattle Public Utilities is incorporated into SDEIS?	Individual	E-mail			C		2a
0487	Wants to know if property is in the path of Alt C.	Individual	E-mail				C	2a
0488	Does not want additional towers - BPA should update existing towers and use existing right of ways to prevent health risks, land devaluation, ugly landscape.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart				1a, 13a, 14b, 19a

0489	List of reasons for opposition to Alt C: -public land available with existing lines -streams, creeks, aquatic wildlife, and protected areas in alternative -power line noise disruptive to birds	Individual	Letter			C	C	4b, 8d, 9b, 10b, 10c, 11b, 11e, 17d
0490	Not right to use private land for public uses. Building new lines increases power costs and looks ugly.	Individual	Letter					1c, 4b, 13b
0491	HCP should be respected - clearing and construction are in opposition. Endangered species in the watershed should be protected, as should drinking water supply.	Individual	Letter			1	1	5d, 10b, 10c, 11b, 11c
0491	Begin dialogue w/Canada to negotiate return of power owed.	Individual	Letter			1	1	3c
0491	Helicopter construction does not reduce impact.	Individual	Letter			1	1	6a, 15e
0492	King Co. Growth Management Act protects rural communities. Being misrepresented by Seattle individuals - zoning RA5 protects forests, discourages development. 90% of consumption will be by Seattle - if Seattle did not need the power, rural communities would not be threatened. Alternatives outside the watershed will impact forests, streams, run-off, salmon recovery - watershed will be impacted least.	Individual	E-mail		1	C	1	4g, 5g, 7b, 8b, 9b, 10b, 10c, 14b, 15b
0492	Seattle interests have known about the EIS since 2000 - rural communities weren't notified until recently.	Individual	E-mail		1		1	
0493	Seattle is using opportunity to have BPA fund filtration system in watershed. Seattle already has filtration available through Tolt watershed.	Individual	E-mail		1	C	1, C	5d
0493	King Co. Growth Management Act protects rural communities. Being misrepresented by Seattle individuals - zoning RA5 protects forests, discourages development. 90% of if consumption will be by Seattle - if Seattle did not need the power, rural communities would not be threatened. Alternatives outside the watershed will impact forests, streams, run-off, salmon recovery - watershed will be impacted least. Seattle interests have known about the EIS since 2000 - rural communities weren't notified until recently.	Individual	E-mail		1	C	1, C	4g, 5g, 7b, 8b, 9b, 10b, 10c, 11b, 14b, 15b
0494	Support Alt 1 - do not think watershed, water quality will be adversely affected. City is right to ask for mitigation and careful practices.	Individual	E-mail		1		1	5d, 6a
0495	All alternatives will have some environmental impact - 1-4B involves clear cutting new right of way in watershed; A-D require destruction of sensitive creeks and wetlands. Alt C also involves crossing old landfill - chance for contamination downstream. People's proximity to towers is a liability issue and rural lifestyle would be devastated. .	Individual	E-mail	Hoabrt, Maple Valley		C	1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	5d, 8d, 9d, 12a, 14d, 15f, 19a
0496	Concerned about noise transmission through clear cut forest. Wants to know specifically which areas require more power. Alt A & C will impact thousands of families: noise, visual disruptions, quality of life.	Individual	Comment form			A	1, A, B, C	3b, 13b, 15f, 17b

0497	List of reasons to oppose Alt C: -shouldn't use private land for public use when public land is available -existing lines can be expanded -potential health effects on humans -decreased property values -damage to sensitive environmental areas	Individual	Letter			C	C	1d-iii, 4b, 9b, 14b, 19a
0498	What area is right of way covering along Covington-Sawyer Rd.?	Individual	Comment form					14d
0499	Should go through watershed instead of going through populated areas.	Individual	Comment form				1, A, C	15c
0500	More info on EMF health effects. Neighbors cannot sell property because of power lines.	Individual	Comment form					14b, 19b
0501	List of reasons to oppose Alt C: -shouldn't use private land for public use when public land is available -existing lines can be expanded -potential health effects on humans -decreased property values -damage to sensitive environmental areas	Individual	Letter			C	C	1d-iii, 4b, 9b, 14b, 19a
0502	Going through watershed is best route.	Individual	Comment form		1		1	
0503	Line should be routed through the watershed - shouldn't use private land for public use when public land is available with less environmental impact.	Individual	Letter		1		1	4b, 5g
0504	List of reasons to oppose Alt C: -shouldn't use private land for public use when public land is available -existing lines can be expanded -potential health effects on humans -decreased property values -damage to sensitive environmental areas	Individual	Letter			C	C	1d-iii, 4b, 9b, 14b, 19a
0505	List of reasons to oppose Alt C: -shouldn't use private land for public use when public land is available -existing lines can be expanded -potential health effects on humans -decreased property values -damage to sensitive environmental areas	Individual	Letter			C	C	1d-iii, 4b, 9b, 14b, 19a
0506	Oppose Alt C - common sense and economics. Watershed route will cause no long-term environmental harm. Hope BPA isn't swayed by political motivation.	Individual	Letter		1	C	1, C	1c, 5g
0507	Decision milestone dates? Whose approval is needed to proceed (county, legislature, public vote, etc.)?	Individual	E-mail					20a

0508	Alt C has wetlands, forest, riparian communities, bear, cougar, elk, deer, other wildlife - environment is as important, sensitive as watershed's environment. Watershed has been logged, probably doesn't have enough old trees for marbled murrelet, provides habitat for murrelet predators. EIS did not mention destruction of murrelet habitat along Alt C.	Individual	E-mail				C	5g, 9b, 11b, 11c, 12a
0509	copy of comment 0508	Individual	E-mail				C	5g, 9b, 11b, 11c, 12a
0510	Alt C disturbs flourishing communities, degrades the American Dream. Pursue preferred alternative.	Individual	Letter		1	C	1, C	15f
0511	Preferred alternative is best solution. Alt C disturbs flourishing communities, degrades the American Dream.	Individual	Letter		1	C	1, C	15f
0512	Please place lines somewhere else; transmission noise affects animals.	Individual	Comment form					17d
0513	Will SDEIS include full or abbreviated EISs for all options? What's the difference between scoping and full analysis?	Individual	E-mail					2a
0514	Alt B towers seem to have unused carrying capacity and alt doesn't need new right of ways.	Individual	E-mail		B		B	1d-iii
0515	On Alternative A, rebuild an existing 230,000-volt line through Covington, replacing towers 100- to 150-foot towers with new 175-foot towers that would carry the existing line and new 500 kV regional line, which would be built within existing rights of way. This would be low impact, cost-effective, not require acquiring larger easements or access roads, and would be more acceptable to people who have already bought homes near existing power lines.	Individual	E-mail	25573 Baker St., Black Diamond WA			A	1d-iii, 14a
0515	On Alternative B, rebuild an existing line through Stampede Pass with larger towers to carry existing lines and the new 500 kV line. This would be within existing rights of way. This would be low impact, cost-effective, not require acquiring larger easements or access roads, and would be more acceptable to people who have already bought homes near existing power lines.	Individual	E-mail	25573 Baker St., Black Diamond WA			B	14a
0516	Lives in a neighborhood zoned as rural within the King County Comprehensive Plan. Neighborhood contains second growth timber, which is habitat to unique and endangered species: deer, elk, black bears, pileated woodpecker. There are tributaries running to the Issaquah Creek, which is salmon habitat. There is also a wide variety of flora. Seattle should not destroy habitat and private homes. More harm will be done to the environment if Alternative C is selected.	Individual	Letter	South Issaquah, btwn Tiger Mtn and Issq-Hobart Rd.	1	C		10c, 11b, 11c, 15e

0516	Seattle is attempting to cloak argument in the environmental bent in order to get a new water system paid for by BPA. If the power is being built to benefit the city of Seattle, then the power lines should be built on Seattle's land in the Cedar River Watershed, especially since that is where the environmental impact will be least severe.	Individual	Letter	South Issaquah, btwn Tiger Mtn and Issq-Hobart Rd.	1	C	1, C	5a, 6a, 15b
0517	Tulalip Tribes' Cultural Resources Department requests that before any construction begins, a cultural and archaeological assessment be completed.		Letter					16b
0517	Tulalip Tribes' Cultural Resources Department requests that alternatives do not adversely affect natural resources (timber, flora, fauna) in the area (i.e., no clear cutting without leaving at least a 200-foot buffer zone adjacent to rivers and streams).		Letter					8d, 9a, 11a, 16b
0517	Tulalip Tribes' Cultural Resources Department requests that BPA protect fisheries.	Tribes	Letter					10a, 16b
0517	Tulalip Tribes' Cultural Resources Department is interested in the preservation of plants for cultural ceremonial and utilitarian purposes. The natural vegetation nurtures the landscape for everyone.	Tribes	Letter					9a, 16b
0518	Muckleshoot Cultural Resources Program requested a separate meeting to discuss the new alternatives outside of the public meetings. It would also like to discuss the status of the ethnographic project through the Cedar River Watershed.	Tribes	Letter					2b, 16b
0519	Alternative C uses private property for public facilities, rather than using the watershed.	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	
0519	My property would be directly impacted by Alternative C.	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	15d
0519	Alt C would cost BPA much more than the preferred alternative. Alt C would also have an overall greater impact.	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	1c
0519	The decision to reinvestigate the dropped options was made in a vacuum, without involving potentially impacted parties.	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	2e
0519	Homeowners are prepared to litigate this issue to prevent placing powerlines in our yards.	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	15e
0519	Alt C crosses many protected areas, creeks, streams and wetlands that are part of the local environment. Destroying these areas is devastating as compared to the extremely small percentage impact to the watershed.	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5a, 8d, 12a
0520	Opposed to further construction of power lines through the watershed. Would prefer a) no further building of power lines, or b) an alternative that goes around the watershed.	Individual	E-mail	Seattle		1	1	1a, 3a
0521	The initial proposal is the best option.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1		1	
0522	The SDEIS will show that Alternative C continues to not be cost effective compared to Alternative 1.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	1c

0522	The opposition concerns about Alternative 1 -- such as water quality, fish and wildlife, and cultural resources -- are also a concern in Alternative C. In addition, in Alt C the line would cross the Cedar River, ponds, creeks, and sensitive wetland areas, and affect wildlife. Alt C would have an equal impact on our environment as Alt 1, but would also uproot families and homes.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5a, 8d, 11a, 12a, 14c
0522	Alternative C would cost more than Alternative 1.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	1c
0523	Residents must follow strict environmental rules regarding improvements to their properties and outside agencies should be held to the same rules.	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley		C	C	4a
0523	Family moved to rural setting to have a safe area for family and pets. Concerned about hazards of EMF on humans and feels this outweighs concern about natural wetlands and animals. (Submitted article summarizing California EMF Project findings, a \$7 million project initiated by the California Public Utilities Commission and led by the California Department of Health Sciences.)	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley		C	C	11a, 12a, 15c, 19b
0524	Residents must follow strict environmental rules regarding improvements to their properties; the same should apply to outside agencies.	Individual	Letter			C	C	4a
0524	Family moved to rural setting to have a safe area for family and pets. Concerned about hazards of EMF on humans and feels this outweighs concern about natural wetlands and animals. (Submitted article summarizing California EMF Project findings, a \$7 million project initiated by the California Public Utilities Commission and led by the California Department of Health Sciences.)	Individual	Letter			C	C	15c, 19b
0525	Family moved to rural setting to have a safe area for family and pets. Concerned about hazards of EMF on humans. (Submitted article summarizing California EMF Project findings, a \$7 million project initiated by the California Public Utilities Commission and led by the California Department of Health Sciences.)	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley		C	C	15a, 19b
0525	Residents must follow strict environmental rules regarding improvements to their properties; the same should apply to outside agencies.	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley		C	C	4a
0526	Strongly opposed to the power line going through the Cedar River watershed. Urges BPA to pursue conservation and alternative energy sources such as SIEMENS Technology FACT Systems (flexible AC systems), which would improve capacity and speed of power transmission without building additional lines.	Individual	E-mail	Seattle		1	1	1g, 5a

0527	"Let he who is without electricity block the first tower." (People who use public power should not demand that lines should not be placed here or there.) He and his family welcome improvements to the electrical system whether or not it is inconvenient or passes through their neighborhood.	Individual	E-mail	North Bend	1		1	3a, 15d
0527	There is no reason to not use the North Bend and or Cedar River watershed route for a new power line. The Cedar River watershed has been logged, burned, and a train line has turn through it. It is ridiculous to build a dam and then claim a power line will not disturb nature.	Individual	E-mail	North Bend	1		1	5g, 15c
0528	Request more information on how the Tacoma-Ravensdale line through Winterwood Estates is affected by any of these proposals (or if it is not affected at all).	Individual	Comment form					2a
0529	It is inappropriate to undergo the expense of an SDEIS for alternatives when common sense and the already-undergone DEIS confirmed Alternative 1 as the correct preference.	Individual	Comment form	Woodinville	1		1	2e
0530	Request more information exactly where Alternative C would go, down to property lines.	Individual	Comment form	Maple Valley	1	C	C	14b
0530	Concerned about environmental protection, but believe the route through the watershed is still best.	Individual	Comment form	Maple Valley	1	C	C	15c
0530	Alternative C would destroy the community of Hobart and land values in the areas. To lose 150 feet of width from property lots that are 300 feet by 1300 feet is ridiculous. It would also devalue everyone's property in the neighborhood.	Individual	Comment form	Maple Valley	1	C	C	14b
0530	If extreme environmentalists block Alt 1, then the next best options are Alt A or B, since there are already existing rights-of-way on those routes. Strongly opposed to Alt C.	Individual	Comment form	Maple Valley	1	C	1, A, B	14d
0531	Requested information on what percentage of water produced by the watershed is produced by the area that Alternative 1 would cross?	Individual	Comment form	Issaquah			1	5a
0531	What will be the cost for Alternatives 1, A, B, C, D?	Individual	Comment form	Issaquah			1, A, B, C, D	1c
0531	How many families and properties will be impacted by Alternatives A and C through increased noise from the lines and decreased property values?	Individual	Comment form	Issaquah			A, C	14b, 17b
0532	EIS should look at the field levels of the current power lines/radiation and the proposed project estimated field levels. Request more information on field levels.	Individual	Comment form	Issaquah				19b

0532	Keep additional power lines away from Mirrormont (in Issaquah), where many children live and where our property values will be diminished. Tiger Mountain has more than its share of power lines already; put them out near Stampede Pass, away from our family neighborhoods.	Individual	Comment form	Issaquah				14b, 15d, 19a
0533	Opposed to putting lines through populated areas of Maple Valley. There are many undeveloped areas for locating the lines that would not disrupt residential areas or communities.	Individual	Letter	Bellevue				14a, 15d
0534	EIS should look at what the real problem is: a) overuse of natural resources, b) closed system - what is used must be renewable and we must put back in what we take out.	Individual	Comment form	Seattle				1g, 3a
0534	We are almost out of food, air, and water, and what we have is toxic. Stop the denial. Conservation is key.	Individual	Comment form	Seattle				1g
0535	Please have environmental studies look at Alternatives A and B. Requests more information on the costs of each Alternative. If Alt 1 is preferred for efficiency reasons, what degree of efficiency/cost is going against Alt A and B? Also, materials sent in mail were incomplete -- a prudent decision requires a cost analysis against environmental impact.	Individual	Comment form	Seattle			A, B	1c
0535	Who produced the DEIS released in June 2001?	Individual	Comment form	Seattle				2a
0536	Why is BPA even considering jeopardizing Seattle's water supply? Seattle has some of the clearest water and best habitat near any major U.S. city. I would gladly pay more for power or conserve electricity use to preserve the watershed.	Individual	Comment form	Seattle		1	1	1g, 5d, 8a, 15e
0536	DO NOT disturb our water supply - stay out of the watershed. Hasn't there been enough damage to salmon already?	Individual	Comment form	Seattle		1	1	5d, 10c
0536	Instead of going through the watershed, choose Alternative A, away from the watershed. Or make people conserve or charge big users higher fees.	Individual	Comment form	Seattle	A	1	1, A	1j, 5a
0536	If the ex-urbanites need more power for their electric needs, let them deal with a big power lines. Why destroy a precious resource (the watershed)?	Individual	Comment form	Seattle		1	1	3b, 15b
0537	Please have the EIS look at the environmental health of the Cedar River watershed, which appears to be choked with dead and dying trees. Does it NEED logging/burning? Are the salmon in the watershed endangered BECAUSE of Seattle's efforts to exterminate them? Why has there not been a fish ladder until now? Are species within the watershed significantly different or rarer than those outside?	Individual	Comment form	Issaquah			1	5g, 10b, 10c, 11a

0537	Is Seattle currently constructing a filtration plant for Cedar River water? Will Seattle pay the extra costs for building a transmission line outside the watershed? Will Seattle pay for flooding in Issaquah from additional run off from power line construction on Tiger Mtn? If not, why does Seattle expect BPA to pay for a filtration plant?	Individual	Comment form	Issaquah			1	5d, 6f, 7e
0537	What impact do the power lines currently within the watershed have on water quality? How probable is it that Seattle will have to construct a filtration plant regardless of powerlines within the watershed? Will BPA use the same minimal impact construction techniques outside the watershed that they promise to use within it?	Individual	Comment form	Issaquah			1	5d, 6f
0537	City of Seattle has characterized its opposition to Alternative 1 as a move to preserve water and environmental quality within the watershed. Neither assertion has been demonstrated.	Individual	Comment form	Issaquah			1	5a, 15e
0537	Alternatives other than 1 also pass through sensitive rural areas. Is a line twice as long not twice as damaging?	Individual	Comment form	Issaquah			1	6a
0538	I accept that BPA predicts the need for extra transmission capacity. However, it appears that Alternative C has no economic or environmental advantages over Alternative 1 and actually would be worse on both criteria. The only reason to consider such an inferior route as Alternative C appears to stem from the elitism of an environmental organization and NIMBYism of Seattle.	Individual	E-mail	Issaquah	1	C	1, C	1c, 3a, 5a, 15d
0538	Although BPA would have to be careful during construction of the line through the watershed and mitigate any environmental effects, there would be comparatively little environmental impact since the route would follow that of an existing line. The effort required to mitigate along Alternative C would be much greater, since access routes would have to be constructed and since part of Alternative C lies within the watershed of Issaquah Creek, a salmon spawning stream.	Individual	E-mail	Issaquah	1	C	1, C	5a, 6f, 8d, 10b, 10c
0538	It is arrogant of an environmental organization (Biodiversity NW) to seek to preserve wilderness that no one can access (the watershed) by putting power towers across unspoiled rural countryside, destroying single-family homes.	Individual	E-mail	Issaquah	1	C	1, C	4a, 14a, 15c
0538	Seattle's concerns are founded in hypocrisy: it doesn't want to expand an already-existing power line in the watershed, but would rather put a new power line in the backyard of private citizens who are not Seattle residents.	Individual	E-mail	Issaquah	1	C	1, C	15b
0538	If there is any impact to the watershed during construction of the power line, Seattle can also draw from the Tolt watershed. This would prevent Seattle's citizens from being inconvenienced.	Individual	E-mail	Issaquah	1	C	1, C	5d, 6a

0539	Concerned about acquisition of right-of-way for Alternative C. Compensating at "fair market value" -- assumed as assessed value -- is unacceptably low, as land value is artificially depressed by presence of an existing BPA power line. Reimbursement should be for "replacement cost," defined as enough to allow property owners to relocate to an equivalent house, lot, and neighborhood.	Individual	E-mail	Issaquah	1	C	1, C	14b
0539	Concerned about his water supply, from an artesian spring on Tiger Mountain where Alternative C proposed to put a new power line. Construction would likely harm this water supply. Would expect BPA to provide a new water source for this small well system.	Individual	E-mail	Issaquah				8e, 14a, 19a
0540	Property value has already been lowered due to living next to power lines.	Individual	E-mail	North Bend, along Alt D	1	D	1, D	14b, 15d
0540	EIS should explore the cost to tax and rate payers by choosing a 37-mile option over a 4 mile option.	Individual	E-mail	North Bend, along Alt D	1	D	1, D	1c
0540	Surely the watershed alternative is simpler, cheaper and has fewer impacts than Alternative D, which is in a more developed area.	Individual	E-mail	North Bend, along Alt D	1	D	1, D	1c
0540	Residents impacted under Alternative D are not as vocal or organized as those in Kent/Covington area. Shocked to hear that BPA is considering Alt D due to less resistance by inhabitants, due to a smaller population base.	Individual	E-mail	North Bend, along Alt D	1	D	1, D	2a, 15d
0541	Support a No Action alternative, even if it means rolling blackouts.	Individual	E-mail	Seattle		1	1	1b
0541	EIS should address a No Construction alternative, which would include retrofitting existing power structures to be more efficient and encouraging conservation. New construction should be a last resort.	Individual	E-mail	Seattle		1	1	1b, 3a
0541	BPA, Seattle, and Washington State should actively and aggressively encourage conservation practices for homeowners and large corporations. BPA could provide rate-cut benefits for people to install efficient windows and doors to properly insulate their homes. Advertising could be used to encourage these.	Individual	E-mail	Seattle		1	1	1g
0541	Alternative 1 will degrade spawning and juvenile rearing habitat in the Cedar Watershed for Puget Sound Chinook salmon. The 150-foot right of way will cross several streams, degrading riparian vegetation and leading to altered stream temperatures, increased sediment intrusion into spawning gravels, decreased juvenile habitat, and reduced capacity for large wood debris recruitment. These are regulated under the Clean Water Act and Endangered Species Act. DEIS does not specific how many streams will be affected or discuss mitigation.	Individual	E-mail	Seattle				5e, 6b, 8d, 9a, 10b, 10c

0541	EIS should specify the extent of construction needed for roads, other infrastructure, and maintenance of the power line. More roads means more clear cutting, which is prohibited under the watershed's Habitat Conservation Plan. The roads would also cross streams, which would degrade salmon habitat and block fish passage. Proper culvert installation and maintenance must be included in project cost.	Individual	E-mail	Seattle		1	1	5a, 6a, 10b, 10c
0541	EIS should address impacts project would have on bald and golden eagles and the northern spotted owl. Bald and Golden eagles have a large wing span in which they can contact both live wires on a power line at the same time, causing injury or death from electrocution. The watershed also provides habitat for these raptors. The state prohibits forest clearing within a certain buffer around Bald Eagle nesting sites.	Individual	E-mail	Seattle		1	1	5h, 9d, 11b, 11c
0541	Cedar River Watershed hosts one breeding pair of spotted owls and several non breeding individuals. The state protects nesting sites with no cut buffers and the Habitat Conservation Plan prohibits logging for the next 50 years. The project would not be in compliance with the intent of the Endangered Species Act since additional clearing outside the right-of-way will be necessary to remove trees that may fall into the power line.	Individual	E-mail	Seattle		1	1	5h, 9d, 11b, 11c
0541	The DEIS does not adequately comply with NEPA because it only nominally addresses some of the impacts and pre-determines a limited set of alternatives, all of which run through the watershed. There is strong public support for the watershed's Habitat Conservation Plan. It is premature for BPA to reject alternatives outside the watershed.	Individual	E-mail	Seattle		1		1a, 2d, 5a
0541	Alternative 1 counteracts everything in the Cedar River Watershed Habitat Conservation Plan. BPA should consider if the project benefits outweigh the impacts to the environment and quality of life for people living in Washington State.	Individual	E-mail	Seattle				5h, 10c, 15c
0541	Alternative degrades one of the purest sources of drinking water for any city in the country and rejects the values of the people of Seattle.	Individual	E-mail	Seattle		1	1	5d, 15e
0542	Opposed to Alt C because of disruption to private property, damage to sensitive natural growth along the route, and risk to human life.	Individual	Letter			C	C	14a, 15e, 19a
0542	The environmentalists opposed to the power line going through the watershed have arguments based on half-truths. The watershed is actually heavily logged and already has a power line.	Individual	Letter			C	1, C	2a, 5g
0542	As a daycare provider, concerned about children's health. Submitted research that shows that power lines lead to increased risk of childhood leukemia.	Individual	Letter			C	C	19b

0543	Considering purchasing a home in south Snohomish County that has power lines running south across the back of the property. What are the voltages and are there any future plans to upgrade or change them?	Individual	E-mail					20b
0544	Requests a plan/schematic showing a more detailed possible routing of the new line in Alt B, east of SR 18 and north of I-90.	Individual	E-mail	Snoqualmie				2a
0545	Is there a web site where I could read more about the proposed new power lines and see a map of where they will go?	Individual	E-mail					2a
0546	Concerned that proposed line could pass over their property within a couple hundred yards. Their property is their retirement investment, and taking a loss on it would be harmful. Concerned about health. Request putting the line in the watershed or other uninhabited area.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley				14b, 15c, 19a
0547	Power lines should be located someplace other than Maple Valley to protect the residential, family friendly, rural atmosphere.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley				15d
0548	Manager of Transmission Contracts and OASIS trading at Puget Sound Energy, Inc. in Bellevue, WA. There is insufficient transmission capacity for new development of new generation, such as gas-fired plants, cogeneration, and wind power; he has to turn away interested developers.	Business	Seattle public meeting					1f, 3b
0548	Manager of Transmission Contracts and OASIS trading at Puget Sound Energy, Inc. in Bellevue, WA. Across the U.S., utility investment in transmission plants has been declining for a decade because of negligible transmission investment incentives and fewer new rights-of-way due to competing land uses and growing community and environmental opposition.	Business	Seattle public meeting					2a, 3a
0548	Manager of Transmission Contracts and OASIS trading at Puget Sound Energy, Inc. in Bellevue, WA. Across the U.S., utility investment in transmission plants has been declining for a decade because of negligible transmission investment incentives and fewer new rights-of-way due to competing land uses and growing community and environmental opposition.	Business	Seattle public meeting					4a, 15a
0548	Manager of Transmission Contracts and OASIS trading at Puget Sound Energy, Inc. in Bellevue, WA. The key to healthy competition in any network-based industry, such as natural gas, is in a "strong, modern delivery system." None of the benefits -- such as market improvements in electric services, expanded services, greater customer choice, and lower prices -- will happen without a reliable transmission system in place. As an unregulated entity with the necessary financial resources, BPA is capable of making investment in the region's transmission network that will benefit the entire region.	Business	Seattle public meeting					3a, 14a

0549	EIS should look at monitoring and mitigation of Alt 1 compared to Alt C. Monitoring and mitigation will be more effective when dealing with one or two property owners rather than hundreds.	Individual	Comment form	Maple Valley			1, C	1c, 6a
0549	In the cost analysis of the alternatives, include the cost of defending lawsuits from private owners, many of whom have already retained attorneys and are prepared to file suit to delay the project.	Individual	Comment form	Maple Valley			1, C	1c, 14a
0550	For more than 30 years, a 500-kV line has run through the Cedar River Watershed with minimal impacts. The absence of environmental problems is why Seattle is using the watershed as part of its Habitat Conservation Plan. BPA's original decision to located a second, parallel 500-kV line through the watershed is the best solution to meet transmission needs AND protect the environment.		Other		1		1	1d-iii, 3a, 5g, 15e
0550	In a last minute political move, radical environmental groups are now insisting that the proposed line would destroy the watershed, and are threatening a lawsuit. Rather than take a stance against groups who give conscientious conservationists a bad name, Seattle has forced BPA to delay construction, reopen the EIS, and reconsider other alternatives.		Other		1		1	2e, 15c
0550	Seattle is also suggesting that BPA may need to build an expensive filtration plant as a mitigation for construction. Such a plant is not needed for construction mitigation and because Seattle has at least two weeks' supply of water in its Cedar River lines and Lake Young's reservoir. This sounds like a negotiation strategy for BPA to get the radicals off Seattle's back.		Other		1		1	5d, 6a
0550	The residents of the affected communities are treated as pawns while the various interest groups posture. The residents are going through the stress of being threatened with losing their homes.		Other		1		1	2e, 14a, 15f
0550	It is unreasonable to displace hundreds of people from their homes, create unnecessary construction delays that could lead to future brownouts, drive up electricity rates, cause greater risks to the environment, and shirk responsibility of costs. Since the additional EIS must be completed, let's get on with it.		Other		1		1	1j, 2e, 3a, 6f, 15e
0551	EIS should look at mitigation of forest lost in Alt A and C, which will be the same as in the watershed.	Individual	Comment form		1		1, A, C	5a, 6e
0551	EIS should look at the impacts of water runoff from deforestation on S. Tiger Mtn on: seasonal creek volumes and turbidity, Holden and Issaquah creeks volumes and turbidity, impacts to structures and wells, and flooding based on the stream impacts. What are the mitigation plans for all this?	Individual	Comment form		1		1, A, C	6a, 7e, 8d, 9a

0551	Will the same care and installation processes be used for Alt A and C?	Individual	Comment form		1		1, A, C	6a
0551	Would like more information on compensation to property owners who suffer indirect damage based on installation (blow-downs, water run-off management expenses/damage, etc).	Individual	Comment form		1		1, A, C	6f, 7b, 9d, 14b
0551	Would like more information on specific details on items compensated for to homeowners, such as price of home, value of timber, planned improvements, etc.	Individual	Comment form		1		1, A, C	14b
0551	Alternatives A and C are clearly more impacting than Alternative 1. Questions the need for an EIS since the EIS is to support the choice of least impact and mitigation.	Individual	Comment form		1		1, A, C	2e
0552	On Alt C, the EIS should look at disturbing existing wetlands, riverheads (Taylor Creek), and wildlife - salmon spawn in that area. There are elk crossing signs on either side of the proposed Alt C power line on SE 216th St; elk frequent that N-S area.	Individual	Comment form	26910 SE 216th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	8d, 10c, 11a, 12a
0552	Would like more information about the health hazards of living under a power line. Moved to rural area to get away from biohazards, not have them overhead! Power lines have been shown to cause cancer, tumors, etc in humans.	Individual	Comment form	26910 SE 216th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	15a, 19a
0552	Less impact to go through watershed than Alt C. Watershed was logged in past and has less old growth than Alt C.	Individual	Comment form	26910 SE 216th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5g, 9a
0552	The watershed will need to install a filtration system even if the power line does not go through it.	Individual	Comment form	26910 SE 216th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5d, 6a
0553	EIS should look at cost for building more roads for placement of transmission lines, property values decreased. There is already a power line in the watershed.	Individual	Comment form	21633 - 271st Pl SE, Maple Valley (Hobart area)	1	C	1, C	1c, 5g, 6f, 14b
0553	EIS should look at the impact on families of having their homes ruined. Moved to the country to have a safe and fun place for kids and grandchild. Very disturbed about Alt. C; please keep the project as Alt. 1.	Individual	Comment form	21633 - 271st Pl SE, Maple Valley (Hobart area)	1	C	1, C	2e, 14a, 15f
0554	Chair, Greater Maple Valley Area Council Revise mailing and notification process - did not receive mail in Maple Valley. There were no announcements in the Voice of the Valley paper.	Interest Group	Comment form	Maple Valley				

0554	Chair, Greater Maple Valley Area Council 95% of the power from the new line is needed for Seattle and its suburban cities. All proposed transmission lines west of Seattle cross the Cedar River and many other sensitive streams and wetlands -- all are subject to significant environmental damage. All proposed transmission lines outside the watershed will be far more expensive.	Interest Group	Other	Maple Valley	1		1	1c, 3a, 8d, 12a
0554	Chair, Greater Maple Valley Area Council The water quality issue is a "red herring" as no one is willing to compromise water quality. Seattle has had a transmission line in the watershed for 30 years and has provided no evidence that BPA caused any decrease in water quality.	Interest Group	Other	Maple Valley			1	5d, 6a
0554	Chair, Greater Maple Valley Area Council Seattle is a beneficiary to a mitigation plan from BPA that more than compensates for any potential environmental damage of adding a second line through the watershed. Seattle could replant miles of old logging roads and ditches to compensate for any additional logging that needs to be done.	Interest Group	Other	Maple Valley	1		1	5a, 6a
0554	Chair, Greater Maple Valley Area Council As an environmentally friendly solution for Seattle to get its power, suggests a row of pylons with 175-foot tall transmission lines from Renton through the middle of Lake Washington to Kenmore.	Interest Group	Other	Maple Valley	1		1	15b
0555	EIS should look at the environmental impact of clearing in Alt C, which violates present land use laws of King County Sensitive Areas regulations (slopes greater than 40 degrees, etc).	Individual	Comment form		1	C	1, C	4a, 9a
0555	HUGE inequities exist on changes in land use between private citizens and governmental bodies, but the landowners are the best conservators of the environment -- they have chosen to preserve the old growth.	Individual	Comment form		1	C	1, C	4a, 9a, 15e
0555	Upgrading Cedar River Watershed power lines will not impact private property. Also, watershed trees are not old growth since the areas has been logged in the last 10 years. Private lands have trees than have been growing since the last logging in 1902.	Individual	Comment form		1	C	1, C	4a, 5g, 9a, 14b
0556	Under Alt C, the EIS should address water runoff to communities below the ridgeline of Tiger Mountain.	Individual	Comment form	16729 Tiger Mtn Rd SE, Issaquah	1	C	1, C	7b
0556	EIS should address more extensive flooding of Issaquah and destruction of salmon habitat due to crossing Issaquah creeks.	Individual	Comment form	16729 Tiger Mtn Rd SE, Issaquah	1	C	1, C	7e, 10b, 10c

0556	How will Alt. C affect the towns of Hobart and Ravensdale? Don't industries follow power line routes?	Individual	Comment form	16729 Tiger Mtn Rd SE, Issaquah	1	C	1, C	4e, 14e
0556	It is better to go through the watershed because it is the shortest distance. Avoiding the watershed will affect a greater number of streams, wildlife, communities, and private property simply due to its increased length.	Individual	Comment form	16729 Tiger Mtn Rd SE, Issaquah	1	C	1, C	5a, 6a, 8d, 11a, 14b, 15a
0556	EIS should look at the following both in and outside the watershed: number of wetland crossings, number of culverts necessary, number and age of shade trees removed, number of miles of new roads to be constructed.	Individual	Comment form	21675 - 271st Pl SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	6a, 9a, 12a
0556	Please consider the amount of vandalism to power lines and private owners, considering that the watershed is a secured area.	Individual	Comment form	21675 - 271st Pl SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	19a
0556	Public water can be filtered. Wetland degradation cannot be easily cured.	Individual	Comment form	21675 - 271st Pl SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5d, 6a, 12a
0556	Don't hold the landowners hostage. Put the line through the watershed and eliminate Alt C.	Individual	Comment form	21675 - 271st Pl SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5a, 15f
0556	Our society responds to the needs of humans. Given two options, we should minimize impact on humans. The shortest distance between two points is a straight line -- through the watershed.	Individual	Comment form	21675 - 271st Pl SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5a, 15a
0557	Will Alt C obliterate part of the Tiger Mtn trail?	Individual	Comment form	16729 Tiger Mtn Rd SE, Issaquah	1		C	4f
0557	Request more information on effects of high tension lines on property values.	Individual	Comment form	16729 Tiger Mtn Rd SE, Issaquah		C	C	1d-iii, 14b
0557	The line that travels twice as far damages twice as much. Shorter is better.	Individual	Comment form	16729 Tiger Mtn Rd SE, Issaquah		C	C	6a
0558	EIS should look at the "unnamed creek" due west of the top hookup on Alt C. He lives under the Rocky Reach (tower 119/1 Maple Valley-Rocky Reach) and has a seasonal creek that will be affected by runoff from Alt C. Submitted color photograph of the seasonal creek feeding Holder Creek from Tiger Mountain.	Individual	Comment form	16985 - 269th Ave SE, Issaquah	1	C	1, C	7b, 8d
0558	Requests full EIS data on all alternatives. Also, what is effect of power rates to cover costs of all options?	Individual	Comment form	16985 - 269th Ave SE, Issaquah	1	C	1, C	1j

0558	Going through the watershed has the least impact environmentally, socioeconomically, culturally, and monetarily. Seattle's objections to using its own property to serve its own power need is selfish and short-sighted.	Individual	Comment form	16985 - 269th Ave SE, Issaquah	1	C	1, C	1c, 3b, 5a, 14a, 15d, 16a
0558	Submitted color photographs of the second growth forest on the Taylor property, which was former railroad property sold in 1902. The forest is at least that old.	Individual	Comment form	16985 - 269th Ave SE, Issaquah	1	C	1, C	9a, 15e
0559	Please analyze impact of access roads on all alternatives. Consider effect of safety, gates, roads themselves, wetlands, old and new growth trees, number of culverts, number of miles of road, access issues, privacy, and vandalism both to BPA property and private property. Include all these potential costs in the EIS.	Individual	Comment form	21675 - 271st Pl SE, Maple Valley				1c, 6a, 9a, 19a
0559	In my yard, there is a rare (on the west side of the Cascades) breeding pair of pheasants.	Individual	Comment form	21675 - 271st Pl SE, Maple Valley				11a
0561	Questions need for transmission lines as "continual growth is an absolute myth." The region has gone through two decades of growth compounded by increased commuting and energy use. With this tapering of energy use, the 8-12 fold increase in electricity has moved toward self (or independent) generation in which waste heat is used for space heating or processing. Manufacturing in Puget Sound is expected to decline, residential heating is switching to gas, houses are being retrofitted for low maintenance.	Individual	Comment form	Seattle				1f, 3b
0561	This is another move to increase the transmission of electricity. There will be transaction costs with brokers increasing the cost so they can increase commissions, fees, and add-ons.	Individual	Comment form	Seattle				3a
0562	Consider environmental impact on private lands in Hobart, which is only a fence line away from the watershed and shares identical environmental concerns PLUS has landowners who have put money and energy into their homes.	Individual	Comment form	Hobart, on 266 Pl. SE off SE 208th	1		1	5a, 14b, 15e
0562	What will BPA do about the King County Forestry Stewardship? There are tax dollars and a fine if the lands are removed from the Stewardship.	Individual	Comment form	Hobart, on 266 Pl. SE off SE 208th	1			4b, 9a, 14f
0562	Hobart is an old, recognized community. A 500 kV line will destroy this friendly community's integrity.	Individual	Comment form	Hobart, on 266 Pl. SE off SE 208th	1			15f
0563	If building through the watershed, study the impacts to wildlife and habitat, particularly of species who do not like "edge" habitat	Individual	Comment form	Seattle			1	5h, 11b

0563	Study the impacts of tower footings and all road construction or reconstruction to water and habitat. Mitigation must exceed all disturbance because new disturbance usually has a greater impact than that which already has existed (i.e., the existing line).	Individual	Comment form	Seattle			1	5e, 6a, 11b
0563	BPA should reexamine options which use the existing corridor in the watershed without widening it, such as by using reinforced towers or other methods to accommodate additional capacity without affecting the landscape.	Individual	Comment form	Seattle			1	1d-iii, 5a, 6a
0563	Could landscape disturbance be offset by obliterating and replanting other roads in the watershed above and beyond those to be closed by Seattle as part of its Habitat Conservation Plan?	Individual	Comment form	Seattle			1	5h, 6a, 11b
0563	BPA should pursue other means of meeting power obligations to Canada.	Individual	Comment form	Seattle			1	3c
0563	Do not want additional damage to the watershed OR to send lines through people's backyards. The line should only be allowed through the watershed if the strongest possible mitigation is designed. Protection (purchase) of mitigating properties must be completed PRIOR to starting the project.	Individual	Comment form	Seattle			1	5a, 6a
0564	Wants to protect area within the Upper Issaquah Creek Subbasin, which covers an area of 11,450 acres (about 18 square miles). Subbasin is forested, mainly second growth timber, and lower portion is occupied by livestock farms and rural dwellings.	Individual	Letter	Hobart				4a, 8d, 9a
0564	Wants to protect area within the Upper Issaquah Creek Subbasin, which covers an areas of 11,450 acres (about 18 square miles). According to the Issaquah Creek Final Basin and Nonpoint Action Plan (Dec. 1996), the Upper Issaquah Subbasin represents the most abundant, relatively undamaged salmonid habitat in the Basin. Landscapes exhibit hydrology, water quality, and habitat conditions that benefit salmonid production. The Carey Creek system in particular has aquatic and terrestrial habitats for many species, including elk, deer bear, birds, and amphibians.	Individual	Letter	Hobart				8d, 10b, 10c, 11a

0564	Wants to protect area within the Upper Issaquah Creek Subbasin, which covers an areas of 11,450 acres (about 18 square miles). The Upper Issaquah Creek supports significant salmonid resource and has a concentrated salmonid spawning area. The Issaquah Creek Basin Current/Future Conditions and Source Identification Report shows that chinook and coho salmon exist immediately upstream. There are also five Locally Significant Areas (LSRAs) upstream and downstream that provide excellent spawning conditions due to unconsolidated gravels free of fines. Corridor also contains large forested wetlands that are unmapped in the King County inventory and serve as floodwater and sediment storage areas during water and likely as stream recharge areas at other times.	Individual	Letter	Hobart				7e, 8c, 10b, 10c, 12b
0564	Wants to protect area within the Upper Issaquah Creek Subbasin, which covers an areas of 11,450 acres (about 18 square miles). This area is home to up to 38 mammal species, such as shrews, deer mice, coast moles, blacktail deer, raccoon, black bear, coyote, bobcat, and cougar. This is also a significant wildlife corridor area for elk, a feature even more important now that the Highwater 18 interchange has cut off a corridor from Taylor and Tiger Mountain.	Individual	Letter	Hobart				11a
0564	Wants to protect area within the Upper Issaquah Creek Subbasin, which covers an areas of 11,450 acres (about 18 square miles). This is a significant wildlife corridor area for elk, a feature even more important now that the Highwater 18 interchange has cut off a corridor from Taylor and Tiger Mountain. Elk herds sustain their life cycle in this area, as there is adequate open space for the elk to calve, rest, rut, play, eat and drink. The Carey Creek corridor is a sensitive calving area each spring. Since 1995, 38 elk calves have been born to the herd, which is the first increase since modifying the Interchange at Highway 18.	Individual	Letter	Hobart				11b
0564	Wants to protect area within the Upper Issaquah Creek Subbasin, which covers an areas of 11,450 acres (about 18 square miles). This area is unique as a truly rural community in King County and has historic significance since many of the original settlers still live and work in Hobart.	Individual	Letter	Hobart				15a, 16c

0564	The proposal and Alternative Options will likely require Federal State, and County approvals and actions including: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404, Nationwide Permits, National Marine Fisheries Service ESA review, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife Hydraulic Project Approval, Washington Department of Ecology Section 401 water quality certification, Shoreline Substantial Development Permit, Coastal Zone Management Act consistency determination, NPDES Individual Permit for stormwater discharges, Washington Department of Natural Resources Forest Practices Approval, King County Clearing permit, Sensitive Area review, Public Agency and Utility Exception, and Haul Road agreement.	Individual	Letter	Hobart				2d, 4i, 10a, 11a
0564	Environmental review must be consistent to sections 102(2)copyright, P.O. 91-190 and 42 U.S. C.4332 (s) copyright, and RCW 43.21C and WAC 197-11 and WAC 197-12. King County should be a Co-Lead Agency for the environmental review, which should be a combined NEPA/SEPA document. If a feasibility study reviewing all proposed options does not exist yet, it should be prepared.	Individual	Letter	Hobart				2d
0564	Greater environmental impacts would likely exist for Options 5-7 than 1-4; request that Options 5-7 be considered but rejected for further environmental review due to higher impacts on environmental health (EMF), land use, visual quality, fisheries, wildlife, vegetation, wetlands, property values, water quality, and historic and archaeological elements.	Individual	Letter	Hobart				4a, 9a, 10a, 11a, 12a, 13a, 14b, 16c, 19b
0564	Requests copy of the Draft Growth Management Act Electrical Facilities Plan. Guesses that growth in the rural Hobart area would show add less than a 0.2 MW to the cumulative peak electrical demand in the next 10-20 years, so why is an additional 500 kV line needed?	Individual	Letter	Hobart				3b
0564	Requests mapping be done on a parcel map using an English scale as it is difficult to determine where the proposed alignments are located.	Individual	Letter	Hobart				2a

0564	Wants to protect area within the Upper Issaquah Creek Subbasin, which covers an areas of 11,450 acres (about 18 square miles). □ According to the Issaquah Basin Plan, future land uses will allow for a major increase in development, reducing forest and wetland areas by up to 50%. As a consequence, the 25-year peak flow model increases to almost 413 cubic feet per second (26% increase). This will accelerate flooding and channel migration in existing problem areas and may result in harmful impacts to salmonid and terrestrial resources, including reductions to the elk population.	Individual	Letter	Hobart				4g, 7e, 8d, 9a, 12a
0564	Wants to protect area within the Upper Issaquah Creek Subbasin, which covers an areas of 11,450 acres (about 18 square miles). King County should protect the Chinook and coho habitat immediately upstream and downstream for this area through policy and regulatory amendments. Regulations of concern are the imminent revision of the National Marine Fisheries Service 4d rule, King County ESA Advisory Committee, Current/Future Conditions and Source Identification Report (1991), the Issaquah Final Basin Study (1996), and the Tri-County Salmon Restoration Committee.	Individual	Letter	Hobart				
0564	Wants to protect area within the Upper Issaquah Creek Subbasin, which covers an areas of 11,450 acres (about 18 square miles). □ Describes the potential harm suffered or anticipated if Alternative Options 4,5,6 or 7 are implemented.	Individual	Letter	Hobart				20b
0565	EIS should look at impacts to King County Rural Initiatives, including Public Benefit Rating System and others.	Individual	Comment form	22023 - 270th Ave SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	1a, 4b
0565	For Alt C, EIS should look at impacts to forested wetlands associated with streams, rivers, wetlands, significant stands of old timber and snags, and varying decay classes.	Individual	Comment form	22023 - 270th Ave SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	8d, 9a, 12b
0565	Need more information specific buyout processes for affected homeowners, specific environmental mitigation measures, not BMPs.	Individual	Comment form	22023 - 270th Ave SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	6a, 14c
0565	Alt C appears to have greater environmental and socioeconomic impacts than Alt 1, on a per acre basis.	Individual	Comment form	22023 - 270th Ave SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	14a
0565	By 1994, 74,000 acres of the Cedar River Watershed had been clearcut and water to Seattle was apparently not interrupted. How can 5 miles of new right-of-way and 1.5 miles of new road in a 90,000 acre area create a POTENTIAL water quality problem?	Individual	Comment form	22023 - 270th Ave SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	4j, 5d, 6a

0566	Prefers Alt 1 because any alternatives that run through the rural communities would violate their rural lifestyle and cause greater impact to numerous sensitive areas on private properties, including headwaters to Taylor, Holden, Issaquah, and Carey Creeks, which are salmon-spawning habitat.	Individual	Comment form	21675 - 271st Pl SE, Maple Valley	1		1	10b, 10c, 14b, 15f
0566	Why would it be economically and environmentally more sound to build the additional line anywhere but along existing lines in the watershed?	Individual	Comment form	21675 - 271st Pl SE, Maple Valley	1		1	5a, 6a
0567	Rural residents do not have the money to fight this proposed power line. Alternative 1 through the watershed is best and is a straight line. The revenue from logging the watershed is good for the City of Seattle. Understands need for the line. Concerned about noise.	Individual	Other	Cedar Falls Road 345	1		1	5b, 17a
0568	The sentiment that construction of the KELT line through the Cedar River Watershed would harm endangered species, damage the pristine watershed, and put Seattle's drinking water at risk is at odds with the watershed's history. Letter describes the history of the Cedar River Watershed, from delivery to the City of Seattle in 1901 to more recent events. Summary is that the watershed is not pristine; it is intensively managed and has the associated problems that should not be ignored because of political expediency.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	5a, 11c
0568	City of Seattle has a history of ignoring concerns of eastside residents on issues of water management, including destroying homes and businesses of people without representation in Seattle. The towns of Taylor and Barneston existed within the watershed before Seattle owned it and Seattle condemned the private property and removed the people. In 1915, Seattle built the Masonry Dam at Chester Morse Lake, which flooded the residents of the town on Moncton out of their homes, but Seattle never took responsibility or compensated the victims. At least when the sawmill and part of the town of Boxley were destroyed, Seattle admitted involvement and compensated.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	5b, 15b
0568	Regarding the watershed's history, the town of Barneston in the watershed was an active community involved in logging. After WWI, truck logging replaced railroad logging, and the abandoned grades and logging camps still exist in the watershed. The camps are of interest to archaeologists, but the abandoned grades could potentially impact water quality.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	5d, 16c

0568	In the late 1970s and early 1980s, the watershed was extensively logged, resulting in one of the most overroded watersheds in the central Cascades and that 85% of the watershed is second growth timber. Thousands of acres of clear cuts exist in the upper watershed while the lower watershed is covered by second growth timber with little diversity.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	4c, 5g
0568	No accurate inventory of diversity within the watershed exists. In the early 1990s, SPU initiated an inventory program but the program provided less information than what previously existed. Lack of an inventory means it is not possible to estimate the watershed's asset value (the watershed is a capitol asset of the City of Seattle) and the amount of carbon sequestered cannot be estimated.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	5a
0568	Seattle has agreed to a 50-year Habitat Conservation Plan, but no estimate of the habitat exists. Habitat mapping is based on inventory data, which is lacking. How can Seattle estimate what habitat will exist and what habitat it will be required to produce?	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	5h
0568	The Habitat Conservation Plan was also developed without a reliable water yield estimate. Usually in a forested watershed, reducing the number of clearcuts reduces water yield and as trees mature they intercept more precipitation, also reducing water yield. Without an inventory, it is impossible to estimate the impact of the HCP on water yield or modify the estimates as trees mature.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	5h, 8a, 9a
0568	Regarding claims that putting the line through the watershed would damage endangered species: there is a lack of habitat mapping in the watershed, and the proposed line is located downriver of the Barneston town site on a lowland gravel outwash terrace through second growth timber, which means the line would not pass through significant Rain-on-Snow zones and thus poses lower risk of land or snow slides than the Stampede Pass routes. Also, second growth timber lacks diversity and opening it up can benefit wildlife and diversity.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	5h, 7d, 9a, 11b, 11c
0568	An improvement in the route of the line through the watershed would be to place the new line west of the existing line instead of on the east hill, thus avoiding more wetlands and riparian areas on Brew Hill.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	5a, 8d, 9a, 12a
0568	In terms of water quality, the line will not impact vegetation within the Cedar River Canyon, since the towers will be on the terrace above the river and no riparian vegetation will be removed.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	5e, 9a

0568	Perhaps BPA could fix the Barneston Bridge as part of this project, as the bridge is currently falling apart. It is located upstream of the proposed line crossing.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	20b
0568	The watershed has over 500 miles of roads, many of which are converted railroad grades or truck roads built in the 1970s and 1980s. Few would meet current standards and many are adjacent to streams, serving as a direct source of sediment. New stream-adjacent parallel roads must be located outside the riparian management zone (WAC 222-24-020(2)). Under the Forest and Fish Report, landowners are required to bring road systems up to current standards. SPU has not done an road inventory to determine compliance or completed or road maintenance and abandonment plan (RMAP) (WAC 222-24-051). SPU has requested exemption from RMAP, which does not make sense given the supposed desire to protect water quality. Upgrades could cost tens of millions, which is not covered by the HCP.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	4c, 5d, 6a, 7b, 8e
0568	SPU has a culvert inventory, which could help estimate the number of sins of commission (all culverts or bridges that should exist but do not, including relief culverts for stream crossings, in roads that have no water management structures, road crowns, ditches, water bars, and drivable drips). No relief or seasonal stream culvert in western WA can be less than 18" in diameter; anything smaller must be replaced.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	5b, 8d
0568	Poor road maintenance by SPU is a greater threat to water quality than the proposed transmission line. In the Taylor Creek drainage, several landslides have delivered sediment to Taylor Creek and the Cedar River. Notable slides have occurred on the 62 road, where a spur road intersects the 62 road and there are no culverts. No efforts have been made to correct the cause or stabilize the area.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	5e, 7d, 8d
0568	Seattle wants to charge other people for the cost of complying with its wishes. The eastside will have to pay more for BPA's power and more for water, and possibly lose its water supply as water yield from the Cedar River decreases and Seattle reserves a greater percentage for its own use.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	1j, 8a, 15b
0568	By not logging in the watershed, the tax base for Snoqualmie Valley Schools is reduced, although the Forest Service made up this difference.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	4c, 5b

0568	Other than the Stampede Pass options, the transmission line must cross the Cedar River. Other than destroying eastside homes and businesses, the downriver option represents a risk to water quality.	Individual	Letter	2340 SE Black Nugget Road, A-306, Issaquah	1		1	8e
0569	What prompted BPA to do a second DEIS in lieu of completing the FEIS? The BPA letter of December 6, 2001 said the line would run through the watershed and that 700 comments and requests for additional information would be answered in the FEIS.	Individual	Letter		1	C	1, C	2e
0569	In the cost analysis of Alt C, how will BPA determine the value in the change in aesthetic life style of the affected homeowners? Will homeowners be reimbursed for moving expenses?	Individual	Letter		1	C	1, C	14b, 15f
0569	What is BPA doing to address the concerns of Seattle Public Utilities with the construction of the proposed line in the watershed?	Individual	Letter		1	C	1, C	5a, 6a
0569	Will BPA be liable for homeowners who sold their homes or bought new homes after the BPA letter of December 6, 2001? This letter stated the new line would run through the watershed, so the new homeowners may take legal action against the previous homeowner or the BPA. This should be included in the cost analysis of Alt C.	Individual	Letter		1	C	1, C	14b
0569	Letter dated December 6, 2001 from Project Manager Lou Driessen to People Interested in the Kangley-Echo Lake Transmission Line Project." Provides an update on the status of environmental review for the project.	Federal Agency	Letter					2a, 3a
0570	EIS should consider the number of years the city of Seattle has logged the watershed, number of miles of logging right-of-way and power line right-of-way in the watershed, and the environmental impacts to the watershed and Cedar River by damming it to create Chester Morse Lake and the recent crib dam to raise its capacity. Use this info as an objective standard to compare with the small impact of BPA's project. Why wouldn't BPA use the watershed route, since it has the least impact to the environment, people, private and public property, and would be cost effective for the rate payers?	Individual	Letter	North Bend	1		1	1c, 5g, 14a
0570	EIS should look at impacts of removal of timber and the visual impacts to the I-90 Sound to Mountains Greenway, John Wayne Trail, and Snoqualmie National Forest. It should also look at the number of stream and river crossings of routes B&D vs. routes A&C.	Individual	Letter	North Bend				4f, 13a
0570	EIS should look at the number of stream and river crossings of routes B&D vs. routes A&C.	Individual	Letter	North Bend	1		1	8d
0570	Concerned about noise from added circuits and voltage, EMF, diminished property values and other unforeseen damages.	Individual	Letter	North Bend				14b, 17b, 19b
0571	"Leave us alone!"	Individual	Other	Black Diamond				15d

0572	EIS should look at impact of Alt C on property owners, property value, health, family and animals.	Individual	Comment form	27016 SE 236th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	14b, 19a
0572	Would like more information about construction in the watershed. Understands that most work could be done by helicopter and would not disturb the land much.	Individual	Comment form	27016 SE 236th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5a, 6a
0572	No reason to run the line over private property since the watershed route would not have an effect on anyone. Concerned because home is located a block from the proposed route.	Individual	Comment form	27016 SE 236th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	4b, 14b, 15d
0573	Angry that people in his community have to protest being run over again, just to please the people of Seattle. Does not feel Seattle cares about his way of life. Wants to keep his ten acres, watch TV, listen to the radio, not be impacted by noise and health problems from power lines. GO THROUGH THE WATERSHED.	Individual	Comment form	27016 SE 236th, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	14c, 15b, 17b, 19a
0574	EIS should look at the many horses, humans, and wildlife the lines would cross, as there are possible health problems. Some scientists say high voltage can cause cancer. BPA would be liable for suits in cases of health problems.	Individual	Comment form	27206 SE 236th St Maple Valley				19b
0574	BPA would be liable for suits in cases of possible fire or damage if bad weather causes lines to fail.	Individual	Comment form	27206 SE 236th St Maple Valley				19c
0574	Property value would decrease because of towers and lines over private property; aesthetics would also decrease property value.	Individual	Comment form	27206 SE 236th St Maple Valley				13b, 14b
0576	Written copy of the letter she read at the public hearing; her comments at the hearing are summarized in BPA Log ID entry #1045-017.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1	C	1, C	
0577	Proposed Alt C would put a power line close to his house; he and family have lived there for 23 years. It would be very expensive for BPA to buy all the private property along the route. Dismayed to learn that BPA is reconsidering its earlier decision to put the line through the watershed.	Individual	E-mail	24929 - 276th Ave SE Ravensdale	1	C	1, C	1c, 2e, 14b, 15f
0577	With the likelihood of terrorism in this country, the watershed would be a better location, as it is already protected by fences and gates from illegal trespass. It is more secure than a line in the open, as Seattle and environmentalists are proposing.	Individual	E-mail	24929 - 276th Ave SE Ravensdale	1	C	1, C	5a, 19a
0578	Letter to KING TV on behalf of all private property owners along Alt C. The route has hundreds of homes, beautiful rural country, wetlands, forested land, riparian communities, bear, elk, deer, birds, and other wildlife.	Individual	Letter	23628 - 266th Ave SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	8d, 9a, 11a, 12a, 14a

0578	Letter to KING TV on behalf of all private property owners along Alt C. The area's climate and land are perfect habitat for the marbled murrelet, an endangered seabird that flies inland to nest in older coniferous trees. There are pockets of trees over 100 years old and old growth throughout the strip of land adjacent to the watershed that would be destroyed. 113 acres of coniferous trees would be destroyed. Since Alt C is right next to the Cedar River Watershed and much of the timber along Alt C is older than in the watershed, due to logging, it is likely that if the marbled murrelet is in the watershed, it is also along Alt C.	Individual	Letter	23628 - 266th Ave SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5h, 11b, 11c
0578	Letter to KING TV on behalf of all private property owners along Alt C. Points out that Steller's jays are thought to be a main predator of the marbled murrelet and are most abundant in clearings from timber harvestings (www.sei.org/murrelet.html). Therefore, in the heavily logged watershed it is more likely that jays are a predator to the murrelet, in contrast to the private property along Alt C that has not been logged recently. The EIS does not mention any evaluation of destruction of potential murrelet habitat along Alt C.	Individual	Comment form	23628 - 266th Ave SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5h, 11c
0579-001	Home at 103/3 (Alternative B&D) concerned about access for large equipment near their home		North Bend public meeting				B, D	4a, 14d
0579-002	Wildlife in the area of Alternative C, they are in rural residential area beside Cedar River Watershed - they don't pay attention to fences.		North Bend public meeting				C	5h, 11b
0579-003	How could we consider Alternative C when we already have existing line (impacts) in Cedar River Watershed?		North Bend public meeting				C	5g
0579-004	If Alternative C is constructed, a new buyer may not be bothered by powerline because it is there now, but, I as an existing landowner, would realize a "loss" in value due to intrinsic value.		North Bend public meeting				C	14b
0579-005	Water source at 107/4 on RR-MV line - concerned about impacts to that water source		North Bend public meeting				C	8a
0579-006	Viewshed impact.		North Bend public meeting					5f, 13a
0579-007	Alternative B will increase height of towers and many homes will now see the tops of powerlines.		North Bend public meeting				B	13b
0579-008	Some very expensive homes in area of Alternative B&D		North Bend public meeting				B, D	14c
0579-009	Would Alternative D go on the North or South side of existing Railroad-Maple Valley line?		North Bend public meeting				D	4a
0579-010	Construction access going through residential areas - impacts of that on existing roads and residents - mobile cranes are very large and heavy pieces of equipment.		North Bend public meeting					4h, 17c

