

United States Government

Department of Energy
Bonneville Power Administration

memorandum

DATE: October 1, 2004

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: KEC-4

SUBJECT: Supplement Analysis for the Wildlife Mitigation Program EIS (DOE/EIS-0246/SA-42)

TO: Charlie Craig – KEWU-4
Fish and Wildlife Project Manager

Proposed Action: Blue Creek Winter Range - Spokane Reservation (Acquisition of Lantzy West and Rajewski (Allotment 1052) Properties)

Project No: 1991-062-00

Watershed Management Techniques or Actions Addressed Under This Supplement Analysis (See App. A of the Watershed Management Program EIS): 1.1 Fee Title Acquisition and Transfer

Location: On the Spokane Indian Reservation, near Wellpinit, Stevens County, Washington

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) and the Spokane Tribe of Indians

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA proposes to fund the purchase of two parcels of land within the boundaries of the Spokane Indian Reservation, totaling approximately 203 acres. Title to the land will pass to the Spokane Tribe of Indians. The goal of the property acquisition is to dedicate the land to the protection, mitigation, and enhancement of fish and wildlife affected by the construction and operation of portions of the Federal Columbia River Power System.

Analysis: The compliance checklist for this project was completed by Kelly Singer of the Spokane Tribe of Indians (STOI), and meets the standards and guidelines for the Watershed Management Program Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Record of Decision (ROD). A comprehensive management plan that follows the guidelines and mitigation measures detailed in the Wildlife Mitigation Program EIS and ROD will be prepared for the parcels after they are purchased.

No plant or animal species listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) will be affected by the acquisition of the properties. ESA listed species known to occur in the vicinity of the project include the bald eagle, gray wolf, bull trout, and Ute ladies'-tresses. Prior to the implementation of any restoration or enhancement activities on the site, an updated ESA species list will be obtained and ESA Section 7 Consultation will be conducted by BPA and the STOI as necessary.

The STOI Tribal Historic Preservation Office has been consulted regarding the project, and did not identify any historic properties known to occur on the parcels. The Tribal Historic Preservation Office will be involved in developing a cultural resources management plan for the acquired parcels as further management takes place. In the unlikely event that archaeological material is encountered during any management actions that might occur as part of this project, an archaeologist should immediately be notified and work halted in the vicinity of the finds until they can be inspected and evaluated.

BPA's Office of Pollution Prevention and Abatement completed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment of the two parcels on July 14, 2004. Based on these assessments, BPA concluded that there

were no recognized environmental conditions associated with the sites. There is some debris, farm equipment, and empty barrels on the Lantzy West property that would have to be removed prior to title transfer.

Because of the unique system of property ownership on reservation lands, BPA coordinated with the STOI and the U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, in order to identify and notify all people with interests in property adjacent to or in the vicinity of the three subject parcels. A notice was sent to all such parties on August 17, 2004. BPA explained the goals of the property acquisition, provided a map of the parcel locations, and solicited comments from the public. No comments were received regarding the proposed acquisitions.

Findings: The project is generally consistent with the Northwest Power Planning Council's Fish and Wildlife Program, as well as BPA's Watershed Management Program EIS (DOE/EIS-0265) and ROD. This Supplement Analysis finds that: 1) implementing the proposed action will not result in any substantial changes to the Watershed Management Program that are relevant to environmental concerns; and 2) there are no significant new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on the Watershed Management Program or its impacts. Therefore, no further NEPA documentation is required.

/s/ Donald L. Rose

Donald L. Rose
Environmental Specialist

CONCUR:

/s/ Nancy Weintraub for

Thomas C. McKinney
NEPA Compliance Officer

DATE: September 29, 2004

Attachments :

NEPA Compliance Checklists (2)
Phase I Environmental Site Assessments (4)

cc: (w/ attachments)
Kelly Singer - STOI