0579-011	Alternative B&D - new homes going in both sides from 103/4 to 104/1.		North Bend public meeting				B, D	4b, 14b
0579-012	Why can't you go underground?		North Bend public meeting					1e
0579-013	Has private land on Denny Creek off of I-90. Will new powerline (Alternative D) go on north side of South Fork Snoqualmie River? Even though they lived there since 1960's, only got electrical service in 1976 and here we may lose our property due to powerlines - IRONIC.		North Bend public meeting	Denny Creek off I-90			D	
0579-014	Has permit to build house but doesn't know whether to proceed due to possibility of doing Alternative D.		North Bend public meeting				D	4b, 14a
0579-015	If you take an extra 150' of my property, I won't have anything useable left - how do compensate for that?		North Bend public meeting					14c
0579-016	Due to uncertainties, can't market properties that have potential to be within or near alternatives?		North Bend public meeting					14b
0579-017	Alternative C, value of property is high about \$400,000 2-5 acre lots with a house. I assume value will drop at least \$100,000 per homesite.		North Bend public meeting				C	14b
0579-018	People with less means are targeted with these types of projects, as opposed to upper class neighborhoods (Alternative C.)		North Bend public meeting				C	
0579-019	If Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) crosses watershed, I understand that BPA will have to compensate City of Seattle with 1,000 acres, but for the property owners outside the watershed, they will receive no such compensation.		North Bend public meeting				C	14a
0579-020	Describe the compensation		North Bend public meeting					14c
0579-021	Alternative C where it crosses Cedar River and Walsh Lake Diversion Stream, there is a significant wetlands area here, with marshes and small lakes.		North Bend public meeting	Cedar River and Walsh Lake Diversion Stream			C	12a
0579-022	Many of us acquired our property as investment property, we plan to sell later in retirement.		North Bend public meeting					14a, 15f
0579-023	Why should homeowners be impacted (rich or poor) when you can go over the preferred alternative?		North Bend public meeting		1		1	14a
0579-024	If you use helicopters to minimize impacts to land on watershed, why not construct there, they have plenty of acreage and you wouldn't impact the normal property owner.		North Bend public meeting					5b, 14a

0579-025	People have constructed beautiful new homes (1 year old) and no one told them that the powerlines could impact them like this [John Day Development - Twin Falls Estate - This is along Alternative B&D, South of I-90]		North Bend public meeting	John Day Development- Twin Falls Estate-S. of I-90				2a, 14a, 15f
0579-026	New Line along Alternative D would take out our shop and take over half of our back building lot, would render property not worth a lot (Twin Falls Estates)		North Bend public meeting	Twin Falls Estates			D	14e
0579-027	B&D Alternative would impact view of new developments such as uplands reserve.		North Bend public meeting				B, D	13b, 14a
0579-028	Route should go across watershed. It has the least impact on people and people matter too!		North Bend public meeting				1	15a
0579-029	Thoroughly examine all routes and prove that to the public - no lip service!		North Bend public meeting					2a
0579-030	Without more clear maps, its hard to tell which routes affect my property.		North Bend public meeting					2a
0579-031	Use existing right-of-way.		North Bend public meeting					4a
0579-032	Clear cuts are unsightly, not to mention the towers.		North Bend public meeting					13a
0579-033	As details of the project become more clear, keep us informed!		North Bend public meeting					
0579-034	Alternatives are expensive for everyone, including BPA!		North Bend public meeting					1c
0579-035	EMF issues - what is the clearance?		North Bend public meeting					19b
0579-036	People who don't have easements will be affected also by property values		North Bend public meeting					14b
0579-037	Although I don't want line constructed on Alternative C, I just think that the watershed is the best option when considering all the parameters.		North Bend public meeting				C	5a
0579-038	Alternative D would most likely not meet the Forest Plan objectives		North Bend public meeting				D	11g
0579-039	The majority of the corridor is for maintaining and enhancing late successional habitat – cutting the timber obviously would not meet this goal.		North Bend public meeting					4c, 5h
0579-040	Alternative D, opposite 107/4 would impact my residence – if you build on south side. I don't want line over or adjacent to home. This would decrease my property value (5 homes would be impacted).		North Bend public meeting	107/4			D	14b

0579-041	Alternative B, I'm opposite 107/4. Now towers are not visible. If you construct taller towers - they will be seen from my home.		North Bend public meeting	107/4			B	13b
0579-042	I don't want new line adjacent to my home because of health concerns as well as the noise (loud hum), by 107/4 I can't hear or see the lines and I want to keep it that way		North Bend public meeting	107/4				13b, 17b, 19a
0579-043	Do lines interfere with television etc.?		North Bend public meeting					19e
0579-044	I fully support conservation, but could it really be implemented in time to address all the needs for an additional powerline?		North Bend public meeting					1g
0579-045	Whether you do conservation or not, sooner or later a powerline will need to be constructed.		North Bend public meeting					1g, 3a
0579-046	When you put together the formulas for when the lines need to be constructed (2006) - what assumptions were used regarding conservation?		North Bend public meeting					1g, 3a
0579-047	The EIS really needs to address conservation and its relationship to when powerlines are required, in detail. If my requests for information are not addressed in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), then I will make it very painful for you to get through the process		North Bend public meeting					1g, 2e
0579-048	We are going to turn in petitions with upwards of 700 signatures opposing Alternative C, what influence might these petitions have?		North Bend public meeting			C	C	2a
0579-049	The opposition to the watershed should submit hard data on why the mitigation measures proposed by Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) wouldn't be effective.		North Bend public meeting					5g, 6a
0579-050	The effects of ozone: how does this fit into Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) analysis?		North Bend public meeting					2e, 18a
0579-051	Is there the potential that additional width right-of-way would need to be acquired along existing routes A, C1, C2 etc..?		North Bend public meeting				A, C1, C2	14b
0579-052	Landowners along Alternative C will make every activity so long, so costly, so labor intensive, so difficult and painful for Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) to pursue this alternative - with the goal that it will clearly be a non-option.		North Bend public meeting				C	1c
0579-053	The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must include all the detail necessary to convince the public that Alternative C is the best alternative, but if you compare fairly to other alternatives (watershed Alternative) selecting this alternative will not be possible.		North Bend public meeting		C		C	2e
0579-054	Be prepared for every stall tactic, legal maneuver and slow down you can imagine from property owners impacted by Alternative C.		North Bend public meeting				C	2a, 3a, 15a
0579-055	What if there were no other options besides double-circuiting through the watershed wouldn't BPA be able to get a waiver to double-circuit?		North Bend public meeting					1d-ii, 5a

0579-056	What are the conservation possibilities? 10%, 50% - what is realistic and applicable, both technically and from a consumer action standpoint?		North Bend public meeting					1g
0579-057	The marbled murrelets: I want proof that they exist in watershed and I want to know impact of proposed powerline on their habitat.		North Bend public meeting					5h, 11c
0579-058	Seems that Seattle Public Utility is using the rational prevention for Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) from installing the power line is unrelated to environmental issues.		North Bend public meeting					15c
0579-059	Isn't delaying the construction of this important line putting the City of Seattle at more of a risk than the risk of tainting the H2O quality?		North Bend public meeting					8e, 15c
0579-060	A unique rural culture (Alternative C) would be unfairly impacted by installation of this alternative when the benefit is primarily for urban culture. 113 acres of coniferous treed lands would be destroyed for Alternative C, home for many unique, vulnerable, and threatened birds who depend on this environment (goshawk, eagles, spotted owls, and possibly marbled murrelet)		North Bend public meeting				C	9a, 11b, 11c, 11e
0579-061	How about splitting the power at Stampede Pass, stepping it down from 500kV to 345, hanging 2nd 345 circuit on Rocky Reach-Maple Valley, 345 kV line. Step up power at Echo Lake to 500kV.		North Bend public meeting					1d-iii
0579-062	Potential for exotic species (flora and fauna) for invading transmission line rights-of-way such as cow birds and scotch broom.		North Bend public meeting					9b, 11a
0579-063	Abandoned Rail right-of-way is the Iron Horse State Park and also the John Wayne Trail		North Bend public meeting	Iron Horse State Park and John Wayne Trail				9d
0579-064	How do you value impacts to people and the natural environment?		North Bend public meeting					14b, 15e
0579-065	Alternative C would require rock blasting to implement ... I believe only Alternative C would require this huge environmental impact compared to the preferred Alternative through watershed.		North Bend public meeting				C	5a, 15e
0579-066	Chemicals used like, Ammonium Nitrate leak into water and soil, etc		North Bend public meeting					7a, 8a
0579-067	Environmental impact of destruction of wetland outside the watershed (Alternative C) needs to be assessed on a property to property basis to determine if court permission or county permits are required to construct power towers		North Bend public meeting				C	12a, 14b, 15e
0579-068	Property owners will not be compensated for the destruction of trees, brush and viable feeding ground for local wildlife – the City of Seattle would receive 1000 acres for the watershed.		North Bend public meeting					11a, 14d

0579-069	Watershed route would create least impact to the environment, people, private property and public property.		North Bend public meeting			1	14b, 15e
0579-070	Should look at the impacts of timber removal and visual impacts to the I-90 Sound to Mountains Greenway John Wayne trail and Snoqualmie National Forest.		North Bend public meeting	I-90 Sound to Mountains Greenway John Wayne Trail			4c, 13c
0579-071	Look at the number of stream crossing and impacts on the routes outside the watershed.		North Bend public meeting				8a, 15e
0579-072	Consider increased noise, EMF levels, diminished property values and other damages.		North Bend public meeting				14b, 17b, 19b
0579-073	Watershed is least impact when looking at the BPA list of considerations, especially people, private property owners.		North Bend public meeting			1	5a, 14b, 15c
0579-074	How many other streams/watersheds will be impacted by alternatives outside the watershed?		North Bend public meeting			2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	8a, 15e
0579-075	The additional line is definitely needed. People are the issue here. Look at how many years Seattle has logged in the watershed, the number of miles of logging roads, and damage caused by damming the Cedar River and the recent crib dam that was built to raise the level of CM Reservoir.		North Bend public meeting				3b, 5g
0580-001	Concerned that property owner receives fair compensation for any property – easement acquired	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				14b
0580-002	Towers placed on property will diminish property values	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				14b
0580-003	Access for construction purposes will be only be existing easement/right-of-way	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				14d
0580-004	Will crops taken by easement be reimbursed?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				14b
0580-005	Techniques used to construct powerlines should be environmentally friendly	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				15e
0580-006	Two weeks is not enough time to put in public comments.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				2c
0580-007	Towers in yards (front/back) would ruin property values	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				14b
0580-008	Concerned about health affects of 500kV lines in horses and humans	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				19b
0580-009	Concerned about noise pollution	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				17b

0580-010	Concerned about aesthetics of the powerline on property - Alt C	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				C	13b
0580-011	Sounds like Alt C would be more money than the preferred because BPA will have to buy more expensive easements.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				C	1c, 14d
0580-012	How I can help get the word out that BPA is offering construction mitigation to minimize impacts on the watershed? How can I help let people know about other mitigation BPA is proposing?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					2a, 5a, 6a
0580-013	Need more specific maps to see my property	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					2a
0580-014	My property is for sale, Alt C is a concern	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				C	14b
0580-015	The watershed makes sense because there is existing right-of-way already	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1		1	
0580-016	BPA should have maps available at public meetings for residents to take home.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					2a
0580-017	The powerline in my backyard gives animals a place to graze	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					11a
0580-018	The community is planning on involving King County to fight the City of Seattle. The line wouldn't be consistent with county zoning.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				1	3a, 4a
0580-019	If I felt that the preferred alternative really negatively affected the environment, I would not support the preferred alternative. I support the preferred alternative.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1		1	
0580-020	I feel that the City of Seattle is forcing BPA into the position of studying other alternatives. This is wrong.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				1	2e
0580-021	8" x 10" maps don't show enough details. I want to see aerial photos that show the density of housing compared between route C and Preferred Alternative.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				1, C	4b
0580-022	I have lines across my property now and BPA has been a good neighbor but I don't want to see Alt C	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting			C	C	
0580-023	What is the process after the scoping meetings?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					2a
0580-024	Our community is gearing up to fight Alt C	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting			C	C	2a, 15a
0580-025	I believe BPA can build the line through the watershed without impacting the water quality	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1		1, C	
0580-026	How can I get BPA's mitigation and scoping comments in writing?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					2a, 6a
0580-027	How far away from a 500kV line can you hear the corona noise?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					17b

0580-028	Near Tap Point of Alt 1, the proposed line would cross Brown's Creek, which empties into ground near gravel pit	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				1	4e, 8d
0580-029	No reduction in assessed land value for any land with powerline	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					14b
0580-030	Off of Section 26 there is a small cemetery. Lavendar Town	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					4a
0580-031	Affect of new line on property values will be negative – preferred route	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				1	14b
0580-032	Is the Nature Conservancy getting involved in the project?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					2a
0580-033	Powerlines near my property change the resale value	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					14b
0580-034	Powerlines are noisy – no buzzing!	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					17b
0580-035	How do I clarify when and how BPA can look at my property?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					2a, 14d
0580-036	Alt C would impact hiking trails on/near Tiger Mountain	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				C	4f
0580-037	On preferred route, Raver – E.L. line crosses my property. Cannot farm land due to existing towers. New line will make it worse. Cannot subdivide. Takes away value. County still has heavy tax on property lines	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				1	4d, 14b
0580-038	Is Seattle forcing BPA to study A,C,B&D?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				A, B, C, D	2e
0580-039	Seattle logged the watershed until 2 years ago	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				1	5g
0580-040	Is it easier to condemn private small landowners than the large City of Seattle?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				1	14b
0580-041	On Alt A – Maple Valley-Covington, will BPA modify existing towers?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				A	1d-iii
0580-042	Where will new towers go? What criteria? Have wetlands on property. New line will have noise. How will BPA mitigate lightning strikes?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					6a, 12a, 17b, 19a
0580-043	I support the preferred alternative	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1		1	
0580-044	I don't want the powerline on Alt C	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting			C	C	
0580-045	Is there a wilderness on Alt B&D?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				B, D	11a

0580-046	I think the cost of C would be high	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				C	1c
0580-047	I assumed that the purpose of the line was to serve local (Hobart) loads	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Hobart				3b
0580-048	Alt C would be a hardship on the local residents	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				C	14a
0580-049	Why are we listening to environmentalists in Seattle when they aren't affected by the impact on their own property?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					2a, 14b
0580-050	Watershed is not managing their animal population well (elk)	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				1	5h, 11a
0580-051	Seattle has been studying a filtration system for 10 years so what's the big deal now?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				1	
0580-052	I want maps clearly marked with streets – so people can identify their property	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					2a
0580-053	Impact of Alt C Don't want this route – would affect my property	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting			C	C	14b
0580-054	Waste of money looking at additional alternatives; Alt 1 is best	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1		1	2e
0580-055	We worked hard for our home and don't want to lose it. We want to retire there.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					14c, 15f
0580-056	Seattle should build their filtration plant and suck up the cost.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				1	
0580-057	Alt C is of greater impact to homeowners because there is not already an existing right-of-way.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				C	14d
0580-058	How does this impact property taxes?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					14f
0580-059	Don't know whether I should improve my property or put any investment into it, or wait?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					14b
0580-060	Bringing back alternatives adds another year of tension and anxiety to homeowners not knowing the fate of their home	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					2e, 15f
0580-061	EMF is a serious concern	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					19b
0580-062	Widening a corridor (watershed) is easier than building a new line	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1		1	5a
0580-063	I want the line through the watershed where it doesn't affect people.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1		1	
0580-064	Going through the watershed where there is an existing right-of-way is less of an impact to wildlife and the environment.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				1	5a, 11a, 14d

0581	Biodiversity Northwest encourages BPA to investigate possibility of using new technology that may eliminate the need for new transmission capacity. Submitted information on Siemens technology that may help relieve transmission bottlenecks, such as one in New York last summer that cost electricity customers an extra \$724 million. Flexible AC Transmission Systems (FACTS) are a new solid-state replacement for old electromechanical relays. The technology maximizes efficiency on existing power lines and can allow up to 50% more power in a given system by sending signals where needed. A contact point is Leonard Kovalsky, manager of the FACTS Business Segment of Siemens' High Voltage Systems Department (1-407-207-9770, ext 79770).	Interest Group	Letter			1	1	1d-iii
0581	Biodiversity Northwest believes the only alternative all communities can support is the No Action alternative. Urges BPA to use technology to eliminate the need for a power line through the Cedar River Watershed or any route adjacent to the watershed.	Interest Group	Letter			1	1	1b, 3a, 5a
0581	BPA should abandon archaic and environmentally-detrimental forms of power generation and distribution and adopt conservation initiatives, which would be good for local communities, endangered species, Habitat Conservation Plans and drinking water supplies.	Interest Group	Letter			1	1	1g, 5a, 11a, 15a
0582	Instead of running a line through the Cedar River Watershed and jeopardizing Seattle's high quality water, BPA should explore alternatives, especially conservation measures that would make a new power line unnecessary.	Individual	Comment form	Seattle		1	1	1g, 3a, 5d
0583	Lives on Covington-Maple Valley line, Alt A. A 230,000-watt line runs at the back of her property (about 125 feet from the house) and also through several residential neighborhoods and an elementary school. Gravely concerned with health effects and property values of increasing line to 500,000 watts. Has lived by this line for 16 years, but worries about doubling the wattage.	Individual	Comment form	2233 112th Avenue SE, Bellevue	1	A	1, A	14b, 15d, 19b
0583	Lives on Covington-Maple Valley line, Alt A. Putting the line through the Cedar River watershed will have the least impact on people. Is concerned with protecting the environment and water quality, but based on research, does not see how the line in the watershed would be harmful.	Individual	Comment form		1	A	1, A	5d, 15c

0584	Lives along Alt C in Maplewood Estates. Concerned about decreased property value due to powerlines. Believes BPA can build a line in the watershed an environmentally friendly manner. Since the public already owns the watershed, it will be faster, easier, and cheaper to put the line there rather than disrupt many people and their lives along Alt C.	Individual	E-mail	26531 SE 237th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	6a, 14b, 15f
0584	Lives along Alt C in Maplewood Estates. Alt C is a longer route than going through the watershed, so it would destroy more natural habitat. She takes special care of her property. There is a salmon creek behind the house that runs into the Cedar River, and they see elk, eagles, blue heron, woodpeckers, owl, and other birds.	Individual	E-mail	26531 SE 237th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	10c, 11b, 11c, 15e
0584	Lives along Alt C in Maplewood Estates. After 9-11, everyone should be concerned with water quality and there should be a filtration plant for the watershed's water regardless of where the power line is placed.	Individual	E-mail	26531 SE 237th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5d, 6a, 19a
0585	Lives about 400 feet from the power line. New line should go through the watershed. Would rather see the line go where it already is instead of through her mother's or sister's property along one of the other routes.	Individual	Telephone		1		1	5a, 14a
0586	Studies are inconclusive about the effects of high voltage powerlines on people. Play it safe and avoid adding anymore lines near people. Decrease the impact on human life.	Individual	Comment form	28031 185th Place SE, Kent WA 98042	1			15a, 19b
0586	Have the EIS look at additional power lines and their effect on homes bordering existing lines that are already noisy.	Individual	Comment form	28031 185th Place SE, Kent WA 98042	1			1d-iii, 4b, 17b
0586	Needs more information about FHA financing impacts of homes located near powerlines in Winterwood and other neighborhoods.	Individual	Comment form	28031 185th Place SE, Kent WA 98042	1			1c, 14b
0587	Alt. C goes through one of the few remaining open/farming areas of the Maple Valley-Hobart Ravensdale area.	Individual	Letter	20115 264th Ave. S.E., Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	4d
0587	Adverse affects on migrating geese, ducks, salmon & trout spawning streams, and families along the route (C.)	Individual	Letter	20115 264th Ave. S.E., Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	8d, 10a, 11a, 14b

0587	Alternative 1 and C both have negative environmental impacts, but alternative C has the added negative human impact.	Individual	Letter	20115 264th Ave. S.E., Maple Valley, WA 98038			1, C	15c
0587	Elk can be found in Alternative C.	Individual	Letter	20115 264th Ave. S.E., Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	11a
0587	Choosing alt. C erases King County's decision to protect the rural nature of the area in the Ravensdale Plan.	Individual	Letter	20115 264th Ave. S.E., Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	15b
0588	EPA has reviewed the Notice of Intent (NOI) by BPA to prepare a Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) to re-evaluate the four alternatives for a transmission line outside of the Seattle Cedar River Watershed.	Federal Agency	Letter	P.O. Box 12999 Portland, OR 97212			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	1a, 2e
0588	EPA's review will follow the NEPA and Section 309 of the Clean Air Act.	Federal Agency	Letter	P.O. Box 12999 Portland, OR 97212			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	2d
0588	EIS should include: 1. Which wetlands the transmission line will cross 2. What construction and maintenance impacts will occur to specific wetlands (forested wetlands that are logged to maintain a low vegetation easement, or herbicides used to control growth) 3. Road and right-of-way impacts requiring filling in wetlands and stream crossings.	Federal Agency	Letter	P.O. Box 12999 Portland, OR 97212			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	4h, 9d, 12b, 19d
0589	Private property would be taken when public property is already available.	Individual	Comment form	27421 S.E. 188th Street, Issaquah, WA 98027		C	C	15b
0589	Home lies on patch of Alternative C. If home, or neighbors' homes were taken, there would be a serious personal and financial impact.	Individual	Comment form	27421 S.E. 188th Street, Issaquah, WA 98027		C	C	14a, 15f

0589	Construction of additional power line should only be done in the preapproved public lands (Alternative 1)	Individual	Comment form	27421 S.E. 188th Street, Issaquah, WA 98027		C	1	4a
0590	Currently have a tower on land. Would be affected by B and D.	Individual	Comment form	44908 S.E 159th St., North Bend, WA 98045			B, D	4b
0590	B and D would expose her family to EMF's. Concern about cancer.	Individual	Comment form	44908 S.E 159th St., North Bend, WA 98045			B, D	19b
0591	Would like to know the effects of EMF.	Individual	Comment form	19021 S.E. 281st Place Kent, WA 98042				19b
0591	Wants to have the street names posted before any work begins.	Individual	Comment form	19021 S.E. 281st Place Kent, WA 98042				20a
0592	Concerned about cancer from EMF as well as the environment vs. human aspect of all alternatives.--address in the EIS	Individual	Comment form	15894 451st Ave. S.E., North Bend, WA 98045			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	15c, 19b
0592	Water disruption possibilities?--address in the EIS	Individual	Comment form	15894 451st Ave. S.E., North Bend, WA 98045			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	8a
0592	Affect of alternatives on property values?--address in the EIS Compensation for health hazards associated with radiation and EMF.	Individual	Comment form	15894 451st Ave. S.E., North Bend, WA 98045				14b, 19b
0592	Demands that City of Seattle address their (the city's) negligence of the Cedar River Watershed.	Individual	Comment form	15894 451st Ave. S.E., North Bend, WA 98045				5g

0592	Appalled that Seattle is contesting the parallel line in which no human impact or community disturbance will occur especially with helicopters dropping the towers in and working with EPA sensitivity.	Individual	Comment form	15894 451st Ave. S.E., North Bend, WA 98045			1	1d-iii, 15c
0592	City of Seattle should be solely responsible for a water filtration system. This seems to be a political issue.	Individual	Comment form	15894 451st Ave. S.E., North Bend, WA 98045				8e
0592	What about taxes? Reductions or eliminations?	Individual	Comment form	15894 451st Ave. S.E., North Bend, WA 98045				14f
0592	Wildlife is being viewed as more precious than human life in political circles.	Individual	Comment form	15894 451st Ave. S.E., North Bend, WA 98045				15c
0592	Home backs up to a historical Camp (Waskowitz) that services hundreds of kids who have never experienced a rural environment.	Individual	Comment form	15894 451st Ave. S.E., North Bend, WA 98045				16c
0592	Herd of elk and wildlife pass through her property all the time.	Individual	Comment form	15894 451st Ave. S.E., North Bend, WA 98045				11a
0593	No one will buy a house with a 500,000 volt over or near it.	Individual	Letter	27206 S.E. 236th St. Maple Valley, WA 98038		C		14b
0593	EMF cancer concerns as well as pacemaker interactions	Individual	Letter	27206 S.E. 236th St. Maple Valley, WA 98038		C		19b
0593	Bought house to live in natural environment, not to look at a 175' tower.	Individual	Letter	27206 S.E. 236th St. Maple Valley, WA 98038		C		13b

0593	The environmental and psychological impact of C is 10 times greater compared to other alternatives.	Individual	Letter	27206 S.E. 236th St. Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	15f
0593	Using wetlands is more cost effective in time and labor.	Individual	Letter	27206 S.E. 236th St. Maple Valley, WA 98038		C		1c, 12a
0594	Important to not disturb any forests in the Cedar River Watershed.	Individual	Comment form	5710 143rd PL SE, Bellevue, WA 98006				5h
0595	Idea of displacing hundreds of families and subjecting many more hundreds to exposure of the lines when a route is available through publicly owned property is illogical.	Individual	Letter	26525 SE 224th Street, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C		4a, 14c, 15b
0595	Thought decision to take Route #1 across the Cedar River Watershed was already resolved last year.	Individual	Letter	26525 SE 224th Street, Maple Valley, WA 98038				2e, 4i
0595	When looking for a house in the Hobart area 16 years ago, avoiding the sight of high-tension power lines was a definite criteria.	Individual	Letter	26525 SE 224th Street, Maple Valley, WA 98038				13b
0595	The loss of property and life style for those who are in the way of the line.	Individual	Letter	26525 SE 224th Street, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C		14b
0595	People next to the line will lose aesthetically and will have to bear the burden of noise pollution and a decrease in property values.	Individual	Letter	26525 SE 224th Street, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C		13b, 14b, 17b
0595	Pollution with: 1. EMF 2. Streams and wetlands 3. Wild animal habitats 4. Loss of trees and privacy	Individual	Letter	26525 SE 224th Street, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C		4c, 8d, 9d, 11b, 12a, 19b

0595	Her understanding is that this project will clear only 84 acres with the work done by hand and with helicopters the wetlands will be preserved.	Individual	Letter	26525 SE 224th Street, Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	5b, 6a, 12a
0595	With 74,000 acres that Seattle has logged, it is hard to believe that the 84 acres planned for this project will contaminate Seattle's water, reduce their water storage capacity, or alter animal habitat.	Individual	Letter	26525 SE 224th Street, Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	5d, 11b
0595	Don't put project in anyone's backyard.	Individual	Letter	26525 SE 224th Street, Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	15d
0596	Concerned about acquisition of right-of-way for Alternative C which would pass over house as well as neighbors' (Mr. Gordon Cox and Mr. Serge Timacheff) land.	Individual	Letter	16906 Tiger Mt. Rd. SE, Issaquah, WA 98027			C	4b
0596	Land is "artificially depressed" by presence of existing BPA lines and reimbursement at fair market value would be inadequate compensation. Unwilling to take a cut in current lifestyle to appease BPA. Wants enough money for equivalent house and lifestyle as current situation.	Individual	Letter	16906 Tiger Mt. Rd. SE, Issaquah, WA 98027				14b
0596	Neighbors (Mr. Cox and Mr. Timacheff) as well as himself are on small community water system (source: artesian spring up Tiger Mountain) where Alt. C. will put line. This would adversely affect supply and he expects BPA to provide a new water source that would be insensitive to disruptions.	Individual	Letter	16906 Tiger Mt. Rd. SE, Issaquah, WA 98027			C	8e
0597	Unacceptable for granddaughter to be exposed to EMF.	Individual	Letter	5655 Darden Park Dr. Garden Valley, CA 95633		C		19b
0597	Non-C alternatives do not expose people to health hazards or uproot them from their homes.	Individual	Letter	5655 Darden Park Dr. Garden Valley, CA 95633		C	1, B, D	14b, 19d
0597	European studies," Britain's National Radiation Protection Board," show correlation b/w childhood leukemia and EMF.	Individual	Letter	5655 Darden Park Dr. Garden Valley, CA 95633		C	C	

0597	Philip Puellella-"New report link between power lines and childhood leukemia" twofold increase in leukemia among children exposed to a magnetic field of more than 4 milligrams of EMF.	Individual	Letter	5655 Darden Park Dr. Garden Valley, CA 95633		C		19b
0597	California Department of Health Sciences has led project initiated by the California Public Utilities Commission (The California EMF Project) the findings are: 1. Magnetic Fields likely cause childhood and adult leukemia, adult brain cancer, spontaneous abortions, and ALS. 2. Possibility that magnetic fields cause childhood brain cancer, Alzheimer's disease, suicide, and heart problems.	Individual	Letter	5655 Darden Park Dr. Garden Valley, CA 95633		C		19b
0597	Eliminate Alternative C.	Individual	Letter	5655 Darden Park Dr. Garden Valley, CA 95633		C	C	2a
0598	Needs more information about Alternative A.	Individual	Comment form	27649 238th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			A	2a
0598	Is existing line from Langley to Covington only 230 kV?	Individual	Comment form	27649 238th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			A, C	1d-iii
0598	How will 500 kV line compare to existing as far as height goes and impact to: 1. Tahoma School District 2. City ballfields project planned for right-of-way in A's SW corner.	Individual	Comment form	27649 238th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			A	1d-iii, 4a, 15a
0598	Health impacts of 230 kV to adjacent high density housing?	Individual	Comment form	27649 238th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038				19a
0598	Limit impact to privately owned land and use responsible construction methods.	Individual	Comment form	27649 238th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038				6a, 14b, 15b
0598	Construction should be done where the public is excluded (Cedar River Watershed) if construction avoids substantial harm to salmon or other wildlife. Decrease the impact on the public.	Individual	Comment form	27649 238th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			1	5h, 10a, 11a, 15c

0598-040	Concerned about resale values		Covington public meeting					14b
0599	EIS should look at: visual impacts of Alt. B and D along I-90. Sound Greenway Transit has been restoring land along this route for 10 years.	Individual	Comment form	23321 75th Ave. W., Edmonds, WA 98026			B, D	13d
0599	Need information about the costs of the alternatives.	Individual	Comment form	23321 75th Ave. W., Edmonds, WA 98026				1c
0599	Heights of towers: Why the need for 175' towers in one alternative and 135' in another?	Individual	Comment form	23321 75th Ave. W., Edmonds, WA 98026				1d-iii
0600	EIS should look at: No-construction alternative.	Individual	Comment form	4649 Sunnyside Ave. N. #321 Seattle, WA 98103				1b
0600	EIS should look at: Feasibility of double-circuit line that would use existing ROW and not clear homes or trees.	Individual	Comment form	4649 Sunnyside Ave. N. #321 Seattle, WA 98103				1d-ii
0600	Needs more information on: Real conservation measures taken by BPA, smart pricing, Flexible AC Transmission Systems, demand-side management, turbines and co-generation.	Individual	Comment form	4649 Sunnyside Ave. N. #321 Seattle, WA 98103				1g, 2a
0600	Doesn't believe that Columbia River Treaty is non-negotiable.	Individual	Comment form	4649 Sunnyside Ave. N. #321 Seattle, WA 98103				3c
0600	Respect the Memo of Agreement between EPA and WA State Department of Health stating that there will be no construction unless it contributes to the health of the watershed.	Individual	Comment form	4649 Sunnyside Ave. N. #321 Seattle, WA 98103			1	5g, 15e

0600	More studies on the adverse effect on Chinook habitat as well as spotted owl habitat.	Individual	Comment form	4649 Sunnyside Ave. N. #321 Seattle, WA 98103			1	10c, 11c
0601	Have EIS look at Alternative 1	Individual	Comment form	19038 SE 283rd St. Kent, WA 98042-5457	1		1	
0601	BPA needs more information on helicopter construction methods and how this type of construction will impact the watershed.	Individual	Comment form	19038 SE 283rd St. Kent, WA 98042-5457	1			5a, 6a, 7a
0601	Why put line through residential areas or seen on I-90 when it can be put through the watershed?	Individual	Comment form	19038 SE 283rd St. Kent, WA 98042-5457	1		1, C	4b, 5a, 13d
0602	As a watershed ecologist, his professional opinion is that it is possible to construct a transmission line through the Cedar River watershed with minimal impacts on water quality, fish, and wildlife.	Individual	Letter	School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, UW			1	5e, 10a, 11a
0602	Helicopters for access to watershed satisfy most of the concerns regarding impacts to watershed.	Individual	Letter	School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, UW			1	5g, 6a
0602	Proposed routes through the watershed are inconsistent with community values and long-term plans for restoration and protection of the watershed.	Individual	Letter	School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, UW				5a, 15a
0602	Citizens of region have committed to an HCP that recognizes the qualities of the watershed and seeks to restore and mitigate past impacts and protect the watershed for the future.	Individual	Letter	School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, UW			1	5g, 15e
0602	Seattle citizens have made a strong commitment to the Cedar River Watershed and BPA should respect this as a community value.	Individual	Letter	School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, UW			1	5a, 15a

0602	With other alternatives available, BPA should not go through the watershed.	Individual	Letter	School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences,UW			1	1a
0602	BPA should focus its energy on the alternatives and demonstrate an appreciate for the values of the community.	Individual	Letter	School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences,UW			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	1a, 15a
0603	No new power lines through the Cedar River Watershed.	Individual	Other	2307 94th Ave. NE, Clyde Hill, WA 98004-2518			1	5a
0604	Line through watershed is shortest and cheapest. Existing right-of-way already exists. Going outside you would have to consider project scale, land acquisition, potential vandalism, and mitigation requirements. Maintaining water quality in watershed has never been a problem before Going around watershed will negatively impact sensitive streams, wetlands and a habitat that supports elk, deer, bear, coyote, eagles, heron, fish, amphibians, etc. C Lowers property value and quality of life. 1 doesn't effect as many homeowners. C increases health risks linked to EMF.	Individual	Letter	14506 SE Renton-Issaquah Rd., Renton, WA, 98059		C	1, C	1c, 4j, 5e, 6a, 8d, 9a, 11b, 11c, 12a, 14b, 19b
0604	How many acres would be affected running the line through the watershed compared to running the line outside? The line through neighborhood impacts streams and wetlands, the same number of Cedar River crossings, and more water quality issues due to increased clearing.	Individual	Letter	14506 SE Renton-Issaquah Rd., Renton, WA, 98059		C	1, C	5a, 8e, 9a, 12a
0604	Powerline through neighborhoods would provide access to properties thus increasing potential for vandalism, noise, etc. (right-of-way)	Individual	Letter	14506 SE Renton-Issaquah Rd., Renton, WA, 98059		C	1, C	9d
0605	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	6605 225th PL SW, Mountlake Terrace, WA, 98043		C	1, C	

0606	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	26758 SE 188th ST, Issaquah, WA 98027	C	1, C	
0607	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	26758 SE 188th ST, Issaquah, WA 98027	C	1, C	
0608	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	7210 SE 24th St., Mercer Island, WA 98045	C	1, C	
0609	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	11504 188th Ave. SE, Issaquah, WA 98027	C	1, C	
0610	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	506 Stevens Ct. NW, Renton, WA 98055	C	1, C	
0611	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	36638 32nd Ave. S., Auburn, WA 98001	C	1, C	
0612	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	30132 Redmond-Fall City Rd, Fall City, WA 98024	C	1, C	
0613	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	21418 276th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0614	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	19511 276 SE, Issaquah, WA 98027	C	1, C	

0615	This petition contains 500 signatures. This also corresponds with an additional 259 signatures on Record 0652 as well as 28 from record number 1131. Total signatures = 787 Opposed to following: 1. The consumption and use of private lands for public use 2. There are public lands and other options available 3. It would destroy sensitive native growth protected areas along its path 4. It would impact salmon and fish bearing creeks and streams 5. the cost of Alternative C would be much more expensive than the preferred option through the watershed including the large number of condemned properties and compensation that would be required. 6. Alternative C creates a higher overall impact than BPA's preferred option 7. Addition of subsequent power lines in the future could further impact our neighborhoods.	Interest Group	Letter	23628 266th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	C	1c, 4a, 8d, 9b, 10a, 14b
0616	He's a construction inspector for the water district and construction is so environmentally friendly by LAW that going through residential properties is far more dangerous than building on existing Right-of-way.	Individual	E-mail	27815 SE 264th St. & SE Landsburg Rd, Ravensdale W			1, C	6a, 14b
0616	Has power lines in yard already.	Individual	E-mail	27815 SE 264th St. & SE Landsburg Rd, Ravensdale W				4b
0616	If BPA drills and damages water system what happens when the well goes bad?	Individual	E-mail	27815 SE 264th St. & SE Landsburg Rd, Ravensdale W			C	8e
0616	City of Seattle won't pay to fix a damaged well and won't bring him new water.	Individual	E-mail	27815 SE 264th St. & SE Landsburg Rd, Ravensdale W			C	8a, 15b
0616	What trees would have to be cut on his property?	Individual	E-mail	27815 SE 264th St. & SE Landsburg Rd, Ravensdale W			C	4b
0616	Same concerns about property that City has for watershed.	Individual	E-mail	27815 SE 264th St. & SE Landsburg Rd, Ravensdale W				15c

0616	City doesn't have a true water right for owning the water.	Individual	E-mail	27815 SE 264th St. & SE Landsburg Rd, Ravensdale W				8a, 15b
0617	Family has lived in area and house for three generations. Don't take that away.	Individual	E-mail	22121276th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1		C	15f
0617	Alternative 1 is the most logical choice.	Individual	E-mail	22121276th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1		1	
0617	Alternative C shouldn't be considered because of the negative impact on the people living in these communities.	Individual	E-mail	22121276th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1		C	14b, 15f
0617	Alternative 1 is the best economical, ecological, and ethical choice.	Individual	E-mail	22121276th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1		1	1c, 15e
0618	Alt. B proposes replacing existing 150' or 90' towers with 180' towers.	Individual	Telephone				B	1d-iii
0618	Where are the 150' and 180' towers?	Individual	Telephone				B	1d-iii
0619	Needs maps to locate information about the alternative routes.	Individual	E-mail	pat.g.cleary@bankofamerica.com				2a
0620	Dorothy Trimberger made an offer (4/4/02) on parcel of land-132206 Township Range, Section owned by Selby-and sellers did not disclose potential impact of proposal C. Once she found out, she withdrew her offer (5/27/02.) Wants to know if Selby was on BPA's mailing list to determine whether they (sellers) were told of line and when were they told. Seller was notified on 5/15/02. BPA could not reassure her that sellers had received notice.	Individual	Telephone	21060 254th Place S.E., Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	2a, 14a
0621	Acquired property from landowner on Alt. C route and please remove: Kevin and Patricia Satre 26425 292nd Ave. SE Ravensdale, WA 98051 From mailing list.	Individual	Telephone	P.O. Box 927, Ravensdale, WA 98501		C	C	2a

0621	Was not informed when she purchased property from Kevin and Patricia Satre that alt. C was on the land and asked if the Satre's were on the BPA mailing list. She would not have bought land if she knew of alt. C. Satre's were on mailing list but their address was listed as: 26425 292nd Ave SE Ravensdale, Wa 98051 But it should be 26513 292nd Ave SE. BPA's Metroscan coverage lists 26513 as the mailing address. Add Terry to list with P.O. Box 927 as address.	Individual	Telephone	P.O. Box 927, Ravensdale, WA 98501		C	C	4j
0621	Against C because of impact to landowners and property values.	Individual	Telephone	P.O. Box 927, Ravensdale, WA 98501		C	C	14b
0621	Asked BPA to summarize acquisition process. Marian Wolcott described process. Then she asked whether BPA would condemn and Ms. Wolcott said that BPA does have the authority to condemn but that, historically, few of the negotiations end up in condemnation.	Individual	Telephone	P.O. Box 927, Ravensdale, WA 98501		C		14d
0622	Called to see how A might impact his property. Marion explained alternative A's construction timeline and line characteristics. Access road easements is not known at this time (6/17/02).	Individual	Telephone	17047 234 Way S.E., Maply Valley, WA 98038			A	2a, 14d
0623	Object to transmission proposal that goes through Hobart, Maple Valley, Ravensdale, or any residential area.	Individual	E-mail	obrien7P@aol.c om	1		1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	
0623	Line should go through the watershed.	Individual	E-mail	obrien7P@aol.c om	1		1	
0623	The wildlife have fared well in the watershed. There are elk, deer, etc. that roam the area.	Individual	E-mail	obrien7P@aol.c om	1		1	5h, 11a
0623	What is more important, the wildlife or the lives of children playing in their own back yard?	Individual	E-mail	obrien7P@aol.c om	1		1, C	15c
0624	Looking for information, maps, etc, regarding proposed transmission lines in the Maple Valley, Hobart, Ravensdale, and the City of Seattle Watershed.	Individual	E-mail	601 Union St., Suite 5300, Seattle, WA 98101			1, C	2a
0625	Previous request for information was sent to stakeholder by BPA (June 14, 2002)	Individual	E-mail	601 Union St., Suite 5300, Seattle, WA 98101				2a

0626	Lives in the path of route C and worried about line going through his land.	Individual	Telephone	27505 SE 268 Street Ravensdale, WA 98051			C	4b
0626	Disgusted that they went through this same thing two years ago and was told that the route wasn't in danger anymore. Already lost a sale on property two years ago b/c he had to disclose BPA's intention.	Individual	Telephone	27505 SE 268 Street Ravensdale, WA 98051			C	2e, 4i, 14b
0626	Decided not to move two years ago when C's route was thrown out. Now, concerned that the increase in his property value due to businesses in the area will once again be reduced b/c his home and the business will be affected by the line. He won't receive a fair market value for his home as it currently stands.	Individual	Telephone	27505 SE 268 Street Ravensdale, WA 98051			C	14b
0626	The only reason that C is an option is due to the political sphere and the environmental lobbyists.	Individual	Telephone	27505 SE 268 Street Ravensdale, WA 98051			C	20a
0626	The only thing he and neighbors can do is bring a class action lawsuit which would put them in litigation for years.	Individual	Telephone	27505 SE 268 Street Ravensdale, WA 98051			C	15e
0626	The power line has been there (Watershed) for years and there hasn't been any water pollution thus far.	Individual	Telephone	27505 SE 268 Street Ravensdale, WA 98051			C	5e
0627	Lives next to alt. A and would be adversely affected by this proposal.	Individual	E-mail	21320 185th Ave S.E., Renton, WA 98048	1		A	14b, 15f
0627	Confident that BPA will be successful in mitigation efforts to reduce and or omit any adverse ecological and environmental impacts to the watershed area.	Individual	E-mail	21320 185th Ave S.E., Renton, WA 98048	1		1	6a
0627	People's rights are more important compared to the environment in this case.	Individual	E-mail	21320 185th Ave S.E., Renton, WA 98048	1		1, A, C	15c

0627	Costs with non-Watershed options are too high. Do not go through A, C, D or B...go through the watershed.	Individual	E-mail	21320 185th Ave S.E., Renton, WA 98048	1		1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	1c
0628	He's lived in his house for 30 years and was there before anything else was. C will run right through his property.	Individual	E-mail	SW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Sec 13 TWP22 R6 EWM	1		C	4b
0628	Read SPU's reaction to first DEIS and hopes that a member of the first team will be assigned to the SDEIS. Seattle didn't see the first DEIS as a draft and now they are worried about water, trees, and creatures which most of them (SPU) will never see.	Individual	E-mail	SW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Sec 13 TWP22 R6 EWM	1			8a, 9a, 11a
0628	SPU doesn't realize that it is all ONE environment. Believes that if an alternative other than the Preferred one is used, then SPU will relax their guidelines and won't care what happens.	Individual	E-mail	SW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Sec 13 TWP22 R6 EWM	1		1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	15b
0628	If BPA goes outside the watershed, who gets the merchantable timber? The original owner or the BPA?	Individual	E-mail	SW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Sec 13 TWP22 R6 EWM	1		2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	4c
0628	Is there a BPA mitigation plan (replacement tick for tack) for removing his property from a green belted status?--who pays the back taxes when that is done?	Individual	E-mail	SW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Sec 13 TWP22 R6 EWM	1		C	6a, 14f
0628	Supports going through the watershed b/c the overall affect on the environment will not be detectable either way, but the human misery if the line is placed outside, will be enormous.	Individual	E-mail	SW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Sec 13 TWP22 R6 EWM	1		1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	15c
0628	People live out here b/c of the environment...they don't come in here every couple of months, like the city people think, for the trees and mountains.	Individual	E-mail	SW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Sec 13 TWP22 R6 EWM	1			15b
0628	Not sure that the power line is even necessary at all.	Individual	E-mail	SW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Sec 13 TWP22 R6 EWM	1			3b

0628	How about a more expansive picture...projections further in to the future and methods of satisfying these projections? Is this just a short term "local fix?" What were the results of those studies in terms of years into the future? Are there inevitable projects in the future that will accomplish what the Kangley-Echo Lake project will accomplish in the near term? Is this a matter of fiscal coordination? Could some of the long range plans for western WA (King Co.) be identified to reassure the people who may potentially sacrifice their life's work isn't for some fiscal expedient?	Individual	E-mail	SW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Sec 13 TWP22 R6 EWM				1a, 3a
0629	There are abandoned mine shafts that are up to a mile deep in the path of Alt. C. This site is already on the EPA hazardous waste clean-up list.	Individual	E-mail	26318 SE Summit-Landsburg Road Ravensdale WA 98051	1		C	4e, 19d
0629	new transmission lines should go through the Seattle Watershed where it is the least disruptive to area residents and doesn't harm the quality of the water supply.	Individual	E-mail	26318 SE Summit-Landsburg Road Ravensdale WA 98051	1		1	5e, 14c, 15c
0630	This has become a hugely political battle.	Individual	E-mail	20447 276th Ave. SE, Hobart, 98025				20a
0630	We should all focus on the least expensive and least impact on land and environment and the people paying for the project.	Individual	E-mail	20447 276th Ave. SE, Hobart, 98025				1c, 14b, 15e
0630	Thought taxes would keep the wetlands near his yard as well as the deer, elk, vultures, coyotes, hawks, and others safe from the powerlines. These things are just as important in his back yard as in the watershed.	Individual	E-mail	20447 276th Ave. SE, Hobart, 98025				11a, 14f, 15d
0630	People are not getting studied for the impacts the way the environment, animals, etc are. People deserve the right to protect themselves from the special interests that must not "really give a 'hoot' about the constituents in the community."	Individual	E-mail	20447 276th Ave. SE, Hobart, 98025				15c
0630	Keep the powerlines in the watershed.	Individual	E-mail	20447 276th Ave. SE, Hobart, 98025			1	5b

0631	C would result in displacement of a number of homes and families to make way for the transmission lines.	Individual	E-mail	roncaldron@attbi.com		C	C	14c
0631	C lowers the value of homes adjacent to power lines.	Individual	E-mail	roncaldron@attbi.com		C	C	14b
0631	C would have a substantial impact to surrounding environment, including wildlife.	Individual	E-mail	roncaldron@attbi.com		C	C	11a, 15e
0631	Many non-Seattle residents use the water supplied by the water shed. All of them want to protect the quality of the water shed water. Main opposition of Seattle to BPA is the Water Shed's water- quality issue.	Individual	E-mail	roncaldron@attbi.com		C	1, C	5e
0631	If Preferred alternative is not viable, then an alternative which uses existing right of ways would have the least impact on human lives and communities.	Individual	E-mail	roncaldron@attbi.com		C		14b, 15e
0632	Lives near tower 7 on the Raver-Covington Line and has heard that BPA is planning to put a new set of lines through...would like more information.	Individual	E-mail	bztch4@cs.com			A, C	2a
0633	Needs information about location of power lines. This information is too hard to get.	Individual	Telephone	24718 231st Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	2a
0633	Has child with leukemia and will not live under power lines.	Individual	Telephone	24718 231st Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038				19a
0634	Address in DEIS: Interference with radio/TV transmission and telecommunication systems. Meet or exceed local sensitive area regulation. Cumulative impact assessment to sensitive areas resulting from line and WSDOT HWY 18 widening project.	Individual	Letter	20810 266th PI SE, Maple Valley WA, 98038				4a, 7a, 19e
0634	In DEIS: Scope the cost of maintenance regarding control of noxious weeds in right-of-way without use of herbicides/pesticides.	Individual	Letter	20810 266th PI SE, Maple Valley WA, 98038				4j, 9c, 19d
0634	In DEIS: Address increased impervious surface area and potential downstream flooding in all affected basins.	Individual	Letter	20810 266th PI SE, Maple Valley WA, 98038				7e

0634	Address in DSEIS: 1. Potential impacts on water quality 2. Address downstream sedimentation and erosion effects of new road, culvert, and powerline installation. Long-term maintenance affects including and not limited to vegetation clearing, vehicle fuel spills and downstream flooding in all affected basins.	Individual	Letter	20810 266th Pl. SE, Maple Valley WA 98038				4j, 7b, 8e, 19d
0634	Most of the Valley is mapped as high aquifer recharge making wells sensitive to surface disturbance. To mitigate for contamination, requests quarterly water quality monitoring, (in perpetuity) at BPA expense, and replacement of wells to City Water if necessary.	Individual	Letter	20810 266th Pl. SE, Maple Valley, 98038				6a, 8e
0634	Map all wells and septic fields located within 100' of the powerline buffer area and scope costs associated with wells.	Individual	Letter					8c
0635	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter	PO Box 485, Hobart, WA 98025				
0636	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter	19514 SE 277th St., Kent, WA 98042				
0637	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter	26733 227th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038				
0638	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter	20606 231 Ave. SE, Maple Valley 98038				
0639	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter	25430 Lk. Wilderness Ln. SE, Maple Valley 98038				
0640	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter	25430 Lk. Wilderness Ln. SE, Maple Valley 98038				
0641	Choose the option with the least impact on people, wildlife and the watershed. The watershed is a protected resource.	Individual	E-mail	kristen@paynter .com				5g, 11a, 14b
0641	Consider a no action alternative.	Individual	E-mail	kristen@paynter .com				1b

0641	Energy conservation is necessary.	Individual	E-mail	kristen@paynter.com				1g
0642	Notify if Alt. C is used b/c goes directly over house.	Individual	E-mail	26813 SE 236th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	4b
0643	Home is in path of C. Decreasing property values.	Individual	E-mail	23065 265th Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	C	14b
0643	Displacement of families and emotional trauma	Individual	E-mail	23065 265th Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	C	14c, 15f
0643	C is a higher cost alternative.	Individual	E-mail	23065 265th Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	C	1c
0643	Impact on wildlife and the deer and elk habitats.	Individual	E-mail	23065 265th Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	C	11b
0643	Want to protect quality of water supply.	Individual	E-mail	23065 265th Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	C	8e
0643	If preferred not used, use the alternative with existing right-of-ways.	Individual	E-mail	23065 265th Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1	4j
0644	C is less desirable than 1. 1 is more cost effective.	Individual	E-mail	23059 265th Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	1c
0644	1 has the least impact on environment and established neighborhoods.	Individual	E-mail	23059 265th Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1	4b, 15e
0645	Consider route with least impact to homeowners and developed property.	Individual	E-mail	jrepearce@yahoo.com				14b

0645	The health risks (cancer) of living with EMF.	Individual	E-mail	jrepearce@yahoo.com				
0646	Upset that they already expressed concern two years ago.	Individual	Letter		1	C		2e
0646	Noise of power lines.	Individual	Letter		1	C		17b
0646	They appreciate the trees and animals but would choose people over animals.	Individual	Letter		1	C		9a, 11a, 15c
0646	Would have to be uprooted and property values would decrease.	Individual	Letter		1	C		14b
0646	Seattle fails to see that habitat in backyard and in watershed is the same. (Trees, Huckleberry, Wetlands, elk, deer, cougar, coyote, bear, migrating ducks, and frogs.)	Individual	Letter		1	C		11a, 15b
0646	SDEIS should outline: mitigation plan (in and out of watershed) and the construction of towers--150' right of way. Ingress and egress of property--how do they plan to deal with property not recognized within planned easement?	Individual	Letter		1	C		4j, 5a, 6a, 14d
0646	Decreased land value.	Individual	Letter		1	C		14b
0646	increased health risk with exposure to EMF.	Individual	Letter		1	C		19b
0647	Request analyses for each A,B,C,& D to be as detailed as #1 within the SDEIS. Identify mitigation for each alternative.	Interest Group	Letter	20114 SE 206th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038			1, A, B, C, D	6a
0647	Cost comparisons including mitigation	Interest Group	Letter	20114 SE 206th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038				1c, 6a
0647	Bring attention to report commissioned by the Seattle Water Department entitled: "Cedar River Surface Water Treatment Rule Compliance Project." - January 1996. Shows Seattle was concerned about water filtration before the subject project began.	Interest Group	Letter	20114 SE 206th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038				5d
0647	No matter what politicians do, the power line will be built over public property owned by the City of Seattle.	Interest Group	Letter	20114 SE 206th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038				4a, 15b
0648	C would have a 500kV line running on the border of their property. Will move if built in backyard.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025			C	15d
0648	How will they compensate for the time it took to find home, for a line bordering property? Will their property value be reduced? View will be of lines and not trees on a green belt. Will they have a harder time selling their house? These costs need to be considered.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025				1c, 13b, 14b

0648	Reimbursement for easements?	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025				14d
0648	Watershed has been decimated by logging for approx. 100 years. More than 600 miles of gravel roads in watershed.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025				4c, 5b
0648	Where is Seattle planting new trees in the watershed?	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025				5a, 9a
0648	Doesn't think there is any old growth left in Watershed. His definition of old growth is walking without seeing 10-15 foot diameter stumps. Nature, not man, is the only cause of trees falling in National Forests.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025				5g, 9a, 15c
0648	Disgusted with people in Seattle and the dirty state of the watershed as it currently stands.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025				5g, 15b
0648	Vandalism on transmission lines should be considered in the life cycle cost of any new transmission line.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025				1c
0648	The watershed is the best location for this new transmission line.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025			1	
0649	Water turbidity problems within the Cedar River Watershed are the result of poor management by SPU. BPA should not have to pay for any filtration plant.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025				5d
0649	Webpage: http://www.ci.seattle.wa.us/util/CedarRiver_HCP/Road.htm Road improvement and maintenance activities will reduce sediment loading to streams from related landslides and erosion. Roads contribute to accelerated rates of sedimentation and erosion into streams. The roads in the watershed will no longer be used and will thus no longer contribute to the sedimentation of streams.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025				5g, 7a, 8d
0649	Restoration efforts will help restore natural stream function, benefit fish survival, increase spawning habitat, and protect drinking water supply.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025				5d, 10a

0650	Understands that BPA will purchase right of way. In cost analysis of C, how will BPA determine the value in the change in the aesthetic lifestyle of the affected homeowners? This should be included in cost analysis. Will BPA be liable for homeowners who sold or bought homes after December 6, 2001 letter? This letter stated that line would go through watershed. Legal action could be taken and this should also be considered in the cost analysis. The transmission line dividing Maplewood Estates will lower property values and ruin aesthetics.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025			C	1c, 4j, 14b, 15a
0650	Dumping garbage, leaving abandoned cars, shooting and riding motorcycles will occur on right-of-way. This will also decrease property values.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025			C	9d, 14b
0651	Alt. C passes over hundreds of individual residences. Doesn't know anyone who would buy a house under such a line and it is unfair to build this line. How can anyone put a price on aesthetic quality of land as well as the negative impact on property value?	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025			C	14b, 15a
0651	New line should go through Watershed where lines already exist. Short and least disruptive to homes. Watershed has already been logged. Less expensive route for BPA and all users of BPA power.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025			1	1c, 4c, 5h
0651	Can't understand why anyone in Seattle would be concerned with another line in the watershed. How much damage would a few towers in a 90,546 acre watershed create?	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025				5g, 15b
0651	In the City of Everett, the Sultan Basin Watershed has been logged and there are clearcuts. They allow fishing, canoes, kayaks, and electric powered boats on Spada Lake.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025				4f
0651	Time to quit the politics and let BPA run the line through the watershed.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 551, Hobart, WA 98025			1	5b, 15b
0652	These comments are in addition to the issues coded in log number 0615. There are 259 comments attached to this petition. This, in addition to 0615 and 1131 combines for a total of 787 signatures.	Interest Group	Petition	23628 266th Ave SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	C	4a, 8d, 9b, 10a, 14b

0652	This comment is part of the letter Ms. Pimentel mailed with the second list of signatures on the petition. It is not mentioned in 0615. This community has 100 yr. Old farms that have been passed down from generation to generation. An old homestead that is thought to be the oldest home in the area is also in alt. C's path and thought to be a precious reminder of American history and should be preserved. Alt. C would destroy precious environments, historical artifacts, and treasured lands.	Interest Group	Petition	23628 266th Ave SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	C	4d, 15e, 16c
0653	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	328 So. Sullivan St., Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0654	1 is the sensible route. Chosen by own engineers two years ago. Most economical, shortest, least disruptive to people and homes.	Individual	Comment form	27005 SE 216th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038			1	1c, 2e, 4b, 15a
0654	Pay high taxes for property she can't subdivide, build on etc.	Individual	Comment form	27005 SE 216th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038				14f
0654	Never been crime in Hobart and they are good people who do not deserve this.	Individual	Comment form	27005 SE 216th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038				15f
0654	Believes in protecting environment, but what proof is there that this line would harm the watershed. She shouldn't be uprooted.	Individual	Comment form	27005 SE 216th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038				5a, 14b
0655	Alternatives other than 1 would have a huge impact on communities and the environment.	Individual	Letter	27044 SE Ravensdale Way, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	15a
0655	If you run line through Ravensdale, you will destroy important part of Washington State history.	Individual	Letter	27044 SE Ravensdale Way, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	C	16c

0655	Line through watershed is shortest, most cost effective. Right of way already exists.	Individual	Letter	27044 SE Ravensdale Way, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1	1c, 4j
0655	C destroys the rural quality of his home.	Individual	Letter	27044 SE Ravensdale Way, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	C	15a
0655	C lowers his property value. If C is used, he will file lawsuit with his personal attorney to receive fair market compensation.	Individual	Letter	27044 SE Ravensdale Way, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	C	14b
0656	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27044 SE Ravensdale Wy., Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0657	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	28027 SE 221st, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0658	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	28518 SE 258th, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0659	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	24519 270th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0660	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	21208 SE 276th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0660	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27230 304th Ave. SE, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	

0661	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	24209 275th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0662	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	28041 SE 224th, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0663	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	26438 235th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0664	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27001 SE 256th, Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0665	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 337, Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0667	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 386, Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0668	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27019 Landsburg Rd. SE, Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0669	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	28241 SE 224th, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C		
0670	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	21615 271st Pl. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0671	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	29905 SE Lk, Retrest N. Dr., Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	

0672	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27503 SE 247th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0673	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	25720 Landsburg SE, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0674	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	28303 SE 260, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0675	Hiner is a trustee writing on behalf of: Hazel E. Parker, LT 16305 Crescent Dr. SW Vashon, WA 98090 Attached four signatures (not included) that are part owners of the Hazel E. Parker estate at 27405 SE 200 St. which is directly affected by alt. C. Hobart is one of few untouched communities of county and want it to stay that way. Family set up life here in the 1930's and they want to do the same. Watershed is only and best route. Why take right of ownership away from people? Environmentalists have too much political power and don't represent the will of the people.	Individual	Letter	16305 Crescent Dr. SW, Vashon, WA 98070	1	C	1, C	14b, 15a
0676	Same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	16305 Crescent Dr. SW, Vashon, WA 98070		C	1, C	
0677	Same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	27104 211th Ave. SE, Covington, WA 98042		C	1, C	
0678	Same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	3524 S. 281st., Auburn, WA, 98001		C	1, C	

0679	Same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	27045 SE 200th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0680	Same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	29807 SE Lk. Retreat N. Dr., Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0681	Same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	27134 312th Ave. SE, Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0682	Same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	26936 SE Ravensdale Pl., Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0683	Same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	22323 272 Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C		
0684	Same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 569, Ravensdale, WA 98057	C	1, C	
0685	Same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	21619 276 Ave. SE, Maple Valley, 98038	C	1, C	
0686	Same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	20832 266th pl. SE, Maple Valley, 98038	C	1, C	
0687	Same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	27005 SE 216th, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0688	Same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	27433 SE 247th st., Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	

0689	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 417, Hobart, WA 98025	C	1, C	
0690	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	28017 SE 224th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0691	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	26836 SE 208th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0691	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	28027 SE 221st, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0693	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 381, Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0694	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27816 SE 224th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0695	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27505 SE 213th St., Maple Valley, Wa 98038	C	1, C	
0696	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27416 SE 208 St., Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0697	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	21117 284 Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0698	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	20460 244th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	

0699	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27220 SE 224th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0700	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27426 Retreat Kanaskat Rd. SE, Ravensdale, WA, 98051	C	1, C	
0701	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 244, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0702	Same as log number 0604. Also: Moved from Condemned area around Sea-Tac and found this quiet place. Do not want it to go through their quiet area.	Individual	Letter	27231 SE 224th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	15d
0703	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	28123 SE 221st, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0704	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	24242 275th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0705	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	PO Box 567, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0706	Same as log number 0604. Also, City of Seattle is taking a ridiculous position.	Individual	Letter	27708 293rd Ave. SE, Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	15b
0707	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	28041 SE 224th, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0708	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 338, Hobart, WA 98025	C	1, C	
0709	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 338, Hobart, WA 98025	C	1, C	

0710	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27412 Retreat-Kanaskat Rd. SE, Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0711	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 122, Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0712	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	30323 SE 273 Pl., Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0713	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	26910 346th Ave. SE, Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0714	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 628, Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0715	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27238 SE 200th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0716	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	21033 284th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0717	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27406 Ret-Kan Rd. SE, Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0718	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	20921 284th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0719	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	26820 SE 196th, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	

0720	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	20241 269 Ave. SE, Maple Valley, 98038		C	1, C	
0721	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	6103 Marwelton Rd., Clinton, WA 98236		C	1, C	
0722	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	21002 284th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0723	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27430 SE 208th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0724	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	21428 276 Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0725	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	26918 SE Ravensdale Pl., Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0726	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27421 SE 188th St., Issaquah, WA 98027		C	1, C	
0727	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	24404 270th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0728	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 871, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0729	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 104, Hobart, WA 98025		C	1, C	

0730	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	20211 276th Ave. SE, Hobart, WA 98025	C	1, C	
0731	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 134, Hobart, WA 98025	C	1, C	
0732	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 192, Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0733	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 207, Hobart, WA 98025	C	1, C	
0734	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	21030 se 276th, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0735	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	26824 SE Ravensdale Wy., Ravensdale, WA 98051	C	1, C	
0736	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 208, Hobart, WA 98025	C	1, C	
0737	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27206 SE 236th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0738	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	21015 284th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	
0739	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27404 SE 230th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038	C	1, C	

0740	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	22121 276th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0741	Maps at meetings didn't include area roads. Gave certain alternatives, C, the appearance of not running through populated areas. Is it possible that Seattle officials objecting to alt. 1 do not realize that other alternatives infringe on private land rights?	Individual	Letter	22121 276th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1		C	4a, 15b
0741	Prays that supplemental EIS supports last year's decision of alt.1. With any other option the lives and homes of hundreds of residents will be affected. There is no amount of monetary compensation that will make up for memories.	Individual	Letter	22121 276th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1		1	2e, 14b
0742	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	22221 284th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0743	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27031 SE 196th, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0744	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 258, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0745	Writing again (from last year) to oppose alternative C. The facts for supporting this alternative (environmental impacts) do not support the arguments in favor for C.	Individual	Letter	20826 284th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	C	5g, 15e
0745	C must still cross the Cedar River, watersheds of Taylor creek, Carey creek, etc. Private property is at stake as well.	Individual	Letter	20826 284th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	C	5a, 8d, 14b
0746	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	26925 SE 200th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0747	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27729 293 Ave. SE, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	

0748	Chose house 14 years ago to get away from housing tracks, prisons, shopping areas, and power lines. House is b/w Seattle watershed, an "old dump," and the Cedar River. C would cross over house.	Individual	Letter	24405 270th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	15b
0748	Please consider in the EIS: □ If backup power line is constructed w/in watershed, then the new line must be about 1/2 mile from the existing line. One line breaking could disrupt the neighboring line. Same is true with people. One line in someone's backyard will effect them in a similar manner. Get a right of way that covers 1/2 mile on every side of homes. Compensate for all costs with moving and not just the corner of land that BPA needs.	Individual	Letter	24405 270th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	4j, 5a, 14c
0748	Please consider in EIS: C will cross over the Westerly corner of Finger lake. The lines would climb a cliff at this point. Got license from the department of Fish and Wildlife to stock the lake w/ trout. This has encouraged beaver, otter, ducks, geese, and blue heron to come.	Individual	Letter	24405 270th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	8d, 11a
0748	Consider in EIS: The removal of train tracks (conversion to a county trail) near home has resulted in increased crime. The power line in C will have to clear cut from Landsburg trail through a corner of his property. Concerned about access to home. Worried about security. Clear cut around lake could bring in more people. Thus, there will be an increase in pollution and other problems.	Individual	Letter	24405 270th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	4a, 8e, 9d, 15e
0748	Can loss of quiet lake civilization be added to the EIS?	Individual	Letter	24405 270th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	15e
0749	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 361, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0750	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	22601 286th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0751	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27708 SE 268th, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0752	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 324		C	1, C	

0753	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	30103 SE LK. Retreat N. Dr., Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0754	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	27709 293rd Ave. SE, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0755	Same as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 502, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0756	Supports decision made two years ago and supports alt. 1 now.	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 244, Hobart, WA 98025	1		1	2e
0756	Home lies directly in path of C. Profession is public water quality and treatment and worked for SPU in the watershed treating water at Landsburg and Lake Youngs.	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 244, Hobart, WA 98025	1		C	
0756	Remarks in response to Seattle City Council Councilmember Margaret Pageler on March 19, 2002 in a Position Paper, "Bonneville Power Administration Proposes New Transmission Line Across Seattle's Cedar River Watershed." Comments on: Erosion: SPU spent money to dewater the Landsburg intake forebay to remove silt, sludge, and organic debris. Seattle already has problem with erosion. Fuel Leaks from Trucks and Equipment: SPU crews went into intake forebay (Landsburg) with diesel-powered backhoe to remove silt. Entered own drinking water with heavy equipment and risk of fuel spills. Hazardous Materials Spills: Chlorination facilities at Landsburg do not meet several components of the 1994 Uniform Fire Code (UFC.) Seattle has operated Landsburg with impunity and total disregard for effects of an uncontrolled hazardous materials spill. Could travel to Cedar River. Human Waste Disposal: SPU allows guided tours as well as limited access within watershed boundaries. Portable toilets are utilized and same system could be applied to BPA.	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 244, Hobart, WA 98025	1			5a, 7b, 8e, 19d

0756	Provisions for future filtration facilities were required during the design phase of the new Lake Youngs treatment facility b/c all parties recognized in the 1990's that filtration would be needed to meet federal drinking water regulations. SPU has and continues to participate in activities that threaten Seattle's drinking water.	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 244, Hobart, WA 98025	1		1	5d
0756	Present SPU with a Freedom of Information Act request for the following documents: Turbidity results and charts from Landsburg and Lake Youngs treatment facilities as well as for tributary streams grabbed by watershed inspectors upstream of Landsburg during high turbidity events. Landsburg Treatment logbooks (records of intake shut down b/c of turbidity.) Limited Alternative to Filtration Legislation--1996 Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments (8-1-96) Cedar Agreed Order (5-18-94) and Amendments (2-26-96) (12-30-98) Cedar River SWTR Compliance Study--Cedar Pilot Study Final Report. (1-1996) Cedar River Facilities Planning Report, CH2M HILL (6-10-98) Cedar River Facilities Planning Technical Memoranda- Treatment Process Design Criteria (6-10-98) Water Quality Criteria (4-27-98) Constructability Evaluation (3-5-98) Cost Estimates (6-9-98)	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 244, Hobart, WA 98025	1			7b, 8e
0757	"Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust" Commends BPA for initiative in purchasing the 350-acre Trillium parcel in Raging River as mitigation for the potential loss of forestland should 150' corridor be established for preferred option.	Interest Group	Letter	1011 Western Ave. Suite 606 Western Ave. Seattle			1	6a

0757	"Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust: In addition to previous letter, they have these comments to B and D: 1. Corridor for B and D is a federally designated "National Scenic Byway." What visual impacts would occur to the scenic values officially recognized by this designation if either option were to be implemented? How does this negatively affect a National Scenic Byway? 2. A significant sum of public monies or property exchanges has been invested in public land acquisition along the entire length of the option B and D proposals. How do each of these proposals conflict with the public management goals and /or conservation easements and protections that exist on much of this public land?	Interest Group	Letter	1011 Western Ave. Suite 606 Western Ave. Seattle			B, D	1c, 4h, 13d, 14d, 15e
0757	"Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust" In addition to previous letter, they have these comments to B and D: 3. How would the impact of B or D be mitigated in this corridor? How would BPA mitigate the extensive loss of forestland and the severe visual impacts associate with the clearcut swath involved in D? How would BPA mitigate, under B, for the negative visual impacts of taller towers?	Interest Group	Letter	1011 Western Ave. Suite 606 Western Ave. Seattle			B, D	6f, 9a, 13a
0757	"Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust" In addition to previous letter, they have these comments to B and D: 4. Documents on B don't describe where existing 150' and 90' towers would be doubled to 180'. The document that states for Option B that "almost all of this route would be on existing right of way," but fails to ID what portions of the route will require a new ROW and where it would go.	Interest Group	Letter	1011 Western Ave. Suite 606 Western Ave. Seattle			B, D	1d-iii, 4j
0757	"Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust" In addition to previous letter, they have these comments to B and D: 5. How would higher voltage lines impact recreational activities in B and D corridor? Are there risks to users who pass under new lines? Would there be physical impacts to the USFS and John Wayne Pioneer Trail (managed by WA state parks) or closures required?	Interest Group	Letter	1011 Western Ave. Suite 606 Western Ave. Seattle			B, D	1d-iii, 4f, 19a
0757	"Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust" In addition to previous letter, they have these comments to B and D: 6. If B or D were used, what would the effects be on wildlife and wildlife connectivity in the Central Cascades region? Currently there are efforts to improve wildlife connectivity through land exchanges, purchases, habitat restoration and facilities to be built as part of proposed I-90 expansion from Snoqualmie Pass to Easton.	Interest Group	Letter	1011 Western Ave. Suite 606 Western Ave. Seattle			B, D	11b

0757	"Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust" In addition to previous letter, they have these comments to B and D: 7. What would BPA's "danger tree" policies mean for the Greenway corridor under B or D? Would BPA expect to clear any potential danger tree that lies outside of its ROW? How extensive would this be and what mitigation would be planned?	Interest Group	Letter	1011 Western Ave. Suite 606 Western Ave. Seattle			B, D	4j, 6e, 9a
0757	"Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust" In addition to previous letter, they have these comments to B and D: 8. What would the construction impacts be for B or D? Will construction require any new roads or leave visual scars? Will other environmental problems be caused? Would a new or improved access road under the line be required and what are the visual and environmental impacts of this road be? What mitigation is planned?	Interest Group	Letter	1011 Western Ave. Suite 606 Western Ave. Seattle			B, D	4j, 6a, 13a
0757	"Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust" In addition to previous letter, they have these comments to B and D: 9. Under B, are existing towers replaced with new ones in the same location, or is new one built adjacent to existing tower? If built adjacent to old tower, will the old tower be removed? Trust is very concerned about D and recognize that a cleared 150' corridor through the Greenway would have negative visual and environmental impacts.	Interest Group	Letter	1011 Western Ave. Suite 606 Western Ave. Seattle			B, D	1d-iii, 13a, 15e
0758	1 appears to have least impact on community and watershed	Individual	E-mail	JPKlippert@aol.com	1		1	5a, 15a
0758	B and D ignore the impact that added capacity would have on the I-90 corridor as a Greenway. (recreational and transportation corridor.)	Individual	E-mail	JPKlippert@aol.com	1		B, D	4a
0759	B & D appear to affect 62 plots of land at Roaring Creek. How close does do B & D run in relation to this property? Right of way? Property Rights?	Individual	E-mail	patinka@ellensburg.com			B, D	4j, 14b
0759	Received mailing on June 18th. No time to provide comments before the scoping period closes. This impacts ability to provide response.	Individual	E-mail	patinka@ellensburg.com				
0760	Like Proposal 1 the best, C the least.	Individual	Telephone	20323 289th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			1, C	
0761	Not enough information on project. Talked to 70 people and they all agree.	Individual	Telephone	22712 SE 275th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038				2a

0762	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 258, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0763	Concerned about wildlife and creeks disturbed.	Individual	Comment form	26424 SE 200 st.				8d, 11a
0763	Costly to put anywhere but the watershed. Her property is as valuable as the watershed. She has a salmon creek and pond and wildlife.	Individual	Comment form	26424 SE 200 st.				1c, 8d, 10a, 11a, 15c
0763	This is greed on the part of the City of Seattle.	Individual	Comment form	26424 SE 200 st.				15b
0764	Concerned about streams, wildlife, and the wildlife habitat.	Individual	Comment form	P.O. Box 104, Hobart, WA 98025				8d, 11b
0764	Needs more information about Property values	Individual	Comment form	P.O. Box 104, Hobart, WA 98025				14b
0764	No sense to build C when there is already an existing line w/in watershed.	Individual	Comment form	P.O. Box 104, Hobart, WA 98025			C	1d-iii, 4a, 5g
0764	C is burden for people and property on line.	Individual	Comment form	P.O. Box 104, Hobart, WA 98025			C	14b, 15a
0765	Original decision makes the most sense in terms of cost and effect on the Hobart and Maple Valley people.	Individual	Comment form	18328 260th Ave. SE, Maple Valley 98038			1	1c, 14a, 15a
0765	Do not cave in to the city of Seattle and "environmentalists."	Individual	Comment form	18328 260th Ave. SE, Maple Valley 98038				15b
0766`	Concerned about leukemia in children living under power lines as well as mares conceiving.	Individual	Comment form	P.O. Box 6204, Kent, WA 98064				19a
0766`	Why is there no sub station for the proposed lines in Bellevue, Redmond, Issaquah, and Seattle instead of in the proposed communities?	Individual	Comment form	P.O. Box 6204, Kent, WA 98064				15b
0766`	As former realtor, could not sell property near or with power lines on them. NO NEW POWER LINE ROUTES	Individual	Comment form	P.O. Box 6204, Kent, WA 98064				1b, 14b

0767	BPA has been good for people of WA state. Best alternative is to NOT run line through Cedar River Watershed. Build around watershed. Need watershed to be as wild as possible.	Individual	Comment form	1620 Rainier Avenue, Bellingham, WA 98226			1, C	1d-iii, 5b
0768	Walsh Creek: impact to wildlife, fish, and wetlands?	Individual	Comment form	P.O. Box 575, Hobart, WA 98025		C		10a, 11a, 12a
0768	Home close to route C. Has 6 elk, deer, birds and a salmon spawning creek (Walsh Creek) that goes through property and would be impacted. Devaluation of home.	Individual	Comment form	P.O. Box 575, Hobart, WA 98025		C	C	8d, 10a, 11a, 14b, 15d
0769	Cost of alternatives outside the watershed?	Individual	Comment form				1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	1c
0769	Direct impacts to quality of life need to be addressed for all non-watershed alternatives. The potential impacts to water quality inside the watershed is not comparable to people loosing homes, life savings, and dreams for the future.	Individual	Comment form				1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	5e, 14b, 15c
0770	Any consideration to buying lines in A and C?	Individual	Comment form	18755 SE 284th PL., Kent, WA 98042			A, C	1c
0770	Even though more costly for B and D, they impact people and communities less than A or C would.	Individual	Comment form	18755 SE 284th PL., Kent, WA 98042			A, B, C, D	1c, 14a, 15a
0770	Concerned about tower close to home.	Individual	Comment form	18755 SE 284th PL., Kent, WA 98042				15d
0770	Effects on quality of life? Impact on environment, EMF and affect on property value.	Individual	Comment form	18755 SE 284th PL., Kent, WA 98042				14b, 15e, 19b

0770	Eastern corridor of Covington Alt. A: <input type="checkbox"/> Rather than add third tower, replace the single conductor line with a three conductor line.	Individual	Comment form	18755 SE 284th PL., Kent, WA 98042			A	1d-iii
0770	Understood about BPA's easement for existing lines and access to perform maintenance when buying house. However, there is no mention of a potential third tower line.	Individual	Comment form	18755 SE 284th PL., Kent, WA 98042				14d
0770	Existing BPA easement doesn't say anything about third tower. This has set false expectations for homeowners in the area and will impact values of homes.	Individual	Comment form	18755 SE 284th PL., Kent, WA 98042				14b
0771	Stick with alt. 1. Avoid impact on rural lifestyle.	Individual	Comment form	P.O.Box 128, Maple Valley, 98038	1		1	14a, 15a
0772	Don't like Alt. C	Individual	Comment form	22232 SE 304, Blk. Diamond, WA 98010		C	C	
0773	Turn power off to Seattle. Don't need this line.	Individual	Comment form					1b, 3b, 15b
0774	Why is there no alt. To double circuit the existing line through the Cedar River Watershed? Analyze downside reliability vs. minimum impact on Environment.	Individual	Comment form	P.O.Box 873, Maple Valley, WA 98038				1a, 15e
0774	Likelihood and timeframe that if A is chosen the 230 kV line would be upgraded to 500?	Individual	Comment form	P.O.Box 873, Maple Valley, WA 98038			A	1d-iii
0775	Use Alt. 1	Individual			1		1	
0776	EMI to radio and TV.	Individual	Comment form	21221 174th SE Renton, WA, 98058				19e
0776	Only cost effective alternative is #1. Least intrusive and doesn't create additional EMF.	Individual	Comment form	21221 174th SE Renton, WA, 98058			1	1c, 19b
0776	Construction for 1 would have very little impact to the watershed. The line would be safer from terrorist attacks.	Individual	Comment form	21221 174th SE Renton, WA, 98058			1	5a, 19a

0777	Impressed with BPA and their courteous reps at the June 12th Tahoma meeting. Personally favors the watershed route. Feels confident that BPA will work to minimize the environmental impact on water quality and wildlife. This is least invasive (people and environment) of all the options.	Individual	Comment form	21320 185th Ave. SE, Renton, WA 98058	C		1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	5e, 14b, 15e
0778	Concerned about existing wetlands on C, cancer with powerlines, wildlife.	Individual	Comment form	24657 SE 216th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	11a, 12a, 19a
0778	City of Seattle is just trying to get free water filtration system. They are part of watershed property for free anyway. Wants line to go through watershed.	Individual	Comment form	24657 SE 216th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038				5d, 15b
0779	Alt. A would modify current lines. Very few places where livestock outnumber people. Will see lines instead of trees from house as well as hear the lines instead of the frogs and birds.	Individual	Comment form	P.O.Box 873, Maple Valley, WA 98038			A	13b, 17b
0779	Concerned and Wants environmental studies to look at: Increase in EMF (230v-730v), cancer risk with EMF. Down lines from weather conditions	Individual	Comment form	P.O.Box 873, Maple Valley, WA 98038			A	19b
0779	Have environmental studies look at: Damage to Shadow Lk. Bog and other wetlands Measure of increase noise and how far it will travel.	Individual	Comment form	P.O.Box 873, Maple Valley, WA 98038			A	12c, 17a
0779	Concerned about loss of property enjoyment, wooded view, constant buzzing noise, elimination of rural character, decrease in property value.	Individual	Comment form	P.O.Box 873, Maple Valley, WA 98038			A	14b, 15a, 17b
0780	BPA favors environment (watershed) as opposed to humans. <input type="checkbox"/> No guarantee of placement of towers in relation to existing ones. <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Concerned about noise and size of towers on financial future.	Individual	Comment form	19329 208 Ave SE, Renton, WA 98059				13a, 14b, 15c, 17b
0781	Impact of people on C-- EMF, views, noise. Don't underestimate in terms of cost.	Individual	Comment form	29008 SE 216th Way, Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	1c, 13a, 17a, 19b
0781	Concerned about interference with reception of cell phones, radio, and TV.	Individual	Comment form	29008 SE 216th Way, Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	19e

0781	Alt 1 seems like the only choice.	Individual	Comment form	29008 SE 216th Way, Maple Valley, WA 98038			1	
0781	Seattle Water needs to be less greedy and more realistic. Impact (on people, animals, plants, and insects) of current preferred location is far less than other alternatives.	Individual	Comment form	29008 SE 216th Way, Maple Valley, WA 98038			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	9a, 11a, 14b, 15b
0782	Dangers to people near line?	Individual	Comment form	27725 166th Ave. SE, Covington, WA 98042				19a
0782	More information about: demolishing homes near alternate routes appraisal process with condemnation	Individual	Comment form	27725 166th Ave. SE, Covington, WA 98042			2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	14b
0783	Study the impact on wells in C.	Individual	Comment form	22831 276th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	8c
0783	Watershed is best option.	Individual	Comment form	22831 276th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			1	
0784	Look at EMF impact What is max. acceptable distance along alt. A?	Individual	Comment form	18013 SE 283rd Ct. Kent, WA 98042			A	19b
0784	What impact would A have on family and neighbors in the Lake Winterwood community?	Individual	Comment form	18013 SE 283rd Ct. Kent, WA 98042			A	2a, 14b, 15e
0785	Wants environmental studies to look at existing wildlife in Hobart and Ravensdale. Wants to know reasons for building lines over Cedar River, Carey Creek, and Holden Creek. What will impact on rural community--Hobart and Ravensdale--of C be? Wants details on DEIS.	Individual	Comment form	20208 269th Ave. SE, Hobart, WA 98025			C	8d, 11a, 15e

0785	Line needs to go through watershed where no humans and properties will be affected. Water and animals are important but so are humans and health. Adverse effects to people and livestock living under high voltage power lines.	Individual	Comment form	20208 269th Ave. SE, Hobart, WA 98025				5a, 14b, 15c, 19a
0785	Gov't has no right to acquire private property when there are alternatives that don't require the taking of that same property. Diminishing property values.	Individual	Comment form	20208 269th Ave. SE, Hobart, WA 98025				14b, 15b
0786	Look at second growth forests in C. □ Sensitive wetlands and wildlife.	Individual	Comment form				C	9a, 11a, 12a
0786	Do not hold people affected by alternative outside watershed as pawns b/w city of Seattle's demand for new water treatment facility and gov't. need for more power.	Individual	Comment form					5d, 15b
0787	new lines will have least impact on homes, lives, investments, etc. if put through the watershed.	Individual	Comment form	P.O.Box 453, Maple Valley, WA 98038			1	14b
0788	Concerned about and wants more information about high-voltage power lines and their impact on human health (especially cancer) and animal life. Property values and how this type of construction will affect their power rates.	Individual	Comment form	28613 192nd Place SE, Kent, WA 98042-5411				1j, 11a, 19a
0788	Will profits from Canadian Entitlement be passed to residents as rate reductions?	Individual	Comment form	28613 192nd Place SE, Kent, WA 98042-5411				1j, 3c
0788	Profit and expediency vs. quality of life... Opposes new transmission lines especially A b/c it runs through yard.	Individual	Comment form	28613 192nd Place SE, Kent, WA 98042-5411		A	A	15a
0788	Towers are an eyesore.	Individual	Comment form	28613 192nd Place SE, Kent, WA 98042-5411				13b
0788	Cannot develop own land and are forced to pay taxes on it.	Individual	Comment form	28613 192nd Place SE, Kent, WA 98042-5411				4a, 14f

0788	Don't receive tangible compensation for serving as caretakers for the Power Company's power lines.	Individual	Comment form	28613 192nd Place SE, Kent, WA 98042-5411				14b
0788	Lines are especially noisy when it rains. His body, as well as fellow family members' bodies, begin to pulse when walking under power lines.	Individual	Comment form	28613 192nd Place SE, Kent, WA 98042-5411				17b, 19a
0788	Against Preferred because it will affect water reservoir and wildlife community.	Individual	Comment form	28613 192nd Place SE, Kent, WA 98042-5411			1	8c, 11a
0788	Need alternate sources of power.	Individual	Comment form	28613 192nd Place SE, Kent, WA 98042-5411				1a
0789	Look at human cost of all alternatives. (quality of life, health, decreased value of appreciation.)	Individual	Comment form					1c, 14a, 15a, 19a
0789	Why doesn't stenographer take notes during Q & A period at end after comment period?	Individual	Comment form					2a
0789	Another line through watershed has no impact on existing quality of life.	Individual	Comment form				1	5b, 14a, 15a
0789	impact on watershed habitat is minimal...elk, deer, cougars, and bear can walk around the powerlines.	Individual	Comment form					5h, 11a
0789	Construction can avoid damage to water supply. No water treatment plant is needed. Stick to original plan.	Individual	Comment form				1	5d, 8e
0790	C is the worst alternative. BPA is afraid to use eminent domain with City of Seattle but not afraid to with smaller communities like Covington, Hobart, and Kangley. Seattle benefits as key consumers in King County. Should exercise eminent domain with City and not just small homeowners. No one seems to oppose plan B. Seattle and Biodiversity Northwest do not oppose Plan B. Longer, but unopposed.	Individual	Comment form	20810 266th PL SE, Maple Valley, 98038			B, C	1d-iii, 14b, 15b
0790	Opposes lines C and A where families will be affected. Slow down the process and consider B or a no line alternative.	Individual	Comment form	20810 266th PL SE, Maple Valley, 98038		C	A, C	1d-iii, 14b
0791	Same wildlife in backyard as in watershed. How will you keep the animals off her property after the power lines go up over her land if you protect wildlife and not her.	Individual	Comment form	20041 269th Ave SE, Maple Valley, WA 98083			C	9d, 11a, 15c

0791	How many BPA people live under these types of lines?	Individual	Comment form	20041 269th Ave SE, Maple Valley, WA 98083				20a
0791	Lines outside of the uninhabited watershed will destroy the private rural existence that they have paid for. Huge economic impact.	Individual	Comment form	20041 269th Ave SE, Maple Valley, WA 98083				14a, 15a
0792	Consider running an enclosed line under the existing line through the watershed. It could go above ground high enough for animals to go under (Alaskan Pipe Line), would be protected from a downed tower incident, wouldn't impact further clearing, and easily accessible for maintenance.	Individual	Comment form				1	1d-iii, 9d, 11a
0792	Power throughout the city of Seattle runs in underground tubes.	Individual	Comment form					1e
0793	How will property values be affected in area? Will BPA contact the property owner if property value is affected? Will she see lines from house?	Individual	Comment form	26025 SE 192nd St., Maple Valley, WA 98038		C		2a, 13b, 14b
0794	MUST consider option with least impact to human life.	Individual	Comment form	22023 286 Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038				14a, 15a, 19a
0794	Home is not affected and she's very environmentally aware. The logical alt. Is 1--it is not old growth and BPA is very environmentally responsible.	Individual	Comment form	22023 286 Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			1	15e
0795	Need info on: The DEIS and other documents fail to demonstrate need for powerline. Has BPA done enough to increase conservation and reduce demand?	Individual	Comment form	19711 241sr Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038-8926				1h, 2e, 3b
0795	Colombia River Treaty-- BC is a power exporter. Power transferred to BC is going to be sold to California. Possible to defer new line?	Individual	Comment form	19711 241sr Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038-8926				1d-iii, 3c

0795	Maple Valley residents are being pitted against Seattle residents and others depending on watershed drinking water.	Individual	Comment form	19711 241sr Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038-8926				5d, 15b
0795	Examine the need for lines and then select least impactful to people, property, fish, wildlife, habitat, and shared environment.	Individual	Comment form	19711 241sr Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038-8926				3b, 10a, 11b, 14a, 15a
0796	Look at visual impact to residential communities. Requests that BPA does a visual rendering of all property to be provided to all homeowners.	Individual	Comment form	21675 271st Pl. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038				13a
0796	No costs associated with mitigation of land should be included in Alternative 1 unless they are included in all other options.	Individual	Comment form	21675 271st Pl. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	1c, 6f
0796	Will bring lawsuit if there is not parity.	Individual	Comment form	21675 271st Pl. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038				15a
0797	Look at historic homes and the historic impact on the area. Will put house on National register of historic homes to delay alt. C.	Individual	Comment form				C	16c
0798	Look at the fact that there has been logging for many years and thousands of trees have been taken out of the watershed. Why now, when only a few acres are going to be affected, are they keeping you from running a line along 1, 2, and 3?	Individual	Comment form	26204 SE 237th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038			1, 2, 3	4c, 5g, 15b
0798	If C is used, hundreds of people will be affected. Wanted to retire and live the American dream there. They will be ruining his life and pleads that they not go through alternative C.	Individual	Comment form	26204 SE 237th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	14a, 15a
0798	Sad that nature is put before humans.	Individual	Comment form	26204 SE 237th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038				15c

0799	Consider all social, economical, & animal impacts caused by C. These costs will be more than the watershed route. Look at time of being delayed in courts if C is chosen.	Individual	Comment form	27707 Se 236th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038			1, C	1c, 2a, 11a, 14a, 15a
0799	Consider habitat impacts of C.	Individual	Comment form	27707 Se 236th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	10b, 11b
0799	Damage to wildlife, forestation, etc, would be minimal in the watershed.	Individual	Comment form	27707 Se 236th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038			1	5a, 9a, 11a
0799	The people of Seattle never objected to the clear-cutting in the watershed...why care now? Will fight alternative C all the way to the courts.	Individual	Comment form	27707 Se 236th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038			1, C	5g, 15b
0800	Look at safety where health issues are concerned.	Individual	Comment form	23908 SE 168th St., Issaquah, WA 98027				19a
0800	If they make the right of way 300 ft., what happens to the property the easement takes from us and how far does this extend?	Individual	Comment form	23908 SE 168th St., Issaquah, WA 98027				14d
0800	Want to be paid appraised market value. Concerned with resale value. Want to be informed of trees to be cut. How much more EMF will be generated from new line?	Individual	Comment form	23908 SE 168th St., Issaquah, WA 98027				4b, 9a, 14b, 19b
0801	Please look at Hwy. 18 crossing area where the holder 3 Cary Creek are present. This area is sensitive.	Individual	Comment form	17517 Issaquah Hobart Rd., Issaquah, WA 98027			C	
0801	Wants more information about how close C will be to property on the Hwy. 18 crossing area. Land is 1/4 mile South of Hwy. 18 and Issaquah Hobart Rd on the west side.	Individual	Comment form	17517 Issaquah Hobart Rd., Issaquah, WA 98027			C	2a

0801	Wants line to go through watershed. Other routes will affect too many people.	Individual	Comment form	17517 Issaquah Hobart Rd., Issaquah, WA 98027				5a, 14a, 15a
0802	Getting new right-of-way for option C damages ecology. Suggests new option.	Individual	Comment form	17024 272 Ave. SE, Issaquah, WA 98027	1	C	C	1a, 4j
0802	Has new option: Run live from Covington substation to maple Valley substation by sharing the existing Seattle City Light Transmission Right of way. Lower impact all around. Will be impacted visually by C and will not be compensated for it. Have crayfish in creek that might be impacted by construction.	Individual	Comment form	17024 272 Ave. SE, Issaquah, WA 98027	1	C	C	1a, 4j, 8d, 10a, 13b, 14b
0803	Property backs up to C's buffer zone. Will not be able to be compensated for investment. This is unfair.	Individual	Comment form	26624 SE 230th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	14b
0803	Alt. C would disrupt hundreds of families and their property values. The Watershed option is cheaper and displaces virtually no one. Moved to Maple Valley 8 years ago to be in quiet community. Now, if C is approved, they'll have to wake up looking at power lines.	Individual	Comment form	26624 SE 230th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038			1, C	1c, 13b, 14b, 15a
0804	SPU claims that installation of new line in watershed requires a new filtration plant to mitigate. BPA should publicly ask for turbidity records recorded at the Seattle intake at Landsburg.	Individual	Comment form	P.O. Box 244, Hobart, WA 98025			C	5d, 6a, 8e
0804	Every time Lansburg had to be shut down, it was due to high turbidities. This would be during heavy rains and snow melting. This will give you current background data on turbidity levels. Will help meet the challenge that the additional route increases sediment problems.	Individual	Comment form	P.O. Box 244, Hobart, WA 98025				7b, 8e
0805	Wants environmental studies to look at how to manage powerlines without clearing as much forest. Opposes any cutting of old growth trees.	Individual	Comment form	17527 SE 228th, Ravensdale, WA 98051				4j, 9b
0805	Need to conserve power better. Can't we just draw power from non-critical areas to supply more critical areas? Non-critical areas would include businesses, street lights, stores.	Individual	Comment form	17527 SE 228th, Ravensdale, WA 98051				1f

0805	Applauds efforts to minimize the impacts to the wetland and watershed areas. New tower design, as explained by Gene, are encouraging. Alt. C is best because towers don't change that much. In favor of conservation and improving load management rather than new growth.	Individual	Comment form	17527 SE 228th, Ravensdale, WA 98051			C	1h, 5a, 12a
0806	Have studies look at the increase of available food and open spaces when putting powerline in watershed. Now, animals have to travel out of the shed to find food.	Individual	Comment form					5h
0807	Only has AM radio that he/she depends on for news. Wants BPA to buy him/her a TV and cable forever.	Individual	Comment form					20b
0808	Submitted four articles printed from the internet: 1. "Healing Our World: Weekly Comment" by Jackie Alan Giuliando, Ph.D. Children, Cancer, and Powerlines: Closed Case or Coverup? 2. "New Science Has Found that Electric Powerlines Cause Illness and How the Human Organism is Affected." By Peter Staheli Life Scientist natural Food and Bioelectricity. 3. "Fear of Frying: power lines and cancer." by Simon Chapman. British Medical Journal. March 17, 201 v 322 I7287 p682. 4. "Brain Cancer Risk and Electromagnetic Fields (EMFs): Assessing the Geomagnetic component." by Tim E. Aldrich, Kurtis W. Andrews, Abraham R. Liboff. Archives of Environmental Health. July 2001 v56 i4 p314.	Individual	Other					19b
0809	Constituency concerned about alternative alignment through upper Issaquah Creek Watershed.	Elected Official	E-mail	P.O.Box 1307, Issaquah, WA 98027			C	1d-iii
0809	C is being watched by Issaquah's River and Streams Board. Objective is "to protect, preserve, and enhance the water quality in the waterways of Issaquah, and to protect the fish, birds, and aquatic mammals that depend on such aquatic environments."	Elected Official	E-mail	P.O.Box 1307, Issaquah, WA 98027			C	8e, 10a, 11a, 12a, 15e
0809	Part of C lies with the same route as the Issaquah Creek Basin's headwaters. This Creek is a salmon bearing stream. Want harmful effects to be identified in the re-evaluation of alternatives.	Elected Official	E-mail	P.O.Box 1307, Issaquah, WA 98027			C	1a, 8d, 10a
0809	Wants Issaquah to remain on the distribution list for the EIS.	Elected Official	E-mail	P.O.Box 1307, Issaquah, WA 98027				2e
0810	The SDEIS should address economic impacts (cumulative and specific) to region and property owners. Should look at property values, visual aesthetics, noise, and health affects to real or potential land buyers as well as current owners. Include the measures used by BPA to mitigate.	Individual	E-mail	17109 Tiger Mt. Rd. SE, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		C	6a, 13a, 14b, 17a, 19a

0810	SDEIS should look at EMF impacts. Should follow ways that use already established rights-of-way. SDEIS should explain how BPA came up with evaluation of risks and what mitigation will be employed.	Individual	E-mail	17109 Tiger Mt. Rd. SE, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		C	6a, 19b
0810	Developing new rights-of-way will affect habitat and ecologies. Movement of species will affect population dynamics. Priority should be given to alternatives that utilize existing right-of-ways. The SDEIS should explain how evaluation of impacts and mitigation are decided.	Individual	E-mail	17109 Tiger Mt. Rd. SE, Issaquah, WA 98027	1			4j, 6a, 11b
0810	Although 1 would impact sensitive areas in the watershed, impact could be reduced using existing right-of-way and impacts to humans would be minimal.	Individual	E-mail	17109 Tiger Mt. Rd. SE, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		1	4j, 5g, 14a, 15a
0811	Has any analysis been done to quantify and compare potential and current pollution/siltation from proposed line and current conditions? Little justification to consider other alternatives which cost more if the difference between potential and current conditions is small and one impacts property owners more than others.	Individual	E-mail	23752 262nd Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			1, C	1c, 5g, 7b, 14a
0811	When comparing costs of alternatives, are BPA project costs the only ones considered? Do they consider costs borne by the public in their analysis?	Individual	E-mail	23752 262nd Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038				1c
0812	As real estate professional, against A and C due to decreased property values.	Individual	E-mail	Windermere/Kent		A	A, C	14b
0812	Impacted by A and C: neighborhood aesthetics Health and safety--power lines in yard native growth/protected sensitive areas C is not best choice for homeowners, BPA and anyone enjoying area.	Individual	E-mail	Windermere/Kent		A	1, C	9a, 13a, 19a
0813	Supports 1 and opposes all alternatives, most strongly opposes C.	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 8, Ravensdale, WA 98051	1	C	1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	
0813	1 impacts less landowners than C and is a lower environmental risk than C.	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 8, Ravensdale, WA 98051	1	C		14a, 15e

0813	Biggest question is whether installation and maintenance of line could reduce water quality w/in the watershed. (Forcing Seattle to build a filtration plant) Eventually, this filtration system will be required anyway. BPA should absorb this liability at discounted Present Value. Installation of plant is the most costly in comparison to operation and maintenance. <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> BPA should mitigate for forestlands.	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 8, Ravensdale, WA 98051	1	C	1	5d, 6e
0813	BPA should mitigate for wetlands which are degraded.	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 8, Ravensdale, WA 98051	1	C	1	6d
0813	Supports BPA's plans to acquire "section 25" and the "Trillium" lands as mitigation for alt. 1.	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 8, Ravensdale, WA 98051	1	C		6a
0814	Concerned about impact to property owners. Use land that is publicly not privately owned.	Individual	E-mail	175 Shepard Cemetery Lane, Hollow Rock, TN 38342			C	14b
0814	Can overlook cost when price reduction is at issue. Alt. C would be an abuse of eminent domain power when other alternatives are available.	Individual	E-mail	175 Shepard Cemetery Lane, Hollow Rock, TN 38342			C	1c, 14a, 15a
0814	As Executive VP of the Environmental Conservation Organization, and a Director of the Property Rights Congress of America, urges BPA to abandon C.	Individual	E-mail	175 Shepard Cemetery Lane, Hollow Rock, TN 38342			C	
0815	Alt. A would double the tower in yard. Tower identifier is COV MPLV: Line2, Mile5, Tower 3.	Individual	E-mail				1, A	15d
0816	Alt. A would double the tower in yard. Tower identifier is COV MPLV: Line2, Mile5, Tower 3.	Individual	E-mail				1, A	15d

0817	Letter and petition submitted by Taxpayers, Citizens, and Voters Opposed to Alternative "C" 848 signatures, collected door to door, at local stores, and community meetings. Signers are opposed to using private lands for public use and point out that there are public lands available, sensitive native growth areas would be destroyed, salmon and fish bearing creeks would be impacted, the financial and environmental and human cost of Alt C is higher than the Cedar River option, and adding power lines to could impact neighborhoods. Signers consider themselves stewards of the environment, many having lived in the area for generations. This is a historic land in Washington with habitat important to vulnerable and possibly endangered species. If going through the watershed is impossible, Alt A would be better than Alt C.	Interest Group	Petition	Maple Valley	1	C	1, A, C	10a, 11a, 14a, 15e, 16c, 19a
0818	Responding to comment from the DEIS "Comment Log" in which a person compared cutting trees in the Cedar River Watershed to doing so in a National Park. Points out that the old growth forests of national parks have never been cut, while the watershed has been heavily logged for the last 100 years. Supports ending commercial logging in the watershed, but points out that to create the new powerline right of way, less than 1/10 of one percent of the second growth. Submitted facts on the number of acres and number of miles of logging roads within the watershed and Mount Rainier, Olympic, and North Cascades National Parks.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart	1		1	5g
0819	Upset that map published in community newspaper was not specific enough to locate residence; requested specific info by phone but has not heard back yet. Public information should be more readily available. Has a child with leukemia and will not live under powerlines, period. Existing power lines and rights of way should be used rather than risking people's health.	Individual	E-mail	24718 231st Ave SE, Maple Valley				2a, 19a
0820	Submitted color map showing the location of the Hobart landfill, landslide area, wetlands, erosion areas, coal mine, creeks, streams, roads, and the Cedar River watershed in relation to their property along SE 243rd St.	Individual	Other	27022 SE 243rd St, Maple Valley				4a, 7a, 8d, 9a, 12a
0821	Concerned at Alt C potential effect on Maple Valley, Hobart, and Ravensdale. Alt C looks like it would mean digging through the Landsburg/Hobart landfill, no longer in operation (closed in 1992) but still considered active due to methane gases. What if poisonous gases leaked into the land, creeks, and rivers?	Individual	Letter	27022 SE 243rd St, Maple Valley		C	C	4e, 8d, 9a, 19a

0821	Alternative 1 is best option because expands existing lines and would result in fewer environmental and socioeconomic impacts. Alternatives A and C would directly impact over 300 people's homes, possibly more if the landfill is involved.	Individual	Letter	27022 SE 243rd St, Maple Valley	1	C	1	4a, 14a
0821	Moved to Maple Valley/Hobart two years ago to raise children in peace, intend to spend rest of lives here and pass home to children. Value the area's beauty and small town community feel. Do not want a power tower in their backyard, which could be a liability issue for BPA to consider.	Individual	Letter	27022 SE 243rd St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	14a, 15d, 16a
0821	Power line and easement through hills of pastures, wetlands, and forests would be devastating. Why change from the original decision of Alternative 1? Willing to fight to protect land, homes, and community.	Individual	Letter	27022 SE 243rd St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	2e, 9a, 12a
0822	Spent three years searching for dream home property, avoiding properties with power lines because they do not hold value and people are afraid of them.	Individual	Letter	26531 SE 237th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	14b, 19a
0822	Have lived in Maplewood Estates for 14 years. Have a salmon creek that feeds into Cedar River behind house. Also frequently see elk, eagles, blue heron, woodpeckers, owl, other birds. Transmission line in their property would hurt even more natural habitat since Alternative C is longer route. They maintain property in natural state.	Individual	Letter	26531 SE 237th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	10b, 10c, 11a, 11c, 15e
0822	Regarding the concern that a transmission line through the watershed would hurt water quality -- after 9/11, we should all be concerned about water quality and filtration should be done regardless of the power line.	Individual	Letter	26531 SE 237th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5d, 19a
0822	It is faster and cheaper to put the line through the watershed, rather than disrupt people's homes and lives by following Alternative C.	Individual	Letter	26531 SE 237th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	1c, 5a, 14a
0823	It is faster and cheaper to put the line through the watershed, rather than disrupt people's homes and lives by following Alternative C.	Individual	Letter	26531 SE 237th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	1c, 5a, 14a
0823	Spent three years searching for dream home property, avoiding properties with power lines because they do not hold value and people are afraid of them.	Individual	Letter	26531 SE 237th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	14b, 19a
0823	Have lived in Maplewood Estates for 14 years. Have a salmon creek that feeds into Cedar River behind house. Also frequently see elk, eagles, blue heron, woodpeckers, owl, other birds. Transmission line in their property would hurt even more natural habitat since Alternative C is longer route. They maintain property in natural state.	Individual	Letter	26531 SE 237th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	10b, 10c, 11a, 11c, 15e

0823	Regarding the concern that a transmission line through the watershed would hurt water quality -- after 9/11, we should all be concerned about water quality and filtration should be done regardless of the power line.	Individual	Letter	26531 SE 237th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5d, 19a
0823	It is faster and cheaper to put the line through the watershed, rather than disrupt people's homes and lives by following Alternative C.	Individual	Letter	26531 SE 237th St, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	1c, 5a, 14a
0824	Why have residents on proposed routes only been informed of the potential line in the past 2-3 weeks, or not at all?	Individual	Letter	27112 SE 164th St, Ravensdale	1	C	1, C	
0824	Thought this issue was resolved two years ago, after lengthy public meetings.	Individual	Letter	27112 SE 164th St, Ravensdale	1	C	1, C	2e
0824	Have lived with a 375' BPA line easement running east to west through their property for over 40 years. The general public is ignorant about the ownership of the property the easement is across and treat it like public property -- horse riding, four-wheel driving, mountain biking, motorcycles, dumping garbage (which owners then have to dispose of), and hunting (including cleaning innards and leaving carcasses). BPA should post signs saying that it is privately owned property and that trespassing, dumping, shooting, and vandalism are prohibited.	Individual	Letter	27112 SE 164th St, Ravensdale	1	C	1, C	4f, 14d
0824	Since Seattle feels it is necessary to remove people from their homes and/or severely damage property so it can have safe drinking water, Seattle should pay reparations to property owners. They could turn it into a natural park and city dwellers could donate their time to its upkeep and experience the EMG and environmental impact. The Sierra Club could supervise.	Individual	Letter	27112 SE 164th St, Ravensdale	1	C	1, C	14d, 15b, 19b
0824	Efforts should be made to keep Seattle's water supply safe, but it is unreasonable to put a transmission line in a populated area and hinder continued enjoyment of private property. There does not seem to be any documentation of BPA harming Seattle's water supply in the past.	Individual	Letter	27112 SE 164th St, Ravensdale	1	C	1, C	5d, 6a, 14a
0825	Baffled that Alternative C is even being considered. No reason not to build new power line where the existing line already is, in the watershed. Existing power line does not cause environmental damage. Any potential threats during construction would exist regardless of where the line is built and would be minimal or nonexistent with proper planning.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	5a, 6a

0825	With the lack of money in King County leading to closing parks and pools, it's too bad that tax dollars have to be spent on "studies" to determine the obvious fact that the power line should be put in next to the existing line in the watershed.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	2e
0825	Go with Alternative Z: don't build the power line and turn off the lights in Seattle.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	1b
0826	City Manager for City of Covington questions feasibility of an alignment for Alternative A, given the narrow right-of-way within the existing urbanized area of the City of Covington.	Local Governme	Letter	City of Covington	1	A	1, A	4j, 14d
0826	City Manager for City of Covington Alternative A alignment appears to cross Jenkins Creek and Little Soos Creek, which are both in the Duwamish River basin and contain the same endangered salmon species as in the Cedar River Watershed.	Local Governme	Letter	City of Covington	1	A	1, A	5h, 8d, 10c
0826	City Manager for City of Covington Increasing tower height to 175 feet for a second line along the existing Covington/Chief Joseph 230-kV Line #1 would pose a flight safety risk for takeoffs, landings, and flight operations for aircraft at the Crest Air Park, a private airport located just outside the southern border of Covington. Alternative A should not interfere with FAA requirements on approach and transitional surface.	Local Governme	Letter	City of Covington	1	A	1, A	4h, 14e, 19a
0826	City Manager for City of Covington Within the City of Covington, parts of the easement for the existing Covington/Chief Joseph 230-kV Line #1 are built out in the form of paved roads, parking lots, and commercial buildings in the Covington Square Shopping Center. EIS should study the physical capability of accommodating an additional 500-kV line in this right-of-way.	Local Governme	Letter	City of Covington	1	A	1, A	4j, 14d
0826	City Manager for City of Covington Concerned about construction impacts (noise, lights and glare, dust, traffic, etc) on existing residences and businesses within or adjacent to the rights-of-way. What is the impact to the existing Covington/Chief Joseph 230-kV Line #1 during construction of a second 500-kV line? Will the existing line have to be shut down? Will businesses and roads along the right-of-way have to close during construction?	Local Governme	Letter	City of Covington	1	A	1, A	4j, 14e, 17c, 18a, 19a

0826	City Manager for City of Covington What is the environmental health impact to construction along the existing Covington/Chief Joseph 230-kV Line #1, which transects populated residential neighborhoods, major roadways, parks, and commercial shopping centers? Effect of EMF and construction should be evaluated.	Local Governme	Letter	City of Covington	1	A	1, A	19b
0827	On behalf of Taxpayers, Citizens, and Voters Against Alternative C: Power lines should be in the watershed for safety reasons -- less accessible to terrorism and vandalism. The watershed is well-patrolled and has stiff fines for trespassing.	Interest Group	Letter	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	19a
0827	On behalf of Taxpayers, Citizens, and Voters Against Alternative C: questions the assertion that endangered birds live in the watershed, since the marbled murrelet and spotted owl like to nest in old growth or second growth. But the area of the watershed for the proposed new power line was logged 30 years ago and BPA said at the Kent public meeting that it has found no spotted owls. Believes trees along Alt C are more likely to have endangered species, asks BPA to prove so.	Interest Group	Letter	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5h, 11b, 11c
0827	On behalf of Taxpayers, Citizens, and Voters Against Alternative C: disagrees with BPA's interpretation of the 5th Amendment regarding the power of eminent domain. There are four elements the government must prove to exercise the power of eminent domain and one does not apply in this case, since there is public land available in the form of the watershed. Also, the precedent of a powerline through the watershed has already been set.	Interest Group	Letter	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	5a, 14b
0827	On behalf of Taxpayers, Citizens, and Voters Against Alternative C: points out that Alt C is not good placement for human, environmental, cost, and ethical reasons. If not going through the watershed, urges Alt A instead of Alt C, since Alt A has fewer human and environmental impacts.	Interest Group	Letter	Maple Valley	1	C	1, A, C	1c, 5a, 14a
0828	Impressed with planned mitigation for construction in the watershed. Route 1A is shortest and least expensive of all proposed routes. All lines cross numerous wetlands and waterways that feed into the salmon spawning Cedar River or Issaquah Creek or Taylor Creek.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1		1	1c, 6a, 8d, 10b, 10c, 11a, 12a
0828	Costly to construct and maintain access roads on private property, as roads will need fences and gates to protect against vandalism, garbage dumping, drug sales, and party users. In contrast, the watershed already offers protection and has access roads in place, with only a few short spur lines to be added.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1		1	1c, 4b, 5a, 14d, 19a

0828	Crossing private lands will incur costs of replacing wells and septic systems. Many homeowners meet King County guidelines and have one or both systems. Sometimes the septic system or well may be in the right-of-way. Hobart has no public water or sewer service.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1		1	4b, 8c, 14d
0828	Doesn't want trees in her community cut and replaced with unsightly power lines that bring down property value. The trees and natural environment makes a community like Hobart so inviting.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1		1	13b, 14b, 15e
0828	Belongs to King County Forestry Stewardship program, where property owners receive reduced property taxes for protecting forest lands. If the contract is broken, must pay back the reduced taxes and pay a fine. BPA should consider how many homes are part of this program as part of the cost of the power line route.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1		1	4b, 14f
0828	Concerned about effects of the transmission line, including electrical shocks, audible noise, electrical interference with TV and radio, distortion of images on computer monitors, etc. BPA has said these problems can be mitigated, but how effectively and to what expense? This would be another problem for property values.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1		1	14b, 17b, 19b
0829	The Greater Maple Valley Unincorporated Area Council voted to oppose any proposed power line route other than through the watershed, also recommends a DEIS for all proposed routes which will show that there is as much potential for environmental damage outside the watershed as within it. Also taking into account the cost of a longer line and the devastating impact on property owners' lives, the original plan to use the watershed route is best.	Interest Group	Letter	Maple Valley	1		1	1c, 2e, 5a, 14b, 15f
0829	On behalf of the Greater Maple Valley Unincorporated Area Council: BPA should disregard the City of Seattle's water quality concerns because there is already a power line in the watershed that has not caused problems and disregard the wildlife and sensitive area concerns because the same wildlife and sensitive areas exist outside the watershed on the other routes. All routes cross the Cedar River, the Snoqualmie River, or salmon bearing streams, wooded area and wetlands. However, outside the watershed, homes would be in the right of way or up close to 135-ft. tall towers.	Interest Group	Letter	Maple Valley	1		1	2e, 4b, 5a, 9a, 10b, 10c, 11b, 12a, 13b, 14b
0829	On behalf of the Greater Maple Valley Unincorporated Area Council: all alternative routes outside the watershed would cost more than going through the watershed and ratepayers would have to foot the bill. Reiterate position from September 2000 - "No new transmission lines outside the watershed."	Interest Group	Letter	Maple Valley	1		1	1c, 2e

0830	Original watershed route makes more sense than going through neighborhoods of Ravensdale, Hobart, and Maple Valley. Going through watershed will affect fewer people and not degrade water quality in the watershed.	Individual	Letter	27709 293 Ave SE, Ravensdale	1		1	2e, 5d
0831	Concerned with the effects of EMF on his niece; not ethical to expose humans if not necessary. Option through watershed, or Alternative B or D, would have less impact on people. Cites studies on correlations between EMF and childhood leukemia. (Philip Pullella, New Report Link Between Power Lines and Childhood Leukemia, March 31, 2001.) Major findings from the California Department of Health Sciences & California Public Utilities Commission, "The California EMF Project" are that magnetic fields likely cause childhood and adult leukemia, adult brain cancer, spontaneous abortions and ALS. Magnetic fields possibly cause childhood brain cancer, female and male breast cancer, Alzheimer's disease, suicide, and heart problems.	Individual	Letter	705 SE 10th St, North Bend		C	1, B, C, D	19b
0832	Watershed route is shortest, least expensive, and there is already an existing power line. All environmental concerns that apply to the watershed also apply to the other routes, except that humans will be affected by the other routes.	Individual	Letter	17302 270th Ave SE, Issaquah	1		1	1c, 5g, 14a
0832	What has changed since the DEIS was issued? Why consider alternative routes other than the watershed when it is so obvious that the watershed is the best route. Human cost to the alternative routes are enormous: families losing homes, property value decreasing, health issues for young children under power lines from EMF, and Alt C and A will go through highly populated areas.	Individual	Letter	17302 270th Ave SE, Issaquah	1		1	2e, 5a, 14b, 15f, 19b
0832	Angry that her community is being used as a pawn in argument of which entity pays for a water filtration plant in the watershed. Outrage to put citizens and taxpayers in this situation by delaying the final EIS -- families in the routes are being held hostage in worry and fear, hesitant to improve their homes and property, and unable to sell due to disclosure laws.	Individual	Letter	17302 270th Ave SE, Issaquah	1		1	5d, 6f, 14b, 15f

0833	Concerned with the effects of EMF on his niece; not ethical to expose humans if not necessary. Option through watershed, or Alternative B or D, would have less impact on people. Cites studies on correlations between EMF and childhood leukemia. (Philip Pullella, New Report Link Between Power Lines and Childhood Leukemia, March 31, 2001.) Major findings from the California Department of Health Sciences & California Public Utilities Commission, "The California EMF Project" are that magnetic fields likely cause childhood and adult leukemia, adult brain cancer, spontaneous abortions and ALS. Magnetic fields possibly cause childhood brain cancer, female and male breast cancer, Alzheimer's disease, suicide, and heart problems.	Individual	Letter	Concord, CA	1	C	1, C	19b
0834	Own two homes on Landsburg Road in Ravensdale. Have struggled to maintain these homes, have refinanced and homes represent all their assets. Concerned that a power line would ruin the view, they could get cancer, and hear buzzing noises instead of wildlife.	Individual	Letter	Landsburg Road in Ravensdale	1		1	13b, 14b, 15f, 17b, 19b
0835	Why take private property when another option exists?	Individual	Letter	27005 SE 216th, Maple Valley	1		1	14d
0835	King County said she could not cut trees on her property, so why would BPA be allowed to do so? King County also said they could only have one residence on five acres, but they have seven acres and wanted to give away some land to their children to build on, but now BPA wants to take that property and the property of her heirs.	Individual	Letter	27005 SE 216th, Maple Valley	1		1	4b
0835	On her property, there is a herd of elk, family of deer, raccoon, coyotes, cougar, pheasant, and many types of birds, including red tail. The beginning of Taylor Creek is also on her property, as well as many old growth and second growth fir and cedar trees, and wild lilies.	Individual	Letter	27005 SE 216th, Maple Valley	1		1	8d, 9a, 11a
0835	Concerned about illness related to cancer; a family friend who lived under power lines had two children that died of leukemia and a husband who died of brain cancer. Also concerned about the environment and health of her own children and resale value of the property.	Individual	Letter	27005 SE 216th, Maple Valley	1		1	14b, 19b
0836	Letter to Washington State Governor Locke. Alt C will negatively impact his family and his business of over 20 employees in the Hobart area. Urges BPA to complete this project with least impact to homeowners in Maple Valley and provide relief from higher utility rates for public and commercial concerns in Western Washington.	Individual	Letter	26525 SE 224th St, Maple Valley (Hobart)	1	C	1, C	1j, 14e

0836	The current preferred Alternative route has existed for 30 years without negative impacts to the watershed. Many would argue the line has had a positive impact on the watershed.	Individual	Letter	26525 SE 224th St, Maple Valley (Hobart)	1	C	1, C	5g
0836	The current preferred Alternative route through the watershed would not necessitate any actions of eminent domain, but Alt A and C would require destroying up to 35 homes. The right-of-way and high voltage lines would impact hundreds of families.	Individual	Letter	26525 SE 224th St, Maple Valley (Hobart)	1	C	1, C	4j, 14c, 19a
0836	Concern about excess sedimentation and the need for a filtration plant in the watershed has become a politically charged issue lacking logical basis in fact.	Individual	Letter	26525 SE 224th St, Maple Valley (Hobart)	1	C	1, C	5d, 6a, 7b
0837	Does not want power lines in her backyard, very concerned about the effects of the lines - EMF, vibration, etc.	Individual	Letter	23729 262nd PI SE, Maple Valley	1		1	15d, 19b
0837	Moved to Maplewood Estates in 1988, built a home, very involved in the community, appreciate the space and nature and work to protect it. Property has privacy and sense of peace that would be ruined if power lines are put in. Put the lines in the watershed instead, since that would not affect as many people and there is already a power line there.	Individual	Letter	23729 262nd PI SE, Maple Valley			1	14a, 15e
0837	Put the lines in the watershed instead, since that would not affect as many people and there is already a power line there.	Individual	Letter	23729 262nd PI SE, Maple Valley	1		1	5g, 15c
0838	I accept that BPA predicts the need for extra transmission capacity. However, it appears that Alternative C has no economic or environmental advantages over Alternative 1 and actually would be worse on both criteria. The only reason to consider such an inferior route as Alternative C appears to stem from the elitism of an environmental organization and NIMBYism of Seattle.	Individual	Letter	16906 Tiger Mt Rd SE, Issaquah	1	C	1, C	1c, 3a, 15b
0838	Although BPA would have to be careful during construction of the line through the watershed and mitigate any environmental effects, there would be comparatively little environmental impact since the route would follow that of an existing line. The effort required to mitigate along Alternative C would be much greater, since access routes would have to be constructed and since part of Alternative C lies within the watershed of Issaquah Creek, a salmon spawning stream.	Individual	Letter	16906 Tiger Mt Rd SE, Issaquah	1	C	1, C	5a, 6f, 8d, 10b, 10c

0838	It is arrogant of an environmental organization (Biodiversity) to seek to preserve wilderness that no one can access (the watershed) by putting power towers across unspoiled rural countryside, destroying single-family homes.	Individual	Letter	16906 Tiger Mt Rd SE, Issaquah	1	C	1, C	4a, 14a, 15c
0838	Seattle's concerns are founded in hypocrisy: it doesn't want to expand an already-existing power line in the watershed, but would rather put a new power line in the backyard of private citizens who are not Seattle residents.	Individual	Letter	16906 Tiger Mt Rd SE, Issaquah	1	C	1, C	4a, 5a, 15b
0838	If there is any impact to the watershed during construction of the power line, Seattle can also draw from the Tolt watershed. This would prevent Seattle's citizens from being inconvenienced.	Individual	Letter	16906 Tiger Mt Rd SE, Issaquah	1	C	1, C	5d, 6a
0839	Daughter has leukemia and family does not need the extra stress of the power line possibly coming through their property. Concerned that home and property value will be ruined, and that retirement money and children's inheritance will be taken away. Home appraised for \$1 million, and since then have added a pool.	Individual	Letter	27105 Se 208th St, Maple Valley	1		1	14b, 15f
0839	Concerned that home and property value will be ruined, and that retirement money and children's inheritance will be taken away. Home appraised for \$1 million, and since then have added a pool.	Individual	Letter	27105 Se 208th St, Maple Valley	1		1	14c, 15d
0840	Concerned about EMF. Two-year old granddaughter would be put at risk for childhood leukemia, other family members could also be affected. Go through the watershed, or through routes that do not uproot homes or put human beings at risk, such as B or C [D].	Individual	Letter	812 S. Marine Hills Way, Federal Way	1	C	1, C	5a, 14c, 19b
0841	On behalf of Earth Ministry, a Christian ecumenical, environmental ministry based in Seattle that works with 85 churches (representing about 30,000 people) and has 1,500 individual members. Concerned with potential impacts to water quality, wildlife habitat, and plant biodiversity in Cedar River Watershed, which is unique as only one of six watersheds in the country that is a protected forestland while providing clean, minimally treated water to a municipality of over one million. It is also a habitat for black bears, cougars, marbled murrelets, and other native species. Its waters support many anadromous fish species, including ESA-listed Chinook salmon, and threatened bull trout and Coho, steelhead and sockeye salmon. City of Seattle protects this area, with support of its residents.	Interest Group	Letter	Seattle		1	1	5a, 9a, 10b, 10c, 11a, 15e

0841	On behalf of Earth Ministry, a Christian ecumenical, environmental ministry based in Seattle that works with 85 churches (representing about 30,000 people) and has 1,500 individual members. Construction and line-maintenance activities in watershed would hinder continued recovery and create water pollution risks through erosion, fuel leaks from equipment, hazardous material spills, and pesticides on the Right-of-way.	Interest Group	Letter	Seattle		1	1	4j, 5a, 6a, 7b, 19a
0841	On behalf of Earth Ministry, a Christian ecumenical, environmental ministry based in Seattle that works with 85 churches (representing about 30,000 people) and has 1,500 individual members. DEIS inadequately addressed drinking water regulations, impacts on Chinook salmon and other threatened species.	Interest Group	Letter	Seattle		1	1	2e, 5d, 10c, 11c
0841	On behalf of Earth Ministry, a Christian ecumenical, environmental ministry based in Seattle that works with 85 churches (representing about 30,000 people) and has 1,500 individual members. Consider increased conservation measures to eliminate need for new transmission line.	Interest Group	Letter	Seattle		1	1	1g, 3a
0841	On behalf of Earth Ministry, a Christian ecumenical, environmental ministry based in Seattle that works with 85 churches (representing about 30,000 people) and has 1,500 individual members. Please consider alternatives to the route through the watershed. Urge careful consideration of all alternatives. If watershed is selected, expects BPA to work with Seattle and environmental community to mitigate negative effects of construction and fully indemnify the City against risk of any water treatment necessitated by the line and construction.	Interest Group	Letter	Seattle		1	1	2e, 5d, 6a
0842	Understand need for increasing electrical service capacity, but City of Maple Valley can only support the alternative with least impact on existing residents and cause least need for residential displacement.	Elected Official	Letter	Maple Valley	1		1	3a, 14c

0842	Alt A would expand the use of an existing BPA easement at the south end of the City of Maple Valley by adding a parallel set of towers or consolidating service onto new, larger towers. Currently, the towers avoid one of the city's most intensely developed commercial areas, are adjacent to new and established residential neighborhoods, cross the high-use Elk Run Golf Course, and cross over a site the City and Tahoma School District are developing for a school bus transportation center and tournament-quality athletic fields. BPA has been working with the City and School District to properly design a facility that could accommodate existing BPA towers, but further development of that easement could make the transportation center a less safe/desirable place to work and may mean it may not be possible to add the much-needed athletic fields.	Elected Official	Letter	Maple Valley	1		1	14d, 15a, 16a
0842	Which alternative would require the least amount of property acquisition by BPA in urban-designated areas?	Elected Official	Letter	Maple Valley	1		1	4g
0842	Which alignment would result in the fewest displacements of current residents?	Elected Official	Letter	Maple Valley	1		1	14c
0842	Which alignment would result in the new facilities impacting the fewest number of current residents? Which alignment would result in the new facilities being furthest from most existing residential and commercial buildings (proximity)?	Elected Official	Letter	Maple Valley	1		1	14a
0842	Which alignment would have the least impact on existing and planned recreation sites?	Elected Official	Letter	Maple Valley	1		1	4f
0843	Refers BPA to a slide show on the City of Seattle website (http://www.cityofseattle.net/util/earthquake/default.htm), specifically to the sections on the Masonry Pool, 100 Road, and 200 Road, and points out the environmental problems caused by construction in the watershed. Before adopting the Habitat Conservation Plan, Seattle Public Utilities "logged like crazy" in the watershed. However, BPA will cut less than 1/10 of one percent of the watershed area for a new transmission line corridor. SPU has been poorly managing the watershed, showing its bureaucracy and short sightedness, so it is hypocritical to now insist BPA take so many precautions in construction.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart			1	5d, 6a
0844	Submitted a BPA flyer on Crime Witness, asking sportsmen, recreationalists, landowners, ratepayers, and citizens to report theft, dumping and vandalism to BPA. Handwrote on the flyer, "If the powerline was in the watershed, vandalism would be eliminated!"	Individual	E-mail	Hobart	1		1	5a, 19a

0845	Opposed to any route outside of the watershed, as was originally planned. Moved to present home 22 years ago. Has coped with growth over the years, but overall quality of life is good. Lives on a dead-end road; there is an elk trail and bedding site at back of property that its used by a local herd every spring. This trail would be harmed if towers are constructed along Alt C.	Individual	Letter	27429 SE 268th St, Ravensdale	1	C	1, C	11b, 15b
0846	EIS should look at health risks for residents living near the transmission line. Acknowledges that scientific opinion and research studies can vary, but that it would be irresponsible to disregard the results. Biological effects from EMF are well-documented and the line should be put in the watershed, away from humans.	Individual	E-mail	Renton	1	C	1, C	19b
0846	EIS should include data from Appendix E and G in the main body of the new DEIS. Whether controversial or not, evaluate how many residents, especially in Alt A and C, would receive long-term impact from EMF: cancer promoting effects on growing cells, developmental abnormalities in embryos, alterations in neurochemicals resulting in behavioral abnormalities like suicide, alterations in biological cycles and learning ability, stress responses leading to immune system deficiency, etc.	Individual	E-mail	Renton	1	C	1, A, C	19b
0846	EIS should identify a safe right-of-way buffer that would protect residents from health impacts, using a 3 milligauss or less standard.	Individual	E-mail	Renton	1	C	1, C	4j, 19b
0846	EIS should show that conservation and increased efficiency are maximized.	Individual	E-mail	Renton	1	C	1, C	1g
0846	EIS should consider an alternative of designing multiple smaller distribution systems instead of super regional sites.	Individual	E-mail	Renton	1	c	1, C	1d-iii, 3a
0846	I DO NOT WANT TRANSMISSION LINES NEAR WHERE I LIVE. One reason I left my old neighborhood was because it was adjacent to transmission lines and I became aware of the potential health hazards.	Individual	E-mail	Renton	1	C	1, C	15d, 19b
0847	On behalf of the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT): Two different regions of WSDOT could be impacted -- South Central Region and the Northwest Region. Activities in the I-90 rights of way east of North Bend (milepost 33.29) should be coordinated with the South Central Region (contact John Gruber). Activities in the I-90 rights of way west of North Bend (milepost 33.29), SR 18, and/or SR516 should be coordinated with the Northwest region (contact Ramin Pazooki).	State Agency	Letter	South Central Region of DOR (Yakima)				4h

0847	On behalf of the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT): Alternatives B, C, and D intersect or parallel I-90 and/or SR 18. Both of these highways, including ramps and interchange areas, are fully-controlled limited access highways and direct access from both is prohibited.	State Agency	Letter	South Central Region of WSDOT (Yakima)			B, C, D	4h
0847	On behalf of the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT): A utility crossing permit is required for all highway crossings. In the South Central Region, contact Jamil Anabtawi (509-577-1785); for the Northwest Region, contact Dean Holman (206-440-4430).	State Agency	Letter	South Central Region of WSDOT (Yakima)				4h
0847	On behalf of the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT): Work or access in state rights-of-way in the South Central Region must be coordinated with the Area 1 Maintenance office (contact Terry Kukes 509-577-1907).	State Agency	Letter	South Central Region of WSDOT (Yakima)				4j
0847	On behalf of the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT): The South Central Region plans to widen I-90 from Hyak to Easton Hill (milepost 55 to 67), which could be impacted by Alt B or D.	State Agency	Letter	South Central Region of WSDOT (Yakima)			B, D	4h
0847	On behalf of the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT): The Northwest Region has current and planned projects on SR 18 and SR 169 that Alternatives A, B, C, or D may impact. If selected, BPA must coordinate with WSDOT.	State Agency	Letter	South Central Region of WSDOT (Yakima)			A, B, C, D	4h
0847	On behalf of the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT): applicants must obtain proper permits from WSDOT before transporting any oversized or overweight hauls on WSDOT-maintained rights-of-way.	State Agency	Letter	South Central Region of WSDOT (Yakima)				4j
0848	Submits a petition signed by 32 of the 70 property owners of North Bend's Uplands Snoqualmie Valley development. Alternatives B and D would impact this development. The Uplands consists of 70 lots ranging in size from 3-10 acres, with brand new million dollar and multi-million dollar homes.	Individual	Petition	North Bend - Uplands Snoqualmie Valley development	1		1, B, D	14b, 15d
0848	Submits a petition signed by 32 of the 70 property owners of North Bend's Uplands Snoqualmie Valley development. The existing Rocky Reach-Maple Valley transmission line currently bisects the development; a second set of towers would require a new 150-foot easement, which would have to come from 11 Uplands lots, plus two of the community "Conservation Set-Aside Tracts" or wetland areas.	Individual	Petition	North Bend - Uplands Snoqualmie Valley development	1		1, B, D	1d-iii, 4j, 12a, 14d, 15e

0848	Submits a petition signed by 32 of the 70 property owners of North Bend's Uplands Snoqualmie Valley development. Most homeowners have designed and sited homes to minimize visual impact of existing line, so taller towers would render that work meaningless and ruin the beautiful mountain views for half the lots and lower property values.	Individual	Petition	North Bend - Uplands Snoqualmie Valley development	1		1, B, D	1d-iii, 13b, 14b
0848	Submits a petition signed by 32 of the 70 property owners of North Bend's Uplands Snoqualmie Valley development. Urge BPA to put the line through the watershed as originally planned and use high standards of engineering and design to address environmental concerns.	Individual	Petition	North Bend - Uplands Snoqualmie Valley development	1		1, B, D	5a, 6a
0849	What is the increase of EMF if you are not bought out and continue living in your house? How does that impact quality of life? I suffer from depression, bipolar disorder and can't sleep -- melatone [melatonin] level is affected by EMF. No route A.	Individual	Other			A	A	15f, 19b
0850	Has BPA already prepared a Draft Growth Management Act Electrical Facilities Plan to King County Planning and Community Development? Growth in the Hobart rural area would add less than 0.2 MW to the cumulative peak electrical demand in next 10-20 years. SDEIS should describe more specifically the need for an additional 500 kV lines. How much need is for reliability (redundancy) and how much for additional capacity, and whom will it serve?	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	3b, 4g
0850	SDEIS should address impacts to moderate or high coal mine hazards along Alt C. Danville Coal Mine operated 1910 to 1946 and is located in southern end of Alt C. Submitted map (Exhibit 1) showing location of the mine. There are also other exploratory shafts (Carey Prospects) close to Carey Creek.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4e, 19a
0850	SDEIS should clearly state the differences between alternatives in meeting the project need. Is Alternative A a "stop gap" measure? What variables are involved in projecting future needs? Under the "build alternatives," at what point would another line be needed? How often are projections updated? What is the level of confidence of projections at 5,10,30 years?	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	1a, 3a
0850	Please answer these questions in SDEIS: What are BPA policies and criteria for route selection? Is there a requirement to install a line on a public right-of-way, if one exists, rather than purchasing new right-of-way from private property owners? What other criteria are considered? Impacts to sensitive areas? Are these policies hierarchical or cumulative?	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	1a, 3a

0850	Hobart area is unique as one of a few truly rural communities in King County, not containing forested areas or wetlands or sensitive areas. Hobart area has historical significance, as many original settlers still live and work here. A recent project in King County (Treemont Plat May 2002) was denied due to significant impacts to "rural character." SDEIS should address impacts to farmlands, cattle and horse farms, home occupations, and local businesses such as commercial riding stables and construction.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	14e, 15b, 16c
0850	Request that in the SDEIS, BPA excerpt ten personal statements from transcripts taken at public meetings between May 30th and June 13, 2002. This will personalize the devastating impact of alternatives outside the watershed on people's lives. Hobart residents choose to live in Hobart because of the natural beauty and community history. Appalled at potential loss of 40 homes, easements through over 200 properties.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	14a, 15f, 16c
0850	Amend the Land Use and Zoning map in the DEIS to reflect correct existing zoning and land use. Include a recent aerial photo to adequately depict land use.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4a
0850	SDEIS should include an in-depth Land Use Plan and Policy consistency analysis regarding: King County Comprehensive Plan, King County Zoning Code (Title 21A), King County Master Shoreline Program, Issaquah Creek Valley Groundwater Management Plan (1994), Issaquah Creek Basin Plan (1994), West Tiger Mountain Natural Resources Conservation Area Management Plan (1989), King county Critical Ordinance, Taylor and Walsh Creek Basin Plan, Cedar River Basin Plan, King County Rural Initiatives, including Public Benefit Rating System Program, Issaquah Wildlife and Recreation Trails Plan policies, and Transportation Concurrency Level of Service Standards (March 2002).	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4i
0850	SDEIS should address impacts to local roads, haul routes for export of fill material for staging and access road construction and maintenance. For Alt C, approx. 6,000 construction truck trips will be needed for construction of access roads. Submitted Exhibit 3, the King County Transportation Concurrency Plan (March 2002), which shows that many roadways are at or over concurrency threshold. A project-specific roadway concurrency analysis should be completed, based on King County standards.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4h

0850	Alt C would transect Taylor Mountain Forest, a publicly owned 1800-acre site on SW Taylor Mtn. King County acquired in 1997 to conserve, protect, and restore natural resources, restore forest's health and diversity, and provide public education and recreational opportunities. SDEI should address impacts to this and other planned recreational sites, as well as impacts regulated under Section 4f of the Federal Department of Transportation Act of 1966.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4f, 9a, 13c, 14a
0850	Alt C would affect the uppermost mile of the Cedar River Trail, where the visual quality is best along this 20-mile, heavily used trail. Views are of native, unmanaged forests, and the Cedar River. This trail is extraordinary for being so close to major urban centers. It would be nearly impossible for impacts to be adequately mitigated.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4f, 6e, 13c
0850	To build construction and maintenance roads along Alt C, about 70,000 cubic yards of topsoil need to be removed and replaced with structural fill and 32,000 tons of gravel (assuming 20 ft. wide road and 6 inch gravel base). SDEIS should describe the impacts of removing soil and location of potential fill sites, all significant impacts and mitigation should be consistent with potential Reasonable and Prudent Measures in BPA's future Biological Opinion.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4h, 7a
0850	SDEIS should address the fact that about 75% of the headwater areas of Holder and Carey Creek subbasins are underlain by highly erodible soils. Many areas within Taylor Mountain Forest are classified as Erosion Hazard Areas, according to the King County Sensitive Areas Map Folio.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	7b, 8d
0850	According to the Issaquah Basin Plan, future land uses will allow for a major increase in development, reducing forest and wetland areas by up to 50%. As a consequence, the 25-year peak flow model increases to almost 413 cubic feet per second (26% increase). This will accelerate flooding and channel migration in existing problem areas and may result in harmful impacts to salmonid and terrestrial resources, including elk, deer, bear, birds, and amphibians.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	7e, 8d, 9a, 10b, 11b, 12a
0850	Rock Creek is one of the four main fish-bearing tributaries of the lower Cedar River. Its Index of Biotic Integrity scores are very high, which has lead King County to expand protection efforts.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	8d, 10a
0850	Carey and Holder Creeks have among the highest Index of Biotic Integrity scores in King County. BPA should commit to annual BIBI monitoring of affected surface waters in perpetuity and develop contingency actions should construction and long-term effects lower BIBI scores.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	6b, 8a

0850	There is significant wildlife in the Carey, Holder and Taylor Creek areas and the Taylor Mountain Forest. There are unconfirmed bald eagle nest sites at the Mill pond and at Lake Francis, both close to Alt C. There are other species of importance (defined by King County): red-tailed hawk, pileated woodpecker, band-tailed pigeon, red-legged frog, marten, beaver, Columbia black-tailed deer, bear, cougar, and elk. Elk herds have a calving area at north end of 268th Avenue SE near Carey Creek. The City of Seattle's management priority within the Cedar River Watershed is water quality, not large mammal habitat; currently the watershed does not contain suitable grazing and calving areas comparable to the resources in Hobart. WDFW biologists should be consulted for construction windows to minimize impact on the elk herd.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	11b, 11c, 11e
0850	Holder and Carey subbasins contribute to almost one-half of stream flow to lower Issaquah Creek, which is prone to flooding. Much of the subbasin is above 1000 feet in elevation so receives higher rainfall and rain-on-snow events than other areas of the Issaquah Basin.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	7e, 8d
0850	SDEIS should quantify direct and indirect effects to surface waters in area affected by Alt C, including Carey Creek, Holder Creek, Taylor Creek, Walsh Creek, the Cedar River, and unnamed tributaries and wetlands and other sensitive areas.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	8d, 12a
0850	SDEIS should state the number of individuals in potential project areas who rely on groundwater for drinking water. SDEIS should include map of private wells within 1000 feet of project area. BPA should commit to long-term water quality monitoring to ensure meet Washington State Department of Health standards.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	6a, 8c, 19a
0850	BPA should also pay for water quality grab samples downstream of constructed roadways for 5 years after construction and a following 3 years for unforeseen future catastrophic events that could cause severe erosion. BPA should be responsible for water quality violations resulting from construction, including well replacement/relocation or providing alternative sources of drinking water.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	6f, 8e
0850	SDEIS should map all drainfield and septic tank locations within 1000 feet of all alternatives; impacts resulting from project should be estimated and BPA should replace.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	6f, 8a, 19a
0850	Much of Alt C is a high aquifer recharge area. What measures will ensure that erosion or maintenance activities will not affect water quality to people or animals in the area?	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	6a, 7b, 8c, 11a, 19a

0850	Taylor Mtn Forest is in headwaters of Issaquah Creek, one of the three best lowland salmonid streams in King County. The two subbasins of Holder Creek and Carey Creek contain some of the highest water quality and most pristine salmonid spawning and rearing habitat in the Puget Sound region and have been recognized by King County as Regionally Significant Resource Areas, for stream, terrestrial, and wetland habitat.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	8d, 10b, 10c, 11b, 12a
0850	Walsh Creek is one of four main fish-bearing tributaries to the lower Cedar River. Over the last 10 years, King County has acquired habitat along Walsh Stream's lower reaches as part of the Cedar River Trail greenway. These alignments could affect water quality and salmon habitat of Walsh Creek; impacts of construction and long-term vegetation management should be addressed.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	8e, 9a, 10b, 10c
0850	The lower Cedar River serves as spawning, rearing, and refuge habitat for resident trout, including bull trout, and four salmonids: chinook, coho, sockeye, and steelhead. Chinook and bull trout are listed as threatened under the ESA. Salmon recovery planning occurs within the larger watershed of the Cedar-Sammamish Water Resource Inventory Area #8 (WRIA 8); over half the chinook run in WRIA 8 use the lower Cedar River.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	8d, 10b, 10c
0850	There is good habitat for the rare spotted frog and western toad in the Taylor Mountain Forest, from amphibian inventories conducted by King County. Taylor Mountain provides an important link in forming a continuous wildlife habitat from the watershed through Tiger, Squak, and Cougar Mountains. BPA should consult the King County Comprehensive Plan and KCSAO for impacts to mapped wildlife habitat corridors.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	11b, 11e
0850	SDEIS should indicate all local, state, and federal species of concern in project area. Should consult existing databases, such as WDFW PHS, DNR's natural heritage program, King County inventories and staff, and local residents.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	11a, 11e
0850	SDEIS should include survey of state-listed noxious weeds in right-of-ways, and commit to long-term maintenance without the use of herbicides to protect agricultural and aquifer sensitive areas.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4d, 8c, 9c

0850	SDEIS should include survey of state-listed noxious weeds in right-of-ways, and commit to long-term maintenance without the use of herbicides to protect agricultural and aquifer sensitive areas. Get baseline data to determine whether right-of-way is avenue of introduction for these weeds to private property; maintenance activities on private land may be required at BPA expense. Include a cost estimate for perpetuity.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	6d, 9d
0850	SDEIS should list specific vegetation and age class of trees to be impacted for all alternatives. Should document trees standing alive, trees standing dead, decay class, and down woody debris. 74,000 acres of the watershed was logged in 1994, but much of Hobart's forest is mature second growth dominated by western red cedar and scarred spruce and hemlock. There is a healthy canopy, shrub, and groundcover layer. Alt C also has upland habitat trees and snags and large down woody debris, which are resources largely absent in the lower portion of the watershed.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	5g, 9a
0850	Quality and significance of trees impacted under Alt C is greater than trees impacted by preferred alternative through watershed because there is more "buffer" in watershed. SDEIS should address quantity and quality of vegetation of all alternatives, taking into consideration landscape position, adjacent land uses, management practices, and impacts to wildlife corridors.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	5b, 9a
0850	SDEIS should show how recently areas in preferred alternative have been logged, canopy cover, and level of hydrologic disturbance, then contrast to other alternatives, including Alt C, where much of the existing mature second growth has contributed to the high water quality of the area. Analysis should include detailed impacts to hydrologic regime for all alternatives.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	5g, 8a, 9a
0850	SDEIS should address schedule for on-going road maintenance, including vegetation removal, subsequent effects to hydrology, and vegetation in zone of impact.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	6a, 8a, 9a
0850	Why would mitigation cost LESS for alternatives outside the watershed? Longer lines with new right-of-way acquisition and new road construction seem like they would cost more.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	1c, 4j, 6f
0850	In SDEIS, impacts should be described as "significant" or "insignificant" instead of as "high, medium, or low." Please do not confuse potential indirect impacts (water quality) with direct human and environmental impacts (all lines outside the watershed).	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	2a

0850	There are Class 1, 2, and 3 wetlands in the forest and downstream portions of Issaquah Creek drainage basin. There are 75 wetlands within Taylor Mountain Forest. SDEIS should describe direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	12a
0850	SDEIS should address quantity of fill material needed for new road construction in sensitive areas. Impacts to sensitive areas must meet or exceed King County Sensitive Areas Code (Title 21A.24) and consider Department of Ecology wetland mitigation replacement ratios. Consult with Ecology for Section 401 Certification of Federal Clean Water Act and as a condition of the US Army Corps fill permit. Despite stating so at a public meeting, BPA is not exempt from the Clean Water Act. BPA may also need a Section 404 permit from US Army Corps.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4h, 6d, 12a
0850	Use Washington State Function Assessment Method (WSFAM, 2000) to perform wetland assessments. Impacts to forested wetlands cannot be mitigated, as they result in direct and irrevocable changes in function to these systems.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	6d, 12b
0850	In Alt C, consider starting a land acquisition fund (to include acquisition and long-term maintenance and management in perpetuity) for affected basins in 6:1 mitigation ratio. Lands acquired could be managed by King County or an existing non-profit land conservancy group. Work with King County Water and Land Resources Division. Such a plan would not mitigate for impacts to build environment, such as loss of homes, property use and loss of rural community character.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	6d, 12a, 15a
0850	SDEIS should include a visual quality impact analysis from the following: 1) Travelers on Hwy 18, 2) residents and businesses, 3) roadways within Hobart, 4) trail users of Taylor and Tiger Mountains and Cedar River Trail. For methodology, refer to submitted Exhibit 2, "Visual Quality Analysis, Interstate 90/So. SPAR NEPA EIS", April 1998. Include photos and notable trees.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	13a

0850	SDEIS should address cost, delay of relocating existing utilities, and relocation of residents in comparable housing in a the nearby rural area, and change in location of business and loss of permanent jobs. Include fiscal impacts based on 2002/2003 tax rate estimates for loss of taxable land and agricultural exempt land. Potential tax loss from right-of-way acquisition would not be offset by increased tax revenue from local development facilitated by construction of the new transmission line. Describe short term impacts from construction and related decrease in local Hobart economy. How would Uniform Relocation and Real Property Policies Act of 1970 compensate effects on residences and businesses of local area?	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	14a
0850	Executive Order 12898 (Feb 1994) says all federal actions must consider impacts on minority and low-income populations and provide mitigation where disproportionate impacts would occur. SDEIS should describe population and housing characteristics for project study area. King County Comprehensive Plan Policy F-221 states that no single community should absorb an inequitable share of public facilities. Since Hobart already has a landfill and Hwy 18, a power line would mean more than its share.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4a, 14a, 15a
0850	Tulalip and Muckleshoot tribes have historically used Alt C area for hunting and gathering.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	16b
0850	Many farm buildings and houses near Alt C could be classified as historically significant.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	16c
0850	SDEIS should describe potential impacts of construction noise at property line of all adjacent residences; compare to King County Noise Ordinance.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	17c
0850	SDEIS should describe potential public health impacts of using pesticides/herbicides near neighboring horse and cattle farms.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	9d, 19d
0850	SDEIS should include latest data on EMF. Though possible ambiguous, people should not be exposed to unknown health hazards. Indicate the number of individuals living within 1000 feet of each alternative and the types of effects that they might experience, from noise disturbance to health concerns. Indicate level of EMF from a 500 kV line as it dissipates over distance, how EMFs vary in strength, and other variables in EMF levels.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	17b, 19b
0850	SDEIS should address safety issues for use of road right-of-ways for access to businesses and residences during and after construction.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4h, 19a

0850	Address vandalism and dumping along private property or within BPA right-of-way. What is cost to BPA annually to address these issues? BPA should be responsible for vandalism and dumping in these areas, and should pay for fencing, if needed.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	6f, 9d, 19a
0850	SDEIS should address construction impacts from dust, exporting about 6000 truck trips of expert material and gravel to build access roads in Alt C. Construction activities would generate airborne particulates and small amounts of carbon monoxide and nitrogen oxides. Burning of debris is prohibited within Urban Growth Areas in King County (Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Authority regulation 1, article 8). Airborne particulate emissions would result from demolition, land clearing, ground excavation, and building access roads. An appropriate emission factor would be 1.2 tons per acre of construction per month of activity (EPA, 1985). SDEIS should include an analysis using BPA criteria and describe impacts to residences within 150 to 300 feet of access roads. Also describe how to comply with regulations requiring control of dust during construction and prevention of mud on paved streets (PSAPCA reg 1, article 6). Also address PSAPCA rule 1, section 9.15 on emission of fugitive dust during construction.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	18a
0850	BPA should commit to equal or better mitigation for alternatives outside the watershed, due to significantly greater impacts to human environment. Relate mitigation to specific impact, as by NEPA.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1	C	1, C	6a
0851	Spending additional money to re-evaluate alternatives that were already considered too costly is a waste of public money.	Individual	Letter	21516 265th Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038				1c, 2e
0851	Use existing right-of-way or adjacent properties whenever possible. This minimizes environmental, visual, economic etc. impacts.	Individual	Letter	21516 265th Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038				4j, 13a, 14b, 15e
0851	Believes all alternatives have environmental impacts, but believes that BPA can comply with adequate protection. However, can't mitigate impact of transmission lines on private property owners and neighborhoods.	Individual	Letter	21516 265th Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038				6a, 14b, 15a

0852	Sara B. Tamblyn-President/attorney Steve McPherson-Vice President Kate McWiggins-Secretary Lisa M. Taylor-Treasurer Patricia Williams-Member at Large Community will be affected by northern portion of C and believe that A-D will result in permanent loss of wildlife habitat as well as negatively impact the community and property values.	Interest Group	Letter	P.O.Box 1724, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	11b, 14b
0852	Sara B. Tamblyn-President/attorney Steve McPherson-Vice President Kate McWiggins-Secretary Lisa M. Taylor-Treasurer Patricia Williams-Member at Large Community will be affected by northern portion of C and believe that A-D will result in negative displacement of families.	Interest Group	Letter	P.O.Box 1724, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	14c
0852	Sara B. Tamblyn-President/attorney Steve McPherson-Vice President Kate McWiggins-Secretary Lisa M. Taylor-Treasurer Patricia Williams-Member at Large Requests the following be incorporated into the SDEIS: impact to property owners, health risks, negative visual impacts of construction of towers, neighborhood intrusions, emotional suffering, home destruction.	Interest Group	Letter	P.O.Box 1724, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	9d, 13a, 14b, 15f, 19a
0852	Sara B. Tamblyn-President/attorney Steve McPherson-Vice President Kate McWiggins-Secretary Lisa M. Taylor-Treasurer Patricia Williams-Member at Large Incorporate following into SDEIS: Impact to historic and/or Rural communities. Reference to King County Growth Management Plan 2000 (supports healthy rural communities) <input type="checkbox"/> Believes A-D conflicts with GMA. Look at impact based on section R-101 of GMA. ID loss or damage to historical structures.	Interest Group	Letter	P.O.Box 1724, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	4g, 15e, 16c

0852	Sara B. Tamblyn-President/attorney Steve McPherson-Vice President Kate McWiggins-Secretary Lisa M. Taylor-Treasurer Patricia Williams-Member at Large Incorporate following into SDEIS: impact to Issaquah Creek Basin: community has springs, seasonal and year round creeks. Junction with Holder Creek is essential to health of downstream Issaquah basin and supports Issaquah's salmon recovery program as well as flood management throughout the City of Issaquah and surrounding areas.	Interest Group	Letter	P.O.Box 1724, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	8d
0852	Sara B. Tamblyn-President/attorney Steve McPherson-Vice President Kate McWiggins-Secretary Lisa M. Taylor-Treasurer Patricia Williams-Member at Large Incorporate following into SDEIS: Installation of line in the area of South Tiger Mountain requires logging which will affect turbidity and siltation. Mitigation plans to the Issaquah Basin and water quality should be addressed.	Interest Group	Letter	P.O.Box 1724, Issaquah, WA 98027	1			4c, 6a, 7b, 8a
0852	Sara B. Tamblyn-President/attorney Steve McPherson-Vice President Kate McWiggins-Secretary Lisa M. Taylor-Treasurer Patricia Williams-Member at Large Incorporate following into SDEIS: Property and environmental impacts as a result of forest removal. Removal of trees will result in an increase in water run-off (mass wasting and erosion) which will require risk assessment and mitigation. Increase rain fall in creeks will increase volumes and thus change categorization of seasonal to annual creeks. This impacts land usage by property owners. All areas (homes, property, wells, creek systems, ground water and water systems) owned by Washington Water Services Company should be assessed for risk and mitigation plans. Compensation for damages should be managed for all alternatives equally.	Interest Group	Letter	P.O.Box 1724, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	4a, 6a, 7b, 8a, 14b

0852	Sara B. Tamblyn-President/attorney Steve McPherson-Vice President Kate McWiggins-Secretary Lisa M. Taylor-Treasurer Patricia Williams-Member at Large Incorporate following into SDEIS: Impact to threatened and endangered species habitat--A-D will impact environment equal to or greater than current proposed line. Evaluation of current and potential risks for habitat of current and endangered species should be assessed with plans for mitigation included. Increased wildlife movement through residential areas due to line routes and human traffic within animal habitats should be evaluated.	Interest Group	Letter	P.O.Box 1724, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	4a, 6c, 9d, 11a
0852	Sara B. Tamblyn-President/attorney Steve McPherson-Vice President Kate McWiggins-Secretary Lisa M. Taylor-Treasurer Patricia Williams-Member at Large Incorporate following into SDEIS: Environmental impact based on the removal/destruction of homes--A-D cross what King County deems environmentally sensitive areas containing wetlands, creeks, and streams. Many homes impacted by same alternatives can be expected to have hazardous materials that may contaminate water and soils.	Interest Group	Letter	P.O.Box 1724, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	7a, 8a, 12a, 14b, 19d
0852	Sara B. Tamblyn-President/attorney Steve McPherson-Vice President Kate McWiggins-Secretary Lisa M. Taylor-Treasurer Patricia Williams-Member at Large Incorporate following into SDEIS: Removal of homes that have hazardous materials may contaminate water supplies thus impacting water quality. SDEIS should include mitigation as a result of this problem.	Interest Group	Letter	P.O.Box 1724, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	6a, 8e, 14b, 19d

0852	Sara B. Tamblyn-President/attorney Steve McPherson-Vice President Kate McWiggins-Secretary Lisa M. Taylor-Treasurer Patricia Williams-Member at Large Incorporate following into SDEIS: Impact to recreational areas--A-D will impact area trails. C will install lines on top of Tiger Mt. Trail from south trailhead to areas of Hobart Bap. Other trails impacted are: Cedar river Trail, King County-owned Taylor Mountain Forest trails. These trails contain visuals that will be impacted.	Interest Group	Letter	P.O.Box 1724, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	13c
0852	Sara B. Tamblyn-President/attorney Steve McPherson-Vice President Kate McWiggins-Secretary Lisa M. Taylor-Treasurer Patricia Williams-Member at Large Incorporate following into SDEIS: Evaluation of Project Need and Capacity Lifetime-- BPA should fully describe project purpose and need. Should include descriptions of (but not limited to): treaties with Canada, termination of power purchase agreements resulting in increased capacity requirements, WECC requirements relative to line redundancy and capacity, primary area consumers of increased capacity provided by project, no line alternative questions, where and how project fits into BPA's established network, alternative capacity routes north of the Seattle area, capacity lifetime.	Interest Group	Letter	P.O.Box 1724, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	1a, 2e, 3a
0853	Seattle City Councilmember (Chair, Energy and Environmental Policy Committee) Colleagues and she welcome plans to re-evaluate power line decision. Concerned that alt. 1 will negatively impact the Cedar River Watershed--a source of clear unfiltered water for 800,000 Seattleites.	Elected Official	E-mail				1	2e, 5d
0853	Seattle City Councilmember (Chair, Energy and Environmental Policy Committee) City has made a \$95-million, 50-year commitment to protect 83 species of threatened fish and other wildlife. Participant of "Watershed Habitat Conservation Plan" --protect safe drinking water.	Elected Official	E-mail				1	5h, 10a, 11a, 15e

0853	Seattle City Councilmember (Chair, Energy and Environmental Policy Committee) BPA's preferred route carries economic and environmental risks. Minor degradation of watershed would threaten salmon, trout, and other wildlife.	Elected Official	E-mail				1	10a, 11a, 14a, 15e
0853	Seattle City Councilmember (Chair, Energy and Environmental Policy Committee) Contamination would impact Western WA citizens by forcing Seattle to build water treatment facilities at a cost of \$150-\$250 million (affecting SPU and its customers.) Treatment plant financed anywhere b/w 10-30 yrs. At about 5% interest would result in water-rate increases of 13-26%.	Elected Official	E-mail				1	1j, 5d
0854	Alternative 1 is shortest/most efficient route. Requests that BPA quantify the time frames of each alternative into monetary values as part of their evaluation. C should budget additional costs for legal delays from homeowners. Cost of inflation should be accounted for.	Individual	Letter	21675 271st Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98028			1, C	1c
0854	Costs from length of alternatives, inflation, legal battles etc., should not include mitigation expenses for the City of Seattle (350 acres) section 25 or any other non-condemnation costs unless these costs are included in the other Alternatives. Parity must exist for mitigation expenses that are over and above legal condemnation costs. Negotiations have been ongoing with King County and City of Seattle but no negotiations have taken place with landowners outside of watershed.	Individual	Letter	21675 271st Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98028			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	1c, 6f, 15b
0854	Impacts on humans should not be minimized in face of environment or other factors. Impact of homeowners should be BPA primary concern. If BPA condemns when a prudent and reasonable alternative exists (one that has a negligible impact on private property) courts may side with landowners b/c this is not a NECESSARY taking of the land.	Individual	Letter	21675 271st Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98028				14d, 15c
0854	Many people moved to Hobart/Maple Valley area for the aesthetic quality. This can never be mitigated. If property had lines that impacted its beauty he wouldn't have bought land. Alternative C impacts the land visual quality. Growth Management Act to protect rural character. Place powerlines in the watershed.	Individual	Letter	21675 271st Place SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, C	5a, 13b

0855	Opposed to all routes except the one through the watershed. Most adamantly opposed to alternative C.	Individual	Letter	21675 271st PI SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1	C	1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	
0855	Installation of C would decrease property value of farm and pose threats to human health and environment.	Individual	Letter	21675 271st PI SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1	C	C	14b, 15e, 19a
0855	The effects of EMF are inconclusive. Requests that studies be done to understand the effects of EMF. No installation of lines until studies show no correlation b/w health impacts and EMF.	Individual	Letter	21675 271st PI SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1	C		19b
0855	cheaper to place line in watershed as opposed to residential and farm properties.	Individual	Letter	21675 271st PI SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1	C		1c
0855	Lives very close to watershed so her ecosystem is similar to watershed. Has elk herd, environmentally sensitive areas including wetlands.	Individual	Letter	21675 271st PI SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1	C		5h, 11a, 12a, 15c
0855	Chose to live in rural Hobart for wildlife and old-growth forests.	Individual	Letter	21675 271st PI SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1	C		9b, 11a, 15a
0855	Placing line outside of watershed does not use the intellect that humans have evolved to have.	Individual	Letter	21675 271st PI SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1	C	1	20b
0855	Insulting to re-evaluate plans due to pressure from Seattle City Council and environmental groups (Biodiversity Northwest)	Individual	Letter	21675 271st PI SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1	C		15b
0856	BPA should consider non-wire and non-supply alternatives	Individual	E-mail					1a
0856	Conditions have changed since last business plan. These changed conditions are: □ price volatility at the market and wholesale levels, on-going economic recession, regional and global environmental challenges (Endangered Species Act of numerous salmon and trout species.)	Individual	E-mail					2e, 10a, 10c, 14a

0856	Another alternative that combines all alternatives to the additional transmission line. Time-of-use and place-of-use transmission pricing, savings from energy efficiency and demand side management, and tiered wholesale electricity rates.	Individual	E-mail					1a
0856	Consider vulnerability to terrorist attacks of wire alternatives.	Individual	E-mail					1d-iii, 19a
0856	B and D go through spotted owl habitat on US Forest Service land. Comply with NW Service Plan. Requests to be allowed to accompany the personnel performing the work with regards to survey requirements.	Individual	E-mail				B, D	2a, 11c
0857	President of Winterwood Estates HOA--writes on behalf of 364 Home Owners. 50 home owners directly affected by proposed new transmission line. Useless property with a 500kV running in their backyard. Each is worth approx.. \$300,000. These would be rendered useless or decrease in value. Consider the impact on the health of horses and animals. Will have to ground current fences to keep from getting shocked.	Interest Group	E-mail	P.O. Box 7035, Covington, WA 98042			A	11a, 14b, 15d, 19a
0857	President of Winterwood Estates HOA--writes on behalf of 364 Home Owners. Environmental and health concerns about Grass Lake Elementary school, Winterwood Estates Ryan Brunner Park, and cutting down of trees. These trees act as visual barrier to current lines.	Interest Group	E-mail	P.O. Box 7035, Covington, WA 98042			A	13a, 19a
0857	Why not build more efficient 230kV lines that don't leak as much power? Why do we need 175' towers when all other proposals except B were for 135' towers? 175' towers pose safety threat for small planes using Crest Airport.	Interest Group	E-mail	P.O. Box 7035, Covington, WA 98042			A, B	1d-iii
0857	Alts. 1,2, and B are over less populated areas which make more sense on an economic, environmental, health, and public relations basis.	Interest Group	E-mail	P.O. Box 7035, Covington, WA 98042			1, 2, B	14a, 15a, 19a
0857	Realize that we all need power but requests that BPA choose an alternative that doesn't impact as many people as A would. (Winterwood Estates and Covington)	Interest Group	E-mail	P.O. Box 7035, Covington, WA 98042			A	3a
0858	Went ahead with purchase of home after BPA decided to go through watershed a year ago.	Individual	E-mail	Near Issaquah-Hobart Road		C		2e, 14a

0858	Does not want 2.5 year-old to grow up with power line in backyard. Don't want to worry about physical impacts of living near power lines. Sense of security with their current dream home that cannot be replaced. Other alternatives are more cost effective and less destructive.	Individual	E-mail	Near Issaquah-Hobart Road		C	C	1c, 14a, 15d, 19a
0859	C requires purchase of new land thus is more expensive. It would also require a more expensive EIS. New land purchased for C takes away scarce land. C would purchase homes at half of their value Having new power lines run along existing ones would reduce maintenance costs. Homeowners who have powerlines near them already are more accustomed to living with the lines. The land cleared for C2 would allow access to home via motorcycle, four wheelers, rec vehicles, etc.	Individual	E-mail	23430 264 Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	C, C2	1d-iii, 4a, 9d, 14b, 15a
0859	C would lower the future tax base growth needed for school levies.	Individual	E-mail	23430 264 Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	C	14f
0860	Running lines through watershed would be optimal solution. Money is the only reason that alternatives are being considered.	Individual	E-mail				1	1d-iii, 5a, 15b
0860	Area in watershed is already impacted. <input type="checkbox"/> The "not in my backyard" effect is more pronounced and effective when the means is available to shift unwanted public works.	Individual	E-mail					5g, 15d
0860	Cost of miles of new lines. Who will gain from construction of new lines. <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> House is a min. of one mile from alternatives and won't be visible from yard...motives for questioning alternatives are pure.	Individual	E-mail					1c, 2a
0860	Have you discussed alternative forms of transmission?	Individual	E-mail					1a
0861	Aware that City of Seattle has put undue pressure on anything that might want to go up against them. Sometimes you just have to say NO and make Seattle realize that they are not the only city in the state. Understands that BPA has had to re-evaluate decision b/c Seattle didn't want another line in the watershed. Residents, not the politicians, conserved energy. Stand up to Seattle and continue with original plans to go through watershed.	Individual	E-mail	21823 284th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C		1d-iii, 2e, 15b

0861	Do not want 150 foot towers running through Hobart and Ravensdale.	Individual	E-mail	21823 284th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C		1d-iii
0861	C is the least cost effective route. Another line would disrupt the already disrupted-from business construction-wildlife.	Individual	E-mail	21823 284th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	C	1c, 11a
0861	Has lived in Hobart/Ravensdale community for generations. Conscious decision to live in rural community. Own their own towing business "Columbia Towing."	Individual	E-mail	21823 284th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C		15a
0862	Address the following in your SDEIS: Visual impacts to humans including property. Identify areas of staging and access routes How will BPA deal with Vandalism? Tell specific mitigation measures. Address any changes in EMF issues in double circuiting human impact areas.	Individual	Letter					2e, 6a, 9d, 13a, 14b, 19b
0862	How is the cost of lost vegetation assessed? Replace, exactly, all lost trees in easements. All lost mature vegetation should be replace at a ratio of 10:1 with balled and burlapped species	Individual	Letter					9a
0863	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0864	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
0865	Same as log number 0634 Against powerline going through private property.	Individual	Letter					4a
0866	Same as log number 0634 Follow the same route as the old line. It will save tax money and not displace anyone.	Individual	Letter					14f
0866	Same as log number 0634 Follow the same route as the old line. It will not displace anyone.	Individual	Letter					1d-iii, 14c
0867	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0868	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0869	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0870	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0871	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0872	Same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
0873	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					

0874	Address the following in the DSEIS: What species and age of trees and snags are impacted in watershed vs. outside the watershed. What is the buffer and area next to clearing? Human costs-emotional testimonies Noise impact from powerlines Bird mortality due to flying into powerlines Cost and time impact on BPA's part due to community litigating for diff. Alternatives. Mitigating for loss of homes and impact on property values	Individual	Letter					1c, 4j, 9b, 11a, 14b, 15f, 17b
0874	Destruction of rural life Want line next to existing line in watershed. It is shortest route, cost effective, and lower EMF risks.	Individual	Letter					1d-iii, 15b, 19b
0875	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0876	Same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
0877	Same as log number 0634 Put lines in watershed.	Individual	Letter					5a
0878	Same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
0879	Same as log number 0874	Individual	Letter					
0880	Same as log number 0874	Individual	Letter					
0881	Same as log number 0862 Stop pushing the wildlife out.	Individual	Letter					11a
0882	Same as log number 0874	Individual	Letter					
0883	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0884	Same as log number 0874 Best to use public lands instead of taking private lands...cheaper.	Individual	Letter					1c, 4a
0885	Same as log number 0874	Individual	Letter					
0886	Same as log number 0874	Individual	Letter					
0887	Same as log number 0862 Opposed to powerlines going anywhere outside the watershed.	Individual	Letter				1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	5a
0888	Same as log number 0862 What about the kids? Don't want anything in backyard.	Individual	Letter					15d
0889	Same as log number 0874	Individual	Letter					
0890	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0891	Same as log number 0874 Cost of tearing down homes, environmental impact of home removal, damage to Issaquah Basin, forest loss and mitigation on Tiger.	Individual	Letter					4c, 6a, 8a, 9a, 14b, 15e

0892	Same as log number 0862 Area that is not developed doesn't need to be developed. Use existing right of way and plan further into the future. Mitigation in watershed is more likely than with individual homeowners.	Individual	Letter					4j, 6a, 15c
0893	Same as log number 0874 What about the people?	Individual	Letter					15a
0894	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0895	Same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
0896	Same as log number 0862 Keep lines within the watershed.	Individual	Letter					5a
0897	Same as log number 0862 Powerlines cause cancer.	Individual	Letter					19a
0898	Same as log number 0862 What about the kids?	Individual	Letter					15a
0899	Same as log number 0874 Kids shouldn't have to live under high voltage powerlines.	Individual	Letter					15a
0900	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0901	Same as log number 0862 Not fair to take away homes.	Individual	Letter					14b
0902	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0903	Same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
0904	Same as log number 0862 Keep powerlines in watershed. Not A,B,C, or D	Individual	Letter				A, B, C, D	1d-iii, 5a
0905	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0906	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0907	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0908	Same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
0909	Same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
0910	Same as log number 0634 Wants powerline to go through public property.	Individual	Letter					4a
0911	Address in DSEIS: In the process of building an apartment complex in Renton near the powerlines and each day the construction crews had to jumpstart their machines due to the drain from the lines above.	Individual	Letter	27117 41st. Pl. S., Kent, WA 98032				20b
0912	see comment 0446 (duplicate)	Individual	Telephone				A	2a, 4j, 13b, 15e
0913	Wants to know how Alt B & D will affect her property and when a decision will be made.	Individual	Telephone				B, D	2a, 4b
0914	Wants to know what type of line will be added, voltage and tower size.	Individual	Telephone				B, D	1d-iii

0915	Alt C runs parallel to 276th St - what's the distance from the line to 276th St?	Individual	Telephone				C	2a, 4a
0916	Will a public road be built along the line?	Individual	Telephone					2a, 4j
0917	Wants to know if her property will be condemned, if she needs a lawyer, and when decisions will be made.	Individual	Telephone				B, D	2a, 14b
0918	Do not build any transmission lines.	Individual	Telephone					1b
0919	Wants to know how far line will be from intersection of 244th & 172nd (if Alt A is chosen and existing line is upgraded to double circuit 500 kV/230 kV line).	Individual	Telephone				A	1d-ii, 4j
0920	Surprised BPA is reevaluating other alternatives.	Individual	Telephone		1		1	2e
0921	Wants to know if new towers will be higher than existing towers and built on current right of way.	Individual	Telephone				A	1d-iii, 4j
0922	see comment 0455 (duplicate)	Individual	Telephone				1, B, D	13b, 14b, 15c, 17b, 19b
0923	Wants to know where watershed intake will be in relation to proposed lines.	Individual	Telephone				1	5a
0924	Wants to know where to fax comments.	Individual	Telephone					2a
0925	Wants to know impacts of Alt 3 and how close lines will be to her property.	Individual	Telephone				3	1d-iii, 2a
0926	Wants to know how she will be compensated if her property is condemned.	Individual	Telephone					14b
0927	Concerned about tower height, footings, color and placement for portions of line running in or near downtown Covington - wants to work closely with BPA if Alt A is chosen because he is developing downtown corridor master plan.	Local Governme	Telephone	Covington City Planner			A	1d-iii, 4a, 13a
0928	How are alternatives prioritized? How was mailing list created? How does Lake Young watershed fit into alternatives?	Individual	Telephone		1		1	1a, 2a, 8d
0928	What is the difference in EMF from low towers to high towers?	Individual	Telephone					1d-iii, 19e
0929	What are BPA's options for acquiring property?	Individual	Telephone					14b
0930	What is the process for building new lines (old footings removed, etc.)? Would like official comment form emailed. If Alt D is selected, she would like the lines set 50-60 ft back from existing lines (to help visually and with EMF).	Individual	Telephone				D	1d-iii, 2a, 4j, 13b, 19b
0931	Trying to answer citizen inquiry re: permits for work near transmission lines on County right of way near SE Tiger Mountain.	Local Governme	Telephone	SE Tiger Mountain				4j
0932	Has not received map.	Individual	Telephone					2a
0933	Looking at buying house in Alt C - wants to know more about the project.	Individual	Telephone				C	2a
0934	Wants specific info on where Alt C line comes off.	Individual	Telephone				C	2a

0935	Wants information on all alternatives, especially Alt C.	Individual	Telephone				C	2a
0936	Where would Alt C cross 216th St - how far from 260th Ave.?	Individual	Telephone				C	2a
0937	Wants to know if Alt A easement will be changed on E/W leg.	Individual	Telephone				A	4j, 14d
0938	Concerns re: BPA land procurement process and effects on land values.	Individual	Telephone					14b
0939	Will E/W portion of Alt A be new line?	Individual	Telephone				A	2a
0940	Please update apt. no. in mailing list.	Individual	Telephone					
0941	How far off 276th will Alt C run?	Individual	Telephone				C	2a
0942	Is there enough right of way for Alt D? BPA could share easement with Seattle City Light.	Individual	Telephone				D	4j, 14d
0943	Will homeowners be compensated or bought out for lines running over a home? What is the minimum distance allowed between towers - concerned about unstable ground and wells.	Individual	Telephone				C	1d-iii, 7a, 8c, 14b
0944	Use preferred alternative.	Individual	Telephone		1		1	
0945	What are the EMF issues? What does line cost, where will funding come from? Letter should include actual odds of power outage and seriousness of need.	Individual	Telephone	Winterwood				1c, 2a, 3a, 19b
0945	Will prices go up or down?	Individual	Telephone	Winterwood				1j
0946	How tall are existing towers? Concerned about property values.	Individual	Telephone					1d-iii, 14b
0947	Concerned about how taller lines affect property values and compensation.	Individual	Telephone				A	1d-iii, 14b
0948	Wants to know where to fax comments.	Individual	Telephone					2a
0949	Looking at purchasing property in proposed path - wants to know status so he can decide whether or not to sign papers.	Individual	Telephone	Maple Valley				2a
0950	Wants more info on Alt C - looks like it runs near her property.	Individual	Telephone				C	2a
0951	Wants to know how to review proposals online.	Individual	Telephone					2a
0952	Wants specific maps showing Maple Valley lines.	Individual	Telephone	Maple Valley				2a
0953	Wants to know if line will come near property and if decision has been made.	Individual	Telephone	Landsburg Road				
0954	Upset that this is happening - it's frightening to think way of life may change and it isn't right. Want to know how close line will run to property, what rights are if home has to be taken.	Individual	Telephone	Maple Valley				2a, 14b, 15f
0955	Would rather line run near watershed than through private property.	Individual	Telephone		1		1	15c
0956	Wants information about towers and line currently in place nearby.	Individual	Telephone					1d-iii, 2a
0957-001	First choice is through the watershed. The decision should not be controlled by the City of Seattle		Maple Valley public meeting		1		1	
0957-002	I feel perturbed that the City of Seattle is blocking Alt 1		Maple Valley public meeting				1	
0957-003	Alt 1 is so much less invasive to people, households, and schools.		Maple Valley public meeting		1		1	

0957-004	Feels like blackmail – they want it at other people’s expense		Maple Valley public meeting					15f
0957-005	Why can’t they go where houses aren’t?		Maple Valley public meeting					
0957-006	Alt ‘A’ – concerned about shocks on RV from Covington – Maple Valley		Maple Valley public meeting				A	19a
0957-007	Retrofitting of the existing towers to accommodate the new lines needs to be addressed.		Maple Valley public meeting					1d-iii
0957-008	This would be the cheapest alternative, non-disruptive to the watershed, and save the residents of Maple Valley, Ravensdale and Hobart a lot of grief and harm		Maple Valley public meeting	Maple Valley, Ravensdale, Hobart			1	1c, 5a, 15f
0957-009	Will Alt 1 accelerate the need for putting in a water filtration plant in the Cedar River Watershed? And if so – the present value of having to put that in otherwise?		Maple Valley public meeting				1	
0957-010	Putting the filtration plant in now as opposed to a few years from now – when the city has to put it in anyway – what is this value? This should be the maximum cost and not the cost of a free plant for Seattle		Maple Valley public meeting					1c
0957-011	Question whether any blasting would be used on Alt C?		Maple Valley public meeting				C	20a
0957-012	Put the line through the watershed		Maple Valley public meeting		1		1	
0957-013	Make sure that Alt C would not impact superfund site (Landsburg Landfill)		Maple Valley public meeting	Landsburg Landfill			C	4e
0957-014	DEIS was not well done. I hope the SDEIS is better – but actually I think you were smart in the way you did it		Maple Valley public meeting					2e
0957-015	Alt C would cross my land which is in a Greenbelt – that I can not develop – what are the tax implications?		Maple Valley public meeting				C	14f
0957-016	Why don’t you encase your new 500kV line in tubes above ground? Look into it!		Maple Valley public meeting					1d-iii
0957-017	I want BPA to do the right thing – the quickest, less costly. It doesn’t make sense to do B or D when we can do the preferred. There’s access there		Maple Valley public meeting		1		1, B, D	1c
0957-018	North and south of D by Echo Lake will affect houses		Maple Valley public meeting	Echo Lake			D	4b
0957-019	I don’t want to see another line through Snoqualmie Pass		Maple Valley public meeting	Snoqualmie Pass			B, D	
0957-020	Alt D will affect my property values		Maple Valley public meeting				D	14b

0957-021	Concerned about impact of EMF on human health and TV satellite		Maple Valley public meeting					19b
0957-022	If we would choose B or D, I would prefer that we build to DC option. I don't prefer either option		Maple Valley public meeting				B, D	1d-iii
0957-023	My property value will be hurt with B & D		Maple Valley public meeting				B, D	14b
0958-001	What will happen to my property values when a DC tower is built where a SC tower was? – Alt A		Covington public meeting				A, C, D	14b
0958-002	Why do I have to pay taxes on property where there is an easement and I can't use it?		Covington public meeting					14d
0958-003	Why are tax rates the same for used and unused land?		Covington public meeting					14f
0958-004	I think taxes should be reduced on unusable property		Covington public meeting					14f
0958-005	Crest Airpark is one mile south of Covington Substation. I'm concerned about the danger of aircraft hitting taller tower/lines on DC section of Alt A		Covington public meeting				A	19a
0958-006	Why can't we put up a bond to cover the cost of new filtration plant if the line causes problems?		Covington public meeting					1c
0958-007	Property values will decrease. Who will pay for mitigation for decreased property values to the landowners in the Maple Valley/Hobart area?		Covington public meeting	Maple Valley/Hobart area				6a, 14b
0958-008	Where is Seattle and environmental groups at these meetings?		Covington public meeting					15b
0958-009	Alternate routes not considering affect on people		Covington public meeting				2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	14b, 15f
0958-010	Higher cost of alternate routes will affect quality of life in region. Because of higher utility costs people perceive property values will decrease because of additional power lines		Covington public meeting					1c, 11a, 14b
0958-011	Mitigations are required on all routes		Covington public meeting				1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	6a
0958-012	People are much more affected on alternate routes		Covington public meeting				2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	14b, 15f

0958-013	Route C will lead to even more development in Hobart area		Covington public meeting	Hobart			C	4g
0958-014	Seattle wants BPA to pay for filtration system		Covington public meeting					1c, 8a
0958-015	Re-evaluation of Alt routes after initial public process is harassment		Covington public meeting				2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	2a, 4i
0958-016	Concerned about reduction in land value because of new powerlines – increased noise because powerlines will have a higher EMF		Covington public meeting					14b, 17b, 19b
0958-017	The best alternative is going through the watershed because it won't affect people. We already have access roads to support the preferred alternative		Covington public meeting					5a
0958-018	Why didn't the City of Seattle have a fund for mitigation for watershed established from logging revenue/profit?		Covington public meeting					5a, 6a
0958-019	What is the comparison from turbidity caused by new lines?		Covington public meeting					8e
0958-020	What are the costs to the environment verses cost to people on alternatives?		Covington public meeting				1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	15e, 19a
0958-021	Concern about EMF – Route A What would it be compared to existing line?		Covington public meeting				A	19b
0958-022	Movement of towers compared to where existing towers are		Covington public meeting					4h
0958-023	Alt 1 makes the most sense		Covington public meeting		1		1	
0958-024	Would BPA buy my house if tower would be moved to my backyard – Alt A?		Covington public meeting				A	4a, 14c
0958-025	When new Right-of-Way taken will there be adequate compensation for landowners (from noise, site, etc.)?		Covington public meeting					13b, 14b, 17c
0958-026	Environmental impacts are not just in the watershed		Covington public meeting					5a, 15e
0958-027	Wildlife exists in and out of watershed		Covington public meeting					5h, 11a
0958-028	There are 150 properties within 500 ft of proposed powerlines of Alt "C"		Covington public meeting				C	1d-iii, 4b
0958-029	Loss of view because of new powerlines impacts property values		Covington public meeting					13b, 14b

0958-030	People would not buy property with powerlines		Covington public meeting					14b
0958-031	Old growth trees lost in alternate routes		Covington public meeting				2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	9b
0958-032	Seattle must take responsibility for their need for more power		Covington public meeting					3b
0958-033	Opposition to Alt C will be sure to make Alt C very slow and very expensive		Covington public meeting				C	1c
0958-034	I live in Winterwood Estates and am strongly opposed to Alt A because we are already experiencing effects of power flowing through our neighborhood and nobody knows for sure if there are health affects. There may be or may not be		Covington public meeting	Winterwood Estates			A	19a
0958-035	Generally opposed to running more powerlines in this area – all the options especially the watershed alternative because of environmental impacts		Covington public meeting					5a, 15e
0958-036	Concerned about EMF and cancer, noise, further tree clearing affecting view. Alt A & others: Robert W. Sudol, Winterwood Estates Resident, Kent	Individual/Flipcha	Covington public meeting	Winterwood Estates			A	9a, 13a, 17a, 19b
0958-037	How much power will flow on new 500 kV line?		Covington public meeting					1d-iii
0958-038	Concerned about more EMF on DC rebuild Alt A		Covington public meeting				A, C, D	19b
0958-039	Concerned about visual impact from DC towers – Alt A		Covington public meeting				A, C, D	13a
0958-041	Put the line through the watershed		Covington public meeting					5a
0958-042	Look at undergrounding the new 500 kV line instead of putting it in air		Covington public meeting					1e
0958-043	EMI – TV, radio interference		Covington public meeting					19e
0958-044	Large herd (730 animals) of elk north of 208th along alternative C near old homestead		Covington public meeting				C	11a
0958-045	Alt C adding transmission towers to the area near Landsburg Mine (potential superfund site) may contribute to the electrolysis of the steel drums that have been dumped in this area. This may impact the city of Kent water supply just down gradient		Covington public meeting	Landsburg Mine			C	4e, 8e
0958-046	May be a gas pipeline near Alt A (Northern Portion)		Covington public meeting				A	4e

0958-047	What would be the impact of methane gas being released from the Hobart landfill on Alt C? The landfill is located just east of the proposed alternative		Covington public meeting	Hobart			C	4e
0958-048	What material would the conductors be made of?		Covington public meeting					1d-iii
0958-049	Short term traffic impacts – you need to meet the King County transportation concurrency standards		Covington public meeting					4h
0958-050	Include actual statements about quality of life in EIS – e.g., statements made at meetings		Covington public meeting					2e, 14b, 15a
0958-051	Include quantitative analysis of all alternatives in EIS		Covington public meeting				1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	2e
0958-052	Alt C – large resident herd of elk (20 or so) near vacant right-of-way also deer, turkey vulture, and other wildlife		Covington public meeting				C	11a
0958-053	What is the definition of old growth?		Covington public meeting					9b
0958-054	Would 352 acre parcel be given to Seattle?		Covington public meeting					4a
0958-055	Where is the Hobart Landfill in relation to Alt C?		Covington public meeting	Hobart Landfill			C	2a
0958-056	Impact of herbicides to local roads		Covington public meeting					19d
0958-057	Has metal building that would be on “A” and concerned about induced voltage on building		Covington public meeting				A	1d-iii, 19a
0958-058	Concerned about noise of a future 500 line on Route “A” and sight of taller towers, health effects from EMF of 500 kV line		Covington public meeting				A	13a, 17b, 19b
0958-059	Have house on route “C”, original EIS states that there are health concerns associated with powerlines. There are no houses in preferred route #1		Covington public meeting				1, C	19a
0958-060	Corridors created by powerlines provide easy access to our property (e.g. Alt C) providing opportunities for strangers to watch us, also increases opportunities for crime, vandalism, etc. Also increased opportunity for liability (e.g. if someone is injured on our property on horseback, on foot, etc.)		Covington public meeting				C	4j, 9d, 15d, 19a
0958-061	Alt ‘A’ – height of tower will double and impact views and property value		Covington public meeting				A	1d-iii, 13a, 14b
0958-062	Power lines outside watershed would be more accessible to Terrorist Acts as well as vandalism		Covington public meeting					5a, 20a

0958-063	Powerlines in watershed are much safer because people are not allowed in watershed		Covington public meeting					5a
0959-001	Watershed already has impact of the powerline. Should be less impact adding one more line		Seattle public meeting					1d-iii, 5g
0959-002	I'm concerned about people that are forced to move. They never really get fully compensated		Seattle public meeting					14c
0959-003	I went through federal relocation process when I-90 was built. I feel that I was not fully compensated (I question if affected landowners will be adequately paid)		Seattle public meeting					14b
0959-004	If landowners have lived in home a "long" time – then how do you compensate for that – when you move them, monetary compensation is not everything!		Seattle public meeting					14b, 15f
0959-005	Seattle public utility reps – should set up a booth at this meeting so we can ask them questions		Seattle public meeting					
0959-006	You should never extrapolate when estimating power needs, you go beyond physical limits		Seattle public meeting					1a, 19a
0959-007	Alt C passes through Hobart elk herd and calving grounds. Location of calving grounds is between dead end of 268th and Hwy 18		Seattle public meeting	Hobart			C	11b
0959-008	On 216th – there is a deer crossing sign – this is where Alt C is proposed!		Seattle public meeting				C	11a
0959-009	Mitigation acquisition of 640 acres (plum creek) can not be considered as mitigation for Alt C (no nexus)		Seattle public meeting	Plum Creek			C	6a
0959-010	King County Health Department won't allow septic systems under power lines. I live on Alt C – lots of wetlands. Transmission line can destroy ability to build on some properties		Seattle public meeting				C	4b, 12a
0959-011	Will EIS be done on our properties? What studies will be done?		Seattle public meeting					3a
0959-012	A lot of landowners will be displaced on Alt C – Impact to landowners must be adequately addressed in EIS		Seattle public meeting				C	14c
0959-013	Alt C – My family has a forestry stewardship program with King County – What impact will this line have? You'll take a large strip of conifers. I just planted 75 trees		Seattle public meeting				C	9a, 15e
0959-014	Alt C – there is a wetland by 216th, that I'm not allowed to touch. How can BPA build through wetlands?		Seattle public meeting				C	12a
0959-015	Almost 30 years ago a study BPA conducted indicated that soils were not suitable (along Alt C) How can it be suitable now?		Seattle public meeting				C	2e, 7a
0959-016	Why did you build across watershed 30 years ago and why not now?		Seattle public meeting					5b
0959-017	Would you want power line next to your house?		Seattle public meeting					15d

0959-018	How do those whose property is devalued as opposed to displaced get compensated?		Seattle public meeting					14b
0959-019	People that install these lines should do a study regarding "safe" distance to powerline		Seattle public meeting					19a
0959-020	Why not go down Hwy 169 and Hwy 18 – less homes		Seattle public meeting					
0959-021	1970's BPA explored route near Alt C and found soils to be unstable – why isn't it a problem now?						C	2e, 7a
0959-022	So it boils down to cost?		Seattle public meeting					1c
0959-023	If we can't develop our property or cut down our trees due to regulations, how can BPA do that when we can't?		Seattle public meeting					4a
0959-024	Bought property for investment but yet get paid in today's \$		Seattle public meeting					14b
0959-025	Has 60-70 year old trees that may have to be removed (Alt C)		Seattle public meeting				C	9a
0959-026	If property is near new power line and gets impacts for water runoff damage – how will we be compensated?		Seattle public meeting					8d, 14b
0959-027	Compensated for timber?		Seattle public meeting					9a, 14b
0959-028	The EIS will fairly evaluate all options and not be swayed by politicians?		Seattle public meeting					1a, 2a
0959-029	Put powerline on existing easement through Cedar River Watershed		Seattle public meeting					5g
0959-030	Bury line under existing Raven-Echo Lake line		Seattle public meeting					1e
0959-031	How close can houses be and still remain?		Seattle public meeting					4b
0959-032	Right-of-way should be 500' to protect properties		Seattle public meeting					4b, 9d, 13b
0959-033	I've been involved in logging on Cedar River at least three times. We would hi-lead and clear-cut everything. The City would check for sediment daily. They never stopped us from logging.							4c, 5g, 9a
0959-034	Logging in watershed...there was a camp along Rattlesnake Lake, approximately 40 men would occupy the camp. We had a big truck shop. We built roads since everything was hauled out by log truck. The City was particular about monitoring Sani-Cans (name of camp was mountain tree farm – ½ employees Weyerhaeuser and ½ Scott Paper). City made good money off timber.							4c, 5g

0959-035	I prefer crossing watershed – less impact to people, it impacts environment no matter which route is selected.		Seattle public meeting		1		1	
0959-036	You can't mitigate for loss or damage to wetlands		Seattle public meeting					6d
0959-037	Alt C has a lot of wetlands along the route		Seattle public meeting				C	12a
0959-038	The Corps identifies mitigation as 3 to 1, 5 to 1 etc replacement. These replacements are generally located a distance away from wetlands impacted – so for this reason mitigation is meaningless to neighborhood impacted.		Seattle public meeting					6a, 14b
0959-039	If my property is adjacent to new transmission line easement - but not directly impacted - I feel I should be compensated. "All" landowners should be treated fairly - paid fair market value - moving expenses. There would be diminution of value - a fair payment for decrease of the value of the home!		Seattle public meeting					14b
0959-040	There is no salmon in the Cedar River in the SC watershed.		Seattle public meeting					5h, 10c
0959-041	Have you had a lot of environmental protestors?		Seattle public meeting					2a
0959-042	Did BPA's team really feel that the alternative across the watershed was the least impact?		Seattle public meeting				1	
0959-043	Are there really marbled murrelets in the watershed? If so - what impact do power lines have on the habitat for the birds? There's already a transmission corridor - expanding it doesn't cause much more impact (if any)!		Seattle public meeting					5g, 11b, 11c
0959-044	You'll have to pick me up and bury me before you build across my property – Alt C		Seattle public meeting			C	C	15f
0959-045	I have health concerns – concerned about magnetic fields – EMF – Alt C		Seattle public meeting				C	19b
0959-046	King County imposes so many restrictions – can't cut trees, can't subdivide – we have 1st and 2nd growth timber, elk, deer, cougar – How can BPA build a line – when we can't do anything on our own property. We also have red tailed hawks on our property		Seattle public meeting					4a, 11a
0959-047	The new line would reduce the value of our property.		Seattle public meeting					14b
0959-048	If we live along existing transmission line, and the new line reduces value of our property, isn't there any means for us to get compensation? We have beautiful view property along Alt A		Seattle public meeting			A	A	14b
0959-049	What is the process for moving residents if they are within existing right-of-way – or new right-of-way?		Seattle public meeting					14c

0959-050	I question the opposition's position on the "preferred" alternative – that a new line would impact water quality based on the topography.		Seattle public meeting				1	
0959-051	I would like to see a full blown analysis, by unbiased parties, like an oversight committee – to analyze the true impact to water quality for the "preferred" alternative across the watershed..		Seattle public meeting				1	
0959-052	How can, based on comments from a spokesman from Seattle Public Utility, the utility not have a long term plan for funding of a filtration plant? (Cedar River Council Meeting late May 2002)		Seattle public meeting					20b
0959-053	At Cedar River Council Meeting (5/02), we were told that there are 6 other public watersheds in the nation that do not have filtration systems. At least 2 of these allow public recreation (fishing/swimming, etc) How can this be? What's the difference in impact between a transmission line and public recreation?		Seattle public meeting					5b
0959-054	Based on projections – does the watershed have any idea when a filtration system is needed?		Seattle public meeting					
0959-055	Need to look at King County classification of "unique and special wetlands"		Seattle public meeting					12c
0959-056	Two small "resident" elk herds in proximity to Hobart present all year long		Seattle public meeting	Hobart				11a
0959-057	All new development on C subject to stringent constraints due to very high water tables and wetlands		Seattle public meeting				C	4g, 8d, 12a
0959-058	What's so different now than 1971 when the first line was laid on the watershed? What problems have been encountered with the existing line since then?		Seattle public meeting					1d-iii, 5g
0959-059	Many residents have lived in the area for a long time; multi generational		Seattle public meeting					15f
0959-060	Please post the route C design on the project web page		Seattle public meeting				C	2a
0959-061	With Alt C we can't sell now because of the requirement to disclose that a transmission line alternative runs across our property		Seattle public meeting			C	C	14b
0959-062	Alt C our house was designed to have garage built in specific location – also I raise sheep and there is only so much room to put in a barn – if BPA builds line, our property has lost utility to build these improvements. How can you sell a house without a garage let alone a line next to the house?		Seattle public meeting			C	C	14b
0959-063	Alt C - We have been harassed!! First you come to us and recommend an alternative through our property, then you tell us to get on with our lives since the preferred route is across the watershed. Now you're back again!! We can't build improvements – we can't sell – you've put our life on hold for 2+ years! Can we sue?		Seattle public meeting			C	C	2e, 14b

0959-064	Landowners that will have easements across property will get compensated. How about owners next to easements – we won't get any compensation but we will have a loss of value to properties.		Seattle public meeting					14b
0959-065	For Alt A, where exactly would new line be located?		Seattle public meeting				A	1d-iii
0959-066	any underground construction?		Seattle public meeting					1e
0959-067	Existing residence within Alt A – what will happen to houses?		Seattle public meeting				A	14c
0959-068	Concerned about lines causing health problems		Seattle public meeting					19a
0959-069	Alt A – prefer taking entire line down and bury – our property would be worth more without power line		Seattle public meeting				A	1e, 14b
0959-070	What happens if power lines break (i.e. earthquake)?		Seattle public meeting					7c
0959-071	Support Alt A because will not cut down older timber		Seattle public meeting		A			9a
0959-072	Alt C – line going right over existing homes?		Seattle public meeting				C	14c
0959-073	If public land can be used – it should be used first (B/D & preferred)		Seattle public meeting				1, B, D	4b
0959-074	Alt C – should be last choice. It is the only alternative that doesn't have public developments (transmission lines, I-90, etc)		Seattle public meeting				C	4g
0959-075	Impact of wildlife is not a deciding factor – wildlife has no boundaries.		Seattle public meeting					11a
0959-076	Preferred alternative should be chosen		Seattle public meeting		1		1	
0959-077	Alt C – headwaters of Taylor Creek cross my property. Wetlands are an issue along this route, even a bigger issue on Alt C – than “preferred” route.		Seattle public meeting	Taylor Creek			1, C	12a
0959-078	Alt C osprey are in my backyard, also red tailed hawk, elk, one of only breeding pairs of pheasant (west side of the mountains)		Seattle public meeting				C	11a
0959-079	I'm an herb farmer (sell in Seattle, Pike Street, farmer's market). We need to protect our rural aesthetic beauty!!		Seattle public meeting					13b, 15e
0959-080	What if Alt C is selected and we do not grant BPA permission to enter property?		Seattle public meeting				C	14d
0959-081	How do appraisal processes work – damages outside the easement area?		Seattle public meeting					14b
0959-082	How can you even consider displacing people/homes when the best route is the preferred (thru SPU)?		Seattle public meeting		1		1	14c

0959-083	Alt C will increase water runoff, lessen property values; make unsightly views...		Seattle public meeting			C	C	8d, 13b, 14b
0959-084	Building new house on Alt C – so should I stop?		Seattle public meeting				C	4g
0959-085	Taylor Creek headwaters – Alt C goes right through and will impact salmon habitat		Seattle public meeting	Taylor Creek			C	11b, 11c
0959-086	What about older people who have lived there all their life (Alt C)?		Seattle public meeting				C	15f
0959-087	Wells on private property – impacts?		Seattle public meeting					8a
0959-088	Trouble finding replacement/equivalent housing if displaced.		Seattle public meeting					14c
0959-089	What is a “safe” distance to live from power lines?		Seattle public meeting					19a
0959-090	My land is under Alt C. I have deer on my property.		Seattle public meeting				C	11a
0959-091	How did you develop mail list?		Seattle public meeting					
0959-092	No articles in Seattle P.I. Although Seattle residents not physically impacted – people upset by Alt C – the people of Seattle have no knowledge of impact – so Seattle residents should participate in process since their benefit is at others’ expense.		Seattle public meeting				C	
0959-093	Cedar River Watershed has little impact on homes as compared to possibly 40 on Alt C		Seattle public meeting				1, C	5g, 14c
0959-094	There is no old growth in Cedar River Watershed but there is along Alt C (last logging 1902)		Seattle public meeting				1, C	5g, 9a
0959-095	Alt C has more wildlife than watershed because it hasn’t been disturbed for several years (100) by logging.		Seattle public meeting				1, C	5g, 11b
0959-096	Alt C majority of line crosses environmentally sensitive areas, streams feed into salmon streams.		Seattle public meeting				C	10c, 11b
0959-097	Building across Alt C would violate so many King County regulations. Landowners are so limited with what they can do with their property. BPA can build under the premise that this is for the public good!		Seattle public meeting			C	C	4a
0959-098	Human costs – cost to sell after power line goes in; people’s lives on hold; future plans for properties on hold		Seattle public meeting					14b, 15f
0959-099	Impacts to hiking and biking trails on Tiger Mountain		Seattle public meeting	Tiger Mountain				4f
0959-100	Impacts to property values and market time – “unique” properties due to access trails		Seattle public meeting					14b

0959-101	View shed will be impacted – people move due to rural nature and recreational activities		Seattle public meeting					4f, 13a
0959-102	A “Key Element” of Seattle’s HCP is: “Perform downstream habitat improvement/mitigation and acquisition” Alternative C is inconsistent with this key element because it directly impacts streams, wetlands, water quality and salmonid habitat in Carey, Holder, Taylor Creeks and Cedar River.		Seattle public meeting	Carey, Holder, Taylor Cr., Cedar Riv.			C	6b, 8d, 10b, 10c, 12a
0959-103	For Alt C – need to tell control access (fence or gate right-of-way) to prevent trespassing, garbage dumping, etc.		Seattle public meeting				C	4a
0959-104	Alt C - concern for private wells and water quality. Ground water is close to surface, septic impacts along right-of-way		Seattle public meeting				C	4b, 8c
0959-105	Alt C concerns: EMF, noise, safety of transmission line due to seismic collapse, increased cost of monitoring new right-of-way verses the existing for vandalism, maintenance, etc.		Seattle public meeting				C	1c, 7c, 17b, 19b
0959-106	Impacts to Issaquah Creek from alternative C Carey and Holder Creek headwaters and other creeks		Seattle public meeting	Issaquah, Holder, Carey Creeks			C	8d
0959-107	Wetlands impacts on Alternative C - show water even in summer		Seattle public meeting				C	12a
0959-108	Will you consider devaluation to properties outside the areas you will purchase easements?		Seattle public meeting					14b
0959-109	Litigation appraisals should be considered. For example SeaTac where properties were appraised for devaluation even though they were not directly impacted by acquisition of easement rights.		Seattle public meeting					14b
0959-110	Alt C has endangered species along it that will be negatively impacted.		Seattle public meeting				C	11c
0959-111	What about streams and wells on Alt C?		Seattle public meeting				C	8a
0959-112	Will property owners be compensated for loss of property value?		Seattle public meeting					14b
0959-113	How is "fair market value" determined? Explain the "Appraisal Process"		Seattle public meeting					14b
0959-114	Should evaluate conservation and load management as an alternative in the EIS even if BPA is not in control of those measures, i.e. done by other power companies		Seattle public meeting					1h
0959-115	Access roads - 11 miles for Alt C and 1 mile for Cedar River watershed alternative		Seattle public meeting				1, C	4a, 5g, 15e
0959-116	Impacts from blasting on Alt C - much more impacts than no blasting on Cedar River Watershed alternative		Seattle public meeting				1, C	5g, 15e

0959-117	Any alternative outside the Cedar River Watershed appears to violate the Cedar River watershed HCP		Seattle public meeting					1a, 5h
0959-118	Concerned about property value after lines are constructed		Seattle public meeting					14b
0959-119	Do not want existing landscaping, wetlands, and trees removed from homesite		Seattle public meeting					15e
0959-120	Our property value will not continue to increase after the line goes in		Seattle public meeting					14b
0959-121	Alt C is not an urbanized area, it is rural with a rural lifestyle. There are third generation owners in the area		Seattle public meeting				C	
0959-122	How can Bonneville consider putting the power line on Alt C and taking \$600,000 -\$900,000 homes?		Seattle public meeting				C	14b
0959-123	Alt "C" has sensitive natural growth protected areas - some have remained untouched for nearly 100 years Sensitive ecosystems		Seattle public meeting				C	15e
0959-124	Alt C would cross the Cedar River before the dam so would affect fish/salmon as much or more than preferred route		Seattle public meeting				1, C	10c
0959-125	Choose the alternative through the watershed. This is the best alternative!!!		Seattle public meeting		1		1	
0959-126	Stay out of residential areas		Seattle public meeting					4b, 15e
0959-127	Bought current property because there is no line there now - Alt C		Seattle public meeting				C	4b
0959-128	It seems like Alt C will be more expensive because we need to buy right-of-way. Rate payers are paying for additional cost		Seattle public meeting				C	1c
0959-129	What happens in the future?		Seattle public meeting					20a
0959-130	When will another line be needed?		Seattle public meeting					3a
0959-131	Shouldn't you build outside the watershed now because it would be more difficult in the future?		Seattle public meeting				1	4a
0959-132	Data on actual loads for the past 20 years		Seattle public meeting					2a
0959-133	Should be a national standard for EMF and any health effects		Seattle public meeting					19b
0959-134	Are we planning our line construction to handle loads beyond 10 years? 30 years? 50 years?		Seattle public meeting					1a
0959-135	Environment		Seattle public meeting					15e
0959-136	Pioneer cemetery at Kerrston - is there one?		Seattle public meeting	Kerrston				16c

0959-137	Environmentally sensitive - greenbelt - Alt C		Seattle public meeting				C	15e
0959-138	Wetlands on Alt C - near 216th and on		Seattle public meeting				C	12a
0959-139	Mature growth fir that could not be cut - King County would not let cut - why could BPA then cut these trees?		Seattle public meeting					9b
0959-140	Soggy soils not suitable for lines		Seattle public meeting					7a
0959-141	King County wetland restrictions when clearing black berries now must be restored		Seattle public meeting					12a
0959-142	Taxes dropped because it is wetlands		Seattle public meeting					12a, 14f
0959-143	Impact to houses along Alt C		Seattle public meeting				C	4b
0959-144	Alt C inconsistent with King County Comprehensive Plan requirements for siting essential public facilities (Policy F221)		Seattle public meeting				C	4a
0959-145	Alt C does not meet NEPA "reasonable Alternative" definition i.e., consistent with proponents objectives		Seattle public meeting				C	1a
0959-146	Alt C impacts to significant salmonid resource/ ESA issues		Seattle public meeting				C	10c
0959-147	Concern about unstable soils along C documented in BPA study 20 years ago		Seattle public meeting				C	7a
0959-148	Northern part of Alt C drainage issue if no vegetation removed		Seattle public meeting				C	7a, 9a
0959-149	Impacts to home, bed and breakfast – at Alt C – top point to Maple Valley – Echo Lake line		Seattle public meeting				C	14e
0959-150	Rocky soils – north part of Alt C		Seattle public meeting				C	7a
0959-151	Alt C, half dozen tributaries flow north into Maple Valley – Echo Lake row – near northern portion of C		Seattle public meeting				C	8d
0959-152	Alt C – impacts to upper Issaquah basin/ upper reach of Carey & Holder Creek		Seattle public meeting	Issaquah Basin, Carey, Holder Creek			C	8d
0959-153	Alt A – In late 70's calf and goat died soon after BPA applied herbicide to the right-of-way. Some neighbors thought that this could have been attributed to EMF		Seattle public meeting				A	19a
0959-154	EMF impacts the heart and eyes		Seattle public meeting					19b
0959-155	Undergrounding – look into it		Seattle public meeting					1e

0959-156	Visual impacts of doubling the height of towers for Alt A		Seattle public meeting				A	13a
0959-157	Significant adverse impacts for Alt C: -Highest quality forested wetlands in King County -Salmonid bearing associated with Carey Creek, Holder Creek, Taylor Creek, and Cedar River -Aquifer recharge		Seattle public meeting	Carey, Holder, Taylor Crk, Cedar Riv			C	8c, 10c, 12b
0959-158	Alt A visual impacts and impact to land value		Seattle public meeting				A	13a, 14b
0959-159	Alt C would turn intermittent ck into year round ck and effect salmon (i.e. turbidity) Issaquah Ck.		Seattle public meeting	Issaquah Creek			C	8d, 10c
0959-160	Strongly urge conservation instead of 2nd power line If 2nd power line is deemed necessary please do not go through Cedar River Watershed as it is critical habitat for many species including some threatened and endangered. We share this planet with non-human species who need a home too.		Seattle public meeting					1h, 5h
0959-161	Seismic considerations in tower design – concern for towers falling on houses adjacent to row		Seattle public meeting					7c
0959-162	What happens if a conductor breaks? Fire?		Seattle public meeting					19c
0959-163	Compare the clearing requirements between #1 and C. Address habitats impacted; diversity.		Seattle public meeting				1, C	5h, 9a
0959-164	Look into the King County land conservation program. Much of Option C crosses wetlands, aquifers, especially forested wetlands.		Seattle public meeting				C	8c, 12b
0959-165	Taylor creek has salmonids		Seattle public meeting	Taylor Creek				10c
0959-166	King County – public benefit rating system (PBRs) - Look into		Seattle public meeting					4a
0959-167	King County – Rural Initiatives Program		Seattle public meeting					4g, 15b
0959-168	Rte “C” – Rather than even age stands on #1, C has a mix of age classes		Seattle public meeting				1, C	9a
0959-169	Tree cover provides corridors for wildlife		Seattle public meeting					9a, 11b
0959-170	Concern for impacts to wells on Option C – wells are 60 – 110’ deep		Seattle public meeting				C	8c
0959-171	King County is attempting to protect the rural character of the area		Seattle public meeting					
0959-172	Concern for impacts to septic fields – problems now with high water tables		Seattle public meeting					8c, 19a

0959-173	Impacts of Alt C on salmon recovery program on Issaquah Creek		Seattle public meeting	Issaquah Creek			C	10c
0959-174	New fish ladder into watershed will impact the water quality		Seattle public meeting					10a
0959-175	Impacts to WA Water Services Co. aquifers and wells on south Tiger		Seattle public meeting	Tiger Mtn				8c
0959-176	Extend scoping period 30 days to July 20th		Seattle public meeting					2c
0959-177	Consider trading part of TPL parcel with Weyerhaeuser along raging River to protect Raging River		Seattle public meeting	Raging Riv				4a, 8d
0959-178	Double area through watershed What would the affects be from vandalism, fire, storm (ice/wind) etc.		Seattle public meeting					5a
0959-179	Replacing septic systems under power lines restricted by King County. This could impact many properties in the future.		Seattle public meeting					4b
0959-180	Alternative C crosses conservation zone just north of county park in Maplewood Estates		Seattle public meeting	Maplewood Estates			C	4f
0959-181	EMF concerns		Seattle public meeting					19b
0959-182	Alternative "C" would go through many native growth areas. Some/many of these areas are 100 years old: □ 100 year old trees salmon/ other fish habitat vulnerable pileated woodpecker threatened bald eagle local elk herd ponds with herons possible marbled murrelet bear bobcat cougar		Seattle public meeting				C	9b, 10c, 11a, 11c

0959-183	hairy woodpecker downy woodpecker red-shafted flicker redbreasted sapsucker stellers jay nuthatches chickadees wrens golden throated thrush banded tail pigeons western tanager several hummingbirds cedar waxwing black headed grosbeak pine grosbeak people housefinch goldfinch rufus-sided towhee sparrows/juncos red tail hawk goshawk mountain quail ring-necked pheasant coyote red/gray squirrel weasel raccoon rabbit deer fox black bear chipmunk		Seattle public meeting					11a, 11e
0959-184	Locate along existing lines		Seattle public meeting					1a
0959-185	No need to take out homes when areas without homes are available		Seattle public meeting					14c
0959-186	Keep EMF away from residences and people		Seattle public meeting					19b
0959-187	Radio and TV interference?		Seattle public meeting					19e

0959-188	Need BPA announcement in "Voice of the Valley" Maple Valley, WA and Issaquah Press, Issaquah, WA		Seattle public meeting					
0959-189	Alt C is option that least meets BPA in terms of cost, and environmental impact. Alt C is the most expensive option		Seattle public meeting				C	1c
0959-190	What would it take for BPA to insist on the watershed alternative?		Seattle public meeting				1	1a
0959-191	Include true transaction costs of transmission		Seattle public meeting					1c
0959-192	Load will not necessarily grow		Seattle public meeting					3a
0959-193	I prefer that BPA choose the preferred alternative because it has the least impacts to wildlife and homeowners. I live on Alt C and I see elk, deer, bear, cougar, coyotes, raccoon, possum, raptors, bald eagles, wood ducks, and humans on my property. Animals don't recognize property boundaries. Creeks and wetlands are in abundance		Seattle public meeting		1		1, C	8d, 11a, 11c, 12a
0959-194	There will be more damage on Alt C because there is no existing right-of-way		Seattle public meeting				C	4a
0959-195	The preferred route is the best option. Alternative C is not acceptable to homeowners.		Seattle public meeting		1	C	1, C	
0959-196	We should DC the Raging and Cedar River crossings on the preferred. And have towers high enough to avoid clearing. Design should allow trees to grow taller in the river crossings.		Seattle public meeting				1	1d-iii
0959-197	Encourage fuel switching to gas, to lower electricity demand.		Seattle public meeting					1h
0959-198	Do not build power lines in old growth areas. Alternative A and 1 are preferred. Alt C has old growth		Seattle public meeting		1		1, A, C	9b
0959-199	There needs to be an article in the Seattle Times and Seattle PI, with meeting dates and describing alternatives.		Seattle public meeting					
0959-200	Concerned that transmission towers are not designed to site specific seismic zones. If a tower is 150' tall and fell perpendicular on a 150' wide right-of-way it could hit a house. This isn't safe. Buy right-of-way to mitigate the problem.		Seattle public meeting					1d-iii, 7c, 14d
0960	Live in C area. C would impact lives, animals (deer, elk, coyote), and property values. Too old to start over. Thought this was over years ago. Because the watershed is fenced, it does provide some protection against vandalism. If the line were in own yard what protection would there be?	Individual	Letter	27016 SE 236th, Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	2e, 5g, 9d, 11a, 14b, 15a

0960	Seattle wouldn't like towers in their backyard so why would rural community want towers in their backyard? BPA having to pay for a filter system in the watershed is blackmail by Seattle. The existing line hasn't contaminated the shed the water, why would another line?	Individual	Letter	27016 SE 236th, Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	5d, 15b
0961	1 minimizes environmental impacts and other alternatives impact human property. Responsible construction must take place in the watershed.	Individual	Comment form	P.O. Box 2295, North Bend, WA 98045	1		1	5a, 14b, 15e
0962	How will property values decrease if BPA builds next to the existing towers? When is the final decision made? How long will the project take after the decision is made and alternative D is chosen? Does he have to disclose the decision, if D, at the sale of his home?	Individual	Comment form	44713 SE 159th St., North Bend, WA 98045			D	1d-iii, 2a, 14b
0963	Other non-1 options appear to be far more costly and have potential EMF health risks, negatively impact property values, damage wildlife habitats, and may cause erosion problems.	Individual	Comment form	17030 Tiger Mt. Rd. SE, Issaquah, WA 98027	1		1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	1c, 7b, 11b, 14b, 19b
0964	Concerned about wetlands beneath C, logging in the watershed by Seattle for over 100 years, the fact that animals can migrate but people are harder to move.	Individual	Comment form	26919 SE 216th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038			C	4c, 12a, 15c
0965	Have EIS look at: (requests copy of EIS) Impact of A or any alternative on the value of adjacent residential properties. How does A compare to others in regards to impact on property values? How will construction impact homeowners? Will increased line load and taller towers require trees to be trimmed or cleared? There are mature douglas fir trees and hemlocks that block the lines view from houses. Will the noise of the lines change?	Individual	Letter	28415 181st Ave. SE, Kent, WA 98042		A		1d-iii, 2a, 9b, 13b, 14b, 17b
0966	Sierra Club Cascade Chapter-- Wants hard copy of DEIS mailed to her.	Interest Group	Comment form	8511-15th Ave. NE suite 201, Seattle, WA 98115				2a

0967	1 has fewer points of impact on water quality, aesthetics, land use and recreation.	Individual	Comment form	P.O.Box 676, Maple Valley, WA 98038	1		1	4a, 8e, 13a
0968	Concerned about health risks associated with close proximity to power lines. Moved to get away from lines. Concerned about drop in property value of Maplewood Estates home. Sees no reason why another line can't go through the watershed and follow the same right of way.	Individual	Comment form	P.O. box 1453, Maple Valley, WA 98038				1d-iii, 4j, 5a, 14b, 19a
0969	Line should go through watershed. City of Seattle's objections seem absurd considering that they log in the watershed and permit hunting in there as well. Value of homes would decrease and people would be at risk for EMF damage.	Individual	Comment form	20407 196th Ave. SE, Renton, WA 98058				4c, 5a, 11a, 14b, 15b, 19b
0970	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 261, Hobart, WA 98025		C	1, C	
0971	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 813, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0972	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	20310 SE 192 St., Renton, WA 98058		C	1, C	
0973	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 434, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0974	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 298, Hobart, WA 98025		C	1, C	
0975	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 68, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0976	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 88, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0977	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	29919 SE Lk. Retreat Dr. N, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	

0978	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	27228 SE 230th St., Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0979	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 516, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0980	Really doesn't care where new line is built, but cares about how money that ultimately affects electric bill will be spent.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 13, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	C	1j
0980	Tell city of Seattle to take and a "hike." BPA should exercise their right of eminent domain and build the new power line parallel to the existing lines in the watershed. This is more economical. City of Seattle is using inconsequential arguments to prevail upon BPA. Don't let City of Seattle push you around.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 13, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	C	1c, 5g, 15b
0980	A powerline isn't going to hurt watershed fish and wildlife. A powerline isn't going to discharge bodily waste into the groundwater like animals do.	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 13, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	C	5h, 8c, 10a, 11a, 19a
0981	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	21660 271 Pl. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0982	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	27231 SE 247th, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0983	Do not want line through yard. Concerned about health risks of another line as well as the decrease in property values of homes, businesses, and city properties. More hardware would need to be laid for non-alternative 1 options.	Individual	Letter	22752 SE 277th 1 Pl, Maple Valley, WA 98038			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	1d-iii, 14b, 15d, 19a
0983	A and C will significantly impact Maple Valley's environment.	Individual	Letter	22752 SE 277th 1 Pl, Maple Valley, WA 98038			A, C	15e
0984	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					

0985	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	27723 Kadaska Kangley Rd., Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0986	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 84, Hobart, WA, 98025		C	1, C	
0987	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	27221 SE 224th, Maple Valley, WA 98058		C	1, C	
0988	same as log number 0983	Individual	Comment form					
0989	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
0990	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	29913 SE Lk. Retreat Dr., Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0991	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	24600 270th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
0992	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 344, Hobart, WA 98025		C	1, C	
0993	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O.Box 127, Hobart, WA 98025		C	1, C	
0994	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	27015 314th Ave. SE, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0995	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 58, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0996	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 32, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	

0997	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	2103 262 Pl. SE, Mill Creek, WA 98012		C	1, C	
0998	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	28606 SE 258th St., Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
0999	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 132, Hobart, WA 98025		C	1, C	
1000	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 145, Hobart, WA 98025		C	1, C	
1001	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	27441 SE 247th St., Maple Valley, 98038		C	1, C	
1002	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	29203 SE Kent- Kangley Rd., Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
1003	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 351, Hobart, WA 98025		C	1, C	
1004	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	23030 276 Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
1005	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	28180 Se 216th, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
1006	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 144, Hobart, WA 98025		C	1, C	
1007	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	27207 SE 247th, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	

1008	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 575, Hobart, WA 98025		C	1, C	
1009	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	24733 270 Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
1010	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 275, Hobart, WA 98025		C	1, C	
1011	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	26746 SE Ravensdale Wy., Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
1012	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 71, Hobart, WA 98025		C	1, C	
1013	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 355, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
1014	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	22310 270th Ave. SE, Maple Valley, WA 98038		C	1, C	
1015	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	29723 SE Kent- Langley Rd., Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
1016-001	BPA proposed multiple routes so that they can say they did their homework. None, except the watershed route, are reasonable.	Individual	Letter				1	1a, 14b
1016-002	The houses that other lines run over would have to be cheap for BPA to buy. What is the cheapest, most direct, simple route?	Individual	Letter					1c, 14b
1016-003	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter					
1017	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1018	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1019	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					

102	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 63, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
1020	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1021	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1022	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1023	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	25714 268th Ave. SE, Ravensdale, WA 98051				
1024	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	25714 268th Ave. SE, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
1025	1 impacts fewer people and has less environmental concerns and is more financially feasible.	Individual	Letter	504 Powell Street, Monroe, WA 98272	1			1c, 14a, 15e
1025	1 is along existing transmission line and shorter than other alternatives. Proper construction can control surface water run off that may have sediment in it thus protecting Seattle water quality. (this addresses Seattle's turbidity issue) Proper construction involves: disturbing soils in the driest months of the year, use impoundments and silt barriers, treat runoff with coagulants and sedimentation.	Individual	Letter	504 Powell Street, Monroe, WA 98272				1d-iii, 5d, 7b, 8d
1026	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1028	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 63, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
1029	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 278, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
1030	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1031	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 278, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	

1032	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	11434 Cornell Ave. So., Seattle, WA 98178		C	1, C	
1033	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	27105 316th Ave. SE, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
1034	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1035	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1036	same comment as log number 0604	Individual	Letter	P.O. Box 8, Ravensdale, WA 98051		C	1, C	
1037	At the Kent scoping meeting, Mike Kreipe acknowledged that the obligation to Kaiser Aluminum was inappropriately included on the peak load projection chart. Has this been recalculated in risk assessment for service interruptions this winter?	Interest Group	Letter					1f, 3b
1037	Does not feel that the peak load projection chart, by itself, makes the case that studying a nonwires alternative is unreasonable. Even though the November 2001 study makes the argument that conservation and load management do not deliver enough savings in time to solve the problem, with a project delay of up to three years (Lou Driessen in Seattle Times May 7,) an analysis of a nonwire alternative should be included in the SDEIS.	Interest Group	Letter					1d-iii, 2e
1037	November 2001 study says the Kangley-Echo Lake and Monroe-Echo Lake 500kV lines should consider nonwire alternatives. Both deliver power to Canada to honor the Columbia River Treaty obligation. A viable nonwires alternative is something you can assemble from a menu of options, each of which can make a contribution toward improving reliability. NW Biodiversity urges BPA to integrate these options into a viable nonwires alternative.	Interest Group	Letter					1d-iii, 2e, 3c
1037	Not unreasonable to ask that we explore a temporary arrangement in which the power that Canada designates for sale in the US not be sent north in the first place. If Canada decided to take delivery of the power within the US a temporary arrangement may buy some time for aggressive conservation and load management measures to yield significant results.	Interest Group	Letter					1h, 3c

1037	Want SDEIS to discuss contribution that Flexible AC Transmission Systems (FACTS) could make to a nonwires alternative. Public Interest Energy Research in California found that FACTS could increase import capacity and delay construction of another line.	Interest Group	Letter					1d-iii
1037	Encourage BPA to look at EPRI's (Seattle City Light) February 1995 load management and control report. (targeted load reduction program) <input type="checkbox"/> How much impact could a similar targeted load reduction program have if implemented on broad scale within the Puget Sound service area?	Interest Group	Letter					1h
1037	Wants BPA to include what will happen when new/potential line/project reaches capacity in the SDEIS.	Interest Group	Letter					1d-iii, 2a
1037	Thank you for mitigation efforts and proposals regarding water quality, fish, and wildlife for all the alternatives.	Interest Group	Letter					5e, 6a, 8e, 10a, 11a
1037	Include total project costs for all alternatives. This includes not only line and mitigation costs, but also upgrades and other "associated" projects for all alternatives.	Interest Group	Letter					1c
1037	Previously, in comment letter on Draft EIS, requested analysis for alternatives that would not require a new right of way in the Cedar River Watershed. Most specifically these alternatives would be conservation and load management and double-circuiting the existing watershed line. <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Question whether C meets the "reasonable" criterion.	Interest Group	Letter				C	1d-iii, 4j, 5g
1037	C was alternative chosen by BPA to contrast the preferred alternative (in photographic renderings) which resulted in predictable distractions from discussing common ground solutions during the scoping process. This would include alternatives built outside the watershed on existing right of way. Encourage BPA to drop alternative C from the range of reasonable alternatives.	Interest Group	Letter				1, C	1a, 2a
1037	The money spent on analyzing alt. C, if C is dropped, could be spent on developing viable nonwire alternatives.	Interest Group	Letter					1c
1037	Believe that delay due to further analysis will result in better decisions without undue risk to reliability. Emphasis on alternative solutions. Do not believe that accepting an increasing load and responding with more lines and more generation is a sustainable solution for either rural communities or the environment.	Interest Group	Letter					1a, 2a, 3a

1038	Church Administrator for Generational Hope Christian Center (400 members) Not necessary to build lines outside of already established grids. Would be a detriment to human residents. Health risks with no mitigation in monies for either property and future health problems is a problem.	Individual	Letter					1d-iii, 5g, 6f, 14b, 19a
1038	Church Administrator for Generational Hope Christian Center (400 members) Adamantly against placing lines in Maple Valley area just b/c Seattle residents do not like how and where lines are being placed.	Individual	Letter					15b
1039	US Dept. of the Interior-Fish and Wildlife Service reviewed BPA Notice of Intent for SDEIS. Would like to resubmit earlier submitted comments by reference for consideration. Additional point: Currently the preferred alternative has a line that crosses lands owned by Seattle within an area covered by the habitat Conservation Plan (HCP.) This requires city to maintain or develop specific number of acres of mature forest. A loss of this would negatively affect the City's ability to fulfill this HCP commitment. Please consider effects that any alternative has on the HCP area. Info on the HCP: Tim Romanski at 360.753.5823 or Martha Jensen at 503.753.9000	Federal Agency	Letter				1	1d-iii, 2e, 5h, 9b
1039	US Dept. of the Interior-Fish and Wildlife Service (re-submitted requests to SDEIS) Do not concur that BPA's determination that the proposed action "may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect" the northern spotted owl. There has been mature forest habitat stands that owls (>80 yrs. Old) have been using. Under HCP these stands would have developed into potential nesting habitat in the future. The proposed action will degrade the quality of owl habitat next to powerline corridor. This may impact the amount of suitable and future nesting habitat in the future. Widening the utility corridor by an additional 150' will increase the likelihood that the spotted owl will be more susceptible to predation by great horned owls. For more info: John Grettenberger at 360.753.6044 or Martha Jensen at 503.753.9000	Federal Agency	Letter				1	2e, 4j, 5g, 9b, 11b, 11c

1039	US Dept. of the Interior-Fish and Wildlife Service (re-submitted requests to SDEIS) The assessment and DEIS should include effects (both long and short term) of proposed action on interior forest habitat and openings on forest-dependent species. This info. will help quantify incidental take of spotted owls resulting from project. DEIS should include evaluation of land parcels of similar value that could be acquired in order to mitigate for the permanent impact to habitat in the Cedar River Watershed caused by the proposed action--specifically, the lands included in HCP. For more info: John Grettenberger at 360.753.6044 or Martha Jensen at 503.753.9000	Federal Agency	Letter				1	
1039	recommend that BPA employ formal consultation for project. For more info: John Grettenberger at 360.753.6044 or Martha Jensen at 503.753.9000	Federal Agency	Letter					10a, 11a
1040	"Friends of the Cedar River Watershed" concerned about water quality and wildlife habitat.	Interest Group	Letter					5e, 11a
1040	"Friends of the Cedar River Watershed" In addition to source drinking water for most of King and Snohomish County, the Cedar River Watershed is habitat to black bears, cougars, marbled murrelets, large mammals, and micro arthropods.	Interest Group	Letter					5h, 8d, 11b, 11c
1040	"Friends of the Cedar River Watershed" Watershed waters are critical to health of Lake WA basin and supports anadromous fish species including Chinook Salmon, bull trout, and runs of coho, steelhead and sockeye salmon. The DEIS does not adequately address the impact to Chinook salmon and other threatened species.	Interest Group	Letter					2e, 8d, 10a, 10c
1040	"Friends of the Cedar River Watershed" Watershed is recovering from years of logging and human use. BPA's proposed construction and maintenance hinder recovery of watershed and creates serious risks of water pollution through erosion, fuel leaks, hazardous material spills, pesticides on right of way, and human waste disposal. The DEIS does not adequately address drinking water regulations.	Interest Group	Letter					2e, 4c, 7b, 8d, 19d
1040	If watershed alternative is chosen, BPA should work with City of Seattle and environmental community to mitigate negative effects of construction.	Interest Group	Letter				1	6a

1041	Do study on radiation impact on human life as well as economical impact on property owners. Doesn't live in proposed routes, but supports the case against them. Studies show no negative impact where existing lines are within the watershed. Why do they need to put lines someplace other than in the Watershed if there is no proven negative impact? Consider the human life impact above all else.	Individual	Letter					5g, 14b, 15c, 19a
1042	could place the line on existing right of way inside Seattle's watershed or upgrade existing lines. For SEIS: Negative environmental effects on a per unit basis.	Individual	Letter	Issaquah-Hobart Road		C		1d-iii, 4j, 5a, 15e
1042	Line through watershed is shortest.	Individual	Letter	Issaquah-Hobart Road		C	1	1d-iii
1042	Line through watershed is most cost effective.	Individual	Letter	Issaquah-Hobart Road		C	1	1c
1042	Maintaining existing line has never caused water quality problems before. C has greater impacts b/c the line miles negatively impacts more sensitive streams and wetlands.	Individual	Letter	Issaquah-Hobart Road		C	C	5d, 8d, 12a
1042	C will destroy important habitat (elk, deer, bear, coyote, eagles, heron, fish, amphibians, etc.	Individual	Letter			C	C	10b, 11b, 11c
1042	Outside watershed you have to pay for land acquisition, vandalism due to clearing, access to private properties adjacent to right of way, noise and garbage dumping, and for mitigation.	Individual	Letter			C	C	4a, 6a, 9d, 17a, 19a
1042	C will destroy rural quality of neighborhood. Also lowers property value	Individual	Letter			C	C	14b, 15a
1042	Line through watershed is least populated and minimizes human health risks associated with EMF. Least environmental impact of all alternatives.	Individual	Letter			C	1	15e, 19b
1042	Would like written response to their requests and kept informed of any events associated with alternative C.	Individual	Letter			C	C	
1043-001	Only received notice a week or so ago; neighbor not notified at all. Upset that more information hasn't been available to Maple Valley residents - believes there hasn't been enough effort to notify people.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart				
1043-001	Seen lots of wildlife, including endangered species, on property. Kept property wooded and untouched.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart				11a, 11c, 15e
1043-001	Making statement on behalf of neighbor who could not come to meeting: this is causing pain and suffering in his personal life because of other family issues he has to deal with. Maybe 900 families impacted by Alt C.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			C	15f

1043-001	Friend who lives under power line has brain cancer; does not believe it is safe. Humans should not be impacted in a manner more devastating than what would be done to salmon or wildlife.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart				10c, 11a, 15c, 19a
1043-002	Use public lands, which are already reserved for this use. Not safe for children, not in my backyard.	Individual	Seattle public meeting		1		1	4b, 15d, 19a
1043-002	Preserve people above nature.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					15c
1043-003	Concerned that runoff will flood neighborhood.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Tiger Mountain				7e
1043-003	Didn't realize how big/tall towers would be - they will look terrible.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Tiger Mountain				1d-iii, 13a
1043-003	Line will cross salmon spawning creeks and streams - have the same wildlife as the watershed.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Tiger Mountain		C1	1, C1	5h, 8d, 10b, 10c, 11b
1043-004	Alt C will ruin her land because drainage is already difficult and sinkholes occur annually. Property values will decrease by 50 %.	Individual	Seattle public meeting			C	C	7f, 14b
1043-004	Home is only 10 years old - wanted to live there the rest of her life. Runs 2 home businesses that will be destroyed.	Individual	Seattle public meeting			C	C	14e, 15f
1043-004	Have wild animals - tearing down trees will force animals onto roads, put them in danger.	Individual	Seattle public meeting			C	C	11a
1043-005	Have elk, deer, bear, cougar, coyote, raccoons, possum, birds, bald eagles, ducks. Have the same wildlife they have in the watershed - the watershed already has power line going through it; can't it be added to rather than starting from scratch? Also have trees, wetlands, creeks.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			1	5g, 8d, 9a, 11a, 11c, 12a, 15b
1043-005	Hobart has third-generation families, people in their nineties who've been there all their lives. Have been good stewards of the land, not built very much. Don't want to move, don't want to be compensated - want to stay.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart				4g, 14c, 15f
1043-006	Fought BPA in 2000. Ready to fight again - will be more costly to go through properties.	Individual	Seattle public meeting		1	C	1, C	1c, 2e
1043-006	Have elk, blue heron - neighbors are maintaining nature, not overdeveloping. Watershed already has power line going through - won't need much more logging.	Individual	Seattle public meeting		1	C	1, C	4g, 5g, 11a
1043-006	Won't ever be able to find another property as nice. Kids will have to change schools. Wanted to live in Maple Valley, have something to pass down to kids.	Individual	Seattle public meeting		1	C	1, C	14c, 15f
1043-007	Have fought off BPA twice before. First, consider environment they live in; second, devaluation of property. Watershed, where people do not live, would be better choice.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart	1		1	2e, 14b, 15c

1043-008	Environmental impacts of Alt C are equal or greater to impacts of preferred Alt, especially on forests and wetlands. Valley has aquifer recharge areas, trees, habitat corridors to watershed - some of the highest quality habitat in King Co. Seattle HCP lists improving downstream habitat as key element - Alt C is inconsistent with that.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1, C	8c, 9a, 11b, 12a
1043-008	Hundreds of property owners would be affected by Alt C - not the case in the watershed. People have not been considered and impacts should outweigh Seattle's water quality concerns.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1, C	5d, 15c
1043-008	105-foot right of way is not significant compared to clear-cutting 74,000 acres. Watershed was 70% logged by 1994.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1, C	4j, 5g
1043-009	Don't do it at all. Planet is destroyed - only hope is to conserve, stop bringing people here, stop using disposables, do something positive for the environment, children.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					3a, 15e
1043-010	Would like DEIS scope to include runoff from deforestation on south Tiger Mt, impacts to groundwater, creeks and springs, flooding in Issaquah, impact of turbidity to salmon recovery program, mitigation plans for runoff impacts. Would like full documentation of property owner compensation, including price of timber purchased, and description of forest loss mitigation on private property (which should be the same as in the watershed - 3-1). DEIS should include aesthetics and state forest users.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					1a, 4f, 6a, 7e, 8a, 10b, 10c, 13b, 14b
1043-010	Alternatives outside the watershed cannot be considered least impact - share the same environment as the watershed, same species, but property owners also affected. Alternatives outside watershed violate King County Growth Management Plan (requiring protection of rural communities).	Individual	Seattle public meeting					1a, 4g, 5a, 15b
1043-011	Property has birds, owls, hawks, rabbits, mice, deer, elk, trees. Were not notified that property may be within Alt C.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				C	9a, 11a
1043-012	Have trees, wetlands, streams, same as the watershed, but also have people who will be impacted. .	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			1	5a, 8d, 9a, 12a, 15c
1043-013	Runoff will create drainage issues affecting fish in Issaquah.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				C	7b, 10b
1043-013	Wants DEIS to include comparison data on affected acreage, wildlife, wetlands, costs and socioeconomic impacts for preferred Alt vs. alternatives outside the watershed.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					1a, 4a, 11a, 12a, 14a, 15c
1043-013	Have the same wildlife as the watershed - bear, cougars, owls, hawks, coyote, etc. Going through watershed has least impact.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1	5a, 11a
1043-013	If Seattle needs power, it should be prepared to pay environmental costs, use existing lines.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1	15b

1043-014	Out in the country because it's safe, clean environment for raising kids. Decrease in property values and health of family are concerns.	Individual	Seattle public meeting		1	C	1, C	14b, 15b, 19a
1043-015	Who will pay for devalued property, added costs that BPA will pass on to Puget Sound Energy? BPA will take or devalue home plus raise energy costs.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart				1j, 14b
1043-015	Water in the watershed is protected; adding to existing line won't affect watershed and is better than uprooting 900 people.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			1	5d, 15c
1043-016	Have old growth, second growth, elk, deer, raccoons, cougar, hawks, etc. Going through watershed wouldn't impact anyone compared to going through private property.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1	11a, 15c
1043-016	Property development has been restricted - why can BPA come in and whatever they want? There is health problem with power lines and property will be devalued.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					4b, 14b, 19a
1043-017	Opposed to any alternatives outside watershed because of degradation of land values.	Individual	Seattle public meeting		1		1	14b
1043-017	Seattle has not demonstrated that new line through watershed would impact water quality. City looked at filtration on the Cedar River in the mid-1990's.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1	5d
1043-018	A shame to displace families when an existing route is available.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1	1a, 14c
1043-019	Property devaluation will affect every homeowner. Will pay twice because BPA will pass costs of Alt C along to Puget Sound Energy.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				C	1j, 14b
1043-019	Stop growth in King County, Western WA, Maple Valley - what's happening is because of uncontrolled growth.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				C	4g, 15b
1043-020	Cedar River Council members who refer to Alt C as "highly developed" are wrong. Wildlife and ecology will be more impacted by Alt A, B, C, D than by going through watershed, because more acres will be torn up. Costs will be greater. Have bears, coyote, deer, hawks, wolves - don't want them disturbed.	Individual	Seattle public meeting		1		1, A, B, C, D	1c, 4g, 11a, 15b
1043-021	Watershed has been mismanaged - why can't you put a power line in next to the one that's there? Path won't be clear-cut - foliage grows fine under the lines.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1, C	5g, 9a
1043-021	Other watersheds have no filtration and allow public access for recreation and still meet drinking water quality standards - why is our watershed suddenly so fragile that it can't withstand a low impact power line?	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1, C	5b

1043-021	Wants to see actual data on real impact in EIS. If logging wasn't impacting, how will a power line be impacting? Who saw the marbled murrelet out there? Doesn't want anybody in the watershed - just wants the power line in and then leave it alone. Doesn't want the power line in his backyard.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1, C	5g, 11c, 15d
1043-022	Community is not opposed to additional lines - recognize the need for more capacity in order to avoid brown outs.	Elected Official	Seattle public meeting		1		1	3a
1043-022	Went through this 2 years ago and it is stressful. Would like to make sure this time that the decision is final and that process is as expeditious as possible.	Elected Official	Seattle public meeting				1	2e
1043-022	Watershed is not "oasis of green in a sea of asphalt." Residents were drawn to the area because of natural condition. There are sensitive areas, stream crossings and wildlife corridors outside the watershed, too.	Elected Official	Seattle public meeting				1	5h, 8d, 9a, 11b, 15e
1043-022	Consider impacts on human communities.	Elected Official	Seattle public meeting				1	15c
1043-022	Rate payers have valid concerns re: costs of alternatives that require right of way acquisition, condemnation of homes and mitigation of property values.	Elected Official	Seattle public meeting				1	1c, 6f
1043-023	Has memory problems he thinks are related to current EMF levels. How much more EMF will there be with more lines and taller towers? 190' towers should have at least another 100' around them.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				A	1d-iii, 4j, 19b
1043-024	Purchased properties in order to protect environment. Have same sensitivities as watershed: old growth trees, seasonal creek, bears, bobcats, cougars, hawks.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					5h, 8d, 9b, 11a, 15e
1043-024	Concerned about runoff issues and threats to salmon recovery program in Issaquah. Also concerned about home stabilization because of deforestation on Tiger Mountain.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					7b, 10c, 14b
1043-024	Watershed is legacy, but so is community lifestyle. King County Growth Management Plan protects rural communities' historical resources, locally-owned businesses, small town atmosphere, parks and open space (affected by Alt C).	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1, C	4f, 5b, 14e, 15b, 16c
1043-024	Options outside the watershed are ugly and people impacted would not benefit from additional capacity. City of Seattle should pay true environmental costs for added capacity.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1	13b, 15b
1043-024	Would like to see same level of mitigation for private property as for forests.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					6a, 14b, 15c

1043-025	Remembers losing power a few years ago - not fun. Appreciates the people who are working hard to bring more power. Hopes BPA will do the right thing and not put power lines in people's backyards where kids can get hurt . Common sense is to put the line in the watershed where there aren't any people; trusts BPA to minimize impact on water quality. Realizes that it will be hard to do the right thing in the current political environment.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				C	4j, 5d, 15c
1043-026	comparing Alt 1 with Alt C: environmentally, 11 miles of new road needed for Alt C vs. less than 1 mile in the watershed. Easier to monitor and mitigate the watershed because there is only one property owner vs. 150 different property owners for Alt C. No new culverts needed in watershed vs. new culverts that will cross wetlands and streams in Alt C.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1, C	4a, 5g, 6a, 8d, 12a, 15e
1043-026	comparing Alt 1 with Alt C: visual impact is high outside the watershed.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1, C	13b
1043-026	Alt C means more environmental impact, more time, mitigation costs, loss of property values and property owners held hostage until 2003.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1, C	1c, 6f, 14b, 15b
1043-026	Rural residents are the true environmentalists - not "armchair" environmentalists in Seattle.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1, C	15b
1043-027	"I'm the human face of the alternatives." People will lose homes and lifestyle. People do not seem to factor in at all in choosing alternatives.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1	1a, 14b, 15c
1043-027	Biggest issue seems to be politics around filtration system.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1	5d
1043-027	Seems like they aren't being given credit for being stewards of their land - they have the same issues as the watershed. Have bear, deer, salmon streams, endangered species. Think of themselves as environmentalists - they don't develop their land.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1	4g, 10b, 10c, 11b, 11c, 15b
1043-028	We drink the same water Seattle does and care if it's clean.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Maple Valley			1, C	5d, 8e
1043-028	Have old growth, wildlife (incl. Endangered species) outside the watershed as well as inside.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Maple Valley			1, C	1a, 5h, 11a, 11c
1043-028	Speaking for neighbor who's daughter is sick - he is devastated at possibility of losing his land as he is losing his daughter.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Maple Valley			1, C	14c, 15f
1043-029	Spent life savings buying land in Hobart to pass on to children. Intend to keep land pristine and not sub-divide. Teaches children to love all God's creation, including neighbors.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			1, C	4g, 15e

1043-029	Residents were relieved 2 years ago when BPA told them routes outside the watershed were not feasible. Now here they are again and it seems the City of Seattle will say anything to keep the line out of the watershed.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			1, C	2e, 15b
1043-029	40 people in audience live along proposed routes. They have wetlands, streams, ponds, old growth trees, elk, deer, owls, hawks, eagles, bears, coyote, etc - that is why they live where they do. They are ready to go to court to protect the environment they live in.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			1, C	8d, 9b, 11b, 11c, 12a, 13b, 15e
1043-029	Alternative would take 150'- wide swath of property.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			1, C	4j, 14b
1043-030	Being held hostage during year of decision-making. Can't sell homes and property will be devalued if route comes through. Compensations should be same for homes as for loss of forest.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					2e, 14b
1043-030	In the 15 years since BPA first said more power is needed, have they studied alternative energy sources such as wind, solar, etc.?	Individual	Seattle public meeting					3a
1043-031	Not fair to add 500-kV line to established neighborhood. Can't put a price on reduced property value and aesthetic loss.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				C	13b, 14b, 15d
1043-031	Any new route should go through the watershed, because of existing line, extensive logging. Watershed route would be less expensive and would not impact neighboring communities.	Individual	Seattle public meeting		1		1	1c, 5g, 15c
1043-032	Community has been carefully developed. BPA should go with undeveloped area; otherwise, taxpayers will have to pay twice.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			1	4g, 14b, 15c
1043-033	More environmental stewardship in the neighborhood than in the watershed. Neighborhood has different age classes of trees, provides better habitat. The environment doesn't stop at the watershed boundary - Hobart has streams, wetlands, headwaters of Taylor Creek that would be impacted by Alt C.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			1, C	5h, 8d, 9b, 11b, 15e
1043-033	Would like to see the EIS address number of people impacted by alternatives - homes bought out, views affected.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			1, A, B, C, D	1a, 13b, 14b, 15c
1043-033	What are costs of additional 11-mile or 38-mile route vs. 9-mile route through the watershed? What's the cost of preparing the SEIS? Money is being spent although the EIS identified a preferred alternative.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			1	1c, 2e
1043-033	Lot of land in Hobart enrolled in King County Public Benefit Rating System - if BPA purchases those lands, they would have to pay back taxes.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			C	1c, 14f
1043-034	Don't choose economics over the environment.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart				15c

1043-035	Seattle wants to let someone else pay the cost of ensuring their water quality. Seattle wants BPA to fund a filtration plant, but the city is not offering to pay for mitigation from runoff and flooding.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					5d, 7e, 8d, 15b
1043-035	Transmission grid is due to be handed over to Regional Transit Transmission Organization - why would BPA build a new line when they're just going to give it away or privatize?	Individual	Seattle public meeting					3a
1043-036	Do whatever it takes to make Seattle happy about putting the power line through the watershed where people won't be affected.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			1	15c
1043-037	BPA made the right decision 2 years ago. Now that problem is back, he expects analysis of impacts on all routes to be as thorough as analysis on watershed route. Other routes impact as many wetlands, create as many problems - just a different set of people and properties.	Interest Group	Seattle public meeting				1	1a, 2e, 12a
1043-037	95% of power demand comes from Seattle. Run 175' towers across Lake Washington and see how many people like the impact.	Interest Group	Seattle public meeting					15b
1043-037	There is already a major power triangle in the area, bounded on the east by the line through the watershed, on the south running from Raver to Covington and into Renton, and the tie between Echo Lake and Renton. BPA has not heard from people on Portion of Alt A that comes up from Covington. BPA has said they have existing right of way, but when those people see 175' towers, they will see that towers are taller than trees.	Interest Group	Seattle public meeting				A	1d-iii, 4j, 13b
1043-037	Streams are subject to environmental impacts as much as watershed.	Interest Group	Seattle public meeting				1	5g, 8d
1043-037	There are well over 100 buy-outs required, more on some routes - expensive.	Interest Group	Seattle public meeting					1c
1043-037	Water quality issue is a red herring. Seattle has been avoiding building filtration plant. Watershed has had a transmission line for 30 years and no one has ever complained about BPA being irresponsible.	Interest Group	Seattle public meeting				1	5g
1043-038	Alt C goes through her living room - thought it had been eliminated from consideration back in 2000.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				C	2e
1043-038	Wants SEIS to address: - impacts of Alt C on Issaquah Creek Basin, whether Alt is consistent with Issaquah Creek Basin Plan - impacts to land use, rural character - whether Alt C is consistent with King County Comp Plan that says public facilities should be sited equitably	Individual	Seattle public meeting				C	4a, 8d, 15b
1043-039	No one from Seattle Public Utilities at the public meeting to answer questions. Why do they think taking 84 acres will ruin water quality?	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1	5d

1043-039	Going through homes is more devastating than going through the watershed.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1	15c
1043-039	Will be ticked off if they go through his property and ruin his views with an alternative that is not the best for the environment, not the best for cost, and doesn't do anything about hurting water.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				C	1c, 8e, 13b, 14b, 15e
1043-040	Land is part of forest stewardship program - has wetlands, stream corridor, salmon spawning. Has been better steward of her land than City of Seattle has been of its land (by damming streams, filling in wetlands, clear-cutting).	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart				4b, 5g, 8d, 10c, 12a, 15e
1043-040	Seattle is saying power line will degrade water quality and they need to add filtration, but they have been working closely with the Dept of Health for years to put off filtration requirements.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					5d
1043-041	Opposed to building a line through the watershed. Have worked to end commercial logging, get Habitat Conservation Plan in place to rectify past bad practices. Considers the watershed a jewel and would like to continue to improve water and habitat quality.	Interest Group	Seattle public meeting			1	1	5g, 10b, 11b
1043-041	Thinks BPA should explore ways to avoid building any new lines. Revisit treaty with Canada, explore new technologies to increase capacity of current lines.	Interest Group	Seattle public meeting			1	1	1d-iii, 3c
1043-041	We have to come up with ways to use energy more efficiently and conserve. Will work with Maple Valley residents to find creative alternatives to building the line at all.	Interest Group	Seattle public meeting			1	1	1g, 15b
1043-042	Instead of being in conflict, ask BPA to be progressive, work for something sustainable. Wants BPA to listen to theme of "no power line anywhere." Challenge us to conserve.	Interest Group	Seattle public meeting					1g, 3a, 15e
1043-042	Wants BPA to consider chinook everywhere, not just in the watershed.	Interest Group	Seattle public meeting					10c
1043-042	Talk to Canadians to buy time for implementing conservation alternatives.	Interest Group	Seattle public meeting					1g, 3c
1043-043	Don't have to choose between "lesser of two evils" if BPA will come up with alternative using conservation and peak demand management tools e.g., real-time pricing, smart meters, combined heat/power generation, cogeneration, locally produced power, Flexible A/C Transmission System to increase line capacity. Alternative would require cooperation from agencies, businesses and the general public.	Interest Group	Seattle public meeting	Seattle				1g, 3a
1043-044	EIS failed to demonstrate need for additional lines.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					3a
1043-044	Why do we need to send power to Canada - they don't want it. Can work to mitigate treaty.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					3c

1043-044	Watershed is largest lowland forest preserve in Puget Sound, provides drinking water for more than just Seattle.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1	5a
1043-044	Working on power alternatives for her home, conservation measures. BPA has not looked at full mitigation costs, including cost of lines and path damage done by new line.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					1g, 6f
1043-045	BPA promised 2 years ago not to log in watershed for 50 years. BPA should respect HCP, finalize agreement with EPA and not consider new line as "watershed improvement."	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Seattle			1	5b
1043-045	Americans are highly capable of conservation. BPA should think of conserving power as number one response, utilize FACTS system to increase line capacity.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Seattle			1, C	1g, 3a
1043-045	Why jeopardize pristine watershed with construction? New line will degrade water quality and destroy habitat. Animals don't have borders - acknowledge all animals in watershed, Hobart and Maple Valley.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Seattle			1	5a, 11b
1043-045	Pleads with BPA to start discussion with Canada in order to buy time for conservation.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Seattle				1g, 3c
1043-045	If we absolutely have to have a new line, use Alt B or D - go through already developed area.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Seattle			B, D	4a
1043-046	Opposed to plans to build a line at all. Not an issue of humans vs. habitat; issue of what other options we have, such as conservation, limiting growth, new technologies to increase capacity.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Seattle		1	1	1g, 3a, 15c
1043-046	Lots of work went into HCP - seems unreasonable to throw out all that work. Putting in line will affect chinook, marbled murrelets and bald eagles.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Seattle			1	5h, 10c, 11c
1043-046	Watershed is water source - there is public health issue with Alt 1.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Seattle			1	5d
1043-047	Proposing new alternative, similar to Alt 3: come south from North Bend along the Old Milwaukee RR Line to Tonasket. This would be part of the strategic transportation corridor that's been proposed by the Discovery Institute. Purpose of corridor is to develop railroad line with transmission and pipeline capabilities so traffic can bypass Puget Sound, improving air quality (which is missing from list of environmental considerations).	Individual	Seattle public meeting				3	1a, 18a
1043-048	Seattle citizens were kind of blindsided - Seattle residents were not part of process two years ago, so process has been reopened for Seattle to have a voice.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					2e
1043-048	Have 50-, 100-year plans in place, not just reactions to emergency next year.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					1a

1043-048	Mitigation cost estimates should include real value of public property - don't consider the watershed "cheap." All the alternatives are expensive - maybe cheapest alt is to resite generators or plan for growth so no new line is needed.	Individual	Seattle public meeting				1	1c, 3a, 6f, 15e
1043-049	Manager of transmission contracts and oasis trading for Puget Sound Energy. New generators want to build, but don't want to invest in upgrading transmission capacity. New generation cannot get to customers in growing urban areas, so prices are volatile and viability declines. PSE cannot get rate recovery for costs of upgrading transmission; BPA is uniquely situated (as unregulated entity that has financial resources) to make investments in the region's high-voltage network.	Business	Seattle public meeting					3a
1043-050	Issue is happening all over the world: land being taken, environment impacted for new transmission lines. People in Seattle don't want to see power lines in rural backyard or in the watershed - they don't want to see power lines at all.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					1b, 3a, 5f, 13b
1043-050	Talking to Canada about whether they need the power we're sending them and increasing conservation are alternatives.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					1g, 3c
1043-050	Not an issue of city vs. country, or us vs. them - we all need to fight suburban sprawl and population growth. People in Seattle support people who live in the countryside.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					3a, 4g, 15b
1043-051	Would like BPA to give consideration and research manpower to conservation. Find out how technology will increase transmission efficiency, distribute power effectively, and give access to alternative energy resources. Would like to see consumption bar chart going down instead of up.	Individual	Seattle public meeting					1g, 3a
1043-052	Hobart was there before the watershed - watershed was given to the City of Seattle by a Hobart family. Have elk, deer, cougar, bear, coyote, raccoons, possum, birds, hawks, bald eagles - fence is only thing separating them from the watershed. People of Hobart have been better land stewards than people of Seattle.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart		C	1, C	5h, 11b, 11c, 15b
1043-052	Damage to Hobart will be greater than damage to watershed because of people impacted.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			1, C	15c
1043-053	People in Hobart have been "doing the right thing" for salmon for generations. Appreciate that Seattle has found its conscience and wants to protect watershed, but 80 acres out of tens of thousands will not destroy the work that's been done. Cities have a tendency to bully the small guy.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Hobart			1, C	5g, 10c, 15b

1044-001	Board member for The Uplands Homeowners Associations representing 72 homeowners who strongly support Proposal No. 1 and are opposed to alternatives B and D because they would be asked to provide an easement of their property which they are unwilling to do.	Individual	North Bend public meeting		1	B	1, B, D	14d
1044-001	Concerned about the impact the view on approximately 25 of the homeowner lots.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					13b, 14b
1044-001	Concerned about the increased level of EMI and RF	Individual	North Bend public meeting					
1044-002	House is stream-fed and they drink the water that comes underneath one of the Rocky Reach Maple Valley lines. (107-4). Working with city to try and get "what they call emerging health concern and trying to get the city to expedite" on their water. They have rights to the stream. Thought of drilling a well, but can't because property is less than five acres. Still working with King County and the house has been there since 1938.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley				8a
1044-003	House backs up to 80 acres of state land that is a wildlife corridor.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart		C	C	4b, 11a
1044-003	Line would go through property and BPA would have to buy out or condemn him.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart		C	C	14c
1044-003	Has videotape to document the births of two more cow elk on his property, 41 have been born on his property. This video also documents the elk, deer, bear, cougar, bobcat, possum, raccoon, skunk, squirrel, bald eagle, blue heron, red-tailed hawk, pileated woodpeckers that run through his backyard.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart		C	C	11a, 11c
1044-003	It's absurd to think that anyone would want to put a power line through such a pristine natural nature corridor.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart		C	C	15e
1044-004	Look at an approach that has the least environmental impact and is the most cost effective.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					1c, 15e
1044-004	Bottom line is the people that are impacted.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					14a
1044-004	A and C are the two most relevant options to Option 1 with similar environmental impacts or even slightly greater than 1.	Individual	North Bend public meeting				1, A, C	15e
1044-004	Long lines through environmentally sensitive areas - large number or streams, wetlands, and rivers - the impact and potential impact stands at being as great or greater than going through 1.	Individual	North Bend public meeting				1, B, D	8a, 12a, 15e
1044-004	State of the art construction is needed to have minimum impact on the environment.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					6a, 15e
1044-004	Mitigation is critical. You need to mitigate. Because of governmental regulations, you will have to mitigate.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					6a

1044-004	No. 1 has the least environmental impact and the most cost effective location. It also has the least impact on people. D and B are not economically viable so the two shortest routes are the main concern	Individual	North Bend public meeting				1, B, D	1c, 14b, 15f
1044-004	The area around C and the watershed are rural and some of the best "stewards" of the land have been the people that live there.	Individual	North Bend public meeting				C	5g
1044-004	Different types of vegetation besides trees need to be considered.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					9a
1044-004	Both option C and Alternative 1 have animals involved, but one option would not impact hundreds of people (alternative 1) and the other one (C) would.	Individual	North Bend public meeting				1, C	11a, 14b
1044-005	An Earthquake and severe weather will topple the towers.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	196				7c
1044-005	Doesn't want an 180 foot tower in front of his place that will result in the reduction of his property value.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	196				14b
1044-005	Doesn't need extra EMF. More information on electrical magnetic fields emitted from the transmission lines and what this emission is going to do to the health of people, food, animals, plants, and water.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	196				8a, 9a, 11a, 19b
1044-005	Triple the power emitted from the old lines for the Seattle/Canadian Treaty because it is payback time for Canada.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	196				1d-iii, 3c
1044-005	The towers need more right-of-way if they are 180 feet.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	196				9d
1044-005	The older, lower, and wider lines seem to be better from a construction standpoint	Individual	North Bend public meeting	196				1d-iii, 3a
1044-005	Since he's lived here (38 years), he's had two open heart operations, two angios, and his wife has "Uvitis" in her eyes - she has low vision-blind. No one knows what caused it.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	196				19a
1044-006	Power lines are on the backside of property	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Exit 34 and 35	1			4b
1044-006	If cost is a factor, then B and D would not be an option.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Exit 34 and 35	1		B, D	1c
1044-006	The impact on neighborhoods and the impact of constructing towers for alternatives B and D would inconvenience the community as well as be very costly to the power company.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	exit 34 and 35	1		B, D	1c, 14b
1044-006	Supports the board's decision in regards to the action the they wish to take with the preferred alternative.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	exit 34 and 35	1		1	3a
1044-006	Preferred Alternative would impact fewer people but, unfortunately, you'll have to deal with the City of Seattle and they won't be very cooperative.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	exit 34 and 35	1		1	14b

1044-007	Bought land in Maplewood Estates which is along Alternative C. The land is rural, large, and cannot be found in King County.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Maplewood Estates		C	C	4b
1044-007	There are fairly expensive homes, approximately \$400,000 each, that the power company will have to pay for. This makes it very, very expensive to go through Alternative C.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Maplewood Estates		C		1c, 14c
1044-007	Doesn't understand how Alternative C can be a cheaper option than going through the Watershed where there are no homes and no human cost.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Maplewood Estates		C		1c, 5a, 14b, 15f
1044-007	There is too much of an environmental cost to go through Alternative C.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Maplewood Estates		C	C	1c, 15e
1044-007	She has 113 acres of coniferous tree land - Douglas Fir and Sitka Spruce--that would be destroyed for Alternative C.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Maplewood Estates		C	C	9b
1044-007	Alternative C is a habitat for many rare birds, heron woodpeckers-a bird that is at risk and has been seen along alternative C. They have pileated woodpecker, threatened bald eagles, and marbled murrelet.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Maplewood Estates		C	C	11a, 11c
1044-007	It is BPA's responsibility to tell us there are no rare, threatened, or endangered birds on Alternative C... not her responsibility.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Maplewood Estates		C	C	2a, 11a
1044-008	Alternative C requires 35 homes to be taken, but if they choose Alternative 1, they would only have to take two. 150 homes are approximately 500 feet within Alternative C. There is only 1 for Alternative 1.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart			1, C	14c
1044-008	Alternative 1 is parallel to existing power lines and will have a minimal additional visual impact versus an extremely high visual impact on Alternative C where there are currently no existing lines.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart			1, C	13a
1044-008	The City of Seattle is concerned about the impact of water quality from putting the power lines through Alternative 1 when there is no basis or risk to the drinking water there. The city is concerned with building a \$200 million filtration system and has already got a couple of strikes against them with their water quality and they don't want to take another chance.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart			1	8e
1044-008	Seattle's city council insistence on the study and reopening of the previously dropped or non-existed routes is putting the entire region, the preferred route No. 1, at risk of a brown out.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart			1	3a, 4i
1044-008	The City of Seattle and the surrounding cities consume about 95% of the power that's coming in through these lines. These cities should have to bear the burden that's coming in through these lines and they should also bear the property cost of additional lines.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart				1g
1044-009	Alternative C goes over his house as well as fellow resident Dave Pimentel. Together, they have created a petition with over 700 names opposed to this alternative.	Individual	North Bend public meeting			C	C	1d-iii, 2a

1044-009	Concerned about the environmental impact that Alternative C poses. Currently, there isn't a power line near the alternative while all the other ones there is.	Individual	North Bend public meeting			C	C	1d-iii, 15e
1044-009	The Cedar River runs between his house as well as fellow resident Dave Pimentel's house. There is a stream near fellow resident Dave Pimentel's house (it empties into the Walsh Lake diversion stream) that Alternative C will go directly over.	Individual	North Bend public meeting			C	C	5a, 8a
1044-009	Alternative C has a line that will go directly over a fairly flat area that is all wetlands and is between the Walsh Lake diversion stream and the Cedar River.	Individual	North Bend public meeting			C	C	12a
1044-009	The land between his house and Dave Pimentel's house is swampy and undeveloped with people that do not want to be disturbed.	Individual	North Bend public meeting			C		4b
1044-009	The area next to his house is going to be environmentally impacted. He has deer, wildlife, elk, badgers.	Individual	North Bend public meeting			C		11a, 15e
1044-009	Next to his house is an old mine surrounded by loose coal. This would pose a construction problem for any tower that might be built there.	Individual	North Bend public meeting			C		4e
1044-009	From the top of a hill in his backyard (looking south) you can see the ravine and Ravensdale which used to be the second largest town in the state. There are mines all over there and Alternative C goes right through there.	Individual	North Bend public meeting			C		4e
1044-010	The existing Rocky Reach power line goes through The Upland development and so they (he and the homeowners) are very concerned about Alternatives B and D going through their development.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Uplands development	1		B, D	1d-iii
1044-010	Alternative D would take a 150 foot slough out of The Upland development and at least 11 or 12 plots would be directly impacted by this.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Uplands development	1		D	9d, 14c
1044-010	People in the way of Alternative D would lose their lots.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Uplands development	1		D	4b, 14c
1044-010	Many Upland residents enjoy their mountain views. However, with Alternative B, existing towers will be replaced by taller towers that will obstruct these views.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Uplands development	1		B	13b
1044-011	Disagrees with the City of Seattle PUD as well as the Times when they said that there will be significant adverse impacts on water quality from BPA's construction activities with regard to building and maintaining a parallel line within the watershed.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					1d-iii

1044-011	Her environmental project is located just off of I-90 in Issaquah and involves building what they call the South Spur Arterial Roadway up the hill that will eventually connect to the double interchange that the WSDOT is building. This is an example of a state-of-the-art water quality project where they had no exceedences within a two-year construction period on the east work of the Issaquah Creek. The project is uphill on the east work, 50 feet from the east work, which is an ESA listed Chinook stream. Again, they had no exceedences of their MPEDS and SWPP plan. All their construction techniques were Best Management Practices. If a private developer can do this sort of project where they have 30 acres of open ground and moved ¾ of a million of cubic yards of dirt and BPA's project will have no service water runoff directly to streams, then you are only going to have to build about a mile of new roadway access to your parallel line.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					1d-iii, 4h, 8d
1044-011	BPA should only have to build about a mile of new roadway access to the parallel line.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					1d-iii, 4h, 9d
1044-011	The Seattle Times citing the adverse impact on water quality is a myth.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					5d
1044-012	90% of the power demand by this power line comes from Seattle and its' suburban cities	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Maple Valley				1f, 3b
1044-012	BPA examined all the existing lines in a scooping study two years ago. They brought this whole set of issues to the public and there were hundreds of people that got involved	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Maple Valley				4i
1044-012	Two years ago, the area council and the Cedar River Council took the position with him that the best, most environmentally best place to put this line was in the Cedar River Watershed. All other lines were estimated to be more expensive (based on the current data status at the time).	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Maple Valley				1c, 2a, 4i, 5a
1044-012	There are lines that criss-cross the area, some of which belong to Bonneville, some of which belong to Seattle and feed Seattle and other places.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Maple Valley				1d-iii
1044-012	All proposed transmission lines cross sensitive streams and wetlands.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Maple Valley			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	8a, 12a

1044-012	All lines are significant or subject to significant environmental damage.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Maple Valley			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	15e
1044-012	All proposed transmission lines outside the watershed are considerably longer and will impact many properties and many more people. This is far more than the 13 properties mentioned in the Seattle newspapers by Seattle officials.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Maple Valley			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	1d-iii, 14b, 15f
1044-012	Expects BPA to give current data status in the Environmental Impact Statement which will generate new cost estimates.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Maple Valley				1c, 2e
1044-012	Longer lengths of time, more people involved, wider cuts, in some cases, of the land, the more land that is involved, the price goes up.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Maple Valley			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	1c, 4b, 9d, 14b, 15a
1044-012	The Seattle people's issue with water quality is a "red herring" issue.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Maple Valley				8e
1044-012	Seattle is a beneficiary to a very generous mitigation plan in the current proposal.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Maple Valley			C	6a
1044-013	North boundary of property is underneath the Rocky Reach Maple Valley lines.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley				1d-iii
1044-013	Since the Rocky Reach Maple Valley lines have existed, they have maintained a very positive working relationship with the BPA. However, they have no interest in assuming more power lines within their community.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley				1d-iii
1044-013	Concerned about the human cost that is associated with all the alternatives	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	15f, 19a
1044-013	Current environment is at least as sensitive, if not more so, than what's in the watershed. They have older-growth timber, endangered species, water runoff problems from Tiger Mountain.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley				4c, 5g, 7b, 9b, 11a
1044-013	The amount of rainfall that Tiger Mountain receives each year over the Seattle or even Issaquah areas is very significant.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley				7e, 8a

1044-013	Depending on the location of Option C, it will either go through her home or uphill on a ridge at the south end of Tiger Mountain near the Issaquah Alps Hobart Gorge area, extensive logging will result in a large amount of runoff affecting the Issaquah Creek basin.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley			C	4c, 7b, 14b
1044-013	There are several seasonal springs (10 months out of the year) and creeks already. There is concern that these will become year round creeks that will affect property usage.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley				4b, 7e, 14b
1044-013	Concerned about silt reaching the Issaquah basin and the salmon recover program there.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley				7a, 10b
1044-013	Stabilization of home foundations in the area and in Issaquah due to current and additional runoff problems is a concern.	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley				4b, 7b
1044-013	Logging in the area to accommodate the new lines will result in water runoff issues	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley				4c, 7b
1044-013	What mitigation plans and costs are required for the logging and runoff problems?	Interest Group	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley				4c, 6e, 7b
1044-014	Fights Seattle's claim that the watershed is "pristine" and "untouched." In fact, Seattle has been logging that watershed up until two years go.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley	1			4c, 5g
1044-014	Seattle has been extremely poor stewards of the watershed	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley				5g
1044-014	The citizens of Hobart have actually donated their land to become that watershed. There are no better stewards of that (watershed) land than the people that live there.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley	1			5g, 15e
1044-014	Seattle uses the power but doesn't live on the land.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley	1			3b, 15e
1044-014	Seattle should take the cost of the power that is needed and put the lines throughout their own property, the watershed, which would be the smallest impact.	Individual	Seattle public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley	1			1c
1044-014	People wanted to come back and develop a property next to his and in order to protect the land, he and his wife bought that property and have left it alone.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley	1			4b, 15e
1044-014	Bears walk through his property	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley	1			11a
1044-014	Wildlife in his backyard is just as precious in terms of the valuable natural resources as the watershed is.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Rocky Reach Maple Valley				5a, 11a, 15e
1044-015	Because Alternatives B and D go through highland areas with snow pack, they create an additional risk of rain and snow events, higher peak flows and more stream erosion. The other options are lower and below the snow route zone.	Individual	North Bend public meeting				B, D	7b

1044-015	Much lower resource risk with regards to stream erosion, high peak flows, etc. in the lower elevations of the alternatives that are not B or D.	Individual	North Bend public meeting				1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	7b, 15e
1044-015	For the route going through Cedar River, instead of going up the east side, look at going up the west side of the existing towers. There are fewer wetlands and streams on that side.	Individual	North Bend public meeting				1	1d-iii, 5a, 12a
1044-015	You'll avoid more sensitive resource areas going up the west side of the existing towers.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					1d-iii, 15e
1044-015	There are already 600 miles of roads, most of which are gravel, within the Cedar River Watershed.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					5g
1044-015	A tremendous amount of mitigation-according to the new Fourth Practices Rules of July 2001- needs to go on in order to reduce sediment to the streams that are adjacent to some of the 600 miles of roads that are classified as stream adjacent parallel roads.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					6a
1044-015	Cedar River is out of compliance with the new Fourth Practices Rules of July 2001. They still have four-inch culverts in the watershed. In Western Washington, the min. culvert size is 18 inches.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					5g
1044-015	Above the crossing of the Cedar River watershed is the Barneston Bridge. This bridge has a reduced workload limit because the City of Seattle has not done the maintenance on it and the supports are rusting. This is an additional cost to BPA as well...correcting Seattle's mistakes.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					1c, 5a
1044-016	BPA is a great neighbor in terms of maintenance of the power line and notification that they were going to be in there cutting brush and herbiciding.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Rattlesnake area	1			2a, 9d, 19d
1044-016	Practices conservation on his own properties	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Rattlesnake Area	1			1g
1044-016	Baker's Lake (which is on the summit right on the county line where there is a Bonneville power line) and a maintenance road experience runoff that can come down off these ravines on Rattlesnake.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Rattlesnake Area	1			7b
1044-016	Runoff a number of years ago almost took out the Tanner Blakely line.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Rattlesnake area	1			7b
1044-016	The preferred alternative only takes up land owned by the public	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Rattlesnake Area	1		1	4b
1044-016	The preferred alternative serves the largest population.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Rattlesnake	1		1	1f, 3a

1044-017	Youngsters who want to experience rural activities and lifestyles visit Camp Waskowitz, which is owned by Highline Public School District and backs up to her property	Individual	North Bend public meeting					15e, 16a
1044-017	This area is a historic area designated in the Historic Act.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					16c
1044-017	25-30 elk sleep in her backyard almost three times a week.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					11a
1044-017	What about the wildlife affected in the yards of the residents and not just the Cedar River Watershed?	Individual	North Bend public meeting					5a, 11a, 15e
1044-018	Requests that the supplemental EIS includes a rendering of what it would look like in everyone's backyard.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	along C	1			2a
1044-018	There is a potential effect on 500 feet on either side or more if the view corridor is long.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	along C	1			13a
1044-018	Routes B and D will also have a negative visual impact from the highway.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	along C	1		B, D	13d
1044-018	Wants distinction between mature wetlands, wetlands with older-growth trees, and other wetlands.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	along C	1			9b, 12a
1044-018	Mature wetlands, when they are destroyed, cannot be mitigated...they are lost forever.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	along C	1			6d, 12a
1044-018	Water can be filtered...would argue that the city of Seattle needs another filtration plant anyway.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	along C	1			8e
1044-018	They need distribution lines	Individual	North Bend public meeting	along C	1			1d-iii
1044-018	From an environmental standpoint we need alternative power. (Western Washington title power, Eastern Washington wind power, solar power from California)	Individual	North Bend public meeting	along C	1			1g, 15e
1044-018	How is alternative power going to get to Seattle?	Individual	North Bend public meeting	along c	1			1d-iii
1044-018	Frustrated with the whole process...frustrated by not knowing whether he's going to have power lines through his backyard a year from now or two years from now.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	along C	1			2e
1044-018	Everyone wants to conserve.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					1g
1044-018	Every person in Maple Valley or eastern Maple Valley and Hobart area could go off the grid and it isn't going to make a "hill of beans difference."	Individual	North Bend public meeting					1f, 3b, 15a
1044-018	If the city of Seattle cuts down 5-10%, then that will make a difference.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					1g, 3a
1044-018	If they don't want a line through the watershed then the city of Seattle should conserve. They shouldn't be telling him to conserve.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					1g, 5a

1044-019	Concerned about water quality, the environment, and costs.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart				1c, 8e, 15e
1044-019	Hasn't heard enough about water quality. Can't make any kind of decision on water quality unless they get some hard figures.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart				8e
1044-019	Heard the Kent DOH guy, who oversees Seattle's water quality, that the quality has been getting better for ten years.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart				8e
1044-019	Water quality information needs to be put out to the people who are affected by it.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					8e
1044-019	Would be willing to trust a seventh grade class to make a decision on environment with regards to the preferred alternative and Alternative C.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart			1, C	15e
1044-019	People in Hobart area--their water comes from the watershed and underground wells, etc.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart				5a, 8a
1044-019	Alternative C impacts streams, wetlands and creeks.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart			C	12a
1044-019	Alternative C will screw up Issaquah's water aquifer because it goes through Carey Creek, Holder Creek, and the headwaters of Issaquah Creek.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart			C	8a
1044-019	Doesn't want the line in his backyard or anyone else's backyard for that matter.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart				15d
1044-019	Heard that they had logged off 74,000 acres out of 91,000 in the watershed	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart				4c, 5g
1044-019	Wants the logging number quantified.	Individual	North Bend public meeting	Hobart				4c
1044-020	Huge opposition to the path through the watershed.	Individual	North Bend public meeting			C		5a
1044-020	Inconsistencies with their (Seattle's) story...the way this has been managed, the watershed, what they all talked about.	Individual	North Bend public meeting			C		5a
1044-020	Didn't hear much about the watershed but heard about conservation.	Individual	North Bend public meeting			C		1g, 5a
1044-020	There is nothing magical that they can do to force electricity down a wire.	Individual	North Bend public meeting			C		1a
1044-020	All of these issues represent different utilities.	Individual	North Bend public meeting			C		20a
1044-020	He turns off light switches, no electric can opener, has electric garage door opener...conservation is built into the system already. You can't force people to do more conservationism.	Individual	North Bend public meeting			C		1g
1044-021	Demands that those who are imposing Alternative 1 to be "here to address" the environmental concerns on the environmental standpoint.	Individual	North Bend public meeting				1	2a, 3a, 15e

1044-021	Because they want the public comments regarding Alternatives A-D to BPA by July 19th, they—city of Seattle—should be here as well.	Individual	North Bend public meeting				A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	
1044-021	It isn't fair if the public is here to make comments if the city isn't here to address the alternatives and the problems with each alternative.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					
1044-021	This is a political issue and a money issue and the city should be here to address these concerns.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					1c
1044-021	Mr. Clay should have been here to speak on behalf of the City of Seattle, but he wouldn't even address it, so now it is one sided.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					15b
1044-021	The human cost isn't being addressed.	Individual	North Bend public meeting					14b, 15f
1045-001	Has lived in home for 35 years, has no intention of moving, and does not want a power line in his property, which is peaceful and would be disrupted by buzzing power lines. He does not want compensation or to sell his property, he wants to stay and without power lines. If necessary, he will start a class action suit or hire an attorney to fight this.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	268 SE 236th St, where Alt. C parallels 276th		C	C	15f, 17b
1045-002	Concerned Alt. A will devalue her property due to the proximity of the tower. Prefers Cedar River Watershed, since the line would not go through people's property. Does not think the amount of land that would be cleared in the watershed is going to disrupt the habitat substantially.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	near Elk Run Golf Course, along Alt. A	1	A	1, A	5h, 14b
1045-002	Opposed to Alternative A, but made comments in the case that it is selected. The 135-foot second tower to be added under Alt A would have to be located almost on top of the Elk Run Golf Course (which her backyard borders), and golf balls would be a hazard. Instead she suggests removing the existing 90-foot tower and replacing it with a taller tower.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	near Elk Run Golf Course, along Alt. A	1	A	A	4f, 15d
1045-003	His property (along Alt C) has wildlife, trails, wetlands, streams and things that will affect salmon; if Alt. C is selected, these will be hurt also, not just the homeowners.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	near Alternative C	1	C	C	10c, 11a, 12a, 15e
1045-003	Since Alternative 1 only involves buying/moving two houses, why go through the expense of going around the watershed? It does not make sense to go 40 miles out of the way, rather than 9 miles through the watershed. Helicopter logging, helicopter installation of AT&T towers, and constructing bridges in the wilderness is all low impact.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	near Alternative C	1	C	1, C	1c, 5a
1045-003	People using the power would have to pay for the wasted expense of going around the watershed instead of going through it with Alternative 1.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	near Alternative C	1	C	1, C	1j

1045-003	City of Seattle should have decided long ago to filter its water and not use this prospective power line through the watershed as an excuse to get others to pay for that filtration process.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	near Alternative C	1	C	1, C	6f
1045-003	Approaching retirement and now can't make a decision because doesn't know the future of his property. Does not understand why BPA would consider disrupting so many people rather than go through the Cedar River Watershed.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	near Alternative C	1	C	C	14c, 15f
1045-004	We have worked hard for our home and retirement, fearful of being displaced.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	near Alternative C	1	C	C	14c, 15f
1045-004	She owns horses, so needs property large enough for them, but if displaced and retired (as planned within the next year), then it will be difficult to find comparable affordable property.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	near Alternative C	1	C	C	14b
1045-004	Thought BPA had already decided to put the new line through the watershed, so she is fearful and stressed that it is reconsidering.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	near Alternative C	1	C	C	2e, 15f
1045-004	BPA is wasting time and money by taking a year to reconsider its preferred alternative, when by the end of that time the line could be installed and finished.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	near Alternative C	1	C	C	1c, 3a
1045-004	If Seattle had planned ahead 50 years ago, it would already have the filtration process for its water. Now Seattle is trying to get others to pay for the process, which is not fair.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	near Alternative C	1	C	1, C	6f, 15b
1045-005	In favor of putting the line through the watershed, since it appears to have the least impact. From an environmental perspective, it seems like the smallest impact would be to widen the existing lines instead of cutting a new one. Not going in the watershed would also impact people's property.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1		1	5b, 14b
1045-006	In favor of putting the line through the watershed, instead of into the wilderness, which would require cutting trees and impacting wildlife. Not in favor of the alternative near her house because does not want buzzing or decreased property value.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1		1	11b, 14b, 15d, 17b
1045-007	Would like the EIS to include a full mitigation strategy for all alternative options outside the watershed, including a three-to-one forest replacement, compensation for lands and homes, and compensation for derivative costs due to repair for runoff and other uphill environmental factors.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					1c, 6a, 7b, 9a, 14b
1045-007	The EIS should include a cost breakdown for all alternatives of the cost of a complete mitigation strategy and litigation that will arise from condemnation proceedings that will be required for survey marking on all options that go through landowners' properties.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					1c, 6f, 14c

1045-007	The EIS should include a detailed analysis on environmental impacts to the Issaquah Salmon Basin, including turbidity levels on the headwaters of the Holder and Issaquah Creeks and the effect on the Salmon Recovery Program currently in effect in Issaquah.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					8d, 10c
1045-008	Alternative 1 appears to have been well-researched. Helicopter installation will minimize impacts on the watershed and although it is more expensive than bulldozer and crane installation, it is a good environmental investment and still less expensive than the longer lines required by Alternatives A, B, C, and D.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1	A	1, A, B, C, D	1c, 5a, 6f
1045-008	As a power consumer, cannot support No Action alternative. As a property owner along Alternative A, feels disruption to existing communities should be rejected unless absolutely necessary.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	lives along Alt A	1	A	1	1b, 3a
1045-009	Understands concern about water quality in Cedar River Watershed, but supports going through watershed since access already exists and BPA has promised environmentally friendly construction. Concerned that BPA thoughtfully mitigates the effects of construction and maintain buffers, leaving as much natural vegetation as possible for erosion controls, and reducing sedimentation on everything from minor creeks to the Cedar River.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1	C	1, C	5d, 6a, 7b, 8e, 9a
1045-009	The same people will use the water from the watershed and the electricity from the proposed line, so those needs are in balance.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1	C	1, C	3a, 15c
1045-009	BPA should consider impact of construction on people's property, including changes in property value. People should be fairly compensated.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1	C	1, C	6a, 14b
1045-010	Original plan through the watershed is best because of improved methods to build roads, filter water, and clean up after the construction.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	25406 Landsburg Road SE, Ravensdale	1		1, C	5a, 6a
1045-010	Running power lines over private properties is disruptive and drops property value.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	25406 Landsburg Road SE, Ravensdale	1	C	1, C	14b, 15d
1045-011	Herds of elk have habitat near Alternative C, so the impact on putting the line along Alternative C rather than through the watershed would be equal.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	25406 Landsburg Road SE, Ravensdale	1		1, C	11a
1045-012	BPA did not provide ample opportunity or time for potentially impacted communities to come up with ideas on different alternatives. BPA should give the public more time so people can make informed decisions.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					2c

1045-013	Owns ten acres with horses along Alt C. Concerned about the noise, public health, EMF.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1	C	1, C	17b, 19b
1045-013	If people are so concerned about the watershed, why are tours allowed on it? This creates potential for pollution and terrorism in the watershed. But Alternative C would ruin people's lives.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1	C	1, C	5g, 14a
1045-014	In the economic analysis of Alternatives 1 and C, please include parity between the costs. For example, if City of Seattle receives 350 acres under Alternative 1, the homeowners in other alternatives should also get 350 acres. Mitigation should include individual mitigation programs through the private land in Alt C.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	21675 271st Place SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	1c, 5a, 6f, 14a
1045-015	Summarized and submitted to BPA several scientific journal articles about the harmful effects of EMF, often showing a link between EMF and leukemia. As a nurse, she believes people should not be subjected to the possibility of cancer when there is an alternative that would not affect people.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Maplewood Estates, in Maple Valley		C		19b
1045-015	Since BPA is proposing a 500-kV line, which is potentially more than four times the EMF from two 30-kV lines, it should consider expanding the proposed right-of-way from 150 feet. If so, Alternative C would not meet BPA's goal of finding the least expensive route.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Maplewood Estates, in Maple Valley		C	C	1c, 14a, 19b
1045-015	If BPA takes a huge slough out of her property, that will reduce her privacy, safety, and security. A slough would increase accessibility to the back of her lot, which also increases the chances of horseback riding, and thus liability. People climbing on the towers could be injured, and those liability costs should be included in the cost comparison of the alternatives.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Maplewood Estates, in Maple Valley		C	C	4a, 9d
1045-016	Property owners along the alternatives should make the same claims for parity that the City of Seattle does.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Maplewood Estates, in Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	1c, 6f
1045-016	Promises to be a pain to BPA and not allow the line to go through his back lot. Announced that property owners are starting to get organized in their fight against BPA with petitions, lawyers, letters, and time delays.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Maplewood Estates, in Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	1c, 2a, 15d
1045-017	Would like her home in Maple Valley to be passed down through the generations. She and her family have worked to protect the aquifer as well as the wildlife on their property: deer, elk, red fox, bobcat, pileated woodpeckers, redheaded woodpeckers, bald eagles, red-tailed hawks, bluejays, Steller's jays, bats, blue heron, Canadian geese, trout, owls, coyotes, and frogs.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Maple Valley	1			10c, 11e, 15e

1045-017	Would like her home in Maple Valley to be passed down through the generations. She and her family are happy in the rural community of Maple Valley.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Maple Valley	1			15f
1045-017	Locating another 500-kV line next to the existing line in the watershed would have less destructive consequences than displacing hundreds of families. Please do not take away homes when there are other options; do not destroy the rural lifestyle.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Maple Valley	1		1	1d-iii, 14c, 15b
1045-017	Would not mind paying more for electricity if could preserve the rural community of Maple Valley and let its residents continue their good stewardship.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Maple Valley	1			1j, 15a
1045-018	The Maple Valley Rocky Reach power lines cross the north end of her property. Based on past experience, she appreciates BPA's efforts to be a good neighbor, compensate for taken trees, and notify her when accessing her property.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives at north end of Alt C			C	14b, 20b
1045-018	Urges other community members to allow environmental evaluation of their property, but hamper the process so BPA can indicate the increased cost of the alternative, based on the obstinacy of the community.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives at north end of Alt C			C	1c, 4j, 15e
1045-018	EIS should address that security for power lines in our nation is now a significant issue and may be more difficult to manage in communities with limited roads and access. Putting powerlines through the watershed is less accessible. What are the additional costs of security and how will the risk of security be managed and mitigated?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives at north end of Alt C				1c, 6a, 19a
1045-018	Adding power lines creates a predator freeway, in which predators like bears, cougars, and bobcats travel quickly from habitat to habitat. Introducing power lines to inhabited communities will expose the communities to intrusion by predators as well as expose the predators.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives at north end of Alt C			C	11b, 19a
1045-018	The EIS should address in detail both the environmental and financial cost of demolition of homes. Many homes in the area were built before 1980 and likely contain hazardous materials that will require containment. How will these materials be managed and mitigated if released into the watershed or river areas?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives at north end of Alt C			C	5a, 6a, 14c, 19a
1045-018	EIS should address the cost of forest loss and key environmental areas, such as Tiger Mountain, where water runoff impacts the Issaquah Basin. Forests in impacted areas should be maintained to support flood issues and the Salmon Recovery Plan in Issaquah.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives at north end of Alt C				7b, 8b, 9a, 10c

1045-018	The rural communities in the potentially impacted areas are protected under King County's Environmental Growth Management Plan. The EIS should address each issue in the Growth Management Plan that it will violate.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives at north end of Alt C			C	4a, 15b
1045-018	EIS should include probably increased costs in litigation and condemnation for properties that would be impacted. It may not be possible to find an equivalent home in another rural community that is within a reasonable commute distance to urban areas in King County. What will BPA do to assist in the cost of relocating families and losing good mortgage rates?	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives at north end of Alt C			C	1c, 14c, 15b
1045-018	EIS should address the longer commute time for people being displaced to further rural areas that would provide a comparable lifestyle to the one existing.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives at north end of Alt C				14c
1045-018	For the costs of easements and condemnation, the EIS should include the loss of family hereditary plants, livestock and pets, and old barns, greenhouses, and hen houses that homeowners have invested in. Specific examples are the cost of moving 100-year old rhododendrons, and the loss of livestock and pets that a new property may not be able to support.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives at north end of Alt C			C	9a, 14d, 15a
1045-018	BPA should cover the visual cost of new power lines. People from the entire Puget Sound area recreate in Tiger Mountain State Forest and their enjoyment of the wilderness environment would be reduced by seeing power lines.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives at north end of Alt C			C	13c
1045-019	Moved into house in Maplewood Estates a year ago; would not have bought the home if a power line had been adjacent.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Hobart	1		1	14b
1045-019	The Cedar River Watershed has been decimated by logging for over 100 years. The watershed is not pristine, so Seattle should not claim that it is suddenly untouchable.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Hobart	1		1	4a, 5g
1045-019	The cost of vandalism should be factored into the EIS, since it will be a part of the life cycle cost of the transmission line. The cost could be estimated by looking at the costs of a comparable 11 mile stretch of line running through a residential area.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Hobart	1		1	1c, 4j, 19a
1045-020	He and his wife have lived on their property for 38 years, which has a power line running about 164 feet away from the house. He has done research on EMF and reported some of the findings. He has had two angioplasties and his wife is low vision blind from problems with her retina -- the doctors do not know what caused it. His wife is allergic to EMF. Four people within a half-block of them have died of heart attacks. He would like more information on EMF.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Cedar Road on 169th, up the canyon				19b

1045-021	She lives near Alternative A and there is a power line behind the house. She can hear buzzing from the power line. Under Alternative A, the existing towers will be replaced with big towers that are twice as powerful and would be less than a football field length away from her house. She is upset that she will not receive any kind of compensation for the property value loss -- no one would ever buy a house located that close to power lines.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives along Alternative A		A	A	14b, 17b
1045-021	Seattle doesn't care that the property owners along the alternatives have to look at the power lines and have them in their backyards so Seattle can have more electricity. People moved to rural communities to get away. Does not want to pay more for electricity that she won't even use.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives along Alternative A		A	1, A	1j, 15b
1045-022	The communities along the alternatives are being "jerked around." Suggests bringing people from Seattle to these communities so they can understand what the situation is; doing so may diffuse the situation.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives along Alt C			1, C	2e
1045-023	BPA's plan to go through the watershed involves cutting 84 acres. In the last 100 years, 74,000 of the watershed's 90,000 acres have been logged. There are no marbled murrelets or spotted owls in the watershed, or else they would have been brought forward.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting				1	5g, 11c
1045-023	The property owners along the alternatives should stand together, but do have the same values as Seattle - a desire to protect the environment and have clean drinking water. Instead of saying "Not in my backyard," should say "Not in anybody's backyard if the cost is nearly twice as much and there would be even more environmental destruction."	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					5e, 15e
1045-024	BPA should consider the psychological impact of uprooting people and taking them from their homes. For some people, the land is a part of them. Some of his neighbors may be repressing the fact that a power line may go through their yard.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1	C	1, C	14c, 15f
1045-024	Many potentially impacted people may not understand that the power lines drawn on the map could shift position by 50 feet in either direction. Also, these meetings should be held within view of a 150-foot tower so people could understand the buzzing and consequences.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1	C	1, C	2a, 17b
1045-024	Going through the watershed means possibly moving two houses, but going through Alternative C would mean 30-35 people lose their homes and 150 people would be located within about 500 feet of 150-foot towers.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting		1	C	1, C	14a, 15c

1045-025	Urged other residents to get organized, talk to neighbors and friends so they understand the potential power line routes. His organization has a booth with a petition at Maple Valley Days. Seattle has one voice, so the residents must as well.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting					2a
1045-026	Owns a development near the watershed and two of the eleven tracts will be affected. Is having her property appraised to be sure BPA gives her a fair property value. This development is her retirement investment and property value is her primary concern.	Individual	Black Diamond public meeting	Lives in Alternative 1, at end of watershed		1	1	14b
1046-001	Magnetic fields interfering causing mental illness and Melatonin.	Individual	Covington public meeting					19a
1046-001	Experiences sleeplessness and bipolar issues due to close power lines.	Individual	Covington public meeting					19a
1046-001	Infringement on privacy for BPA to come onto her property with and without consent.	Individual	Covington public meeting					14d
1046-001	Paying taxes on property that she can't even use because it is within BPA's right-of-way.	Individual	Covington public meeting					14f
1046-002	Negative environmental impact with expansion project.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Winterwood Estates (Kent)				15e
1046-002	Troubled by noise, health effects on family, impact on his view, and nature.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Winterwood Estates (Kent)		A		13b, 15e, 17a, 19a
1046-002	Against all alternatives (Watershed/Preferred etc.) because of negative wildlife impacts.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Winterwood Estates (Kent)		A	1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	11a, 15d
1046-002	Limited in capacity to use land. Land is holding more than it can hold.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Winterwood Estates (Kent)		A		4a
1046-002	Seek alternative sources of power to minimize impact on environment and lifestyles.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Winterwood Estates (Kent)		A		1a, 15e
1046-002	Negative impact on property values	Individual	Covington public meeting	Winterwood Estates (Kent)		A		14b
1046-003	Didn't receive any information about powerline. Did not know anything about Bonneville when they moved in 47 years ago.	Individual	Covington public meeting	19202 208th Avenue S.E. , Renton, WA 98058				2a
1046-003	Bought a house with her husband and then Bonneville came and said that part of house is in their right-of-way but they, Bonneville, would let them (Jewell and husband) build on the property anyway.	Individual	Covington public meeting	19202 208th Avenue S.E. , Renton, WA 98058				14d

1046-003	In 1955 Guy Devito shot himself over, in her opinion, what BPA was doing. Her first and second husbands have both died and she's just trying to keep on living.	Individual	Covington public meeting	19202 208th Avenue S.E. , Renton, WA 98058				15f
1046-003	Wildlife in her backyard are dying.	Individual	Covington public meeting	19202 208th Avenue S.E. , Renton, WA 98058				11a
1046-003	After living in same place for 47 years, doesn't want to move.	Individual	Covington public meeting	19202 208th Avenue S.E. , Renton, WA 98058				14c
1046-004	The line parallel to 276 would run over property.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart Area				1d-iii
1046-004	Rural area would be ruined. The daily routines of deer, elk, bear, coyote, and rabbits would be interrupted.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart Area				11a, 15e
1046-004	Has not cleared her own property on purpose.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart Area				4a
1046-004	Loses from property taken by BPA could not be recouped.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart Area				14d
1046-004	It wouldn't be a healthy place to live with a 500 kilovolt line put in and it would devalue her property.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart Area				14b, 19a
1046-004	Clearings would increase vandalism, theft etc. because of the open area that would be created.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart Area				9d
1046-004	The position of Seattle on possible damage to water quality is a red herring.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart Area				8e
1046-004	Seattle has logged in the watershed for years and wasn't stopped until two years ago.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart Area				4c
1046-004	Bonneville has been there, in the watershed, the entire time and hasn't had any problems with water quality, deer, and other animals in the watershed.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart Area				5g, 11a
1046-004	Bonneville hasn't had problems with the water quality in the watershed thus far.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart Area				5e
1046-004	BPA should put the line through the watershed, where one line already exists, as opposed to interrupting more sensitive lands outside of the watershed.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart Area				5g, 15e
1046-004	Use tax money to go through Cedar River Watershed which would be less expensive.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart Area				1c, 5a
1046-005	Power lines are too close to development's child's park and railroad tracks. This causes a lot of health concern.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Lives in alternative A	1		A	4e, 19a

1046-005	The ability to sell home would be impacted.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Lives in alternative A	1		A	14b
1046-006	Two weeks is not enough notice to make the public aware of what the BPA's intentions are.	Individual	Covington public meeting					
1046-006	BPA is a quarter mile from an endless methane gas leak inside the Hobart landfill. Doesn't think high transmission lines and methane gas will mix very well.	Individual	Covington public meeting					4e, 19a
1046-007	Concerned about decrease in property values as well as aesthetics of taller towers.	Individual	Covington public meeting	185th Avenue S.E near Shadow Lake, alternative A			A	13b, 14b
1046-007	Tall towers will create more noise and devalue property even more. This makes it harder to sell home.	Individual	Covington public meeting	185th Avenue S.E near Shadow Lake, alternative A				14b, 17b
1046-007	Confident that BPA will do everything to reduce environmental impact on watershed. It is also the least costly alternative.	Individual	Covington public meeting	185th Avenue S.E near Shadow Lake, alternative A				1c, 5a, 15e
1046-007	Taller towers will create electromagnetic fields.	Individual	Covington public meeting	185th Avenue S.E near Shadow Lake, alternative A				19b
1046-008	4B appears to be the least costly and the least impactful on human life.	Individual	Covington public meeting				4B	1c, 15f, 19a
1046-008	Higher towers will affect property values.	Individual	Covington public meeting					14b
1046-009	Supports bringing power parallel to the existing line through the watershed with Alternative A1.	Individual	Covington public meeting				A1	1d-iii
1046-009	Alternative A1 and C have similar environmental consequences. However, coal mining hazards (Holder, Carey and Taylor Creek, Issaquah Creek Basin,) Erosion and creek flooding, rural character, relocation of existing utilities, visual quality from residential, business and recreational areas, and significant short-term construction are all significant impacts that should be considered.	Individual	Covington public meeting				A1, C	4e, 7b, 8a, 13a, 17a

1046-009	Alternative C will likely require Federal, State and County approvals for construction of the maintenance roads and towers within this proposed alignment, including, but not limited to, the following: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 Nationwide Permits; National Marine Fisheries Services and U.S. Fish and Wildlife ESA Review and Biological Opinion; Washington Department Fish and Wildlife Hydraulic Project Approval; Washington Department of Ecology Section 401 Water Quality Certification; Shoreline Substantial Development Permit; Coastal Zone Management Act Consistency Determination; NPDES Individual Permit for Stormwater Discharges; King County or Washington State Department of Natural Resources Forest Practices Approval; King County Clearing Permit, Sensitive Area Review for Impact to Steep Slopes, Erosion and Coal Mine Hazards, Wetlands and Streams; Public Agency and Utility Exception; and Haul Road Agreement.	Individual	Covington public meeting				C	4i
1046-009	Community members in the Hobart, Maple Valley, and South Issaquah have knowledge of environmental and entitlement processes. If necessary, they will appeal and/or delay BPA if Alternative C is selected.	Individual	Covington public meeting				C	2a
1046-010	Impacts greater than Alternative C include impacts to farmlands and rural area.	Individual/enviro	Covington public meeting	Hobart Resident			C	4d
1046-010	There are coal mine hazards...McDaniel mine is on the south part of alternative C.-- air shafts are on that alignment.	Individual/enviro	Covington public meeting	Hobart Resident			C	4e
1046-010	The draft supplemental EIS should address direct, indirect, and cumulative flooding of the Issaquah Creek Basin.	Individual/enviro	Covington public meeting	Hobart Resident				2e, 7e
1046-010	The draft supplemental EIS should address the land use impacts, rural character impact, and the relocations of utilities such as telephone and fiber utilities. Impacts to business property should be considered in the supplemental EIS.	Individual/enviro	Covington public meeting	Hobart Resident				2e, 4a, 14e, 15a, 16a
1046-010	Residential impacts should be considered in the supplemental EIS. The draft EIS wrote off the impacts associated with property values b/c studies in Portland and King County did not reflect one's ability to sell a home after lines were put in one's front yard.	Individual/enviro	Covington public meeting	Hobart Resident				2e, 14b
1046-010	Physical impact analysis from loss of taxable property needs to be considered in the draft supplemental EIS. This includes farm land and business land.	Individual/enviro	Covington public meeting	Hobart Resident				4a, 14f
1046-010	Include noise during construction of the new towers in the draft supplemental EIS.	Individual/enviro	Covington public meeting	Hobart Resident				2e, 17c

1046-010	Include maintenance noise of the lines in the draft supplemental EIS as well as visual impact effects that can be obtained through before and after photoimaging.	Individual/enviro	Covington public meeting	Hobart Resident				2e, 13a, 17b
1046-011	Feels like an endangered species and wants to address health concerns.	Individual	Covington public meeting					19a
1046-011	Towers are quite ugly.	Individual	Covington public meeting					13a
1046-012	Appears that the least impactful to human life would be alternative 4B.	Individual	Covington public meeting				4B	14a, 15a, 16a
1046-012	Quickest built appears to be 4B. He does some right-of-way appraising and knows about condemnation, eminent domain and all that stuff.	Individual	Covington public meeting				4B	4j, 14b
1046-013	Furious by expansion project and the fact that he was notified through mail. The lines run right through his backyard and have a significant impact on the way he lives.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Winterwood				1a, 15d
1046-013	Concerned about health hazards. While walking in his backyard he felt his son's wrist vibrating. Who knows all the health risks from the power lines?	Individual	Covington public meeting	Winterwood				19a
1046-013	We have more power lines in the region than we can handle.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Winterwood				3a
1046-013	Property that he can't use is being taxed. He's bound by all these rules and regulations and he can't enjoy the land .	Individual	Covington public meeting	Winterwood				14f
1046-013	Has to pay excessive taxes because the values have all gone up.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Winterwood				14f
1046-014	Assured that the quality of water was unsurpassed in the state. This water comes from the Cedar River aquifer.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Maple Valley Hobart				5e
1046-014	BPA's proposals run between the Hobart landfill and the Cedar River crossing, Walsh Creek (the only main creek to Walsh Lake) and other tributaries to the Cedar river. This also includes deforestation of over a hundred acres of wetlands, impacts on wildlife habitat and vegetation inside and outside the watershed.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Maple Valley Hobart				1a, 5h, 9a, 11b, 12b
1046-014	Aware that lot bordered a 300 foot boundary that ran adjacent to the Hobart landfill. Assured these lands would not be touched or developed for at least 30 years under King County guidelines.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Maple Valley Hobart				4i
1046-014	Jeopardizing efforts to rebuild populations of chinook salmon, coho salmon, and bull trout.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Maple Valley Hobart				11c
1046-014	You'll have to tear apart the lands directly beneath the Hobart Landfill. This should keep BPA from ever considering Alternative C at all.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Maple Valley Hobart			C	4a

1046-014	When he asked Lou Driessen about clean-up procedures, Lou's response was that additional insurance would be purchased. Exxon Valdez was also required to purchase extra insurance and ask the residents of Prince William Sound if they were adequately compensated. Purchasing insurance is not a solution to unleashing deadly toxic waste into the Cedar River. Only insurance is to drop Alternative C now.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Maple Valley Hobart			C	5a, 14b
1046-014	If we aren't allowed to fish in the Cedar now, soon we may not be able to swim in it (alternative C enacted.) Because of laws of gravity, the same is true for all of Lake Washington, Lake Union, Ballard Locks and Elliott Bay.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Maple Valley Hobart			C	5c
1046-015	Lines from Alt. A are 600 feet from her house as well as her neighbor's house.	Individual	Covington public meeting				A	
1046-015	20 yrs. Ago she and 5 other people were in a study for Dr. Bryson of The University of Washington dealing with EMF. It, EMF, affected her blood pressure and made other people pass out.	Individual	Covington public meeting					19b
1046-015	What can individual landowners do to help you take the land from Seattle who benefits from everything? Can we sign petitions?	Individual	Covington public meeting					15b
1046-015	How long will we be without electricity?	Individual	Covington public meeting					20b
1046-015	We are on wells	Individual	Covington public meeting					8a
1046-015	Seattle doesn't do anything. We keep up our roads and now we are going to have to give up our land and our property b/c BPA doesn't want to take Seattle's property.	Individual	Covington public meeting					14d, 15b
1046-015	Alternative A looks pretty long and double the cost to tear down and rebuild.	Individual	Covington public meeting				A	1c
1046-015	Will we have to move out and do you pay for our moving and living in a motel during construction?--directed towards Mr. Dreissen. Dreissen reply: "You wouldn't even know when the line's taken out to construct the new line. You wouldn't even know it."	Individual	Covington public meeting					1c
1046-016	In researching the SPU website, found evidence that roads within the watershed are causing sedimentation and erosion problems into the streams and harming water quality. "Roads are a major contributor to accelerated rates of sedimentation and erosion in the streams and, thus, can adversely affect water quality."-- Internet website	Individual	Covington public meeting	Along Alternative C				5e, 7b

1046-016	Road improvements, by SPU, within the watershed will "increase the functionality of the watershed road system, while maintaining more natural flow patterns and providing fish habitat. Existing roads will be improved for long-term control of sediment loading to streams and to allow for the expansion of fish habitat." --internet website.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Along Alternative C				7b, 10b
1046-016	According to the SPU website, there will be stream crossing "upgrades" and clean-up and construction will mimic natural hillside flow patterns.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Along Alternative C				7b
1046-016	It looks like SPU is admitting that a lot of sediment problems is due to their own logging efforts and BPA has an excellent law case.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Along Alternative C				5g
1046-017	The city of Seattle had an opportunity to address their filtration needs, but decided to omit filtration in their plans. The current plans can add filtration into the design.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart				3a, 5d
1046-018	There is no good place for this line and you should look at an alternative to building the line.	Business	Covington public meeting					1a
1046-018	There's been a lot of management in the watershed...logging, road building, etc.	Business	Covington public meeting					4g, 5g
1046-018	People should see that the watershed has not always been the responsibility of Seattle. Weyerhaeuser, Forest Service, etc. have all been in there.	Business	Covington public meeting					5a
1046-018	With Seattle's control, the watershed, they have been able to end the commercial timber sale program there, accelerate the decommission of roads, build fish passages so chinook and salmon can enjoy the habitat.	Business	Covington public meeting					5g, 11b, 11c
1046-018	If we let BPA come in and make another slough through the watershed, what is going to happen in 10-15 years when the system reaches its limit?	Business	Covington public meeting					20b
1046-019	Wants BPA to consider a no-wires alternative in their supplemental EIS. The no-wires alternative was dropped due to a time constraint with BPA's first environmental impact statement.	Business/Biodive	Covington public meeting					1a
1046-019	Biodiversity Northwest wants BPA and the whole community to emphasize conservation during the week when the peak load is indicated. This peak load week is in effects driving the decisions for the new line.	Business/Biodive	Covington public meeting					1g, 3a
1046-019	Biodiversity Northwest works hard to work with rural communities, congressmen, and city government and public officials to put forth a conservation approach that preserves community values.	Business/Biodive	Covington public meeting					1g, 15a

1046-019	During the I-90 land exchange, a parcel of land right above the town of Randall was slated to be logged and traded to a timber company. And we worked with the community and with the Congress, Congressman Brian Baird down there, to rewrite an existing law in order to save the community's watershed and to keep the hillside above the town of Randall. And we have a very good track record of doing that and working both with agencies and with local communities.	Business/Biodive	Covington public meeting					20b
1046-020	Some people have taken the time to call and talk with Biodiversity Northwest, Trisha Quam, Connie Marsh, Steve McPherson (all Maple Valley residents), or visited on the website (biodiversitynw.org or michael@biodiversitynw.org) and he thanks them.	Business/Biodive	Covington public meeting					20b
1046-020	Our position is not "in the watershed but in Alternative C."	Business/Biodive	Covington public meeting				1, C	
1046-020	A report exploring non-wire alternatives put out by Tom Foley, a BPA consultant, threw out the watershed as a possible site for non-wire alternatives b/c of time constraints. Now, there is time to consider this alternative.	Business/Biodive	Covington public meeting					1a
1046-020	Wants Bonneville to revisit the EIS and explore the possibility of using non-wire alternatives that would keep the line out of Alternatives A, C, 1, B, and D.	Business/Biodive	Covington public meeting				1, A, B, C, D	1a
1046-020	Tom Foley said Canada can take the power in the U.S. and you don't have to send it to Blaine. This means that we don't need to build all these power lines to send the power to Canada.	Business/Biodive	Covington public meeting					3c
1046-020	He's calling the Canadian consulate in Seattle, talking with Patrick Keagens, thanking Jennifer Dunn's aide for coming and requesting that they all talk to Canada about the treaty entitlement.	Business/Biodive	Covington public meeting					3c
1046-020	In a report published by BPA and Tom Foley, a BPA consultant, it is said that Canada can take the power within the U.S. rather than send it to the border. In that case, a power line may not need to go through a backyard or the watershed.	Business/Biodive	Covington public meeting					1a, 3c
1046-021	According to the chart, the power surge is due to the treaty entitlement which delivers power to British Columbia or Canada.	Individual	Covington public meeting					3c
1046-021	British Columbia is a power exporter, which means that it doesn't need the power. BC may sell the power back to the U.S.	Individual	Covington public meeting					3c
1046-021	Why is there this unnecessary exchange of power when there could be a much simpler exchange of cash?	Individual	Covington public meeting					3c
1046-021	According to Tom Foley in his report, "the Canadians may decide to take delivery of the power within the U.S., thereby reducing the need to deliver power to the Canadian Border."	Individual	Covington public meeting					3c

1046-021	It seems like the power that needs to be delivered to Canada may not really need to be delivered after all and it would still be within the agreements of the treaty.	Individual	Covington public meeting					3c
1046-021	In the Supplemental EIS: 1. dialogue with Canada regarding the agreement to see if Canada would accept power delivery or cash in the U.S. and not at the border. 2. Cost benefit analysis of the money spent on power line in the U.S. vs. at the border (thus, not building through homes and watershed.)	Individual	Covington public meeting					1c, 3c, 5b, 14b
1046-021	Public has a right to explore another arrangement and Canada might obviate or put off the need for a line.	Individual	Covington public meeting					1a, 3c
1046-021	Explore the no-wire alternative.	Individual	Covington public meeting					1a
1046-021	Requests that community members push for non-wire alternative as well as more dialogue with Canada.	Individual	Covington public meeting					1a, 3c
1046-022	Can't believe that anyone would suggest that we don't need these lines. The population is growing and can they really afford to wait another five years before they put in the new lines?	Individual	Covington public meeting			C		3a
1046-022	Works at Boeing and was happy to use Kaiser aluminum and takes no pride that Kaiser is going off-line. It's also unfortunate that Boeing is reducing the size of their company b/c many people got their paychecks from them and paid for their power with those paychecks.	Individual	Covington public meeting			C		20b
1046-022	Their goal is to reduce unemployment by 50%, so he would take no pride in cutting off the power to what keeps workers employed and putting food on the table.	Individual	Covington public meeting			C		20b
1046-022	Do we want to wait until more people have their homes under these lines or potential lines and have more people affected and increase costs even more?	Individual	Covington public meeting			C		1c, 14b, 15f
1046-022	Why wait to build? It's like the roads in the area; by waiting to build the roads, congestion is so bad it is miserable.	Individual	Covington public meeting			C		3a
1046-022	Where C crosses A there is a coal mine and is also the site for some dumping by a chemical company and it's now a superfund site.	Individual	Covington public meeting			C	A, C	4e
1046-022	The power line will have to go on top a bridge to the Cedar River.	Individual	Covington public meeting			C	C	
1046-022	Kent gets it's water out of a well that is a quarter mile away from the superfund site. So, put you can put your tower there and affect the water of millions of people or however many people live in Kent.	Individual	Covington public meeting			C	C	8e
1046-023	With respect to Mr. Lee's comment that Kent gets its water from a hole in the ground, the City of Kent, according to the Highline Water District Water Quality Report, comes from the Cedar River Watershed.	Individual	Covington public meeting					5d

1046-023	Much of the land around here is supplied by Cedar River Water.	Individual	Covington public meeting					5b
1046-023	Been here before when they went through this years ago.	Individual	Covington public meeting					4i
1046-023	Maple Valley residents upset about BPA coming through homes and environmentalists (and people who care about watershed,) got upset about BPA going through watershed. Now, it appears that these alternatives are driving a wedge between homeowners and environmentalists.	Individual	Covington public meeting					1a, 14b, 15b
1046-023	This is not about spotted owls and backyards...this is about poor management of electrical power.	Individual	Covington public meeting					20a
1046-023	This is a prime example of divide and conquer between homeowners and environmentalists by BPA.	Individual	Covington public meeting					15b
1046-023	If this line goes through the watershed, it will wipe out a huge slough.	Individual	Covington public meeting				1	5b
1046-023	The land where the power line will go is disturbed soil.	Individual	Covington public meeting				1	7a
1046-023	Invasive species (Scotch broom, Japanese knotweed) thrive in Watershed soils.	Individual	Covington public meeting					5h, 9b
1046-023	A line through the watershed is a "no-go." Alternative C is a no-go. Let's think about conservation.	Individual	Covington public meeting				1, C	1g, 5a
1046-024	As an associate broker with Windermere Real Estate, states that properties adjacent to transmission lines are all much harder to sell and will routinely sell for significantly less than an equivalent home not adjacent to transmission lines.	Individual	Covington public meeting	City of Covington				4b, 14b
1046-024	Customers have a fear of purchasing homes near transmission lines due to health concerns.	Individual	Covington public meeting	City of Covington				4b, 14b, 19a
1046-024	Buyers can make qualified decisions regarding purchasing homes when the lines are already in place. It is entirely different to have a home not near a line and then have new lines installed in the magnitude that BPA is suggesting.	Individual	Covington public meeting	City of Covington				1d-iii, 4b, 14b
1046-024	Excessive noise emanates from the 440 kV and larger lines.	Individual	Covington public meeting	City of Covington				17b
1046-024	Environmental concerns raised about the installation of lines in the Watershed apply to our area, A and C, as we have streams and wetland areas that would be disturbed.	Individual	Covington public meeting	City of Covington			A, C	8d, 12a, 15e
1046-024	Noise pollution and EMF health risks are higher concern than impact on uninhabited area. People must come first.	Individual	Covington public meeting	City of Covington			1, C	15c, 17a, 19b
1046-024	Unacceptable to have the proposed transmission lines installed in Covington as per Alternative A or Alternative C.	Individual	Covington public meeting	City of Covington			A, C	

1046-025	Doesn't know who to trust...did this same thing two years ago.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Lives under Alt. C				4i
1046-025	Wanted to pass down a rural legacy to children.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Lives under Alt. C				15a
1046-025	City of Seattle wants rural residents to move to Seattle and take a bus to enjoy the country.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Lives under Alt. C				15b
1046-025	In supplemental EIS: Do plans include privatization of the lines, and if so, is there a date to turn those lines over?	Individual	Covington public meeting	Lives under Alt. C				20a
1046-025	Impact of rural character, the short/long term effect on land value and the Hobart identity and community?	Individual	Covington public meeting	Lives under Alt. C			C	14b, 15a
1046-025	Wants detailed schematic, a visual of how power line will dissect the Hobart and Issaquah community and homes. Wants this a part of the draft EIS.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Lives under Alt. C				1d-iii, 13a
1046-025	Specific values or dollar values that are used to determine human impact to condemning private landowners and condemning property as well as emotional impact.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Lives under Alt. C				14b, 15f
1046-026	Route C will require the destruction of 30-35 homes. There will be asphalt shingles, painted surfaces, landfill materials, greenhouses, sheds, and barns that need to be considered as part of the EIS.	Individual	Covington public meeting				C	4a, 14b
1046-026	People are not hooked up to public utilities. He, as well as his neighbors, have own septic systems and these would have to be removed. This needs to be included in the EIS.	Individual	Covington public meeting				C	4b
1046-026	Watershed is already protected due to the threat of terrorist attacks.	Individual	Covington public meeting					5a, 20a
1046-026	EIS needs to look at how the power lines will be secured if they run across 150' of the property owners' lands.	Individual	Covington public meeting				C	9d
1046-026	Removal of 30-35 homes will ruin the close-knit and dependable aspect that they have created. (discuss this in EIS)	Individual	Covington public meeting				C	14b, 15a
1046-026	Look at psychological impact of transplanting older people to another place.	Individual	Covington public meeting				C	15f
1046-026	Driving along alternative route, determined that a good way to ruin a neighborhood was to run a line through it.	Individual	Covington public meeting				C	15d
1046-026	City of Seattle is complaining about Alternative 1 and having to build a filtration plant because of the disturbance to the ground in this alternative. This is 80 acres out of 91,000.	Individual	Covington public meeting				1	5d, 7a, 15b
1046-026	Doesn't believe that with best management practices in construction of Alternative 1 that there will be a significant effect on the City of Seattle water.	Individual	Covington public meeting				1	5d

1046-027	Muckleshoots consider the Cedar River Municipal Watershed the crown jewel of their cultural resources and this is "baloney."	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart				5g, 16b
1046-027	At the March 26th meeting a lot of people from Biodiversity Northwest supported tearing down the line that goes through the Watershed as well as ignoring the Canadian Treaty. Now it appears that Biodiversity is mellowing. He wants Biodiversity to go against C.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart			C	1a, 2a, 3c
1046-027	Endangering fist with a power line vs. little kid in backyard with powerline	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	15c
1046-027	Wants to work with Biodiversity b/c has some of the same values.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart				20b
1046-027	184 acres out of 91,000 is not going to ruin the water quality.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart			1	5e
1046-027	Don't put the line in Alternative C.	Individual	Covington public meeting	Hobart		C	C	
1046-028	Chairman of the Greater Maple Valley Area Council representing some 14,500 people in the rural part of this part of King County. 90% of the demand for power is driven by the urban area.	Interest Group	Covington public meeting					3b, 15b
1046-028	Seattle has been logging in the watershed for 90 years and over 74,000 acres have been logged as well as 600 miles of roads built.	Interest Group	Covington public meeting					4c, 5g
1046-028	Wishes Biodiversity would build trees now to decrease the water runoff.	Interest Group	Covington public meeting					7b
1046-028	All routes outside of watershed are longer and all cross either the Cedar or Snoqualmie, numerous salmon-bearing streams, wooded and wetlands.	Interest Group	Covington public meeting				2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	8d, 10a, 11a, 12a
1046-028	The runoff from construction of all the other alternatives is greater than the preferred route.	Interest Group	Covington public meeting				1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	7b
1046-028	The big difference is people.	Interest Group	Covington public meeting					15c
1046-028	Suggests that BPA takes a deeper look at the environmental impact from all Alternatives in the EIS, not just the Preferred one.	Interest Group	Covington public meeting				1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	15e

1046-028	Must come up with mitigation for all alternatives and their environmental impacts (include the septic and private well analysis) to include in the EIS.	Interest Group	Covington public meeting					2e, 6a
1046-029	Bought house 12 years ago and looked at the line from house but was obscured by the trees.	Interest Group	Covington public meeting	Lake Winterwood		A	A	13b
1046-029	Currently enjoys a wonderful community with children, but Alternative A threatens plans for future thoughts of raising children there.	Interest Group	Covington public meeting	Lake Winterwood		A	A	15a
1047-001	Don't understand why BPA and Seattle can't reach agreement since there is already a precedent to go through the watershed.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				1, C	5g
1047-001	Alt C will pit Seattle forces against us.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				C	15b
1047-001	What can the individual do other than protest verbally? Many people will suffer personally.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				C	2a, 15f
1047-002	This is our retirement home - it is everything to us.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting					15f
1047-003	Has towers in her backyard - concerned about health effects from larger towers and decreased property values. Going through watershed will not disrupt homes and properties.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting			A	1, A	1d-iii, 14b, 15c, 19b
1047-004	Dismayed that BPA's decision to go through the watershed has been reopened.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Ravensdale			C	2e
1047-004	Concerned about property devaluation and expense of buying private property for route.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Ravensdale			C	1c, 14b
1047-004	Concerned with security after 9/11 - watershed is a very secure area. Environmental concerns are secondary to security. Wants BPA to stick to previous decision and not be bullied by environmentalists. Refer to Cheryl Pflug's op-ed piece for the Seattle Times.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Ravensdale			1, C	5g, 15b, 19a
1047-005	Do the preferred route, not Alt A.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting		1	A	1, A	
1047-006	Land values in Hobart would be impacted by loss of right of way land and visual impact. It is one of the last vestiges of unindustrialized, undeveloped farmland. Going through watershed impacts the fewest people, would not impact water quality, fish, wildlife.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Hobart	1		1	5g, 10b, 11b, 13b, 14b, 15c
1047-007	Urging BPA to keep the line in the watershed as originally proposed. Not adversarial - thinks she has the same goals as BPA. Likes the rural lifestyle, one of the few places left in commuting distance to Seattle where people can raise horses, chickens, bees. Any option other than the watershed disrupts homes.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Tiger Mountain	1		1, C	2e, 15c

1047-007	Watershed environment not as fragile as environment she lives in - watershed has been logged, whereas she has old-growth, endangered species. Would like King County to enforce GMA and keep power lines on public land.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Tiger Mountain	1		1, C	4a, 5g, 9b, 11c, 15e
1047-007	Would like BPA to look at mitigating impacts to crossing numerous creeks.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Tiger Mountain	1		1, C	6a, 8d
1047-007	Alt C would create massive amounts of runoff, impacting wells and groundwater. Also, Alt C crosses state forest and hiking land.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Tiger Mountain			1, C	4f, 7b, 8c
1047-008	Would rather have any Alt other than Alt A.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting		1	A	1, A	
1047-009	Concerned that tower could be put in her yard without compensation. New line will be twice as noisy, yard will be torn up during construction, and property values will decline. Doesn't make sense for BPA to disrupt people when they can use the watershed and not impact anyone.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				1, A	14b, 15c, 17b
1047-009	A lot of the people in the neighborhood don't know about the alternatives. She wants to know ASAP what happens and when.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				1, A	
1047-010	Doesn't want BPA coming through Maple Valley - use existing right of ways and collocate new lines to lessen environmental impacts. There is enough technology to place lines in non-residential areas.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting		1		1	1d-iii, 4j, 15c
1047-011	Existing lines have had minimal impact on environment - same thing with putting new lines next to them. Less impact than going through areas where people live. EIS will need more proof of impact than just people saying Alt 1 will have impact. Proceed with preferred alt when EIS is done - it will cost less than any other alt.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting		1		1	1c, 5g, 15c
1047-012	Wants BPA to include in SEIS research requested by Jennifer Thomas and Carol Beck. Any option outside the watershed will have more serious effect on environment. Biodiversity NW is a pawn of the City of Seattle and the ultimate decision will be made by forces way beyond BPA.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting		1		1	1a, 2a, 15e
1047-013	Preferred alt affects fewer people. Everybody shouldn't be at the whims of the Seattle Water Dept.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting		1		1	15c
1047-014	Would like BPA to look at using northern route along US Hwy 2 as alternative to bringing power into lower sound region and having to pump it backup north.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting					1a
1047-015	Stick with original plan and go through the watershed.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Lake Youngs	1		1	2e
1047-016	Stick with original plan.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Lake Youngs	1		1	2e

1047-017	BPA tried to come through once before and was stopped. Go through watershed - Seattle should think about someone other than themselves.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Hobart	1		1	2e, 15b
1047-017	Going through watershed will not contaminate water. Other alternatives would contaminate well water because of digging around water table.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Hobart	1		1	5d, 8e
1047-017	Don't take homes from people who've lived there for generations just to put in a power line.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Hobart	1		1	14c, 15f
1047-018	Wondering if EIS found any positive impact on wildlife mobility and safety from clearing in the watershed.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Hobart			1	5h, 11b
1047-018	Would like BPA to look at long-term impact on property values - is there a short amount of pain for watershed vs. long amount of pain for property owners?	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Hobart			1	14b, 15c
1047-018	City of Seattle should consider fire hazard impact on water quality in the watershed.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Hobart			1	20b
1047-018	Would like BPA to calculate cost of putting in/taking roads if property owners refuse to give access.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Hobart			C	1c, 4j
1047-018	Impact of power lines on wells outside of right of way?	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Hobart			C	4j, 8c
1047-019	BPA can exercise eminent domain and take land without having to prove that there is no alternative, as required by the 5th Amendment, because the courts tend not to challenge government agencies.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting			C	C	14b
1047-019	Oldest cabin in the area may be in jeopardy - would like BPA to evaluate this homestead. Also have original farms, cultural treasures in danger from Alt C.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting			C	C	15f, 16c
1047-020	Commenting on formulas used to determine compensation for property value loss, tree losses, wells and septic systems affected. Concerned that water and/or sewer systems cannot be replaced due to King County regulations.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting					4b, 8a, 14b
1047-021	Would like SDEIS to consider double circuiting on Alt 1 rather than building new line through the watershed.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				1, A	1d-ii
1047-021	Would like to see research on demand site local management devices and other alternatives and statistics on how much power would have to be saved to obviate need for KELT project.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting					1g
1047-021	If new line goes through watershed, when in the future will it reach capacity? Will alternatives be brought up again at that time? Would like to know how much power new line can transmit before it is overloaded, compared to increasing demand. Would also like to see discussion of whether current infrastructure allows for increasing demand.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				1, A, B, C, D	1a, 3a

1047-022	Would like SEIS to research potential of using G-1 as non-wire alternative, now that project runs concurrently with G-8 which is also non-wire.	Interest Group	Maple Valley public meeting					1d-iii
1047-022	Time and money spent on researching Alt C could be spent on analyzing non-wire alternatives and rebuilding BPA's conservation dept., which took a hit when power was deregulated.	Interest Group	Maple Valley public meeting				C	1g, 2e
1047-022	Wants BPA to analyze cumulative potential for non-wire alternatives (local generation, energy conservation, local curtailment, smart metering). Original DEIS analyzed alternatives individually, but not cumulatively.	Interest Group	Maple Valley public meeting					1a, 2e
1047-022	Want BPA to research possibility of transferring Canadian treaty power within the US to buy BPA time to pursue non-wire alternatives.	Interest Group	Maple Valley public meeting					1a, 3c
1047-022	Public meeting is not the most conducive way to have dialogue. Would like to keep talking informally with rural residents.	Interest Group	Maple Valley public meeting					15b
1047-023	Have tall second-growth trees in a protection easement along Alt C. Have codes and covenants that the line would violate.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Maplewood Estates			C	9b, 14d
1047-023	BPA is a good neighbor, but unfriendly activities take place in right of ways, such as garbage dumping, shooting rifles, motorcycle riding. Property values will be reduced if line goes through.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Maplewood Estates			C	4j, 14b
1047-024	Concerned about impacts and conflicts with Growth Mgmt Plan supporting preservation of rural communities.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Tiger Mountain			C	4g, 15b
1047-024	Has asked BPA to consider damage to Issaquah Basin from runoff and flooding.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Tiger Mountain			C	7e, 8d
1047-024	Would like to see BPA make the case for the watershed more substantial. Would like to see SEIS address impacts of all alternatives on local energy needs and long term future, be sure that solution is long term. Everyone in the room has something to lose - wants everyone to know what they're sacrificing for.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Tiger Mountain			1	1a, 3b, 15f
1047-024	Would like SEIS to explain why power has to be sent to Canada in 2003.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Tiger Mountain			C	3c
1047-025	Purpose of public meeting is to request what you want to see in the final EIS. Urges audience members to tell BPA about sensitive areas, cultural resources, whatever they want to see addressed in EIS.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting					1a
1047-025	Wants BPA to give alternatives outside the watershed equal weight to those inside the watershed. Doesn't want Seattle's political clout or tribes' sovereignty to give them special status.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				1	1a, 15b
1047-025	Have 100-year old trees and spotted owls.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				C	9b, 11c
1047-026	700' clearing would not leave many trees left on his property.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				C	4j, 9a

1047-026	Scared about Alt C because of cost estimates in EIS about Alt A, B & D. Unless Seattle's request for mitigation goes to \$70 or \$80 million, Alt 1 is \$11 million. EIS also says BPA can't tear down a line because they have no place to put the power when the line is down, so some of the alternatives don't work.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				1, A, B, C, D	1c, 6f
1047-027	Residents are being used as pawns in a power struggle. Residents of Seattle have decided line should go through private property, though watershed has 600 miles of roads, has been logged for 9 years and BPA has had easement for 30 years.	Interest Group	Maple Valley public meeting	Greater Maple Valley Area Council	1		1	5g, 15b
1047-027	Seattle has ignored fact that poor people are impacted by routes outside the watershed. Wants BPA to do analysis and cost estimate of all alternatives, including mitigation of wetlands, habitat, forests, and people. Answer will be to put line through the watershed.	Interest Group	Maple Valley public meeting	Greater Maple Valley Area Council	1		1	1c, 6f, 15c
1047-028	Concerned that towers built on toxic waste site near Kent-Kangley Road could contaminate groundwater. Wants EIS to confirm that groundwater will not be contaminated.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting			C	C	8c
1047-029	Alt C would diminish quality of views and impact historical quality of house.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				C	13b, 16c
1047-029	Wants assurance that plan is in place so community will not have to fight again in 3, 5, 6 years.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				C	2e
1047-029	Would like to see BPA review all power requirements in the region and look at buying up potential right of ways today so landowners know in advance of potential impacts.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				C	4j, 14b
1047-030	Being held hostage by decision and can't move out of house. Would like BPA to stick to original decision, or at least eliminate Alt C.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Hobart			1, C	2e, 14b, 15f
1047-031	Supports BPA in original decision to go through watershed. Seattle should be conserving first; leave her property alone.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Hobart	1		1, C	2e, 15b
1047-031	Concerned about coal mine, wetlands, landslide area and buffer zone affected by Alt C.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Hobart			C	4e, 7d, 12a
1047-032	Alt C means no peace and quiet anywhere near the community. Views will be obstructed by towers, owls may not survive, blue heron nests are in danger and there is landslide risk.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				C	7d, 13b, 17b
1047-032	Property values have already been diminished by potential of Alt C - would like BPA to throw out Alt C and compensate now for devaluation.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				C	14b
1047-032	Haven't heard any discussion of Cedar Sammamish Watershed.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				C	8d

1047-033	Can't have trees on property to shade house because of power lines. Property appraisal showed \$20,000 loss because of potential of more power lines.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				C1	4b, 9a, 14b
1047-034	Has barn owls, weasels, rabbits, raccoons, deer, elk, second growth timber - everything they have in the watershed.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting		1		1, A	5h, 11b, 15e
1047-034	Lines on property have started humming. Currently has 300 kv line - if upgraded to 500 kv line, house will have to be taken to accommodate towers.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting		1		1, A	14c, 17b
1047-034	What will happen in ten years when Seattle needs more power? Will rural residents be sacrificed again?	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting		1		1, A	15b
1047-035	Would like BPA to request turbidity results from several samples from Landsburg and Lake Youngs in the Cedar River watershed.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Hobart			1, C	5d
1047-035	Seattle is third owner of water main going along 33 miles of BPA right of way - hopes BPA will request payment for use of land the way Seattle is requesting from BPA.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Hobart			1, C	20b
1047-036	Visually impacted by Alt C and doesn't think she'll be compensated.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Tiger Mountain Ranchettes		C	C	13b, 14b
1047-036	Construction of Alt C will harm creeks, wildlife.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Tiger Mountain Ranchettes		C	C	8d, 11b
1047-036	Supports Alt A, much more direct route - share Seattle line going from Covington Substation straight up to Maple Valley Substation.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Tiger Mountain Ranchettes	A	C	1, A, C	
1047-037	Was relieved two years ago that decision was to go through the watershed, would not impact her views of the Cascades.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Ravensdale			1	2e, 13b, 15f
1047-037	Has streams on her property which impact salmon. Have elk, deer, other wildlife to protect.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Ravensdale				8d, 10c, 11a
1047-037	Seattle would sell out watershed just for high-density housing. Supports effort to get it right in the EIS to go through watershed.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Ravensdale	1		1	15b
1047-038	Costs going into fighting alternatives are high. Lots of money being spent to fight over 90 acres in the watershed.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				1, C	2a, 15f
1047-038	Would like EIS not to include mitigation cost analysis unless analysis is for all alternatives. Concerned that Seattle mitigation request will drive up cost of Alt 1.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting				1, C	6f, 15b
1047-039	Wants line through watershed. Environmentalists should not be pawns for city of Seattle. Wants BPA to consider research requested by Jennifer Thomas and Carol Beck, and study bears in her back yard and how right of ways affect them traveling onto roads.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting	Tiger Mountain Ranchettes	1		1	4j, 11a, 15b
1047-040	Have suffered effects from EMF - melatonin decrease, low vision, alteration of immune system, heart problems.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting					15f, 19b
1047-041	Have had Congressional staff and local representatives at meetings - thanking BPA staff for being professional and courteous.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting					

1047-042	Illness comes not from power lines, but from the industry that follows the power lines. Concerned about rezoning for and impact on environment of industrial growth.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting					4e, 15e, 19a
1047-042	Visible power lines will devalue farm property.	Individual	Maple Valley public meeting					13b, 14b
1048	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1049	same as log number 0874	Individual	Letter					
1050	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1051	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1052	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1054	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1055	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1056	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1057	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1058	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1059	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1060	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1061	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1062	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1063	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1064	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1065	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1066	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1067	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1068	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1069	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1070	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1071	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1072	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1073	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1074	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1075	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1076	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1077	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1078	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1079	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1080	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1081	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1082	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1083	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					

1084	public utilities on public land.	Individual	Letter					4a
1085	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1086	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1087	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1088	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter			1		
1089	Find a more responsible way to run the power.	Individual	Letter					1a
1090	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1091	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1092	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1093	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1094	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1095	same as log number 0862 Doesn't want "nice community" such as Hobart covered by power lines.	Individual	Letter					15a
1096	Powerlines should follow existing route to minimize impact on the environment and property owners.	Individual	Letter					1d-iii, 4j, 14a, 15e
1097	The lines should be put next to existing lines.	Individual	Letter					1d-iii, 4j
1098	"No powerlines in Maple Valley"	Individual	Letter				A, C	15d
1099	"No powerlines"	Individual	Letter					1b
1100	No Powerlines	Individual	Letter					1b
1101	same as log number 0634 BPA should come and visit the affected property owners. Put line on existing easement next to other towers in the Watershed.	Individual	Letter				1	2a, 5b
1102	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1103	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1104	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1105	same as log number 0862 clean air, clean water.	Individual	Letter					8e, 18a
1106	same as log number 0862 no powerlines in Maple Valley or Hobart	Individual	Letter					1b, 15d
1107	same as log number 0862 no powerlines in Maple Valley or Hobart	Individual	Letter					1b, 15d
1108	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1109	same as log number 0862 put new lines next to existing power lines.	Individual	Letter					1d-iii
1110	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1111	same as log number 0874 keep line next to other line and on existing right of way.	Individual	Letter					1d-iii, 4j
1112	same as log number 0874	Individual	Letter					
1113	keep line in watershed and not on private land.	Individual	Letter					4b, 5a

1114	same as log number 0874	Individual	Letter					
1115	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1116	same as log number 0874	Individual	Letter					
1117	same as log number 0874	Individual	Letter					
1118	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1119	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1120	same as log number 0874	Individual	Letter					
1121	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1122	same as log number 0874	Individual	Letter					
1123	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1124	same as log number 0862	Individual	Letter					
1125	same as log number 0874	Individual	Letter					
1126	same as log number 0634 stay out of yard!	Individual	Letter					15d
1127	Blue Heron, elk, bald eagles, etc all in area by Hobart Mill Pond. Birds nest nearby as well.	Individual	Letter	Hobart Mill Pond				11a, 11c
1128	This petition is signed by 679 people in addition to 6 more from log number 1130. Opposed to A, B, C, and D for the following reasons: <input type="checkbox"/> public property is available for use of lines <input type="checkbox"/> already an easement and line along route 1 in the Watershed <input type="checkbox"/> Impacts sensitive and native growth protected areas along its path <input type="checkbox"/> Impacts creeks, streams, wetlands, and humans	Individual	Petition				A, B, C, D	4a, 5g, 8d, 9b, 12a, 14d, 15a
1128	This petition is signed by 679 people in addition to 5 more from log number 1130. Total signatures = 684 Opposed to A, B, C, and D for the following reasons: Decrease in property values	Individual	Petition				A, B, C, D	14b
1129	Enraged at the proposal to replace current lines with higher lines. Told that she would have to move if new line goes in.	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley			C	1d-iii, 14c, 15f
1129	Property values would decrease dramatically. BPA reimbursement amount is a joke. Will she be reimbursed for 28 years of taxes on property she thought she owned but got booted off of? Wildlife and salmon will be impacted by crossing the Cedar River.	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley			C	10c, 11b, 14b
1130	same as log number 1128 with the additional 5 people. Total of 684 signatures.	Individual	Petition					
1131	This petition is the same as log number 0615 and 0652. The total signatures = 787.	Individual	Petition					
1132	same letter as comment 0756	Individual	Letter	Hobart				

1133	Further construction in the watershed is not wise. Respect public opinion that says no more commercial activity in the watershed. Logging makes it harder for wildlife and plants, cutting trees diminishes natural beauty.	Individual	E-mail				1	5a, 11b, 13c
1134	Opposed to additional towers and kv lines. Home is adjacent to easement.	Individual	E-mail	Covington		A	1, A	1d-iii, 15d
1135	Feels it is unjust to disturb wildlife in watershed any more than it has been. Alt A is easiest to implement, uses existing right of way and 175' towers can't be any more unsightly than what is presently there. Design towers to potentially accommodate additional transmission capacity.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart	A		A, C	1d-iii, 4j, 5g, 11b, 13a
1136	New line will cause more damage to the watershed. No one seems to care about long term consequences when it comes to natural resources - who needs power if you don't have clean water, clean air, and no healthy species? Find sustainable ways that will allow future generations quality of life, think cleaner and greener.	Individual	E-mail				1	1a, 5g, 15e
1137	BPA has mandate to provide best service at least cost and impact. City of Seattle is using rural residents as pawns. Media is aligned with Seattle political machine, providing misinformation, not publishing contrary opinions. Green River Watershed has logging, roads, rail, power and public hunting access and supplies water to Tacoma - supports "environmental diversity" in the way the Cedar River watershed could. WA Fish & Wildlife has been excluded from Cedar Riv watershed mgmt.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	1c, 4a, 5a, 15b
1137	Alt C would devastate environment and economics, including wetlands, salmon streams, aesthetics of old growth forests. Logistics of Alt C are in conflict with land management programs.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	4g, 9b, 10c, 12a, 13b, 15e
1138	Alt 1 is the obvious choice - no-brainer. Why run through populated area, disrupt families and impact property values?	Individual	E-mail		1		1	1a, 5d, 14b, 15c
1139	Does not want more lines running through neighborhoods - concerned about property values and families' health and safety.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley				14b, 19a
1140	Response to Seattle City Council report -Watershed has been logged for 90 years. Heavily used access roads are the greatest contributor to sediment problems. -BPA would require 1 mile of new roads vs. 11 miles for Alt C.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart			1, C	4j, 5g, 9d, 15b

1140	Response to Seattle City Council report -No old growth timber along Alt 1. No spotted owls. Along routes outside the watershed there are salmon spawning streams, wetlands, old growth. -What data does Seattle have that BPA would cause them to need filtration system? -BPA is responding to projections by NW utilities that a deep freeze could mean brownouts and blackouts. Seattle will get 90% of the new power - are they developing a conservation or emergency plan?	Individual	E-mail	Hobart			1, C	1g, 3a, 5d, 9b, 10c, 11c, 12a, 15b
1141	Do not want more power lines run through neighborhood - concerned about property values and health and safety. Use Alt 1 and be as environmentally conscious as is practical. Treasure homes and quality of life, don't want BPA to alter them.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1		1, A, C	14b, 15c, 19a
1142	Do not want more power lines run through neighborhood - concerned about property values and health and safety. Use Alt 1 and be as environmentally conscious as is practical. Treasure homes and quality of life, don't want BPA to alter them. (form letter - same as log no. 1141)	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1		1, A, C	14b, 15c, 19a
1143	Hopes that 848 signatures on petition will make a difference. Uprooting people would be unethical and outrageous. Watershed houses large amount of tax-free land and tax base would be reduced further if power lines go across private land.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart			C	2a, 4a, 14f, 15f
1143	Property will be devalued. Cities cannot continue to dump problems on rural areas - efforts and sentiments of "country folk" should be taken to heart.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart			C	14b, 15b
1144	Email to neighbors detailing reasons not to give BPA access to property for surveys in order to estimate impacts of alternatives, especially Alt C.. Urges neighbors to make it difficult for BPA to gather data in terms of cost, time and resources.	Individual	E-mail				C	4j
1145	Email submitting 69 signatures on letter: Do not want more power lines run through neighborhood - concerned about property values and health and safety. Use Alt 1 and be as environmentally conscious as is practical. Treasure homes and quality of life, don't want BPA to alter them.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1		1, A, C	14b, 15c, 19a
1146	Email to neighbors concerning rights for granting BPA access for evaluation. (Response to email from Dave Pimentel, log no. 1144) Believes it is in everyone's best interest to allow BPA to catalog environmentally critical elements. Denying entrance altogether may not be in everyone's best interest, but providing everything BPA's asked for is not either.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley			1, A, C	4j, 15e

1147	Public Works Director, City of Kent Alt A would cross Kent water supply sources. City of Kent has identified Wellhead Protection Area, covers majority of southern portion of Alt A between Covington and Raver substations and southern portion of Alt C. Aquifers are shallow and susceptible to contamination. Wellhead Protection Program in 2001 expressed concerns about maintenance effects of BPA easements on groundwater. Alt A would affect Rock Creek fish populations, alter wetlands. City of Kent is working on HCP under provisions of ESA.	Local Governme	Letter				A, C	4a, 8e, 9d, 10b, 10c, 12a
1147	Public Works Director, City of Kent Alt C is adjacent to Landsburg Mine clean up site. Concerned about effects of power lines on groundwater quality. City of Kent favors Alt 1.	Local Governme	Letter		1		1, A, C	8c, 15e
1148	Use Alt 1 and use environmentally conscious methods of installation, instead of running through the most highly developed parts of Maple Valley.	Individual	E-mail	Mpale Valley	1		1	15c
1149	Email attaching same letter as no. 852.	Individual	E-mail	Tiger Mountain Ranchettes			A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	
1150	Found out about public meeting in North Bend too late to attend. Would like to know BPA plans for the easement on his property.	Individual	E-mail	North Bend				2b, 14d
1151	Comments from Friends of the Earth: <input type="checkbox"/> Alt 1 undermines HCP - 150' swath would go through forest and wetlands, road construction could cause permanent damage. <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Danger to endangered species (salmon and marbled murrelet) from sediment flow into waterways and other habitat degradation. <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> BPA has not explored alternative routes outside the watershed.	Interest Group	E-mail			1	1	1a, 5h, 7b, 8d, 10b, 10c, 11b, 11c, 12b, 15e
1151	Comments from Friends of the Earth: <input type="checkbox"/> Purity of Seattle's water would be threatened. <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> BPA should pursue conservation and/or non-wire alternatives.	Interest Group	E-mail			1	1	1g, 5d
1152	Concerned about children's health near power lines, diminished property values. Don't protect environment at the expense of homeowners - put line through the watershed where it will have least impact on people and homes.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1		1, A, C	14b, 15c, 19a

1153	same as log number 0634	Individual	Letter					
1153	Do not want more power lines in the neighborhood. Chose to live there because of unique quality of life. Concerned about property values and health.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1		1, A, C	13b, 14b, 15b, 19a
1153	Alts A & C require much more hardware than Alt 1 and will have higher impact on developed areas.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1		1, A, C	1a, 15c
1154	Questions re: will BPA just add lines and maintain current right of way? Will right of way be extended? If right of way is extended, will it be on uphill or downhill side of current lines? How will property owners be notified if right of way changes affect their deeds?	Individual	E-mail	Roaring Creek development				1d-iii, 4j, 14d
1155	One of the highlights of recently-acquired property is the beautiful scenery. Go through watershed rather than affect property values and threaten health.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1		1	13b, 14b, 19a
1156	People are part of the environment, too, and should be given consideration. Construction impact in the watershed can be mitigated with helicopters. There hasn't been previous adverse impact to watershed, so there shouldn't be a problem.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1		1	5g, 6a, 15c
1157	Wildlife in the watershed will be impacted (eagles, bear, elk, deer) and property values and views of those who live near the watershed will be harmed. Purposely bought land away from power lines - life will be ruined. BPA should build somewhere less populated by people and animals.	Individual	E-mail			1	1	5h, 11b, 11c, 13b, 14b, 15f
1158	People are outraged - didn't know alts included second set of lines across golf course. BPA info was vague and insufficient and did not give enough time to respond. BPA should extend the response time.	Individual	Letter	Elk Run, Maple Valley				1a, 2c
1159	Lives near watershed - was not aware of DEIS. Wants BPA to use existing lines and not interrupt all the hard work that has been put into making the watershed one of the best in the world for people and wildlife.	Individual	E-mail				1	1a, 5a, 15e
1160	Cannot afford to lose any more old-growth by clearcutting through watershed - look at alts outside the watershed.	Individual	E-mail	Seattle			1	1a, 5g, 9b
1160	Look at conservation solutions.	Individual	E-mail	Seattle			1	1g
1161	Wants clarification if Alt A will add bigger towers, expand right of way, and run through property slated to become athletic fields.	Individual	E-mail	Elk Run, Maple Valley			A	1d-iii, 4j
1162	Alts A & C require much more hardware than Alt 1 and will have higher impact on developed areas.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley			1, A, C	15c
1162	Do not want more power lines in the neighborhood. Chose to live there because of unique quality of life. Concerned about property values and health.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1		1, A, C	13b, 14b, 15b, 19a
1163	Request for more information.	Business	Telephone					2a

1164	Can't tell from map whether line along easement near the back of her property.	Individual	E-mail	Four Lakes				2a
1165	Thanks Lou Driessen for all the hard work. Hopes Alt 1 will prevail.	Individual	E-mail		1		1	2a
1166	Supports adding to existing lines. Damaged forest and wetlands should be replaced, additional acreage added to account for fragmentation or lower quality of habitat.	Individual	Letter		1		1	5h, 6a, 12b
1167	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1168	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1169	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1170	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1171	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1172	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1173	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1174	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1175	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1176	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1177	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1178	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1179	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1180	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1181	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1182	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1183	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1184	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1185	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1186	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1187	same as log number 0604 Hobart resident for 67 yrs.	Individual	Letter			C		
1188	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1189	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1190	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1191	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1192	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1193	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1194	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1195	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1196	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1198	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1199	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1200	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		

1201	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1202	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1203	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1204	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1205	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1206	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1207	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1208	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1209	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1210	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1211	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1212	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1213	Do the most economically efficient solution - the one that costs the least.	Individual	Comment form					1c
1214	Do not sacrifice residential quality of life issues for minimal environmental concerns. Do not sacrifice cost-effectiveness to mitigate unreasonable environmental concerns.	Individual	Comment form		1		1	6f, 15c
1215	Wants more info on Alt B & D. D is the most unacceptable alt and community will fight. Received notice late.	Individual	Comment form	Roaring Creek		D	B, D	
1216	Wants detailed project info on activity on 170th PI, SE, Covington. Wants to know length of project and if construction will be segmented so sections can be finished quickly.	Individual	Comment form	Covington				2a
1217	BPA should act ethically, morally, not continue to act as bullying federal government. BPA should honor past commitments not to construct lines in the watershed. Urges City Council to litigate if line goes through watershed.	Individual	Comment form				1	20a
1218	Alt 1 will wipe out property in recreation area. Residents battling I-90 expansion also.	Individual	Comment form	Roaring Creek			1	4f
1219	High voltage lines are damaging to health and should be located away from populated areas. Impact to watershed's environment can be offset by measures to prevent runoff and water pollution. Supports Cheryl Pflug's comments.	Individual	Letter		1		1	5d, 6a, 7b, 15c, 19b
1220	Thinks SPU's 3 main concerns (indemnity against cost of filtration plant, non-compliance with HCP, and compensation for watershed damages) and EPA issues can be addressed if BPA and Seattle have cooperative attitude. Doesn't think BPA or Seattle wants to force people out of their homes.	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley			1	5a, 6a, 15c

1221	Concerned re: reduction in property values and rent potential. Rent potential impacted because people with children will not rent near power lines because of health concerns. If BPA is offering to pay SPU for filtration plant, SPU should compensate property owners if non-watershed alt is chosen. Appreciates BPA holding open houses in affected areas.	Individual	Letter				1, A, C	14b, 15b, 19b
1222	Received request from BPA to enter property for surveys and environmental reviews - has referred request to attorney before signing. Would lose home and business if Alt C is chosen.	Individual	Letter	Hobart		C	C	4j, 14e, 15f
1223	Need to conserve, not put in another transmission line.	Individual	Comment form					1g, 3b
1224	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter					
1225	Alt 1 less disruptive to existing residents.	Individual	Comment form		1		1, C	15c
1226	Encourage implementation of Alt 1 ASAP because of winter risks. Alt 1 has least impact to people and property and is most cost-effective.	Individual	Comment form		1		1	1c, 15c
1227	Wants to know effect of power lines running over houses and commercial areas. BPA should run lines out in the woods where they belong.	Individual	Comment form	Covington	1	A	1, A	15c
1228	Wants to know if Alt A would require expanding right of way.	Individual	Comment form	Covington			A	2a, 4j
1229	Have EIS look at radical environmentalists and attack them at every opportunity.	Individual	Comment form	Kent	A		A	20b
1229	Makes the most sense to use existing right of way.	Individual	Comment form	Kent	A		A	4j
1230	Use originally proposed alternatives 1 or 2. Temporary, minimal impact to watershed does not warrant serious consideration of alt A-D.	Individual	Comment form				1, 2, A, B, C, D	5a
1231	Look at burying lines underground. Towers are ugly.	Individual	Comment form					1e, 13a
1232	Alt 1 has least impact on people, nature and end users. It is shortest run and least expensive. There is no proof that Alt 1 would negatively impact watershed or city water supply.	Individual	Comment form				1	1c, 5d
1233	Does not think it's ethical to expose humans to EMF unnecessarily. Cites European studies and California EMF project findings that EMF causes leukemia, cancer, ALS, especially dangerous for children. Alts B, D & 1 least impact people.	Individual	Letter			C	1, B, C, D	19b
1234	Wants clarification on map to tell where power lines are.	Individual	Telephone					2a
1235	Wants to know where line would run in relation to property.	Individual	Telephone					2a
1236	Opposed to alternatives that cross private land. Lines diminish aesthetics, impact health and devalue property. BPA is doing a good job of communicating with property owners.	Individual	Comment form	Hobart	1		1	4b, 13b, 14b, 19a
1237	SEIS should look at: animal corridors, watershed mitigation. Don't put line through the watershed.	Individual	E-mail				1	6a, 11b

1238	Understand need to respect treaty w/Canada, but to what extent?	Individual	E-mail	Covington			A	3c	
1238	Need a solution that keeps power lines secluded from people, even if it costs more up front. Injury and wrongful death cases against power companies and liabilities for reduction in property values cost an enormous amount of money. "Prudent avoidance" of human exposure is in the best interests of everyone.	Individual	E-mail	Covington			A	1c, 14b, 15c, 19b	
1239	Alt 1 would eliminate low elevation forest and create travel barrier for many animals. Construction risks water contamination and salmon habitat. BPA should acquire and preserve low elevation forest.	Individual	Letter				1	4g, 5d, 7b, 9a, 10c, 11b	
1240	Glad that BPA issued the SEIS. Watershed should be protected - logging eliminates low-elevation forest and threatens water supply. BPA should acquire land adjacent to watershed for preservation.	Individual	Letter	Plum Creeks section 25			1	2e, 4f, 5d, 9a	
1241	Providing information on lots with cabins affected by Alt B & D.	Individual	E-mail	Roaring Creek			1, B, D	2a	
1242	Wants BPA to offer alternative energy options for customers, invest in hydrogen cell and wind technology.	Individual	Comment form				B, D	1a	
1242	Wants to know why Alt B & D would require constructing new towers - why can't lines be strung on side of current towers that's not being used? <input type="checkbox"/> Wants to ensure, in Alt D, that additional towers will not be built alongside existing ones, wasting areas or North Bend and decreasing property values.	Individual	Comment form	North Bend			B, D	1d-iii, 14b	
1243	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter				C		
1244	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter				C		
1245	same as log number 0983	Individual	Letter						
1246	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter				C		
1247	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter				C		
1248	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter				C		
1249	Wants more information re: high voltage lines near schools and playgrounds. Opposes Alt A because of its location in a highly populated region. Favors alternative that will impact the fewest people.	Individual	Comment form		1		A	1, A	15c, 19b
1250	When vehicles are parked near or under the power lines they become charged and will shock you when you touch them. Is there anything that can be done to limit this?	Individual	Comment form					20b	
1251	Alt B & D will impact 20-year-old recreational community. Prefers Alt 1 as most cost effective and least interfering.	Individual	Comment form	Roaring Creek	1		1, B, D	1c, 15c	
1252	All this speculation and proposals seem to be totally non-productive. Preferred alt is the most logical choice.	Individual	Comment form		1		1	1a, 2e	

1253	Alt A impacts small airport and runs next to school. Tower height would affect property values. Some people already keep their children away from the school because of power lines - higher towers would increase that number.	Individual	Comment form			A	A	1d-iii, 14b, 19b
1254	Quit studying and start doing Alt 1 - it is the most economical and environmentally sound.	Individual	Comment form		1		1	2e
1254	Wants more detailed map to see if Alt C runs through property. Wants to see cost estimates on all alternatives.	Individual	Comment form				C	1c, 2a
1255	Wants to know environmental impacts on south fork of Snoqualmie River, construction details of towers in North Bend area along 468th St for Alt B & D. Natural corridors for wildlife should be maintained.	Individual	Comment form	North Bend			B, D	1d-iii, 4j, 8d, 11b
1256	Wants specific information on right of ways to be used.	Individual	Comment form	Covington			A	2a, 4j
1257	Across the watershed is best. It is a mystery why BPA would consider any other place.	Individual	Comment form	Ravensdale	1		1	1a
1258	Wants to know impact of Alt A on Grass Lake Elementary School. Not enough medical studies support long-term safety issues. Property values will drop.	Individual	Comment form			A	A	14b, 19b
1259	Use the preferred alt.	Individual	Comment form		1		1	
1260	Wants to know impact of noise and effects on property values. Wants to know that BPA is sure there are no health effects from power lines in residential areas. Community will organize to fight alt.	Individual	Comment form	Kent, Winterwood Estates		A	A	14b, 17a, 19b
1261	Power line will adversely affect property values. There are health concerns associated with increased EMF. Consider putting new line in less-densely populated area.	Individual	Comment form			A	A	14b, 15c, 19b
1262	New power line corridors allow garbage dumping, 4-wheeling, abandoned vehicles, erosion, high school parties. Alt 1 is least disruptive to people and most cost effective.	Individual	Comment form	Maple Valley	1		1	1c, 9d, 15c
1263	Alt A increases higher voltage lines in high population areas and runs adjacent to elementary school.	Individual	Comment form			A	A	1d-iii, 15c, 19b
1264	Maps sent out are poor. Wants to see detailed map indicating route to Covington substation and relationship of lines to local roads. How many people will a new line help? What are the impacts to cost of power?	Individual	Comment form	Covington			A	1j, 3a
1264	Are the new towers taller than existing ones? What is the impact of electromagnetic flux when adding a 500 kV to an existing 230 kV?	Individual	Comment form	Covington			A	1d-iii, 19b

1265	Work to place infrastructure in already-developed regions, not pristine watershed. The few hundred homeowners affected is smaller number than hundreds of thousands affected if water supply is polluted and watershed's natural resources aren't protected.	Individual	Comment form			A		A, C	4a, 5d, 15c
1266	Wants to know if property he is looking at buying has right of way for Alt A.	Individual	Telephone	Covington				A	2a
1267	Requests a phone call from BPA about process for taking property and how exactly property will be impacted.	Individual	Telephone	28606 192nd Place SE, Kent (Covington area)	1			1	2a, 14b
1267	It is more of an environmental impact to displace lots of families than to use original alternative. There will be an impact on people moving into new homes that may be built in areas that do not currently have homes.	Individual	Telephone	28606 192nd Place SE, Kent (Covington area)	1			1	14c, 15c
1267	I run a small business out of my home and am concerned about where the line would run.	Individual	Telephone	28606 192nd Place SE, Kent (Covington area)	1			1	14e
1267	Curious about power source and whether it would cause less damage to the environment to use a different source to feed into the lines.	Individual	Telephone	28606 192nd Place SE, Kent (Covington area)	1			1	1f
1268	As representative of a land owner in Covington, opposed to any redesign and construction of new transmission lines on Alt A. Have recently purchased property and currently under construction of a 200 unit multi-family development. Increased power lines in the current easement would harm this development. Families with children will be living directly next to and under Alt A. Understand the need to increase power in Puget Sound area, but Alt A will impact more people than some other routes, such as the original.	Business	E-mail	Covington	1	A		1, A	14e

1268	As representative of a land owner in Covington, opposed to any redesign and construction of new transmission lines on Alt A. Have recently purchased property and currently under construction of a 200 unit multi-family development. Increased power lines in the current easement would harm this development. Families with children will be living directly next to and under Alt A. Understand the need to increase power in Puget Sound area, but Alt A will impact more people than some other routes, such as the original.	Business	E-mail	Covington	1	A	1, A	3a, 14d, 15c, 19a
1269	Please do not put transmission line through my property. The noise from them is bad, especially when it rains. Since my husband died, all I have are my flowers and my cat.	Individual	Comment form	16241 SE 272nd St, Covington				15d, 17b
1270	Don't think it is necessary or in the public's best interest to displace families; City of Seattle just being fussy, possessive, and selfish. Environmentally it makes sense to put the line in the watershed - the wildlife and environment will recover and the water system probably won't be damaged. BPA should stick with original plan and not be bullied by Seattle.	Individual	Telephone	Ravensdale	1		1	5d, 14c
1271	Using Alt A or C would require much more hardware than Preferred Alternative #1. Please choose Alt #1 and use as many environmentally conscious methods as practical, rather than running the lines through the highly developed parts of Maple Valley.	Individual	Letter	27519 227 Ave SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, A, C	1c, 5a, 6a
1271	Property owners in Maple Valley not want BPA's lines to alter property values or the plans the local government has made for the city's future. Chose to live here because of unique atmosphere and quality of life.	Individual	Letter	27519 227 Ave SE, Maple Valley	1	A	1, A, C	14b, 15a
1271	Property owners in Maple Valley do not want power lines through our neighborhood. Chose to live here because of unique atmosphere and quality of life. Concerned about property values and health and safety.	Individual	Letter	27519 227 Ave SE, Maple Valley	1	A	1, A, C	14b, 15d, 19a
1272	Have children of school age and made decision not to send them to Grass Lake Elementary School, based on research regarding powerlines of the kV level in that area. Suggests posting a sign near the power lines telling people what the voltage is (had to call many people to find that information). Oppose Alt A, in favor of Alt 1, 2, or B.	Individual	E-mail	near Alt A	1	A	1, 2, A, B	19a
1273	The choice is simple - new powerlines should parallel existing lines in the watershed (Preferred alternative 1). BPA has committed to preserving the quality of the watershed and there are sufficient watchdog groups to monitor construction. Some of the excessive mitigators (such as water filtration) are not justified.	Individual	E-mail	25118 SE 262nd St, Ravensdale	1		1	5d, 6a

1274	EIS should look at the negative impact of high voltage wires on human health and the effect on the many wetlands in the area. Alternative 1 makes sense environmentally and economically. Health hazards the wires pose should be the highest priority when deciding routes. Second priority should be on the economic impacts to affected families.	Individual	Comment form	24012 SE 243rd St, Maple Valley	1		1	1c, 12a, 14a, 19a
1275	As resident of Winterwood Estates, strongly oppose plan to endanger the lives of me, my children, and my horses. There are already very strong currents coming off the power line -- I get a zap when I pick up certain tools in my pasture -- BPA is crazy to propose increasing the kV on those lines. Pick an alternative that does not risk the health of so many people and animals.	Individual	E-mail	18330 SE 286th St, Covington				19a
1276	Disregard Native American cultural concerns - they have no ancient ties to the watershed.	Individual	Letter	27001 SE 256th, Ravensdale	1	C	1, C	16b
1276	As a logical engineer, I ask BPA "What problem are you trying to solve?" Sounds like BPA is concerned with POSSIBLE future winter peak load requirements at the Covington substation that existing lines cannot supply. If so, be advised that the Covington substation has a natural gas pipeline underneath its property, of at least 48" in diameter that could easily supply enough energy to power an intermittent bank of generators that could produce emergency overload requirements. The generator could be set up with automatic switchgear and phase/frequency matching, using off-the-shelf systems used by numerous cities in case of brownouts. A diesel fired option is a viable alternative with more power than gas, although gas burns cleaner and costs less. Offer to put numbers together in presentation for BPA or "tree hugging antagonists."	Individual	Letter	27001 SE 256th, Ravensdale	1	C	1	1i, 3a
1276	It is a misconception that the Cedar River Watershed is special or holy -- it is just a bunch of old trees that no one can cut or even enjoy because entry, camping, hunting, fishing, and hiking are prohibited. Its one positive attribute is that since trespassing is prohibited, the transmission line would be safer from terrorism than a route like Alt C. By going on Alt C and destroying people's property values, you would make terrorists out of regular citizens who might destroy towers and equipment.	Individual	Letter	27001 SE 256th, Ravensdale	1	C	1, C	5a
1277	We are 84 years old and have lived at our address in Winterwood Estates for 18 years. It is heartbreaking to think those lines could ruin us financially and cause serious health hazards. Please do not put the new lines through our area.	Individual	E-mail	18761 SE 248th Place, Winterwood Estates		A	A	14b, 15d, 19a

1278	Opposed to Alt A for environmental, health, and aesthetic reasons. A 500 kV line would cause EMF hazards to residents of the Winterwood Estates Community and would be a source of continuous lawsuits against BPA.	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates, along Alt A		A	A	1c, 13b, 15d, 19b
1279	Do not want line through Winterwood Estates neighborhood.	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates			A	15d
1280	EIS should look at minimizing the impacts on wildlife and natural habitat. Consult with Fish and Game about the project's alternatives' effects on local wildlife and determine which alternatives would have least impact on habitat.	Individual	Comment form	14233 442nd Ave SE, North Bend	A		A	9a, 11b
1280	Alt A is the best option because it utilizes existing transmission lines as well as existing rights-of-way and meets the objectives of the project with minimal impact on the environment.	Individual	Comment form	14233 442nd Ave SE, North Bend	A		A	4j
1281	Use common sense and fiscal responsibility by choosing the existing preferred alternative through the watershed. No reasonable or compelling need to degrade the scenic Hwy. 90 corridor and reduce property value in and around North Bend. The economic and environmental impact of going through the watershed is the least of all alternatives. Do not let extremist environmental groups convince BPA to abandon the greater interest of the public.	Individual	Comment form		1		1, A, B, C, D	1c, 2e, 5a, 13d, 14b
1281	EIS should look at the taxpayers' checkbook, as Alt A-D are serious drains on resources. Why even consider Alt A-D when they are so much more costly and intrusive than the preferred alternative? What are the relative construction costs of each? How long to complete each? What are the estimated "property value" costs of each line (from degraded value and private property due to construction of power lines in close proximity)?	Individual	Comment form		1		1, A, B, C, D	1c, 14b
1282	EIS should look at the effects on the recreational community called Roaring Creek where the lines currently cross Lost Lake Road. Submitted map showing the area of concern.	Interest Group	Comment form	11411 NE 124th St. #110, Kirkland				4f
1283	It would be irresponsible to not take the most reasonable route, considering the cost, impact on humans, and time of completion. When the existing line was installed (before politically correct environmentalists), the impacts on the watershed went unnoticed and apparently did little or no environmental harm. There have been enough studies and hand wringing - get on with it and use Alt 1.	Interest Group	Comment form	Port Angeles	1		1	1c, 2e, 5a, 14a
1284	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter					
1285	EIS should look at environmental impact on people -- do the lines make a noise that will impact hearing or just drive you crazy?	Individual	Comment form	26214 SE 252nd, Ravensdale	1		1	17b

1285	Want more information on the variety of wildlife outside the watershed, including fish.	Individual	Comment form	26214 SE 252nd, Ravensdale	1		1	5a, 10a, 11a
1285	Would like to know names of the environmental groups that object to the powerlines going through the watershed. Many of the people speaking for the groups do not have degrees and are not informed.	Individual	Comment form	26214 SE 252nd, Ravensdale	1		1	20a
1286	Quit delaying and screwing people's lives up. Has invested time and money in building property over a lifetime and does not want to lose it.	Individual	Comment form	26845 SE 236th St, Ravensdale		C	C	2e, 14a
1287	Have received shocks from existing power lines while playing with my kids at Grass Lake Elementary School due to the electrical field. Increasing to 500 kV would increase risk to children and others in these highly populated areas.	Individual	Comment form	19408 SE 286th, Kent		A	A	1d-iii, 19b
1288	Deciding the alternative is an ordeal that is taking a lot of time and money. Please stick with original plan to go through watershed. Hope Seattle does not drag this out. Amazed that Seattle is concerned about water quality when property owners concerned about power lines coming next to their homes.	Individual	Letter	19265 268th Ave SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	2e, 5d, 14a
1288	Purchased farm two years ago and nothing was disclosed that power lines might run nearby; would not have purchased the property if knew that was a possibility. Have 8 children, many animals, and believe that close proximity to power lines is unsafe and unhealthy. Concerned about decreased property value.	Individual	Letter	19265 268th Ave SE, Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	14b, 15d, 19a
1289	Opposed to all routes through residential neighborhoods. For many people, their homes represent their dreams. Please consider routes that would have the least cost and impact on residents of potentially affected areas.	Individual	Letter	22606 265th Ave SE, Maple Valley	1		1	4b, 14a, 15f
1289	EIS should consider that going through rural properties will affect well systems, the movement of wildlife such as deer and elk, and could damage salmon-bearing streams.	Individual	Letter	22606 265th Ave SE, Maple Valley	1		1	8c, 10b, 10c, 11a
1289	Seems like rural residents are once again pitted against a city's interests. Generally the County Council deems rural residents' interests as insignificant; hope City of Seattle and EPA do not have same attitude.	Individual	Letter	22606 265th Ave SE, Maple Valley	1		1	15b
1289	Concerned about negative health impacts of huge transmission lines. Putting the line over residential areas instead of in the watershed and commercial logging lands gambles with the health of rural residents.	Individual	Letter	22606 265th Ave SE, Maple Valley	1		1	19a

1290	Where would you place the new towers in Alt C? Would they be side by side with existing towers or on a property adjacent to existing towers? Could towers be on my land? How can I support BPA's efforts to gain approval of Alt 1 through the watershed?	Individual	E-mail	Between 312th Ave SE and 309th Ave SE, Ravensdale	1	C	1, C	1d-iii, 2a, 14a
1291	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1292	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1293	EIS should look at effects to people's livelihood and property. Our property is OURS, not yours to take away or build on. It is WRONG to choose to disrupt people's lives rather than animals. I love animals and want to protect them, but not at the expense of people.	Individual	Comment form					11a, 14e, 15d
1293	It is WRONG to choose to disrupt people's lives rather than animals. I love animals and want to protect them, but not at the expense of people.	Individual	Comment form					14a, 15c
1293	Want more information about personalities of people making decision on this project -- they must be cold and not have put themselves in rural landowners' shoes.	Individual	Comment form					15f
1294	Object to proposed logging on low-elevation forestland in the protected Cedar River watershed. This logging puts wildlife and fish habitat at risk and would create a travel barrier for many species. If any new powerlines are built, damaged habitat must be replaced.	Individual	Letter	580 Front St. S. #D120, Issaquah		1	1	4c, 5h, 6c, 9a, 10b, 11b
1294	Please select an option that does not involve logging in the protected watershed. Acquire other low-elevation forestland and preserve it from conversion to urban development, as per the Sierra Club's recommendations.	Individual	Letter	580 Front St. S. #D120, Issaquah		1	1	4g, 15c
1295	My wife and I live on 2.5 acres in a development of about 100 homes in Hobart. Our eastern property boundary would be the centerline of the proposed transmission line right-of-way for Alt C, which would cause us to lose the trees on 1/4 of our property. These trees are in a native growth protection area and range in size from 2.5 to 5 feet in diameter. Alt C would destroy our privacy and our experience of living in nature and seeing the trees, which is why we bought this property.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4j, 9d, 13b, 15e
1295	My wife and I live on 2.5 acres in a development of about 100 homes in Hobart. Our eastern property boundary would be the centerline of the proposed transmission line right-of-way for Alt C. The water in our development is supplied by "Cedar River Water & Sewer" and they buy water from Seattle Public Utilities. Like Seattle, we expect clean water. I would like to ask SPU what evidence it has that BPA has caused any harm to water quality or watershed operation in its 30 years of operating a power line in the watershed.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4j, 5d, 8a

1295	I would like to ask SPU what evidence Seattle has that clearing an additional 80 acres for a second power line is more damaging to water quality than failure to replant the 600 miles (acreage of almost 1,900 acres) of logging roads already in the watershed? 80 acres is only 4% of the acres of the logging roads that already exist in the watershed.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart	1	C	1, C	1d-iii, 5g
1295	Clearing 80 acres of second or third growth forest for an additional power line would require less than 1/10 of 1 percent of the watershed's total acreage of 90,240. How can this small amount of clearing have any impact on water quality?	Individual	E-mail	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4c, 5d, 9a
1295	The Habitat Conservation Plan is a great idea, but it was not an idea of the City of Seattle, which was forced to create the Habitat Conservation Plan to meet Endangered Species Act requirements. Is the wildlife habitat inside the watershed more important than the habitat for both humans and animals outside the watershed?	Individual	E-mail	Hobart	1	C	1, C	5h, 11b, 15c
1295	The people who lose their property will be paying the price for Seattle's water. The City of Seattle will destroy the rural communities of Hobart and Ravensdale, all due to unfounded water quality issues.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart	1	C	1, C	5d, 6f, 14b, 15b
1295	Alt C would clearcut approximately 250 acres of private property for the new power line right-of-way. How could any property owners be reimbursed for the aesthetic loss in property value due to this new right of way? This public right-of-way should be located on public property. Alt C is ludicrous.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart	1	C	1, C	4j, 13b, 14b
1296	Submitted electronic copies of pictures of the deteriorated condition of the Cedar River Watershed and requests that BPA publish these photos in the SDEIS.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart	1		1	5g
1297	Submitted hard copies of pictures of the deteriorated condition of the Cedar River Watershed and requests that BPA publish these photos in the SDEIS.	Individual	Letter	Hobart	1		1	5g
1298	Opposed to bringing power lines into his community, Winterwood Estates. If new powerlines are installed, they should be buried underground and heavily shielded. This would be safer, more reliable, and more secure.	Individual	E-mail	Kent (Winterwood Estates)				1e, 15d, 19a
1299	For the sake of all the people who would be affected by the power line going through Hobart, put the line through the watershed. One line has not hurt the water so far, so why should a second line? Seattle has too much land as it is.	Individual	Comment form	Hobart	1		1	5d
1300	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		

1301	Have no problem with preferred alternative, although this opinion based on no information on pros or cons or cost comparison for each alternative. Even though Alt 1 crosses the watershed, it runs parallel to an already existing line so the environmental impact is less as long as the construction period is handled with care and concern, as mentioned in the project proposal.	Individual	Comment form	1340 SW 10th St, North Bend	1		1	5a, 6a
1302	Use an alternative that does NOT go through the watershed, which is deemed off limits for good reason, not for saving money. It is unethical and wrong for BPA to compromise our watershed or even consider it. Your company leaders should be scrapped. My neighbors and I will fight anything in the watershed.	Individual	Comment form			1	1	1c, 5a
1303	Surveyed trees in my backyard, in right-of-way for Alt C. All trees are second growth and will have to be logged for the power line. Diameters range from 22 inches to 60 inches (five feet!). Are the trees in the lower watershed this size? Submitted a partial inventory of tree diameters. Our trees are important to us and as important as the trees in the watershed. One reason we purchased this property was for the trees and without them our view would be of transmission towers and wires. The trees are worth more than the market value BPA would pay; they are the "crown jewels" of our property and there is no reasonable amount of money BPA could reimburse us for the aesthetic loss of these trees.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart	1	C	1, C	5g, 6e, 9d, 13b, 14b, 15e
1304	Home is located at 23650 - 266th in Hobart/Maple Valley. Submitted two pictures of backyard and the front page of the "Voice of the Valley" June 12th edition, which shows a BPA transmission line and the required clearing, as an example of what the new view of the backyard will look like if Alt C is selected. Home would be about 200 feet from the edge of the powerline right-of-way. How could anyone determine how much to reimburse for the aesthetic loss in property value due to the line?	Individual	E-mail	Hobart				4j, 6e, 9a, 13b, 14b
1305	Understand the growing need for electrical power, but not why it has to impact rural suburbs. Seattle and King County have little concern for us and there are not enough of us to fight the millions of people in the cities and all the bureaucrats.	Individual	Letter	26611 309th Ave SE, Ravensdale	1		1	3a, 15b
1305	Builders have to pay for road expansion when development occurs, but the roads do not get upgraded so where is all the money going? Lengthy complaint about trucks driving through neighborhood, throwing dirt all over the roads, tearing up the roads, and residents have to pay, not the companies making all the money.	Individual	Letter	26611 309th Ave SE, Ravensdale				20b

1305	Displeased with the "police playground" in rural residents' backyard. Does not want to hear semi-automatic weapons and concussion grenades. He moved away from Seattle to get away from that.	Individual	Letter	26611 309th Ave SE, Ravensdale	1		1	20b
1305	I didn't move to a rural community to have power lines in my backyard. That's another stick in the eye to people who tried to move away from the city. The lines will not be a problem for watershed water supply; the problem is for people who have to live next to the lines.	Individual	Letter	26611 309th Ave SE, Ravensdale	1		1	5d, 14a, 15b
1305	Do not want power lines in backyard with tractors clearing brush, nonstop humming, and view of 175-foot tall towers instead of trees.	Individual	Letter	26611 309th Ave SE, Ravensdale	1		1	9d, 13b, 15d, 17b
1305	There are powerlines in the watershed already and the only problem with Seattle's water is the bad taste. If it's really necessary to add new lines, put the lines in the watershed and give Seattle a water filtration plant. We will have to pay for the filtration plant in the form of increased rates.	Individual	Letter	26611 309th Ave SE, Ravensdale	1		1	1j, 5d, 6f
1306	Alt A does most damage to my community and kids, since it would destroy recreation sites for the community's kids. Which route is BPA learning toward? What are the impacts of Alt A to recreation sites?	Individual	Telephone	27430 227th PI SE		A	A	4f, 15a
1307	EIS should look at wetlands affected on Alt A.	Individual	Comment form	19705 SE 284th St., Kent		A	A	12a
1307	Want more information on construction methods proposed for Alt A, especially regarding access impact for livestock security and the positioning of the towers to my house and livestock breeding facility.	Individual	Comment form	19705 SE 284th St., Kent		A	A	4j, 6a, 19a
1307	Want more information on land values with two high tower power lines. Will my property value decrease?	Individual	Comment form	19705 SE 284th St., Kent		A	A	14b
1307	Want more information on the impact on flight paths at Crestview Airport. Is there any possible danger to residences?	Individual	Comment form	19705 SE 284th St., Kent		A	A	4h, 19a
1307	Own land on the power line between SE 284th and Lake Sawyer -Coveryle Way. Have leased the power line land from King County and developed horse pastures which support avian and large mammal wildlife, including bear and elk. Property has 80 to 100 old trees that could be affected by a second line. Also concerned about EMF effects on my family and livestock breeding.	Individual	Comment form	19705 SE 284th St., Kent		A	A	9a, 11a, 14d, 19b

1308	Regarding system reliability: BPA has the hypothesis that in the watershed, one or more conductors fail (responsible for transferring power north in an attempt to ease Covington loads and put more load on the Maple Valley substation), overloading the Covington substation transformers. The solution is to add more conductors to the circuit through the watershed to assure balancing the load between Covington and Maple Valley substations even during a winter storm that ruins one or more of the conductors in the watershed.	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley			1	1i
1308	Regarding system reliability: what keeps any or all of the new conductors from failing during severe storms that threaten the Covington substation transformers? Why can't the Covington substation be expanded to accommodate additional through-put power that would occur in a winter anomaly?	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley				1i
1308	Is it possible for the Maple Valley and Covington substations to benefit from the addition of a 5th major transmission line from Eastern Washington through Stevens Pass? What is the timeframe for the construction of a 5th major line, and if constructed, could it obviate the need for the current reliability accommodation? If so, then is this project just a matter of fiscal coordination?	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley				1a, 3a
1309	The original plan through the watershed is by far the best -- it is least expensive, has the least invasion of private property and no need to acquire new land. Alt C seems like the most invasive and expensive. BPA should not cave to small but vocal environmentalist groups.	Business	Comment form	North Bend	1	C	1, C	1c, 2e, 6f, 14a
1310	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1311	Prefer Alt 1, as it has less impact on people. Do not believe it would adversely affect environment or water. Do what is best for the people living here, not what the City of Seattle wants, since it should not be able to dictate what goes on in the county.	Individual	Comment form	30025 SE Retreat Kanaskat Rd, Ravensdale	1		1	5d, 14a, 15b
1312	Live several blocks from 184th St SE (Alt A), where the transmission lines cross over. Have driven under the lines countless times in the 20 years I have lived here, and they have posed no problems although they are not exactly beautiful. However, do have concerns about the 175-ft height of the new towers -- is there any danger from high winds or lightning?	Individual	Comment form	18835 SE 216th St. Renton	1	A	1, A	1d-iii, 13d, 19a
1312	Prefer Alt 1 since there is already an existing line not near any urban areas. As long as construction is done in an environmentally safe way, Alt 1 makes sense.	Individual	Comment form	18835 SE 216th St. Renton	1	A	1, A	6a, 15c

1313	Why run the line through the middle of a golf course and in populated areas? Reconsider running the line so close to my home, neighborhood, and city. Why is BPA considering a route that is longer and more expensive than the watershed?	Individual	E-mail	23308 SE 261st Ct, Maple Valley	1		1	1c, 4f, 5a, 15d
1313	There must be ways to mitigate the effects of running the line through the watershed and retain its beauty and water quality. Do not let a few vocal environmentalists diminish the property values and quality of life of Maple Valley residents. Why are a vocal few more important than those who live in Maple Valley?	Individual	E-mail	23308 SE 261st Ct, Maple Valley	1		1	5d, 6a, 13a, 14b, 15b
1314	Own a home and 5 acres in Maplewood Estates. Purchased with intent of privacy, good neighborhood, and chance of no change so family would always have a retreat. Intended to keep home in family and pass along to future generations, possibly by subdividing into two 2.5 acre lots and adding another residence for family members. With power lines along the east border, the property is ruined.	Individual	E-mail	23670 266th Ave SE	1		1	14b, 15f
1314	Own a home and 5 acres in Maplewood Estates. Property would be very difficult to duplicate in the market. If power line is put through my property, we expect to be compensated to move to the closest comparable property, which would be \$250,000 to \$700,000 in the North Bend area (for two to seven acres raw land in a gated community). We will contest anything less than a comparable location.	Individual	E-mail	23670 266th Ave SE	1		1	14b
1314	Own a home and 5 acres in Maplewood Estates. Lake Walsh Creek runs in back of our property. King County spent thousands enhancing this salmon creek with large timbers, flown in by helicopter and placed at surveyed locations to create ponding for salmon. This is a sensitive wildlife area because of close proximity to Cedar River. I will not allow trees to be cut unless court orders are presented.	Individual	E-mail	23670 266th Ave SE	1		1	8d, 9d, 10b, 10c, 11c
1314	Own a home and 5 acres in Maplewood Estates. What makes this option any more desirable than along Hobart Road, adjacent to the watershed, which was on the table a year ago and ultimately decided against? What happened to move that alternative a quarter mile west?	Individual	E-mail	23670 266th Ave SE	1		1	1a, 2e
1314	Hobart residents love surroundings and are willing to contest this power line for a long time. This is one of the beautiful areas in King County. The line would rip through a "treasure" of a park. There are hawks, owls, elk herds here for all to enjoy. The public outcry from King County and likely Seattle residents as well will be large. This alternative should be dropped so we can get on with our lives. This is so absurd.	Individual	E-mail	23670 266th Ave SE	1		1	2a, 4f, 11a, 15e

1315	Using any of the other alternative routes would cause losses to cities, schools, and the County of planning and development dollars already spent, recreational plans curtailed or even eliminated.	Individual	Fax	Hobart	1		1	4f, 14e
1315	The environmentalists opposed to putting the line through the watershed do not seem to have a problem with power lines passing next to or over rural properties, businesses, schools, or recreation areas. We will hear buzzing noises while golfing and our land value will plummet.	Individual	Fax	Hobart	1		1	14b, 15d, 17b
1315	Putting power line through watershed provides least environmental impact, especially since BPA has a careful, thoughtful building plan. How could it be environmentally better to build a longer transmission line and use more equipment than what would be needed to build through the watershed? Cost increases will likely be passed on to the customer.	Individual	Fax	Hobart	1		1	1j, 5a, 6a
1315	Using any of the non-watershed routes would cause losses of thousands of dollars, severe strain to quality of life for those who live and work and travel in the area, and families in Ravensdale, Black Diamond, Maple Valley, Hobart, Covington, and East King County. These people will lose property, income, peace of mind, and potentially have an increased risk for cancer. There will also be losses to cities, schools, and the County of planning and development dollars already spent, recreational plans curtailed or even eliminated.	Individual	Fax	Hobart	1		1	1c, 4h, 14a, 15a, 19a
1316	Routing the power line through the watershed is the only route that makes any sense. Why put it through residential areas if it is not required? Power lines this large affect health and cause long term health problems that will lead to future legal action. Why risk lives and cause financial woes when the watershed is already the best solution?	Individual	E-mail		1		1	1c, 4b, 5a, 19a
1317	Concerned about putting line through Winterwood Estates and surrounding Covington community. The 50 or so homes in Winterwood Estates would be seriously impacted by Alt A: economics and health and safety of the community, especially children living close to 500 kV lines.	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates, Covington		A	A	14a, 15d, 19a
1317	Works periodically in Kent School District at Grass Lake Elementary, where he is already concerned about the existing high power transmission lines; adding more lines would increase the health and safety issues for children and staff at the school.	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates, Covington		A	A	14a, 19a
1317	Understand the need for additional electrical power for new communities and businesses, but not along Alt A because of the health, safety, environmental, economic, and public relations issues.	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates, Covington		A	A	3a, 14a, 15d, 19a

1318	A transmission line would be a huge environmental problem for the children attending Grass Lake Elementary. EMF in playground is already very high and the effects are unknown.	Individual	E-mail			A	A	19b
1318	Power lines directly decrease property values. Our house is our main investment; decreasing the property value would be a hardship to us.	Individual	E-mail			A	A	14b, 15f
1319	Concerned about A b/c it runs through neighborhood. Will reduce value of property. Already has 1 1/4 towers on backyard making this land unusable. Adding to existing lines is ok, but to add new lines and towers is unacceptable. Not in my backyard!	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates			1, 2, A, B	14b, 15d
1319	Being unfairly taxed on land that she has to maintain but cannot do anything with. 1,2 and B would have less impact on the people of the region. Plenty of undeveloped land that BPA can use w/o disrupting neighborhoods.	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates			1, 2, B	14f, 15a
1320	same as log number 1369	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates (SE of Covington)		A		
1321	Disturbed that local minority can halt the logical and most cost effective route for the new power transmission.	Individual	E-mail					1c, 15b
1321	Existing lines through watershed have not had an adverse impact on environment. Alternatives cost more and go through more populated places. Adverse impact on local governments that have invested public funds to plan growth management that the alternate routes will cause to have to be redone. Long term, the communities will bear a lower tax base and reduced funding for essential services. Reject alternatives and go with the preferred route.	Individual	E-mail		1			1c, 14f, 15e
1321	Alternatives are longer	Individual	E-mail		1		2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	1d-iii
1322	City of Seattle is being unreasonable about environmental issues. Seattle is trying to extort the BPA into making changes. If watershed is not chosen, then he supports the no build option.	Individual	E-mail					1b, 15b

1323	The link "http://www.cityofseattle.net/util/earthquake/default.htm" shows the work done by SPU in the watershed. Shows silt in Chester Morse Lake, construction equipment near the lake and road construction. More water degradation here than in proposed BPA plans. <input type="checkbox"/> Attached pictures.	Individual	E-mail					1d-iii, 5d, 7b
1323	Since HCP was passed, Seattle is trying to decrease logging. However, BPA will cut less than 1/10 of one percent of the watershed area for their new corridor.	Individual	E-mail					5b
1324	A,B,C, and D will impact neighborhoods, traffic, reduce property values, and provide access to private lands to BPA. Need more right of way and cash to install.	Individual	Comment form				A, B, C, D	1c, 4j, 9d, 14b, 15a
1325	Concerned about C which runs through his backyard.	Individual	Letter				C	15d
1325	Asks that BPA use existing routes and existing right of ways.	Individual	Letter					4j
1325	Put in larger towers that can handle six lines instead of three. May cost more, but you save land.	Individual	Letter					1c, 4a
1325	Weigh the impact of lines on environment as well as on humans.	Individual	Letter					15c
1325	If the watershed is the shortest route, you should just remove the old towers or modify them to accommodate the existing lines as well as new ones.	Individual	Letter				1	1d-iii
1325	It may cost more in dollars, but the impact to environment and humans is decreased.	Individual	Letter				1	1c
1326	Powerline project would impact the entire scope of the environment for Winterwood Estates. There would also be economic, social, cultural, and health issues (especially the health risk to children attending Grass Lake Elementary or play in the parks and fields.)	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Residents				14a, 15a, 16a, 19a
1326	Very concerned about property values.	Individual	E-mail					14b
1327	Power line health concerns (especially with regards to Grass Lake Elementary School) Long term economic consequences related to adverse health impacts could be very significant. <input type="checkbox"/> Winterwood Estates would be adversely impacted (property values, quality of life, visual impact.)	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates	1	A	A	1c, 13b, 14b, 15a
1327	Rather than impacting residential area with a line that is longer than alternative 1, the money saved in constructing 1 should be spent to mitigate negative impacts.	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates	1	A	1	1c, 6a

1328	Petition with 52 signatures opposing Alt A because: -public land is available -easement and lines already exist along Alt 1 -impacts sensitive and native growth protected areas -impacts creeks, streams, wetlands and humans -people will lose property values	Interest Group	Petition			A	1, A	4a, 8d, 9b, 12a, 14b, 15c
1329	Letter enclosing responses from 6 elected officials, all in support of Alt 1.	Individual	Letter	Maple Valley	1	C	1, C	
1330	Risks to low elevation forest of running lines across Raging River valley and Cedar River watershed are too great.	Individual	Letter				1	9b
1331	Letter enclosing local newspaper articles. Wants DEIS to explore and explain preserving salmon habitat upstream of watershed.	Individual	Letter			C	C	10b, 10c
1332	Thanks BPA for opportunity to comment. New line should not impact residential areas or environment. Alt B appears to accommodate everyone's concerns. It may be more expensive but using existing right of way and equipment should mitigate costs.	Individual	E-mail		B		B, D	1c, 2a, 4j, 15c
1333	Alt A would destroy greenbelt planted over the last 15 years, created to provide sanctuary for wildlife. Have also built recreation fields along the right of way that would be impacted. Property values will decline because of visual impact, noise and health concerns about EMF. Original proposal to build along I-90 corridor affects fewest people.	Individual	E-mail	Covington			A, B, D	9a, 11b, 13b, 14b, 15c, 17b, 19b
1334	Costs for Alt C should include BPA paying for cable for people who have TV and radio reception impacted.	Individual	E-mail	Hobart			C	1c, 19e
1335	Oppose line because of loss of potential resale value and health concerns, especially for children at Grass Lake Elementary School. 175' towers and 500kV line proposal infringes on homeowners' rights.	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates			A	1d-iii, 14b, 19a
1336	If Alt A goes through, property will be rendered useless for 50 + homeowners. Existing 240kV line radiates energy - concerned about electric shock with 500kV. Also concerned about tree cutting and health effects on children and horses. Alts 1, 2 & B impact less on people, property and environment because they go over less populated areas.	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates			1, 2, A, B	13b, 14b, 15c, 19a

1337	Alt C seems the best - no impact on watershed and cost of right of way may be reduced by narrowing along west margin of 276th Ave SE. Alts A & B's only advantage is that they are on existing right of way. Alt D has no advantage. Of Alts 1-4, only 1 makes sense because existing line could be replaced with new towers within the existing right of way.	Individual	E-mail				1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, B, C, D	1a, 4j, 5a
1338	Place lines over the watershed! Lines through family residential areas will have huge negative impact.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1		1	15f
1338	Wonders how many environmentalists live in or near proposed lines. Protect human rights before 'outside' environmentalists take over to support their agenda.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley	1		1	15c
1339	EIS should include application of "precautionary principle" - the only way to avoid contamination in the watershed is not to participate in the activity that may result in pollution. Would like more information about Canadian Treaty policies and politics.	Individual	Comment form	Seattle			1	1b, 3c, 5d
1339	Watershed was protected for a reason and BPA would be irresponsible to go against the spirit of conservation. Use money for this project to construct more sustainable infrastructure for generation/transmission, fund conservation programs. Reduce demand on power and old technologies, increase property values, and require no destruction of habitat or homes by constructing single-family sized solar facilities.	Individual	Comment form	Seattle			1	1g, 5a, 14b, 15e
1340	Alt 1 is best use of taxpayer money because it traverses non-populated areas. Hard to believe wire and steel in concrete will affect drinking water. Alt A seems most disruptive and Alt D looks too expensive.	Individual	Comment form		1		1, A, D	5d
1341	Economy is bad enough without additional impact of Alt A. Do any of those opposed to Alt 1 live in the path of Alt A? Values natural resources but is concerned about economic survival.	Individual	Comment form			A	1, A	14a, 15c
1342	Same comment as log number 0604.	Individual	Letter	Hobart		C	1, C	
1343	Existing right of way under lines along Alt A is "benign industrial greenbelt" with gardens, orchards, horse paddocks. Buffering trees keep towers out of sight and earshot. Adding another set of towers will be intolerable. Consider EMF effects, damage to residential streets during construction, increased traffic and noise during construction, loss of trees that enhance property values, decreased quality of life.	Individual	Letter		1		1, A	4j, 13b, 14b, 15f, 17c, 19b

1344	Alt C doesn't make sense when easier and more practical options exist. EIS should analyze negative environmental effects on per unit basis. For example, how many sensitive areas would be affected going through the watershed compared to going outside the watershed? Views will be obscured, right of way will take land - threatened again by issue thought was already settled.	Individual	Letter	Ravensdale		C	1, C	2e, 4j, 5a, 13b, 14b, 15c
1345	Original route through the watershed looks like the best route with the least impact on the environment and residents. Can't see how overhead power line will affect water quality and fish habitat.	Individual	Comment form		1		1	5a, 15c
1346	Please use route adjacent to existing power lines. Do not tear up communities when existing routes can be utilized. Maps in newspaper were not clear.	Individual	Letter					1a, 15c
1347	Writing on behalf of Cedar River Council. Council supports options that use existing right of ways and minimize clearing of forests and damage to Cedar River Basin. SDEIS should analyze: -Current and future vegetation along right of ways and maintenance needs, including impact of vegetation removal and changes to surface water dynamics.	Elected Official	Letter					1a, 4j, 8d, 9d
1347	SDEIS should analyze: Power system redundancy and reliability improvements for potential routes under consideration, including such things as mean times to isolate and repair power line fault.	Elected Official	Letter					20a
1348	Attorney representing Tiger Mountain Ranchettes. Current process has failed to consider several possible alternatives: -Rebuilding existing towers in the existing corridor. (Existing corridors should be considered first per King County policies.) -Using cross-Cascade alternatives, such as upgrading Stevens Pass area corridors	Individual	Letter				C	1a, 2e
1348	Attorney representing Tiger Mountain Ranchettes. EIS must consider: -Aesthetic and visual impacts, using photographic and other viewscape studies. -Impacts on property values, using unbiased appraisals. -Comprehensive cost-benefit analysis including payments for property depreciation. -Land use impacts on areas designated as rural.	Individual	Letter				C	1c, 4b, 13b, 14b, 15b

1348	Attorney representing Tiger Mountain Ranchettes. Concerned that BPA may be under pressure to fund expensive mitigation measures for preferred alternative - wants to be sure strong nexus is established between actual or perceived impacts and the requested mitigation. Doesn't want unsupported higher mitigation costs to result in inappropriate emphasis on other alternatives.	Individual	Letter				1	6f, 15b
1349	Running alongside existing lines in the watershed is obvious choice - access roads already exist, impact would be temporary. Impact to residents would be permanent, including long term health risks. Do the lives of tax paying and voting residents mean so little in comparison with Seattle's precious watershed?	Individual	E-mail		1	C	1, C	5g, 15c, 19a
1350	Attaching report on Cedar River Basin flooding. Wants BPA to address potential flooding issues, especially if wetlands are filled in or removed.	Individual	E-mail				C	7e, 12a
1351	Concerned that BPA has lower standards of construction mitigation outside the watershed than inside. Sensitive areas outside the watershed should be treated with at least as much care, maybe more. SDEIS should include: -detailed construction cost analysis for each alternative -cost comparisons of the same construction standards inside and outside the watershed (compare apples to apples) -cost estimates for human impact mitigation, including property values, noise, and security.	Elected Official	Letter				1	1c, 6a, 14b, 15e, 17c, 19a
1352	Director, King County Dept of Natural Resources and Parks. Applauds BPA's efforts to conduct an open and thorough review. Recommends BPA engage King County and other stakeholders directly to understand concerns. SDEIS should look at: -project need -compliance with King County policies and codes -range of impacts on: people, property values, wildlife, views, surface and groundwater, recreation -costs to ratepayers -foreclosure of existing opportunities to improve community and natural resources as a result of the projects -proposed mitigation Attached BPA log #395 & #409 as examples of previous county response to EIS.	Local Government	Letter					1j, 2a, 3a, 4i, 6a, 8a, 11a, 13a, 14b, 15e

1352	Director, King County Dept of Natural Resources and Parks. Would like BPA to provide a summary of its plans for the development, operation, and maintenance of transmission infrastructure over the next two decades. Summary should also address conservation, alternative energy sources, and need reduction.	Local Governme	Letter					20b
1353	Concerned about damage to property values, health of children in proximity to lines at Grass Lake Elementary. No information was given out before the end of school, but opposition will grow once school starts again. Some homeowners in direct line with alternatives have not received any information from BPA.	Individual	E-mail			A	A	14b, 19a
1354	C would be devastating to homeowners and environment. Creeks would be affected. All routes pose impacts except for the watershed route. Water-quality for the city would not be compromised with the line going through the watershed.	Individual	Comment form				1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	5d, 8d, 14a, 15e
1355	Look at property value decrease for C vs. all other alternatives. Also the effect of all routes on the environment. C has virgin wetlands and streams with no development.	Individual	Comment form				1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	8d, 12a, 14b
1356	same as log number 0604	Individual	Letter			C		
1357	favours watershed route.	Individual	Comment form		1		1	
1358	Another line built next to existing line in watershed would pose minimal environmental impacts.	Individual	Comment form				1	1d-iii, 5a, 15e
1358	Environmental process is being manipulated to compromise the previously well-researched project. Public is being used by a well organized segment that is forcing control and litigation.	Individual	Comment form					20a
1358	Been involved for more than two years with this same process. □ Build in the watershed.	Individual	Comment form					2e, 5a
1359	Submitting "Voice of the Valley" 34th year, Number 26, Wednesday June 26th, 2002 article. (p.5) Maple Valley meeting had the greatest turnout (132 persons) Few substantive reactions from A, B, or D. People affected by C traveled to every meeting to voice their opinion. Personally, hasn't seen much opposition to BPA's preferred alternative.	Individual	Letter			C	1, A, B, C, D	
1360	Requests that the negative environmental effects would be analyzed on a per unit basis.	Individual	Letter			C	C	15e

1360	Built home in Ravensdale 23 yrs. Ago. Now threatened to loose everything if C passes. Why is their property less of an environmental concern than the watershed? Watershed has existing right of way and has never had water quality problems before. Wouldn't effect as many homeowners.	Individual	Letter			C	1, C	4j, 5d, 14b, 15c
1360	C would destroy elk, deer, bear, coyote, eagle, heron, and fish habitat. C would also subject hundreds of homeowners to EMF risks, involve going through streams and wetlands, and cost more.	Individual	Letter			C	C	1c, 8d, 10b, 11b, 11c, 12a, 19b
1360	C would destroy property, homes, and lives.	Individual	Letter			C		14b, 15a
1361	Only home and property (for 50 yrs.) is being threatened by C. Hundreds of property owners will be affected. □ Existing right of way already exists within the Seattle Cedar River Watershed. Could make upgrades to existing line.	Individual	Letter	Issaquah-Hobart Road		C	C	1d-iii, 4j, 5g, 14b, 15a
1361	Requests SEIS analyze the negative environmental impact on per unit basis.	Individual	Letter			C		15e
1361	Oppose C for following reasons: quality of life will be destroyed. Habitat for wildlife will be destroyed EMF health risks Watershed line is the shortest Maintenance of line in watershed has never caused water-quality problems before More lines needed to go around watershed has increased neg. impact lower property value Line through watershed is less populated	Individual	Letter			C		1d-iii, 5d, 11b, 14b, 15a, 19b
1361	Oppose C for following reasons: Going through watershed is cheaper	Individual	Letter			C	1, C	1c
1362	Preferred alternative seems like the most environmentally reasonable.	Individual	Letter			C	1	15e
1362	Same concerns expressed by Seattle Public Utilities applies to private properties west of Seattle City Watershed.	Individual	Letter			C		15b
1362	Has produce growing (established by earlier family members since 1909) as well as elk which come down from the Cedar River Watershed.	Individual	Letter	208th and 216th streets in Hobart		C		4d, 11a, 15a

1362	>100 yr. Old Cedar Trees on property as well as several acres of wetlands. Deer, coyote, Chinese pheasants, pileated woodpeckers and black bear use her woods. Has beautiful views of Tiger and Cougar Mountain. Visual impact would be extreme and hundreds of families would be impacted negatively. Care must be taken to mitigate the 150' right of way through the watershed.	Individual	Letter			C		4j, 6a, 9a, 11a, 12a, 13b, 14b, 15a
1363	Biodiversity Northwest. Made case for further studying the potential for a "nonwires" alternative and hope to see that in the SDEIS. Attached their scoping letter for the Kangley-Echo Lake SDEIS.	Interest Group	Letter					1d-iii, 2e
1364	Property owners along alternative line proposals have hired (independent) economist Greg Easton of Property Counselors to review BPA's previous analysis in the DEIS. Disagree with DEIS statement that there would be no long term impacts to property values. Minimum mitigation includes paying landowners the devaluation of their property and that this cost should be included in evaluation the overall costs of each alternative. Attached to comment is independent economist Greg Easton's Property Counselors analysis and qualifications to be included in the DSEIS.	Individual	Letter					1c, 6f, 14b
1365	Covington Water District (CWD) uses ground and surface water to provide drinking water to its customers. CWD owns and operates wells that will be affected by either A and/or the C alternatives.	Local Governme	Letter		1		A, C	8a
1365	CWD completed Wellhead Protection Programs for the 222nd Place Wellfield and the Witte Road Wellfield. These were required by the 1986 Amendments to the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act and by the WA Administrative Code 246-290. Both A and C cross the recharge areas for both of these wells. This crossing makes the wells susceptible to contamination.	Local Governme	Letter		1		A, C	8e, 19a
1365	A would cross sensitive habitat in Rock Creek basin which is habitat to anadromous fish populations.	Local Governme	Letter		1		A	8d, 10b
1365	CWD does not favor A and/or C.	Local Governme	Letter		1		A, C	
1366	Reconsider alternative A b/c it runs through his yard.	Individual	E-mail				A	15d

1367	Manages Crest Airpark in Covington Area (29300 179th PI SE, Kent) Main concern is A and its interference with the airport traffic pattern. Height of towers need to be low enough so they don't interfere w/ air traffic.	Individual	E-mail	Covington			A	1d-iii
1368	SPU takes approx. 2/3 of their drinking water from the Cedar River. SPU owns and manages the Cedar River Watershed's aquatic resources for water supply, protection and restoration of fish and wildlife habitat, and protection of cultural resources. Seattle City Light owns and operates hydroelectric facility and associated transmission lines w/in the Watershed.	State Agency	E-mail	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				5a, 16a
1368	A clear purpose and need should be in SDEIS. BPA needs to evaluate whether there are alternative measures (other than constructing new lines) for meeting BPA objectives.	State Agency	E-mail	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				
1369	Even though new power lines might be needed to meet the power demands, existing communities, residential areas, and local aviation are entitled to just as much consideration in regards to environmental, safety, and economic impacts as reservoirs, streams, roads, etc.	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates				3a, 4a, 8d, 14a, 15c
1369	It would be disrespectful to the residents of this area to upgrade the 230kV line that is already in place around Winterwood to 175 ft. 500kV lines and would devalue the property.	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates (SE of Covington)		A	A	1d-iii, 14b
1369	Understand that growth is inevitable and allowed BNSF to construct a rail line adjacent to the neighborhood. However, a larger and more powerful transmission line system is asking too much.	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates (SE of Covington)		A		4g, 15e
1369	Very sensitive to aviation safety. Increasing tower heights to 175 feet adjacent to Crest Air Park may not be in line with FAA standards. If one has to stay a few inches away from a 230 kV power tower, then the same, if not more, is true for the 500kV lines. This will affect the safety of people and livestock under the powerlines.	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates (SE of Covington)		A		1d-iii, 19a
1369	Respectfully requests that BPA does not select A because of the impact to Covington and nearby aviation.	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates (SE of Covington)		A	A	
1369	Don't let Seattle influence smaller communities. Is underground cable an option?	Individual	E-mail	Winterwood Estates (SE of Covington)		A		1e, 15b
1369	Oppose line through watershed unless impacts can be fully mitigated and water customers are fully protected and compensated. SDEIS should address the issue above. Mitigation (natural, social, and cultural,) protection and impacts to watershed.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities			1	5d, 6a, 14b

1369	Unclear why double-circuiting could not meet stated objectives with less environmental impact.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				1d-ii
1369	Unclear why conservation could not meet stated objectives with less environmental impact.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				1g
1369	SDEIS would benefit from explanation of the electrical transmission system serving the King County area that supports the necessity of the proposed line. Reference system plans or regional analysis so that reader can understand why specific link is necessary.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				1d-iii, 2e
1369	SDEIS needs to explain who would benefit from such a power line. (City of Seattle, Canada, etc.)	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities			C	2e
1369	Should also explain the public planning process that was used in making the decision to proceed with the chosen alternative.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				2a
1369	SDEIS should explain how the risks associated with powerlines are calculated and used in defining system reliability standards and performance.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				2e, 19a
1369	BPA should consider an even broader range of alternatives.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				1a
1369	Associated cost estimates for all alternatives should be included. Clearly indicate why certain alternative is "preferred."	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				1c, 2e
1369	SDEIS should use NEPA regulations in describing the significance of the impacts. Provide project details to help evaluate the effectiveness of proposed mitigation. Landowner most affected is The City of Seattle and the Cedar River Municipal Watershed. Watershed is region's number 1 supplier of drinking water, land is under Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP). SDEIS must disclose potential environmental impacts.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				2d, 5a, 6a

1369	Alternatives 1-4 would significantly impact the older forest habitat in the watershed. Watershed is located where federal biologists have identified as critical to the long term survival of species dependent on the old-growth forests. Watershed is 2/3 of the Cedar River Basin and includes the headwaters of the major river supplying Lake Washington. Watershed houses some of the most important salmon habitats.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B	5e, 8a, 9b, 10b, 11b
1369	SDEIS should address the local and regional importance of the watershed as a wildlife and fish habitat, a wildlife movement corridor, a refuge, etc.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				5g, 10b, 11b
1369	Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) is cutting edge in applied ecosystem management principles and is the culmination of six years of effort for the preservation of the watershed.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities			1	5h
1369	In response to HCP, Seattle decided to discontinue commercial timber harvesting over the next 50 years. BPA's routes through the watershed would result in additional clearings.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				4j, 5b, 19a
1369	SPU needs to be reassured that the proposed project will not diminish the conservation value and that the watershed water supply customers will be protected.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				5g
1369	Concerned about the impacts 1-4 will have on the habitat of animals protected by the Endangered Species Act--protected salmon for example.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities			1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B	5h
1369	Construction can cause high turbidity that would exceed Dept. of Health standards resulting in the need of expensive filtration. SDEIS should address these issues.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				2e, 5d
1369	BPA should use cutting edge technology to avoid adverse impacts.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				1d-iii
1369	NEPA regulations require that an EIS discuss possible conflicts b/w the proposed action and the objectives of federal, state, and local land use plans, policies, and controls. How will BPA reconcile discrepancies?	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities				2d
1369	SPU believes that the impacts to cultural resources will be high for alt. 1.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities			1	16a
1369	Alt. 1 is visible from state routes 18 and 90. The SDEIS should address the impact to visual resources and commit to mitigation that avoids, minimizes, and compensates for unavoidable adverse impacts.	State Agency	Letter	City of Seattle, Seattle Public Utilities			1	6a, 13d

1370	It is inconsiderate for BPA to consider a line along the Issaquah-Hobart Road when BPA already has an existing right of way in the watershed. Disagrees with Seattle Water District's stance that additional lines and towers would have a neg. effect on the water supply.	Individual	Letter	Issaquah-Hobart Road		C		4j, 5d
1370	Until just recently, selective logging has been carried on in the watershed.	Individual	Letter	Issaquah-Hobart Road		C		4c, 5b
1370	Seattle Water District employees and other City of Seattle employees use the watershed for "PRIVATE" hunting and fishing activities.	Individual	Letter	Issaquah-Hobart Road		C		5c
1370	BPA should construct in watershed due to land acquisition costs, legal battles, displaced homeowners, and disrupting the quality of life here.	Individual	Letter	Issaquah-Hobart Road		C		4a, 5a, 14b, 15a
1371-001	The shortest distance b/w two points is a straight line. We also have the environmental processes and technology to mitigate. Dealing with deficits so cost is important.	Elected Official	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					1c, 6a
1371-001	Need this line because we don't want a brownout and we want capacity to light up our schools, hospitals, and businesses.	Elected Official	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					1d-iii
1371-002	Lives under C. Purpose of project seems to be system reliability. Add more conductors to the circuit through the watershed to assure load balance b/w Covington and Maple Valley Substations.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	SW corridor of SW corridor of NE corridor sec. 13				1h, 3a
1371-002	Why can't the Covington Substation be expanded to accommodate the additional thru-put power that would occur in a wintertime anomaly? What keeps any or all of the new conductors from failing during this severe storm?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	SW corridor of SW corridor of NE corridor sec. 13				1i
1371-002	Is it possible for Maple Valley and Covington Substations to benefit from the addition of a fifth major transmission line from E. Washington through Stevens Pass?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	SW corridor of SW corridor of NE corridor sec. 13				3b
1371-002	What is the time frame for construction of fifth line?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	SW corridor of SW corridor of NE corridor sec. 13				1d-iii
1371-002	Is the project just a matter of fiscal coordination?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	SW corridor of SW corridor of NE corridor sec. 13				

1371-002	BPA hasn't built a line in the area for 15 yrs. Either the circuitry is ancient and not up to the demands or BPA needs a near-term project to ensure viability as a government agency in the area keeping the personnel rosters at present levels.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	SW corridor of SW corridor of NE corridor sec. 13				3a
1371-002	Believes that two is governmental pork. Timing of line over Stevens Pass may not be synchronized with near-term fiscal realities.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	SW corridor of SW corridor of NE corridor sec. 13			2	1c
1371-003	Water is supplied by Watershed. Eastern boundary of property would be affected by right of way of C. Lose 1/4 of trees which are native growth. Aren't his trees just as important as watershed trees?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	Hobart			C	4j, 5d, 15a
1371-003	C would destroy privacy and views of trees in backyard. It would destroy quality of living in nature Wants SPU to answer three questions: 1. Where is the evidence that BPA has caused any harm to water quality for watershed operation its 30 yrs. Of having a line in the watershed? 2. What evidence does Seattle have that clearing 80 acres for another powerline is more damaging to water quality than failure to replant the 600 mi. of logging roads already in the watershed? 3. How can small amount of clearing have any impact on water quality?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	Hobart			C	4a, 5d, 13b, 15a
1371-003	HCP is good idea--forces Seattle to meet Endangered Species Act. Is the wildlife habitat along the watershed more important than habitat for both wildlife and humans outside the watershed?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	Hobart			C	5a, 15c
1371-003	C clear cuts 250 acres of private property for new right of way. How could any property owner be reimbursed for the aesthetic loss of property value due to this new right of way?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	Hobart			C	4j, 14b
1371-004	Points out the 1996 Watershed Management Committee's Introduction to Cedar River Basin and Nonpoint Pollution Action Plan. Serious flooding problem in the Cedar River Water Basin. Cedar River and tributaries contain much of the best remaining aquatic habitat in the Lake WA system.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting			C		2a, 7e, 10b
1371-004	Landsburg Fish Passage Project spending millions to create fish passage. What good is that if they create a slough and the salmon can't spawn?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting			C		10b
1371-005	C will go through the west side of her family farm. They feed elk in their backyard.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting			C	C	11a

1371-006	Chairs the Greater Maple Valley Area Council. (approx.. 15,000 members) has the backing of Maple Valley Mayor, State Rep., David Irons, Jennifer Dunn, and they are working on their senators now. A lot of the issues have been about environmental stewardship and protecting the environment. Believes that private land owners have been better stewards than any government agency. Seattle has logged 74000 acres out of 90000.	Interest Group	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					2a, 5b, 15b
1371-006	Chairs the Greater Maple Valley Area Council. (approx.. 15,000 members) Has 120' tall tress in backyard. Doesn't want to see towers that can be seen in backyard. Doesn't want to see any towers. (Tiger Mt. Areas)	Interest Group	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					1d-iii, 9a, 13b
1371-006	Chairs the Greater Maple Valley Area Council. (approx.. 15,000 members) Made the right choice two years ago. Watershed is the shortest and has the least impact to the environment.	Interest Group	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				1	1d-iii, 2e, 15e
1371-006	Chairs the Greater Maple Valley Area Council. (approx.. 15,000 members) Hundreds of people affected by A,B, C, or D. One house or barn, on the other hand, will be moved with the preferred route.	Interest Group	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				1, A, B, C, D	14b
1371-006	Environmental equity = equal environmental consideration to all alternatives including mitigation.	Interest Group	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					6a, 15e
1371-006	Salmon, elk, deer, bear and other creatures can't tell difference b/w backyards and watershed.	Interest Group	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					11b, 15a
1371-007	People along A and C have hired Property Consultants to review the BPA's DEIS statement. Greg Easton, the consultant, disagrees with BPA's assessment that the new line will not cause long term adverse effects to property values along right of way or in general vicinity. Consultant recommends that additional analysis be done using multiple regression analysis on property values.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					4j, 14b

1371-007	In draft, BPA references 1995 study that says transmission lines can reduce value of residential and agricultural property. Wants to references some other studies: 1. Public Services commission of Wisconsin, October 2000. Property values could increase if the cleared right-of-way received access to interior lands, creates open spaces or increases electrical reliability. 2. Conversely, value may decrease due to health concerns, noise and visual unattractiveness or interference w/ farming or fencing of land.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					4j, 13a, 14b, 17a, 19a
1371-007	NY Appellate Court case, Percillio v. Power Authority of the state of NY. Court ruled that whether the danger of EM is scientifically genuine or a verifiable fact should be irrelevant to separate issue of property value impact.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					14b
1371-007	Cited statistics dealing w/ property values. Property values are impacted by presence of powerlines. The effect on value may increase or decrease over time. Value of agricultural property is likely to decrease if power line poles are placed in and inhabits farm operations, like in Hobart.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					4a, 14b
1371-007	Study by Thomas Jacksonetti in June 2001 "Do You Want Your Children Playing Under Those Things and the Continuing Controversy About High Voltage EMF Health and Real Property Values" and in another 1999 study by Urban Lawyer said there is uncertainty about the effects of EMF.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					19b
1371-008	Affected by B and D. Only notified a couple of weeks ago about this project. Very little voice. Most sense to go through watershed. Very much against B and D for same reasons that people are against A and C.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	Roaring Creek/ Kittitas County		B	B, C, D	
1371-009	Heard about meeting two hours ago. Gov't has spent millions trying to preserve Greenway. Under B and D you disturb one of the single most productive steelhead and salmon bearing streams in Puget Sounds...Snoqualmie River. Concerned that increased tower height poses aviation risks.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	Snoqualmie Pass			B, D	1d-iii, 4f, 8d, 10a, 19a
1371-010	Opposed to B and D.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting			B	B, D	

1372	Letter attaching additional 70 signatures on petition opposing Alt C. (Petition same as log #0615.) Concerned about impact of Alt C on her wetland. Would like DEIS to address wetland issues, including economic value and flooding potential. <input type="checkbox"/> Also included w/letter: * Wetlands information (from King County website) *Lower Cedar River Basin Plan Summary (from King County website) *Watershed Management Committee Proposed Lower Cedar River Basin and Nonpoint Pollution Action Plan, Chapter 1 *The Economic Value of Wetlands, Department of Ecology Publication No. 97-100 *Washington State Wetlands Rating System, Department of Ecology Publication No. 93-74	Individual	Letter			C	C	7e, 12b, 14b
1373	Votes for watershed choices. Environment will recover from construction.	Individual	Comment form				1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B	15c
1374	Mission of the Audubon Society is to protect birds and the natural environment. Founded in 1916 and has 5400 members. Oppose Watershed route by eminent domain.	Interest Group	Letter			1		14b
1374	Mission of the Audubon Society is to protect birds and the natural environment. Founded in 1916 and has 5400 members. Believe the purity of the drinking water for 1.3 million people takes precedence over the need for transmission line.	Interest Group	Letter			1		3a, 5d, 15c
1374	Mission of the Audubon Society is to protect birds and the natural environment. Founded in 1916 and has 5400 members. 50-yr. Habitat Conservation Plan established a no-logging policy in the area. Maturing old growth forested areas are habitat for northern spotted owls, northern goshawk, pileated woodpeckers, and vaux's swift.	Interest Group	Letter			1		5h, 9b, 11a, 11c
1374	Mission of the Audubon Society is to protect birds and the natural environment. Founded in 1916 and has 5400 members. Cutting a 150' by 9 mile through forested wetlands and forested riparian areas and introducing 1 mile of roads will cause damage to water quality and habitat.	Interest Group	Letter			1		4j, 5a, 9a, 12b
1374	Mission of the Audubon Society is to protect birds and the natural environment. Founded in 1916 and has 5400 members. support delay of final EIS and preparation of a DSEIS analyzing alternatives outside the watershed. Consider comparable costs as well as the expense of a filtration system.	Interest Group	Letter					1c, 2e, 5d

1375	Do you have maps that would better depict the location of the transmission lines?	Individual	E-mail					1d-iii, 2a
1376	C will cross his property, decrease property value, add another crossing to the Cedar River Watershed. New towers will be visible from neighborhood and will attract noisy off road vehicles thereby disrupting his quality of life.	Individual	E-mail	Maplewood Estates	1		C	9d, 13b, 14b, 15e
1376	1 is the best alternative economically and balances the needs of the power community while minimizing the impact on the environment.	Individual	E-mail		1		1	1c, 15c
1377	The Mountaineers is one of the oldest and largest environmental and recreating organizations in the Northwest with nearly 1500 members. Opposes logging for project clearings. DEIS does not consider increased energy conservation thoroughly.	Interest Group	E-mail					1g, 4j, 5b
1377	If additional lines are needed, BPA should place lines on existing towers and/or along existing rights-of-way and route them so they won't traverse the heart of the Watershed.	Interest Group	E-mail					1d-iii, 4j
1377	Cost of reinforcing and strengthening the existing towers would be less than the cost of the proposed project and the environmental degradation it will cause.	Interest Group	E-mail					1c, 15e
1377	Project requires 1.5 miles of new roads. These roads will most likely cause soil erosion and damage to water quality and fisheries. Roads will impact wildlife habitat and several wetlands. These roads are unnecessary.	Interest Group	E-mail					1d-iii, 5d, 7b, 10b, 11b, 12a
1377	BPA hasn't thought enough about mitigation. Old and Second growth trees are critical elements in the Western Cascades. Right of way of up to 200 feet would cause serious "fragmentation" through ecosystem. Mitigation must include replacement of old growth habitat (forests and wetlands). BPA should look at areas in the Green River, Raging River, near Selleck, and upper Rock Creek Valley. Should commit to not use herbicides in the Raging River Watershed.	Interest Group	E-mail				1	4j, 6a, 9b, 19d
1378	Constituents have written in regards to proposed project. Would like some insight into alternatives 1 and C. Direct response to: Jay Pearson (Seattle District Office at Jackson Federal Bldg.) 915 2nd Ave, suite 3206 Seattle, WA 98174 206.220.6400 or j_pearson@cantwell.senate.gov.	Elected Official	Letter				1, C	2a

1379	lines outside watershed would affect the environment, property values and too costly in time and money. Litigation will prevent placement. C crosses both Carey and Holder creeks, important salmon spawning habitats for Issaquah creek, and the Lake Sammamish run.	Individual	E-mail	Maple Valley-Hobart area	1	C		1c, 8d, 10b, 14b, 15e
1380	WSDOT Aviation Division Will draft SEIS analysis contain information and analysis on how general aviation facilities and critical flight paths are affected? Website does not provide enough detail for them to determine the location of projects in relation to aviation facilities and airspace. Wants to be added to email.	State Agency	E-mail					1d-iii, 2a
1381	WSDOT's Aviation Division is required by the 1996 Substitute Senate Bill 6422- Protection From Incompatible Land Uses-to assist with a technical assistance program. WSDOT Aviation Division, through the Airport Land Use Compatibility Program, is to provide the best available information and research to land use decision makers, and to advocate for the preservation of WA State's public use airports which are defined as essential public facilities. Goal is to encourage balance b/w infrastructure preservation and quality of life. Any questions, contact him at: 360.651.6306.	State Agency	E-mail					1d-iii, 2a, 20a
1382	Has transmission towers and easement on property. Is it possible to have maps of where expansion project is going?	Individual	Telephone	Covington/Lake Soyer area			C	1d-iii, 2a, 14d
1383-001	What technology or best management practices could you use to offset the impacts to people's property - the impact of having the towers next to their homes?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					1d-iii, 14b
1383-002	The best management practices that you propose to use on the preferred route, would also have to be used on the other alternatives - to protect wetlands and fish habitat.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, A, A1, B, C, C1, C2, D	1d-iii, 10b, 12a
1383-003	What parameters did you use to establish your mail list? Does 1 1/4 mile off R/W cover visual impacts to people in the area? The Hyak Ski area would have visual impacts, and they are beyond 1/4 mile. (just east of Keechelus Lake).	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	Hyak Ski area				13c
1383-004	I've read that there are health concerns living under a transmission line (or even close).	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					19a
1383-005	Please inform us of the steps you would take to acquire our property (new R/W route C).	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				C	2a, 14b

1383-006	Why does the City of Seattle insist that this project would have a negative impact, when it appears you have addressed all their issues?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					15b
1383-007	Relationship of towers & FAA?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					20a
1383-008	Plan B or D, if and line built, would take several houses in Roaring Creek	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	Roaring Creek			B, D	14b
1383-009	Herbicide spray could affect Roaring Ck. Well.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	Roaring Creek				8c, 19d
1383-010	There is a one time compensation for the R/W easements - but the impacts are in perpetuity.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					1c, 4j, 14d
1383-011	Any additional R/W needed for Route C that runs East-West? Why couldn't you bury the power line?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				C	1e, 4j
1383-012	Why would you build a new R/W adjacent to the existing R/W (routes B-D) since it would impact homes & the environment?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				B, D	4j, 14b, 15e
1383-013	If you build on the preferred route would you need to build anything along route B/D?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				1, B, D	1d-iii
1383-014	On B-D, I'm concerned about the additional Electro-Magnetic Fields as well as additional noise levels.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				B, D	17a, 19b
1383-015	Route C- running E-W; when there's a storm you really hear the lines. They make a lot of noise.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				C	17b
1383-016	For the rebuild, route B, would have to separate lines & structures? Or would they be built on one line of structures?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				B	1d-iii
1383-017	Route B rebuild - Would you need additional R/W for the rebuild?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				B	4j
1383-018	My issue is that BPA does not "coordinate" with the landowners when they cut trees within the R/W. We weren't given the right to request the trees be topped.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					4j, 14d

1383-019	90% of preferred route runs through Cedar River Watershed. Who owns this land and how do they feel about it?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				1	4a, 5b
1383-020	If preferred alternative doesn't work out what other alternatives would you pursue? Is there a hierarchy for alternatives A,B,C,D (is preferred over D)?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				1, A, B, C, D	1d-iii
1383-021	At Stampede Pass, during a heavy snow year, the snow level can be 10 feet (or less) from the line (Rocky Reach-Maple Valley).	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	Stampede Pass				1d-iii, 8d
1383-022	At Stampede Pass the lines are noisier this year than they've ever been.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	Stampede Pass				17b
1383-023	We need to know what side of existing transmission line route D would be built on. Are you studying both sides?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				D	1d-iii, 4j
1383-024	Route A: we have a home adjacent to the existing R/W. If you rebuild with larger towers wouldn't you compensate us for the negative impact to our property (health effects, visuals)? We can hardly sleep at night. Lately the lines make a lot of noise. It's torture! The noise is constant!!!	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				A	4j, 13b, 14b, 17b, 19a
1383-025	There is a schoolyard adjacent to alternative A, as well as a neighborhood park. Alternative A - now that I see how much taller the new towers would be (180 feet vs. 90 feet) they dwarf the existing towers. This will be a major visual impact!	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				A	1d-iii, 4a, 13a
1383-026	Why bother sending someone a letter when you only give one-two days to respond. Even though you extended the comment period, this treatment promotes "distrust".	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					2a
1383-027	With your easement it's unusable land that I get to pay taxes on. I don't want any more easements. 150feet is enough (although I do appreciate the electricity).	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					4j, 14d
1383-028	In neighborhoods why don't you underground the line? What if the owners covered the cost?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					1e, 4b
1383-029	When you rebuild the line can you move the towers?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					1d-iii
1383-030	Route A running E-W; now a portion of the R/W is vacant, but when you build the new line, you'll be right next to my deck! Why go with 3 lines, when you could double circuit?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				A	1d-ii, 4j, 15d

1383-031	What gets more consideration wildlife or people?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					15c
1383-032	What do you do about homes that get devalued due to the new towers? Don't landowners get compensated?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					14b
1383-033	We're concerned about nuisance shocks. We have existing problems with fences. Alt. A - the whole R/W is being used for horse pasture?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				A	4j, 19a
1383-034	What good are all the comments that we are giving you? What do you do with them?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					2a
1383-035	Who makes the decision on which route to build? If it's the administrator why doesn't he come to the meetings? Has he ever showed up?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					2a
1383-036	What about planes coming out of Crest Park Airport? The taller towers will present an obstacle/safety hazard to these planes.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	Crest Park Airport				1d-iii, 19a
1383-037	How quickly can power to the line be cut off in case of an accident (planes)?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					1d-iii, 19a, 20a
1383-038	While the quality of the watershed is a valid concern, the technology is there, and the best management practices can be utilized to assure that sediment won't compromise water quality.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					1d-iii, 5d, 7b
1383-039	Main Concern is the visual impact alternative will have on my neighborhood "A".	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				A	13b
1383-040	Concern over health effects of EMF along alternative C. If you build it here...I'll move!	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				C	19b
1383-041	Concern over replacing towers on the existing Maple Valley-Echo Lake line on impacts to my well.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	Maple Valley-Echo Lake impacts			C	8c
1383-042	Alternative C would impact our family farm owned since 1907 - direct impact. It would cross our land.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				C	1d-iii, 4d
1383-043	Least environmental damage would be to build across the watershed.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				1	1d-iii, 5b, 15e

1383-044	Do the whole analysis - environmental & ecological equity; before deciding which route you should take. (includes impacts & mitigation)	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					6a, 15e
1383-045	Environmental Impact. Please see the Watershed Management Committee Proposed Lower Cedar River Basin and Nonpoint Pollution Action Plan -1996 – Main Issues - 1.Serious flooding in lower Cedar River threatens human lives and takes substantial toll on homes and businesses - how would Alternative "C" impact possible flooding - since we live in Lower Cedar River Basin. 2.The Cedar River and its tributaries contain much of the best remaining aquatic habitat in Lake Washington system, although over half of the historic habitat suitable for fish propagation and rearing has been lost or degraded. The Landsburg Fish Passage Project, part of Habitat Conservation Plan, is a multimillion project designed to promote fish moving up stream, but if fish habitat was destroyed along Alternative C, what good does it do to allow fish to pass, if they can't get up to their spawning streams/creeks further up the waterway?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				C	5a, 7e, 10b
1383-046	Why not double circuit preferred alt w/ existing Raver-el line?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting				1	1d-ii
1383-047	How far away from the line does a building have to be?	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					1d-iii, 4a
1383-048	Show big picture of system upgrades (G-20) to show how this project fits into the big picture.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting					1d-iii, 2a, 3a, 20a
1383-049	Plan B or D could effect our water well system in Roaring Creek.	Individual	Snoqualmie Pass public meeting	Roaring Creek			B, D	8c
1384	Wants to know distance off Hwy 169 of lines running parallel in Alt C.	Individual	Comment form				C	2a
1385	Use the route that affects the least amount of homes and families. People are more important than temporarily disrupting "natural" areas. Construction that limits impacts on watershed will be a necessity	Individual	Comment form		1		1	6a, 15c